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This dissertation explores the effects of varying the composition of aquatic and terrestrial habitats in a landscape (the aquatic to terrestrial ratio) on amphibians that use both the aquatic and terrestrial habitats during their lives. In Chapter 1, I first used meta-analysis and simulations to demonstrate that as the longevity of an amphibian increases, the elasticity of the population growth rate to perturbations in the aquatic (larval) habitat decreases. In Chapter 2, I examined the abundance of larvae of a long-lived amphibian, *Ambystoma maculatum*, across landscapes that varied in their aquatic to terrestrial ratios and found that larvae of this species were more dense in landscapes where aquatic habitat was scarce relative to terrestrial habitat. Because larval *A. maculatum* are top predators, they had more dramatic effects on the community composition of their prey in these isolated habitats, suggesting a result opposite to traditional metacommunity theory. In Chapter 3, I monitored the population level response of two common prey species, tadpoles of grey tree frogs (*Hyla versicolor*) and Blandchard’s cricket frogs (*Acris crepitans*) to the presence and absence of predatory larval *A. maculatum* in large-scale experimental ponds. These prey species have very different longevities, and therefore
differential use of the aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Population-level results suggest that, as expected, *A. maculatum* had a larger influence on the population dynamics of the shorter-lived *A. crepitans* than on *H. versicolor*. Finally, in Chapter 4, I found that the abundance of aquatic habitat in an area influences the ability of *A. maculatum* females to discern between oviposition sites of varying qualities. Studies of declining populations of amphibians typically focus on aquatic habitat and factors that affect larval survivorship and growth therein. However, this dissertation highlights the importance of both the aquatic and terrestrial habitats, and the ratio between the two, to overall population dynamics of amphibian species. These results will become more important as anthropogenic habitat destruction not only leads to an absolute loss of habitat, but also potentially alters the ratio of aquatic to terrestrial habitats on which amphibians depend.
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Introduction
Introduction:

Many scientists consider habitat destruction the single greatest threat to global biodiversity (Hoekstra et al. 2005, Brooks et al. 2006). Loss of habitat can change the shape and isolation among remaining habitats, and thus can have dramatic consequences on community dynamics (Gibbs 2000, Fahrig 2003). Less appreciated, however, is that habitat alterations may change the ratio of distinct habitat types available in a landscape. For example, when wetlands are drained, this not only decreases the amount of aquatic habitat in an area, but also decreases the ratio of aquatic to terrestrial habitats. For organisms with ontogenetic habitat shifts, in which two distinct habitats are required during the life cycle (Wilbur 1980, Werner and Gilliam 1984), the ratio of distinct habitat types within a landscape may have dramatic consequences for population dynamics as well as for community interactions in either habitat type.

Most amphibians exhibit a classic complex life cycle in which most larvae grow and develop in aquatic habitats and metamorphose to spend their juvenile and adult life stages in terrestrial habitats (Wilbur 1980). As a result, amphibians may be particularly vulnerable to anthropogenic perturbations such as habitat destruction, due to their reliance on both aquatic and terrestrial habitats (Beebee and Griffiths 2005), and this may contribute to the rapid declines that have been observed over the past several decades (reviewed in: Collins and Storfer 2003, Stuart et al. 2004). Additionally, amphibians occupy a wide range of trophic positions within both aquatic and terrestrial food webs, ranging from primary consumers to top predators (e.g.: Holomuzuki et al. 1994, Wellborn et al. 1996, Altig et al. 2007), and thus habitat perturbations may have large consequences
not only for amphibian population dynamics but for aquatic and terrestrial communities as well (Hamer and McDonnell 2008, Vaugh 2010).

The overall goals of this dissertation are explicitly to examine the role of complex life-cycles on amphibian populations, their responses to changes in habitat ratios, and their influences on and within food webs. As a first step, in Chapter One, I sought to identify the population-level responses of amphibian species with varying longevities to aquatic and terrestrial habitats. As has been shown by meta-analysis of numerous other taxa (Silvertown et al. 1996, Heppel 1998, Sæther and Bakke 2000, Heppel et al. 2000, Forbis and Doak 2004), I found that as the longevity of an organism increases, the population growth rate ($\lambda$) becomes less sensitive to vital rates of early life stages (e.g., larvae in the aquatic habitat) and more sensitive to survivorship in later life stages (e.g., juveniles and adults in the terrestrial habitat). This pattern may be particularly useful in developing conservation plans for declining or threatened populations because it can focus conservation efforts on the habitat that is most critical for population growth of the species.

Based on the pattern presented in Chapter One, I predicted that changes in the number of aquatic habitats (e.g., breeding ponds) relative to terrestrial habitats would have little influence on the population growth of long-lived amphibian species. Instead, as the ratio of aquatic habitat goes down, the density of long-lived species breeding in those habitats should increase. I tested this prediction in Chapter Two by examining how the availability of aquatic and terrestrial habitats in a landscape influences the abundance of a long-lived amphibian, the spotted salamander (Ambystoma maculatum), in breeding ponds, as well as the consequences of varying densities of this aquatic predator on the
rest of the food web. In 2007-2008, I surveyed 39 ponds throughout eastern Missouri and found that isolated ponds with low aquatic: terrestrial habitat ratios (ATR) had higher densities of *A. maculatum* larvae than ponds that were more connected (high ATR), a pattern opposite to that expected from most metapopulation/metacommunity expectations (see Background Information: Principles of metacommunity theory section below). Furthermore, high densities of predatory larval *A. maculatum* reduced the species richness of the aquatic community in ponds with low ATR.

Many other aquatic species, including several of *A. maculatum* 's preferred prey, have a complex life cycle (e.g., many insects and other amphibians). Larval *A. maculatum* often prey upon tadpoles of the grey treefrog (*Hyla versicolor*) and Blandchard’s cricket frog (*Acris crepitans*) in Missouri due to the phenological overlap between tadpole size/vulnerability and larval *A. maculatum* development. However, these two species differ in their life history strategies: *Acris crepitans* lives for approximately one year and could be considered an annual species (McCallum et al. 2011), whereas *H. versicolor* can live for up to 7 years, with multiple opportunities for reproduction over the course of its life (Snider and Bowler 1992, Wright and Wright 1995). In Chapter Three, I empirically examined the effects of larval *A. maculatum* predation on the population dynamics of *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans*. I projected the population dynamics of both species in 12 experimental ponds at Tyson Research Center in response to the presence or absence of larval *A. maculatum* using capture-mark-recapture techniques and matrix population models. As expected, predation is projected to decrease population growth rate of both species. This suggests that predation in the aquatic habitat is an important driver in population dynamics of both species. In addition, two unexpected results
emerged. First, I expected that *A. crepitans* populations would be more affected by predation than *H. versicolor* due to its shorter lifespan and higher elasticity to tadpole survivorship. However, decreases in population growth rate due to predation were similar for the species, likely because *H. versicolor* tadpoles experienced higher predation rates. Second, changes in population size observed across the sampling interval did not match matrix projections. This is likely because vital rate estimates for matrix population models overestimated predation effects or because of the low power to detect differences between treatments in population size.

The longevity of amphibian species may also interact with the ratio of habitat availability across landscapes to influence female use of aquatic habitats for oviposition. Female amphibians often select oviposition sites based on the presence or absence of conspecifics, predators, and resources in addition to abiotic factors such as hydroperiod or soil characteristics (Resetarits and Wilbur 1989, Kats and Sih 1992, Kiesecker and Skelly 2000). However, one main assumption of most oviposition site selection studies is that females have abundant aquatic habitats to choose from and are able to make oviposition decisions based on the variability of the aquatic habitat (Spieler and Linsenmair 1996, Rudolf and Rodel 2005). In Chapter Four, I examined the use of marginal aquatic habitats (aquatic habitats that did not allow the successful metamorphosis before drying completely) by female *A. maculatum* in landscapes with a range of aquatic habitat availabilities. When aquatic habitat was scarce, *A. maculatum* oviposited more frequently in marginal aquatic habitats relative to when aquatic habitat was widely available at a site.
Overall, the body of research in this dissertation highlights the important implications of variation in the ratios of habitat types across a landscape. Studies of declining amphibian populations typically focus on factors that affect larval survivorship and growth in the aquatic habitat. Yet, there is increasing evidence of the importance of terrestrial habitat for amphibians (e.g., Biek et al. 2002, Semlitsch 2002, Vonesh and de la Cruz 2004). Understanding how amphibians will respond to different types of habitat destruction (e.g., deforestation, draining of wetlands) requires considering the entire life-cycle of amphibians and the ratio of aquatic and terrestrial habitats in landscapes within which amphibian populations are embedded. Further, variation in landscape context can cascade to influence other members of the community.

**Background information**

**Demographic matrix modeling**

A central focus of this dissertation examines how populations respond to habitat ratios differently. I used demographic matrix modeling in chapters 1 and 3 (and application of these models in chapters 2 and 4), to determine the impacts of perturbations to amphibian populations both through simulations and experimental manipulations. Demographic matrix models take the form:

\[ N_{t+1} = A(N_t) \]

Where \( N_t \) is a vector representing the abundance of individuals in each stage class of the population at time \( t \), while \( N_{t+1} \) is a vector indicating the abundance of individuals in each stage class the next year. \( A \) is a matrix containing elements \( (a_{ij}) \), which indicate the survival rates of particular stage classes of individuals and their transition rates between stages, in addition to the fecundity of reproductive stage classes (Caswell 2001).
Properties of the demographic matrix $A$ are useful for determining how populations might respond to perturbations. For instance, the dominant eigenvalue of $A$, $\lambda$, represents the population growth rate, where $\lambda > 1$ means the population is increasing and $\lambda < 1$ indicates a declining population. Additionally, elasticity analysis of the demographic matrix is a useful tool in determining how small perturbations in particular life stages can influence the population growth rate, $\lambda$. The elasticities of particular vital rates ($e_{ij}$) can be calculated using the equation (de Kroon et al. 1986):

$$
e_{ij} = \frac{\delta \lambda}{\delta a_{ij}} \frac{\delta (\log \lambda)}{\delta (\log a_{ij})}
$$

Demographic matrix modeling is thus a useful tool for exploring the potential population level impacts of particular perturbations on amphibian populations and is therefore a critical tool used throughout my dissertation.

**Principles of metacommunity theory**

A metacommunity is a network of local communities that are linked through the dispersal of organisms (Wilson 1992, Leibold et al. 2004). Often, metacommunities are thought to represent a series of habitat patches, in which the area between patches is considered matrix habitat and is inhospitable for individuals, therefore organisms only disperse through the matrix habitat, but do not spend any length of time in the matrix. There are four main theoretical frameworks of metacommunity theory that look to explain the mechanistic processes that lead to patterns of species distributions within a metacommunity. They are patch dynamics, species sorting, source-sink, and neutral model frameworks (Leibold et al. 2004). Although these frameworks lead to many explanations for how species are distributed within a metacommunity, there are a several
patterns that emerge in regards to predator and prey species richness, which are particularly relevant to this dissertation.

Metacommunity theory predicts that increases in the isolation of habitats within a metacommunity will typically alter patterns of biodiversity and composition (reviewed in Saunders et al. 1991, Cadotte 2006). Isolation of a habitat generally reduces the overall species richness and diversity of that patch, while highly connected patches within the metacommunity often have higher species richness and diversity (Cadotte 2006). However, predators are thought to be more sensitive to habitat isolation than their prey (Terborgh et al. 2001, Holt and Hoopes 2005, Holt 2009, Chase et al. 2010). This is often attributed to several common features of predator species, in particular their lower population sizes, which increases the likelihood of local extinctions. In habitats that are isolated within the metacommunity, it is more difficult for predators to thus re-colonize isolated habitats once extinctions occur, leading to the observed pattern of decreased predator diversity of isolated habitats (Holt and Hoopes 2005). Consequently, it has been shown that a reduction in predator diversity and abundance in isolated habitats can lead to increases in particular prey species abundance and richness (Chase et al. 2010).

However, one of the main tenets of metacommunity theory centers on species that live in distinct habitat patches and disperse amongst patches through an inhospitable matrix (Leibold et al. 2004). This general assumption of metacommunity theory may lead to predictions of predator and prey species distributions which are not necessarily accurate if the predator or prey do not respond to isolation in a similar way. Habitats that may be considered isolated by traditional metacommunity standards could actually be irrelevant if species are using both the patch habitat as well as the matrix habitat. For
instance, amphibians use both the terrestrial and aquatic habitat extensively throughout their life. Therefore, both the patch habitat and the matrix habitat are required by these species. When a predator species uses the “matrix” habitat to a greater degree than the actual patch habitat, the ratio of these habitats could influence their density and thus food web interactions in each habitat, leading to inaccurate predictions of predator and prey interactions based on traditional metacommunity theory. In this dissertation, I develop and use a new framework for exploring the distribution of predators and prey within a metacommunity, which relies not on patch isolation but rather on ratios of habitat types within a landscape. Instead of classifying patches or habitats as isolated or connected as is done in traditional metacommunity studies, the ratio of available habitats would reflect not only the isolation of a habitat (with a skewed ratio towards the matrix habitat), but also the abundance of that habitat (additionally capturing the proportion of matrix habitat to patch habitat). Thus the new framework could provide a more accurate measure of “connectivity” for organisms that spend a proportion of their life in multiple habitats.
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Chapter 1

Amphibian longevity predicts their susceptibility to habitat alterations: A meta-analysis
Abstract
Given the rapid decline of amphibian species across the globe, matrix population models provide an important tool in understanding the relationship between environmental factors and population growth and identifying priorities for conservation. Elasticity analysis can identify key life stages for which changes would be expected to produce the largest change in the population growth rate of a species. General patterns between the longevity of a species and its elasticity to vital rates occurring in early vs. late life stages has been shown in numerous other taxa, but have not yet been demonstrated in amphibians. Further, since most amphibians spend early life stages in aquatic ecosystems and later life stages in terrestrial ecosystems, determining which vital rates most directly affect the population growth rate would allow habitat conservation priorities to be set. Here, we review 27 matrix population models for amphibians and show that as the longevity of an amphibian increased, population growth rates were less sensitive to changes in vital rates associated with the aquatic relative to the terrestrial habitat. In simulations that considered larger perturbations in vital rates, we found that over a range of increasing larval mortalities (using a realistic range found in studies that manipulate concentrations of the insecticide, carbaryl), the expected change in population growth rate was higher in species that were shorter-lived. Similarly, with increasing levels of adult mortality (terrestrial perturbation), the expected population growth rate was lower in species that were longer-lived. When both aquatic and terrestrial perturbations occur, this pattern is more complicated for long-lived amphibian species, and depends on the intensity of mortality, as well as the ratio of available habitat types. Adding density
dependence in the larval stage did not qualitatively alter our predictions for short-lived amphibians, but it can lead to more unpredictable population-level responses of long-lived species to habitat perturbations. The relationship between the longevity of an organismal amphibian species and the elasticity of its population growth rate to the aquatic or terrestrial habitat will be useful in situations where collecting demographic data is difficult.
Introduction

Matrix population models are an important tool for population viability analyses (PVA) in conservation biology (Beissinger and McCullough 2002, Morris and Doak 2002). These models can be designed to capture key aspects of a population’s biology, including stage- or age-structure, environmental stochasticity, and density dependence (Caswell 2001). Matrix projections, such as the long-term rate of population growth (λ) and elasticity analysis are particularly useful in a conservation context. For example, projections of population growth rates are often used to compare the relative health of different populations and different management scenarios, enabling more efficient conservation planning (Silvertown et al. 1996, Caswell 2001). Elasticity analyses quantify how small changes in a vital rate would alter the population growth rate, and can focus conservation efforts on improving survivorship, growth and fecundity at stages in the life cycle that would most benefit the population (Mills et al. 1999, de Kroon et al. 2000).

Further, because standard methodology is typically employed to create and to parameterize matrix models, synthetic reviews are available for many taxa, providing a more general understanding of population dynamics of species and how these might be influenced by environmental perturbations (Silvertown et al. 1996, Heppel 1998, Seather and Bakke 2000, Heppel et al. 2000, Forbis and Doak 2004). For example, comprehensive meta-analyses on birds (Seather and Bakke 2000, Stahl and Oli 2006), mammals (Heppel et al. 2000, Oli and Dobson 2003), fish (Mangel et al. 2006) turtles (Heppel 1998), and perennial plants (Forbis and Doak 2004) demonstrate that as the longevity of a species increases, the influence of fecundity and juvenile survivorship
decreases relative to adult survivorship. This relationship can be useful for informing conservation decisions when data on specific species may be limited. For example, in the absence of a more detailed demographic study, it might be reasonable to prioritize conservation efforts that increase adult survivorship for species that are extremely long-lived.

Over the last 20-30 years, amphibians have been declining at an unprecedented rate across the planet (Collins and Storfer 2003, Stuart et al. 2004). Several factors have been identified as possible agents of this decline including disease, climate change, UV-B radiation, agricultural run-off and pollution, and habitat destruction (e.g., Biek et al. 2002, Collins and Storfer 2003, Blaustein et al. 2003, Beebee and Griffiths 2005, Cushman 2006). All of these agents have been found to have large effects on demographic vital rates; however, to date, their effects on amphibian population dynamics are largely unknown because studies typically do not measure vital rates across the entire life cycle. It is possible that the same environmental perturbations will have large effects on some species and small effects on others if the population dynamics of the species differ in their sensitivity to changes in the vital rate that is perturbed (Biek et al. 2002, Vonesh and De la Cruz 2004). Given the concern for global amphibian decline, and the limited data available on amphibian population dynamics, a framework for understanding which types of species are most likely to be affected by which types of environmental perturbations is paramount.

The elusive nature of adult amphibians makes demographic data on the adult life stages challenging to collect (Storfer 2003); however, there are demographic data available across the entire life cycle for 27 amphibian species (see Methods), allowing the
potential for a synthetic review of this taxon. If there are general patterns in the
demography of amphibians with different longevities, as might be expected from studies
on other taxa, then it may be possible to make synthetic statements about which
environmental perturbations are likely to have the largest influence on the population
dynamics of an amphibian species based on its longevity.

Unlike most other organisms that have been the subject of quantitative reviews,
amphibians have a complex life cycle, which restricts larval life stages to aquatic habitats
and juvenile and adult life stages to the terrestrial habitat. Therefore, it is possible to
determine the elasticity of the population growth rate to perturbations in a particular
habitat by summing the elasticity values for all life stages that occur in that habitat. This
should help set conservation priorities for amphibian species for which limited
demographic data is available by focusing efforts on the particular habitat that will have
the biggest impact on overall population growth.

The extreme variability seen in vital rate estimates for amphibian species creates
an additional complication to establishing actual patterns between the longevity of a
species and habitat elasticities. If the elusive nature of juvenile and adult amphibians
leads to estimates in survivorship that vary substantially from actual adult survivorships,
than the longevity of the species may be either over- or under-estimated when calculated
using the matrix model (Forbis and Doak 2004). Therefore, independent estimates of
species longevities obtained through skeletal-chronology, captive individuals, or mark
recapture studies could allow for a comparison between actual age of amphibians and that
obtained through the matrix model. If variations within vital rate estimates artificially
inflated longevities that were calculated by the matrix model, we might predict that the
patterns observed between the longevity of species and habitat elasticities would not withstand such variation in vital rates.

Although patterns of habitat elasticities and longevity of amphibian species have the potential to inform conservation decisions, elasticity analyses focus on how small perturbations in a particular habitat influence $\lambda$. However, factors suspected of contributing to global amphibian population declines are causing large reductions in vital rates. For example, adult habitats can be destroyed through fragmentation and loss of forested terrestrial habitats causing large reductions in juvenile and adult survivorship, while agricultural practices create chemical contamination that threatens larval amphibian survivorship (Blaustein et al. 2003, Hayes et al. 2006, Boone and Bridges-Britton 2006). Thus, it is useful to examine the population-level responses of amphibian species that differ in longevity to large perturbations in vital rates. Furthermore, many anthropogenic factors that cause large reductions in vital rates in a particular habitat are not the only perturbation facing amphibian species, with many factors occurring simultaneously (e.g., terrestrial habitat reduction due to agricultural lands paired with chemical contamination from runoff) (Collins and Storfer 2003, Sih et al. 2004). A modeling approach that examines how larger perturbations and multiple types of perturbations acting synchronously would provide a framework to examine how realistic anthropogenic effects may influence the population dynamics of amphibian species with different longevities.

Many amphibian species have been shown to demonstrate strong density dependent larval survival in the aquatic habitat. Synthetic reviews of other taxa typically include only density-independent matrix models and do not examine whether density-
dependence influences the relationship between longevity and elasticities to early life stages (but see Mangel et al. 2006). Through simulation modeling of an aquatic or terrestrial perturbation, we can examine how the relationship between longevity and habitat elasticity is affected by density dependence. For example, density-independent models might predict that for short-lived species, aquatic perturbations would have the greatest effect on population dynamics. However, strong density dependent survivorship of individuals in the aquatic habitat could temper the importance of aquatic perturbations on population dynamics. Depending on the strength of density-dependence and aquatic perturbations, elasticity patterns and longevity may not show the same relationship for density-independent and density-dependent populations.

The aim of this study is to conduct a synthetic review of amphibian matrix population models in order to achieve the following goals: (1) determine the relationship between amphibian longevity and elasticity of the population growth rate to changes in each demographic vital rate, and to all vital rates associated with the aquatic vs. terrestrial habitat; and (2) examine how robust this relationship is to larger vital rate perturbations and adding density-dependent larval survivorship. Finally, we address the utility of these findings for amphibian populations for which few demographic data are available, and the role of future studies on amphibian population dynamics.

Methods

We exhaustively searched the literature for studies containing amphibian matrix population models or studies that contained sufficient demographic data for us to construct a matrix population model. To do so, we used ISI Web of Science in combination with Google scholar, searching the following key words: amphibian matrix...
model, amphibian population viability analysis, amphibian demography, amphibian modeling. We partitioned search results into papers that presented a full matrix model for an amphibian species, and those that contained sufficient demographic data for us to create a matrix model. Several amphibian species (n=6) had more than one matrix model present in the literature. For three of those six species, *Ambystoma jeffersonianum, Bufo calamita,* and *Rana catesbeiana,* the studies used demographic rates drawn from similar sources [*A. jeffersonianum:* Mullin and Klueh (2009) used estimates of adult and juvenile survivorship from Williams (1973), while Rubbo et al. (2006) calculated most parameters within the context of the study; *B. calamita:* Stevens and Baguette 2008 used Beebee et al. 1996 and others for parameterization of their model.; *R. catesbeiana:* Doubledee et al. (2003) used estimates of survivorships from Raney (1940) and Bury and Whelan (1984), while Govindarajulu et al. (2005) obtained estimates of survivorship “from the literature”], and thus the models behaved qualitatively similarly; in these cases, one model was randomly selected to represent the species within our meta-analysis. Two species (*Bufo boreas* and *Rana aurora*) had two models present in the literature, but the populations had very different population growth rates; here, both were left as separate populations in the meta-analysis. For the sixth species (*Bufo marinus*), both a native and an invasive population were studied, showing large discrepancies between population growth rates and stage-specific elasticities; these matrices were also kept separate for analyses. Density-dependent matrix models were presented for several species (Lampo and De Leo 1999, Trenham and Shaffer 2005, Vonesh and De la Cruz 2004), but since the majority of models in the meta-analysis were linear, we removed density-dependent functions for the first analyses synthesizing the relationship between longevity and
elasticities. To do so, we used the mean larval survivorship rate instead of the negative power function presented in Trenham and Shaffer (2005) and Lampo and De Leo (1999), and by setting the larval coefficient of density-dependence equal to zero for the species from Vonesh and De la Cruz (2004). Two additional studies (Pellet et al. 2006 and Sutherland et al. 2000) present results from matrix population models for amphibians, but do not contain data sufficient to recreate the matrix models; therefore we were unable to include these two species (Hyla arborea and Ascaphus truei) in the meta-analysis.

For each species, we calculated the population growth rate ($\lambda$) and elasticity matrix using Matlab (Version R2009B) and standard methods described in Caswell (2001). We summed elasticity values for all life stages that occurred in the aquatic habitat (typically egg and larval survivorship) to determine one elasticity value for each species in the aquatic habitat. Likewise, we summed elasticity values for all life stages that occurred in the terrestrial habitat (usually juvenile and adult survivorship) to produce one elasticity value for the terrestrial habitat. Two species in the meta-analysis (Geocrina alba and G. vitellina) have a shortened aquatic stage which occurs in small puddles of water located in bromeliad leaves, however it can still be considered as a distinct habitat from that of adult frogs.

We determined the longevity of each species from the density-independent matrices using methods described in Forbis and Doak (2004). For each species, we set the reproductive rates to zero and estimated the number of years it would take one individual in the first juvenile stage class to reach 0.001 individuals. Since these age estimates are dependent on population specific vital rates, there is the potential for circularity between habitat elasticity values, also calculated from population specific vital rates, and age.
estimates. To address this, we obtained independent age estimates from the literature (when available) for each species, using species name and age, longevity, or skeletal-chronology as key words. We compared the estimates of longevity for each species calculated through the matrix to those where independent estimates were found in the literature, and found a significant correlation (linear regression: \( r^2 = 0.7173, F=10.855, p<0.0001 \)). As a result, below we only present estimates of age calculated from the matrix model. We used linear regression (using Systat version 12.0) to analyze the relationship between amphibian longevity and the elasticity of the population growth rate to matrix elements in either the aquatic or terrestrial habitats. Furthermore, to determine whether variability in species-specific vital rates contributed to the overall outcome of the relationship between longevity and habitat elasticities, we examined the correlation between independent estimates of longevity and species specific vital rates in the most error-prone life stages; adult and juvenile survivorship using linear regression in Systat (version 12.0).

**Simulating larger scale perturbations**

From the group of species, we chose six (Ambystoma jeffersonianum, A. maculatum, A. tigrinum, Bufo boreas, Rana temporaria, and Pseudacris triseriata) that differ widely in their longevities (between two and eleven years, with three species classified as short-lived, and three species classified as long-lived; see Table 1), but have similar rates of density-independent population growth (between 1.201 and 1.401). Elasticity values are a function of the population growth rate (Silvertown et al. 1996) and therefore other species within our meta-analysis with highly divergent \( \lambda \)'s would not necessarily be comparable to each other.
We simulated the effects of two factors that have been shown to cause large decreases in amphibian vital rates: carbaryl contamination in the aquatic habitat, and terrestrial habitat destruction. Carbaryl is the most widely used pesticide in the United States and has been shown to have adverse effects on the survivorship of larval amphibians (Peterson et al. 1994, Relyea 2003). We searched the literature (key words: amphibian, carbaryl, survival) for studies (n=23) that documented larval mortality rates of amphibians due to carbaryl at ecologically relevant concentrations (approximately 3.5 mg/L, Peterson et al. 1994). From this, we varied larval mortality due to carbaryl from 0% to 60% representing the range of responses found within the literature search. For each of the six species, we varied larval mortality in 5% increments and calculated a new $\lambda$. Likewise, to explore the effects of terrestrial habitat loss, we simulated a reduction in terrestrial habitat that increased adult mortality from 0% to 75%. The ubiquitous nature of terrestrial habitat loss for amphibians produced a wide range of values within the literature (keywords: amphibian, terrestrial habitat, reductions) ranging between 0 and almost complete loss of terrestrial habitat (summarized in: Cushman 2006). Therefore, we restricted our simulations to values less than 75%, which might be likely if habitat destruction maintains a small buffer zone around aquatic habitats (Semlitsch 2002). For all six species, we only applied a decrease in survivorship to the adult, but not the juvenile, stages for consistency between species, which had varying numbers of juvenile stages.

We plotted the incremental change in $\lambda$ over the range of increased mortalities for both the addition of carbaryl and increased terrestrial habitat destruction to obtain the slope of the relationship for each species. We used linear regression in Systat (Version
12.0) to regress this slope with the species’ longevity to determine how dramatically their population growth rate was affected by an aquatic or terrestrial perturbation.

To examine the interactive effects of both a terrestrial and aquatic environmental perturbation, we simulated the simultaneous reductions in survivorship that could be caused by both carbaryl and habitat destruction on the same six species used previously. We first quantified the expected change in $\lambda$ for each species between natural and simulated terrestrial habitat loss using the same methods as above. We considered four levels of adult mortality due to terrestrial habitat loss: 10%, 25%, 50% and 75%. We also quantified the expected change in $\lambda$ for each species between natural and simulated mortality due to carbaryl in the aquatic habitat. Here, we consider one level of carbaryl-induced larval mortality: 50%. If the simultaneous effects of mortality at the aquatic and terrestrial stages are additive, we would expect that the change in $\lambda$ for each species would be equal to the change in $\lambda$ due to elevated tadpole mortality (i.e., similar to mortality that could be caused by carbaryl contamination) plus the change in $\lambda$ due to elevated adult mortality (i.e., similar to mortality levels that could be caused by terrestrial habitat loss). Therefore, we summed the simulated decrease in $\lambda$ at 50% larval mortality, which is likely at moderate levels of carbaryl contamination, with the decreases in $\lambda$ expected at 10%, 25%, 50%, and 75% reductions in adult survivorship, which would be likely if terrestrial habitat was destroyed and plotted this as the expected line. We obtained observed estimates of the change in $\lambda$ at 50% carbaryl contamination over the same range in reductions of terrestrial habitat by re-running matrix models with the incorporated perturbations in Matlab (Version R2009B), obtaining new estimates of $\lambda$ and calculating the change in $\lambda$ from the original model. We plotted the expected and
observed changes in $\lambda$ for the three long-lived and three short-lived species to determine the interactive effects of both an aquatic and terrestrial perturbation on amphibians.

Similar to the previous simulations, we calculated the difference between the slope of the observed line and the slope of the expected line for each species due to simultaneous aquatic and terrestrial perturbations. We used a student’s t-test to examine the difference between the observed and expected slopes between short-lived and long-lived species (Systat, version 12.0).

**Density-Dependent Simulations:**

To explore the relationship between longevity and the elasticity of the population growth rate to perturbations while incorporating density-dependence, we simulated the effects of reductions in adult and larval survivorship on the equilibrium population sizes of 14 species. We chose these 14 species due to the availability of density-dependent data in the larval stage (presented either in the original study or elsewhere in the literature; see Table 1). Using values of larval survivorship at varying densities, we fit a negative power function, to a minimum of three data points. We chose a negative power function because it is one common function applied to the density dependent relationship of larval amphibian survivorship (Wilbur 1976, Vonesh and De la Cruz 2002, Trenham and Shaffer 2005) and is also a more conservative approach than a negative linear relationship might be given the lack of data often obtained for some species (only 3 survivorship estimates at different densities). Occasionally, a lack of larval survivorship data at low densities produced a Y-intercept greater than 1, indicating survivorship over 100%. In these cases, we set low-density survivorship to the maximum larval survivorship documented in the study. For all species, we started the population with one
individual in each stage class, and calculated the average equilibrium density after 100 years. We simulated a decrease in terrestrial habitat availability by decreasing the adult survivorship by 75% and obtaining a new equilibrium density estimate. When species had more than one stage in the terrestrial habitat, we only applied the decrease in survivorship to the adult stage to standardize our simulations across species. We simulated reductions in larval survivorship by 50%; this is a realistic perturbation since studies have documented this drop in survivorship for larval amphibians in the presence of carbaryl contamination. To simulate a decrease in larval survivorship by 50%, we reduced larval survivorship for each of the three larval densities used to create the density-dependent functions by 50% and then created a new density dependent function at the reduced larval survivorship by re-fitting a new negative power function to the data. For species that included density-dependence within the original matrix model, we reduced the overall maximum larval survivorship by 50%.

Due to the wide variability in the strength of density-dependence and in the mean vital rates across species, there is wide variation in equilibrium population sizes across species. To compare the magnitude by which aquatic vs. terrestrial perturbations influence equilibrium population sizes across species, we can calculate a percent change in the equilibrium population size due to either aquatic or terrestrial perturbations. As above, we separated species into either long-lived (7-11 years) or short-lived (1-6 years) categories. Within short-lived species, we conducted a paired t-test to examine the relationship between the percent decreases in equilibrium density due to aquatic or terrestrial perturbations. Likewise, we conducted a second paired t-test within the long-lived species group to see if the aquatic or terrestrial perturbation had a larger effect on
equilibrium density. Although the strength of the aquatic and terrestrial perturbations were not equal (50% reduction in larval survivorship vs. a 75% reduction in adult survivorship), these estimates mirror realistic differences in the strengths of perturbations within the aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Thus, we might predict that the 75% reduction in adult survivorship (simulating terrestrial habitat disturbance) should have a larger impact on equilibrium density than the smaller 50% reduction in larval survivorship if species respond to either habitat equally.

Results

We obtained a total of 27 matrix population models that were presented in the literature, or were created from demographic data available in published studies. The longevity of species’ ranged from 2 to 30 years with a median age of 6 years. Independent estimates of longevity that were obtained from the literature (from skeletochronology, mark-recapture studies, captive individuals) were highly correlated with adult survivorship, despite the large variation in accuracy within this vital rate (Linear regression, r²=0.33547, f=11.1061, p=0.003). The elasticity of λ to vital rates associated with the aquatic habitat and to fecundity declined with increasing longevity (Figure 1.1a; R²= 0.4443, F=19.402, p<0.0001; R²=0.486, F=23.623, p<0.0001, respectively), while the elasticity of λ to vital rates associated with the terrestrial habitat increased with increasing longevity (Figure 1.1b; R²=0.640, F=44.500, p<0.0001).

Simulating larger scale perturbations

For the six species for which we conducted density-independent simulations of large perturbations in survivorship, we found that as the longevity of a species increased, the effect of aquatic perturbations decreased (Figure 1.2a and b: R²=0.962, F=100.923,
p<0.0001) whereas the effect of terrestrial perturbations increased (Figure 1.2c and d: R²=0.850, F=22.621, p=0.009). However, when survivorship rates in both the aquatic and terrestrial habitat were altered, long-lived species showed non-additive effects of these perturbations on population growth rate. Specifically, as terrestrial habitat was reduced, long-lived species experienced a greater decrease in population growth rate due to the aquatic perturbation than shorter-lived species (Figure 1.3, t-Test, n=3, p=0.0208).

**Density-Dependent Simulations:**

Simulation results using density dependent models were congruent with those of density independent models. In the density-dependent models, aquatic perturbations reduced the equilibrium density more than terrestrial perturbations for short-lived species (Figure 1.4: n=7, T-test: aquatic mean: 0.616, terrestrial mean: 0.113, p<0.0001).

Terrestrial and aquatic perturbations did not differentially influence on the equilibrium population density of long-lived species (Figure 1.4; n=7, T-test p=0.454).

**Discussion**

Our quantitative synthesis showed that the population growth rate of shorter-lived amphibians is more sensitive to small changes in the vital rates associated with younger life-stages (e.g., fecundity, larval survivorship), whereas longer-lived species are more sensitive to small changes in adult survivorship and growth. Additionally, our simulations of larger perturbations in vital rates demonstrate that amphibian longevity was an important predictor in understanding which types of perturbations would have the largest influence on their population dynamics. Specifically, short-lived species are more strongly influenced by perturbations to vital rates in the aquatic (larval) habitat, whereas long-lived species were most strongly influenced by perturbations to vital rates in the
terrestrial (adult) habitat. However, when both aquatic and terrestrial vital rates were altered simultaneously, the susceptibility of longer-lived species to aquatic vital rate perturbations increased when the availability of terrestrial habitat decreased (e.g., as would be expected with habitat destruction). Finally, incorporating density-dependence into these simulations did not change the overall qualitative patterns for short-lived species; however, it complicated predictions for long-lived species.

Meta-analyses on other taxa have revealed that the elasticity of vital rates in younger stage classes declines as the longevity of a species increases (e.g.: Silvertown et al. 1996, Heppel 1998, Seather and Bakke 2000, Heppel et al. 2000, Forbis and Doak 2004, Stahl and Oli 2006); our study confirms this pattern in amphibians. To demographers and population ecologists these results will not necessarily be surprising; despite the unique qualities of amphibians such as their complex life cycles and larval density dependence, the same general patterns between life history and population elasticity apply. However, these results provide insights about agents that have previously been implicated in amphibian population declines. Previously, most researchers studying amphibian declines have focused on a few particular vital rates that are dramatically affected by environmental perturbations and they have concluded that these factors must contribute to amphibian population declines. However, here we show that large reductions in particular vital rates do not necessarily lead to changes in the population dynamics of species. Similarly, small reductions in particular vital rates may lead to large changes in population growth rates if the population dynamics are particularly sensitive to that life stage and have high elasticity values. Therefore, although the apparent relationship between longevity and elasticity to particular life stages is neither surprising
nor unique to amphibians, it draws attention to an often-neglected aspect of amphibian conservation in which large changes in specific vital rates do not always produce changes in population dynamics of species.

Although the observed relationship between longevity and habitat sensitivity can inform conservation and restoration decisions, it does not alleviate the need for more, and more accurate, demographic matrix models for amphibian species. Knowledge of the longevity of a species can provide a critical first step towards focusing conservation efforts. For example, in commercially harvested rock fishes, is it known that these species are extremely long-lived, thus, management aimed at reducing harvest-induced mortality of the largest stage classes would appear to be most important to improving population growth. However, with more detailed demographic study of rougheye rockfish, Mangel et al. (2006) found that the elasticity of $\lambda$ to changes in the juvenile stage was four times higher than that of the adult stage. Conservation recommendations aimed at maximizing juvenile survivorship would provide the greatest increase in population growth rates. Unfortunately, this is a harder stage to place restrictions on because it is not the stage that commercial fishing focuses on. If conservation actions aimed at reducing adult harvests could increase the survivorship of adult stages four times as much as they could juvenile stages, then the same increase in the population growth rate would be obtained with easier management techniques (Mangel et al. 2006). Therefore, although patterns between longevity (or life history strategy) and habitat elasticity can help inform conservation priorities when data or resources are limited, it does not alleviate the need for species-specific demographic models. Furthermore, habitat importance based on longevity and habitat elasticity values alone should not be misconstrued to mean that only
one particular habitat is crucial for population persistence. Although $\lambda$ may not be as sensitive to perturbations in one habitat, elimination of or large disturbances within that habitat are still able to reduce population persistence.

One common cause of terrestrial habitat loss comes from the conversion of upland terrestrial habitats to agriculture. As a result, amphibian populations that experience terrestrial habitat loss also likely experience contamination in their aquatic habitats from common agricultural practices (Collins and Storfer 2003). When we simulated a reduction in survivorships that might be expected if terrestrial habitat availability were reduced and if aquatic habitats were contaminated with carbaryl, we found an interactive effect on long-lived species. Specifically, although long-lived species tended to not be sensitive at the population level to aquatic perturbations alone, they can become so when terrestrial habitat availability is also reduced. This effect arises because reductions in terrestrial habitat cause a decrease in adult survivorship, effectively shortening the life span of those previously long-lived species. As mortality rates in the terrestrial habitat increase (decreasing longevity), $\lambda$ becomes more sensitive to vital rates occurring in the aquatic habitat. Therefore, instead of an additive effect between carbaryl contamination and terrestrial habitat destruction for long-lived amphibians, the increase in aquatic habitat elasticity leads to a non-additive decrease in $\lambda$. Thus, an important lesson here is that the entire landscape of a species’ population must be considered in order to fully elucidate the influence of various anthropogenic stressors.

When we incorporated density-dependence into the models, we found similar results to the density-independent models for short-lived but not for long-lived species. For all species, we would expect that reductions in larval survivorship would have little
effect on population growth rate, up to a point, since larval survivorship is density dependent. As expected, for most species, small decreases in larval survivorship led to little change (or an increase) in $\lambda$ as a result of increased survivorship for remaining larvae. However, when reductions in larval survival become substantial (in this case, 50% reduction for most species), then $\lambda$ decreased for short-lived species. Perturbations in the aquatic habitat always had a stronger influence on the equilibrium population density than perturbations in the terrestrial habitat for short-lived species, which is congruent with our density-independent simulations. However, we note that we incorporated a reduction in larval survivorship (simulating carbaryl’s effects) by decreasing the survivorship of each estimate at each density by 50% and recalculating the negative power function that describes the density dependence. In reality, carbaryl might change the shape of the density dependent function. Therefore, more work explicitly examining how aquatic perturbations impact density-dependent survivorship and how that translates to the population dynamics of the species would be useful.

For density-dependent long-lived amphibians, decreases in adult survivorship (due to terrestrial perturbations) did not necessarily decrease equilibrium densities more than decreases in larval survivorship (simulating carbaryl contamination). Indeed, decreases in adult survivorship (due to terrestrial perturbations) led to increases in equilibrium population size for several species. This occurred because the smaller number of breeding adults led to fewer eggs and larvae, and higher larval survivorship (Vonesh and de la Cruz 2004). Interestingly, one might predict that declining or threatened amphibian populations may not experience much density dependence in the larval stage as a result of declining numbers of individuals in the population (Holmes
Although density dependence complicates predictions of habitat susceptibility and longevity of amphibians, in instances where rapid action is needed to prevent declines in amphibian populations, density dependence may not be as strong of a contributing factor.

There are substantially fewer demographic matrix models on amphibians relative to other species, and this is largely due to the challenges associated with collecting demographic parameters for the adult and juvenile stages of amphibians. Consequently, our knowledge of the terrestrial life-stage for many amphibians is lacking, hindering our comprehension of how anthropogenic changes influence their populations. The majority (n=20) of matrix models for species included in this study did not explicitly determine adult and juvenile survivorship rates, the vital rates to which λ is most sensitive for long-lived species, but rather acquired estimates of these vital rates from the literature or from related species (exceptions: Hels and Nachman 2002, Conroy and Brook 2003, Funk and Mills 2003, Beebee and Griffiths 2005, Zambrano et al. 2007, and McCaffery and Maxell 2010). Mark-recapture studies are the most common way to determine adult and juvenile survivorship of amphibians, although they tend to underestimate survival rates due to detection probabilities (Jung et al. 2000, Storfer 2003). If the actual rates of survivorship are higher than those included in our analyses, then we expect that the elasticity values for adult survivorship would increase for all species; however the pattern that longer-lived species have higher elasticities for these terrestrial vital rates than shorter-lived species should not change.

Additionally, the quality of the demographic data we obtained for adult and juvenile survivorship was highly variable, with several of the matrix models incorporating vital rates from multiple populations, over variable times, and occasionally
from closely related but different species (e.g., Lampo and DeLeo 1998, Rustigian et al. 2003, Vonesh and de La Cruz 2004, Karracker et al. 2008). Independent estimates of longevity that were obtained from the literature (from skeletochronology, mark-recapture studies, captive individuals) were highly correlated with adult survivorship, despite the large variation in accuracy within this vital rate (Linear regression, $r^2=0.33547$, $f=11.1061$, $p=0.003$). Had the pattern between longevity and habitat elasticity been purely a product of inaccuracy in vital rate estimation, then independent age estimates would not correlate strongly with adult survivorship. Therefore, the pattern we observed between the longevity of a species and the elasticity of $\lambda$ to aquatic or terrestrial perturbations is robust to the large variation in species-specific vital rates seen in amphibian matrix models.

An additional limitation of this study arises from the manner in which we calculated habitat elasticities. In order to determine the elasticity for both the aquatic and terrestrial habitat of each species, we assumed that the adult and juvenile stage for all species used the terrestrial habitat only. However, for some species, such as $R$. catesbeiana, juveniles and adults may actually use the aquatic habitat to a greater degree than the terrestrial habitat. In these cases, although they are a relatively long-lived species, aquatic habitat perturbations may have a larger impact on $\lambda$ than longevity alone would predict. Therefore, it is important to consider the specific habitat requirements of the species of concern when the life history does not conform to a basic structure of aquatic larvae and terrestrial adults.

To date, no amphibian matrix model has included density-dependence in the terrestrial stage, although studies have illustrated the importance of terrestrial density
dependence in amphibians (Altwegg 2003, Harper and Semlitsch 2007). We might expect that as terrestrial habitat is destroyed, juvenile and adult amphibians would have to compete for limited space in higher densities, leading to decreases in survivorship. Our simulations provide a simplistic view of how terrestrial habitat destruction might affect amphibian population growth rates and equilibrium population sizes. Further studies are needed to determine the importance of density dependence in the terrestrial habitat, and how the shape of density dependent vital rate functions varies with habitat alterations.

The rapid decline of amphibian populations across the globe necessitates further investigation into not only the causes of these declines, but ways to prevent or reverse the trends. The connection between amphibian longevity and sensitivity towards survivorship in particular habitat-types provides an important first step to understanding potential causes and solutions for declining amphibian populations. For example, matrix population model projections for the threatened long-lived California tiger salamander, *Ambystoma californiens*, revealed that conservation efforts should focus on the critical terrestrial habitat around natal ponds (Trenham and Shaffer 2005). Our quantitative synthesis suggests that similar recommendations are likely for other long-lived amphibians. When demographic data are not available for a rapidly declining species, a good rule of thumb for conservation can be gained by understanding the relationship between longevity and habitat sensitivity. Rapid assessment of the habitats most critical for protection or restoration based on the longevity of the species may help to slow the decline of the species while buying time to collect demographic data and construct more detailed population viability analysis models.
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Table 1.1: Species included in our study, their source, longevity, and whether density dependence was included, or where the data to create density dependent functions was obtained. * indicate studies not used in meta-analysis (see Methods). For those species with “Common” listed under the density dependence column, it is known that density dependence is common in larval life stages, however species specific estimates of density dependent larval survival were insufficient in the literature to create density-dependent larval survivorship functions. “Not Found” in the density dependence column means that we were unable to locate any studies in the literature that addressed the presence or absence of density dependence for that species.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Matrix longevity</th>
<th>Independent longevity</th>
<th>Independent longevity source</th>
<th>Density dependence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambystoma californiense</em></td>
<td>Trenham and Shaffer 2005</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Max: 11, Average: 6</td>
<td>Trenham et al. 2000</td>
<td>Included in model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambystoma jeffersonianum</em></td>
<td>Rubbo et al. 2006</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brodman 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambystoma jeffersonianum</em></td>
<td>Mullin and Klueh 2009*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambystoma maculatum</em></td>
<td>Vonesh and De la Cruz 2002</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Max: 10, Average: 6</td>
<td>Russell et al. 1996</td>
<td>Included in model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambystoma opacum</em></td>
<td>Taylor and Scott 1997</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Average: 11.3</td>
<td>Snider and Bowler 1992</td>
<td>Included in model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo americanus</em></td>
<td>Rustigian 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Average: 5</td>
<td>Bowler 1975, Zug 1993</td>
<td>Brockelman 1969*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo boreas</em></td>
<td>Biek et al. 2002</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Average: 6</td>
<td>Bowler 1975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo boreas</em></td>
<td>Vonesh and De la Cruz 2002</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average: 6</td>
<td>Bowler 1975</td>
<td>Included in model (for <em>B. americanus</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo marinus</em> native</td>
<td>Lampo and De Leo 1998</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Max: 15 average: 5</td>
<td>Tyler 1999</td>
<td>Calculated from data given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo marinus</em> invasive</td>
<td>Lampo and De Leo 1998</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Max: 15 average: 5</td>
<td>Tyler 1999</td>
<td>Calculated from data given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo calamita</em></td>
<td>Beebee et al. 1996</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Average: 7</td>
<td>Korky and Webb 1999</td>
<td>Tejado and Reques 1992*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo calamita</em></td>
<td>Stevens and Bagguette 2008*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Average: 7</td>
<td>Korky and Webb 1999</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bufo houstonensis</em></td>
<td>Hattfield et al. 2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Max: 4</td>
<td>Snider and Bowler 1992</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Colostethus stepheni</em></td>
<td>Funk and Mills 2003</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Geocrinia alba</em></td>
<td>Conroy and Brook 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Geocrinia vitellina</em></td>
<td>Conroy and Brook 2003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemisus marmoratus</em></td>
<td>Grafe et al. 2004</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated from data given*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hyla versicolor</em></td>
<td>Rustigian 2003</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Max: 7</td>
<td>Snider and Bowler 1992</td>
<td>Resetarits et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leiopelma hamiltoni</em></td>
<td>Tocher et al. 2006</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Max: 30</td>
<td>Tocher et al. 2006</td>
<td>No estimates available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pelobates fuscus</em></td>
<td>Hels and Nachman 2002</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Max: 11</td>
<td>Goin et al. 1978</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudacris triseriata</em></td>
<td>Rustigian 2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Max: 4 average: 3</td>
<td>Whiting 2004</td>
<td>Smith 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana catesbeiana</em></td>
<td>Doubledee et al. 2003</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Average: 10</td>
<td>Zug 1993</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana catesbeiana</em></td>
<td>Govidarajulu et al. 2005*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Average: 10</td>
<td>Zug 1993</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana luteiventris</em></td>
<td>McCaffery and Maxell</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Max: 7 Average: 6</td>
<td>Reaser 2000</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana muscosa</em></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Average: 14</td>
<td>Matthews and Miaud 2007</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana temporaria</em></td>
<td>Biek et al. 2002</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average: 5</td>
<td>Guarino et al. 2008</td>
<td>Riis 1991 (appendix)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana aurora draytonii</em></td>
<td>Doubledee et al. 2003</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Average: 9</td>
<td>Jennings and Hayes 1990</td>
<td>Calef 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana aurora draytonii</em></td>
<td>Biek et al. 2002</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Average: 9</td>
<td>Jennings and Hayes 1990</td>
<td>Calef 1973*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triturus cristatus</em></td>
<td>Griffiths 2004</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Max: 14 Average: 5</td>
<td>Francillon-Vieillot et al. 1990</td>
<td>Not found</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.1: a) Results of elasticity analyses of density independent matrix population models. The elasticity of population growth rate to vital rates associated with the aquatic habitat decreases with the longevity of the species. The relationship remains significant even when the longest-lived species is removed. b) Relationship between the longevity of amphibian species and the elasticity of the population growth rate to changes in vital rates associated with the terrestrial habitat.
Figure 1.2: a) Change in \( \lambda \) over a range of % decreases in larval mortality that might be expected from carbaryl contamination in the aquatic habitat b) Relationship between the rate at which the population growth rate of each species decreases over a range of larval mortality (slope from each species in a) and the longevity of the species. c) Change in \( \lambda \) over a range in % decreases in adult mortality that might be expected from terrestrial habitat loss d) Relationship between the rate at which the population growth rate of each species decreases over a range of adult mortality (slope from each species in c) and the longevity of the species. Numbers in parentheses after each species indicates the longevity in years.
Figure 1.3: Results of simulations on simultaneous exposure to mortality in both the aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Dashed lines and open symbols represent an expected change in $\lambda$ if simultaneous effects of increasing larval and adult mortalities are additive. Closed symbols and solid lines represent the observed change in $\lambda$ when matrix models were re-analyzed including both perturbations. *P. triseriata* (longevity=2 years) and *R. temporaria* (longevity=4 years) are representative of short-lived amphibians, while *A. tigrinum* (longevity=10 years) and *A. maculatum* (longevity=9 years) are representative of long-lived species.
Figure 1.4: The percent change in equilibrium density for density-dependent short-lived (n=7) and long-lived species (n=7) experiencing a 50% decrease in adult (terrestrial) or larval (aquatic) survivorship (these decreases would be expected to result from terrestrial habitat loss and carbaryl contamination respectively). ***denotes significant difference in mean values between aquatic and terrestrial perturbations. Error bars represent standard deviation.
Chapter 2

The ratio of aquatic to terrestrial habitat alters the role of a top-predatory salamander in pond food webs
Chapter 2 currently submitted for publication and is under review.

Abstract

A wide variety of species use distinct habitat types throughout their life-cycle, switching among them through a process known as an Ontogenetic Niche Shift (ONS). Standard metacommunity theory is inadequate for capturing the complexities of community interactions for ONS species by only considering spatial connections among one habitat. We suggest using the ratios of habitat types as a predictor variable for species with ONS to examine the influence of landscape structure on those species’ abundances and interspecific interactions. We surveyed *Ambystoma maculatum*, a salamander with predaceous aquatic larvae and terrestrial adults, as well as the species richness of their prey, in ponds embedded within landscapes of different Aquatic to Terrestrial Ratios (ATRs). Structural equation modeling revealed that landscapes with higher ATRs had fewer larval *A. maculatum*, and that aquatic species richness was not directly influenced by ATR; richness was instead indirectly influenced through the ATR’s direct effect on *A. maculatum*. In contrast to standard metacommunity expectations, this predator had a stronger influence on prey richness in ponds in more isolated (low ATR) landscapes. This study also highlights an important consequence of landscape changes by altering the ratios of habitat types, which can disrupt the interactions of species with ONS.
Introduction

Landscapes are inherently heterogeneous, comprising resources distributed unevenly among several habitat types (Risser et al. 1984, Turner 1989, Danielson 1991). Most efforts to examine the influence of spatial processes on species interactions implicitly or explicitly assume that species in communities interact within relatively homogeneous discrete patches that are interconnected by dispersal (i.e., a metacommunity) (Leibold et al. 2004). However, interactions among species within a given landscape are often not confined to just one habitat type (Polis et al. 1997). When species require the use of multiple distinct habitat types, both regional processes (dispersal) and local processes (trophic interactions, environmental variables) are influenced by the juxtaposition of habitats within the landscape. As a result, when species that utilize multiple habitat types are common, the assumptions of standard metacommunity theory may inadequately capture the complexities of community interactions at the landscape level (Knight et al. 2005, McCoy et al. 2009).

Species with ontogenetic niche shifts (ONS) often require two (or more) distinct habitat types during their life-history (Wilbur 1980). For example, many species of insects (e.g., Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Diptera, Odonata), amphibians, and fishes, among others, utilize one habitat type for larval growth and then transition (e.g., via metamorphosis) into a separate habitat for continued growth, dispersal, and reproduction. Species with ONS may occupy different trophic roles in each habitat/life-stage they occupy (e.g., herbivore in larval habitat, predator in adult habitat), however, growth and survival in each habitat differentially contribute to the overall population dynamics of the species (Wilbur 1980). Consequently, the abundance of individuals in one habitat
depends upon the availability of both essential habitat types (Chase and Leibold 2003). Examining the influence of spatial processes on species with an ONS thus requires consideration of both habitats they require. Furthermore, the proportional availability of either habitat type may shift patterns of abundance of the ONS species, altering their interactions with other species in the food web.

We propose an approach that explicitly estimates the ratio of essential habitat types required by the species within a landscape to capture the effects of spatial processes on the dynamics of species with ONS. Because species with an ONS require different habitats for larval and adult growth, the population growth of the species is influenced by both the availability of larval habitat ($H_l$) and adult habitat ($H_a$) in the landscape, and the survival of individuals within those habitats (Wilbur 1980). Thus, if one habitat is particularly limiting, this will restrict the abundance of individuals of a species with ONS in the other habitat the species occupies, limiting the overall population size. Importantly, the limiting habitat type for a population is not necessarily the habitat with the lowest abundance in the landscape. The population growth rate ($\lambda$) of the species depends upon survivorship and growth of individuals in both $H_l$ and $H_a$, and the elasticity (i.e., relative importance) of a given life stage to overall $\lambda$ (Caswell 2001). Therefore, the limiting habitat for the population depends on $H_l: H_a$ ratio as well as the importance of each habitat to overall population growth rate.

To estimate the relative contribution of survivorship in each habitat type—the ‘habitat elasticity’—to $\lambda$ we can sum all the elasticities of stages that occur in $H_l$ (e.g., egg survivorship + larval survivorship = $E_l$) and all the elasticities of stages that occur in $H_a$ (e.g., juvenile survivorship + adult survivorship = $E_a$). Consequently, the ratio of the
two habitats, \( H_l \) and \( H_a \), can have differential influences on species with ONS depending upon the values of \( E_l \) and \( E_a \). When species have an ONS, classifying patches according to their degree of isolation from one another as is typically done in metacommunity theory (Leibold et al. 2004), may not provide the complete story. If a species has a considerably higher \( E_a \) than \( E_l \), its abundance in \( H_l \) will be more a function of the availability of \( H_a \) than any feature of \( H_l \). As a result, the interactions of that species with other species in \( H_l \) (e.g., competition, predation) will be strongly influenced by the \( H_a:H_l \) ratio, rather than more traditionally considered metacommunity features such as patch isolation.

Here, we examine the effects of a top predator with an ONS on the structure of food webs in small freshwater ponds that vary in the ratio of habitat availability. The top predators in small ponds without fish include larvae of long-lived salamanders (Holomuzki et al. 1994, Davic and Welsh 2004) and odonates (McPeek 1990, Batzer and Wissinger 1996), which often spend a significant part of their lives as adults in the terrestrial habitat. If survivorship in the terrestrial habitat represents a significant contribution to the populations of these top predators (high \( E_a \)), species interactions within the ponds may be more strongly influenced by adjacent terrestrial habitats than the distribution of the ponds themselves. Although previous studies have shown that the species richness of invertebrates and amphibians in ponds depends to some extent on the degree of isolation within a metacommunity (McCauley 2006, Howeth and Leibold 2008) and the interaction between dispersal and predator dynamics (Chase et al. 2009, Chase et al. 2010), here, we suggest that top predator species with ONS are expected to respond
differently to processes of habitat isolation, such that patterns might not conform to the expectations from traditional metacommunity theory.

In east-central Missouri (USA), we examined the influence of larval and adult habitat availability (aquatic to terrestrial habitat ratios; ATR) on a wide-spread, long-lived salamander, *Ambystoma maculatum* (Caudata: Ambystomatidae), which spends its short larval stage in small temporary ponds, and its long-lived adult stage in the surrounding terrestrial matrix. Larval *A. maculatum* remain in fishless ponds for 4-6 months, where they are typically top predators, exerting strong pressure on the abundance and diversity of other amphibians and invertebrates in the food web (Freda 1983, Nyman 1991). However, because *A. maculatum* are long-lived as adults (average life-span 7-15 years; Flageole and Leclair 1992), they likely have large E_a to the terrestrial habitat (e.g., Biek et al. 2002, Vonesh and De LaCruz 2002, Burgett et al. unpublished manuscript). Therefore, we hypothesized that the abundance of *A. maculatum* at focal ponds would increase in landscapes with abundant terrestrial relative to aquatic habitat (low ATR). We quantified the ATR surrounding 42 focal ponds, and examined the relationships between the ATR, the abundance of *A. maculatum*, and the richness of other amphibians and invertebrate prey at focal ponds. We used structural equation modeling to disentangle the direct and indirect effects of the landscape on aquatic species richness.

**Methods**

*Pond selection and ATR*

We identified 42 focal ponds in eastern Missouri that were fishless, of moderate size (between 50m^2 and 275m^2), with at least 15% tree canopy cover over the pond, and which maintained permanent standing water during most years. For each focal pond,
there was at least 40% forested habitat [preferred habitat for adult *A. maculatum* (Petranka 1998)] within a 500m radius around each focal pond (determined using ARC GIS software and land cover data from Missouri Spatial Data Information Service [MSDIS]). In our choice of focal ponds, we minimized abiotic differences such as canopy cover, size, and water chemistry.

For each focal pond, we calculated the aquatic to terrestrial ratio (ATR) to examine the relationship between landscape structure, *A. maculatum* abundance in focal ponds, and the richness of the aquatic invertebrate community. We classified the terrestrial habitat within 500m of the focal pond by using a combination of ground-truthing, and Arc GIS land cover data from MSDIS. The land cover data identified 15 categories of ground cover ranging from impervious urban streets to deciduous forest. For this study, we considered the available terrestrial habitat as deciduous forest, deciduous woody herbaceous, and evergreen forest (Vasconsuelos and Calhoun 2004). Aquatic habitat within the 500m radius of the focal pond was largely determined by ground truthing, as most small ponds did not appear in the land cover data.

The average dispersal distance of *A. maculatum* has been shown in several studies to be less than approximately 400 m (Phillips 1989, Smith and Green 2005) with a maximum dispersal of 756m away from a focal pond (Madison 1997). Therefore we calculated the ATR within a 500m radius around each focal pond as a reasonable estimate of the ATR a population of salamanders would experience. For analyses presented in this paper, we restricted our definition of terrestrial and aquatic habitat to only those habitats that *A. maculatum* would typically utilize, excluding agricultural, urban, and open grassland for the terrestrial habitat, and ponds, lakes, and rivers with fish for the aquatic
habitat. However, the results from this study are robust to changes in the definition of ATR; the results are qualitatively the same when we relaxed the definition of ATR to include all aquatic habitats (Burgett and Chase unpublished data). Given the relatively small amount of aquatic habitat compared to the amount of terrestrial habitat surrounding focal ponds, terrestrial habitat availability drives the ATR values in this study. However, we advocate for the use of the habitat ratios approach regardless of $H_a$ or $H_l$ availability within a landscape, since ONS species may respond differently depending upon its $E_a$ or $E_l$.

**Pond Surveys**

In late February to early March in Missouri, *A. maculatum* lay conspicuous egg masses, which remain intact for approximately 3 to 4 weeks prior to hatching (depending upon water temperatures) (Sexton et al. 1990). We conducted egg mass surveys following standard methods (Crouch and Patton 2000) in 30 ponds in early March 2007 and an additional 12 ponds in early March of 2008. We assured that all adult *A. maculatum* had left the pond for at least a week prior to our surveys to ensure accurate egg mass counts.

The aquatic community was sampled twice (mid-May and late-June) to capture phenological differences among species. To sample macro-invertebrates and amphibian larvae, we used standard box sampling methods (Turner and Trexler 1997) by deploying a 500 cm diameter cylinder thrust into the soil. The contents of the cylinder were exhaustively sampled with a net (0.33mm mesh size) until 10 sweeps turned up no organisms. This process was repeated three times, stratified across each pond. In each cylinder, amphibian larvae were collected, identified, sorted into size categories, counted
and released. Macroinvertebrates were stored in 70% ethanol and later identified in the lab, using a dissecting microscope and appropriate keys when necessary. Zooplankton (including cladocerans, copepods, and rotifers) were sampled by collecting 1L of water at 5 random locations and depths from each pond, filtered through a 80 μm mesh zooplankton net, and preserved in acid Lugol’s solution for later identification and enumeration under a dissecting microscope.

Statistical analysis

To examine the direct effect of ATR on *A. maculatum* egg mass abundance (an indicator of both their breeding adult and larval abundance), we square root transformed ATR and egg mass data to comply with assumptions of normality and used linear regression analysis in SYSTAT version 12.0. We also examined the relationship between *A. maculatum* egg mass abundance and ATR on macro-invertebrate species richness using linear regressions in SYSTAT version 12.0. To partition the direct and indirect effects of ATR and *A. maculatum* egg mass abundance on macro-invertebrate species richness, we used structural equation modeling (SEM) in AMOS 5.0.1 (Amos Development Corp. 2003). The accuracy of the model was examined using $\chi^2$ values as well as AIC and BIC indices (Akaike's information criterion, Bayesian information criterion). Path coefficients were evaluated using $z$ tests. Given our particular interest in spatial processes influencing *A. maculatum* abundance and their potential effects on prey species richness, we focused on a simple model including *A. maculatum* egg mass density, the ATR, and prey species richness. We present other models that include the effects of abiotic factors on prey species richness and *A. maculatum* egg mass density in the Appendix.
Results

The number of *Ambystoma maculatum* egg masses in focal ponds significantly increased as the ATR of the focal pond decreased (Fig. 2.1a, linear regression, $R^2=0.23$, $P=0.002$, $F=8.03$). Macro-invertebrate species richness significantly decreased as *A. maculatum* egg mass abundance increased (Fig. 2.1b, linear regression, $R^2=0.15$; $P=0.02$). Macro-invertebrate species richness was not influenced by ATR ($R^2=0.02$; $P=0.37$). Our base-line SEM (structural equation modeling) excluded the path between ATR and macro-invertebrate species richness, due to the non-significant relationship between the two endogenous variables. The model yielded a $\chi^2 = 0.77$ (Fig. 2, df=1, $P=0.38$) and AIC and BIC values suggesting adequate model fit (default model AIC=10.78 and BIC=19.09). The indirect effect of the aquatic to terrestrial ratio (ATR) on species richness yielded $R^2 = 0.12$. The $\chi^2$ did not improve with the addition of a path between ATR and macro-invertebrate species richness (saturated model: AIC=12.00, BIC=21.98), thus confirming that the original model was the most parsimonious. Abiotic factors such as pond size, canopy cover, and pH influenced species richness in the aquatic habitat and yielded adequate models, but inclusion of these variables into the model did not reduce the $\chi^2$ further (Online Resource 1). The $R^2$ value of overall aquatic species richness in the system improved to 0.37 with the addition of pH and canopy cover into the model, however, none of the adequate models containing abiotic factors included a direct effect of ATR on aquatic species richness.

Discussion

Overall, our results show that the abundance of *Ambystoma maculatum* present at a focal pond was lower as the aquatic to terrestrial ratio (ATR) of a site decreased.
Furthermore, the increased abundance of larval *A. maculatum* at ponds with a low ATR was negatively related to the richness of species in the aquatic food web, suggesting their strong control over the food web. In all, the species richness of other amphibians, macro-invertebrates, and zooplankton appeared to be indirectly influenced by the effect of ATR on *A. maculatum*, but not directly by ATR itself.

The increased abundance of larval *A. maculatum* in isolated ponds is most likely explained by their complex life cycle and strong dependence on the terrestrial habitat as long-lived adults. Population growth rates of long-lived species are typically less sensitive to juvenile life-stages (Heppell et al. 2000, Seather and Bakke 2000, Forbis and Doak 2004). For long-lived amphibians which only utilize aquatic habitats for a brief larval stage, their populations should be relatively less sensitive to larval than adult survivorship (Biek et al. 2002, Vonesh and De la Cruz 2002), and as a result most limited by the availability of terrestrial relative to aquatic habitats. Indeed, we used the demographic matrix model presented in Karracker et al. (2008) to calculate $E_l$ (0.275) and $E_a$ (0.587) values for *A. maculatum*, and we found that processes in the terrestrial habitat ($E_a$) have a larger proportional effect on $\lambda$ than processes in the aquatic habitat ($E_l$). As a consequence, we suggest that landscapes with higher ATR, and thus higher availability of larval (pond) habitat, will have a negligible influence on overall population size of *A. maculatum*. Instead, in lower ATR landscapes, with higher inter-pond isolation, a similar density of adult *A. maculatum* oviposit in fewer ponds, concentrating larval *A. maculatum* relative to higher ATR landscapes.

The increase in larval *A. maculatum* in ponds with a low ATR likely led to a significant decrease in macro-invertebrate species richness in those ponds. Larval *A.
maculatum are typically top predators in these ponds, consuming a wide range of prey species throughout their larval development (Freda 1983). Thus, higher densities of larval A. maculatum reduce the overall species richness of ponds in low ATR landscapes. While traditional metacommunity theory would predict similar results of lower species richness in habitats that are more isolated (reviewed in Cadotte 2006), when predation is strong, species richness of prey can be higher in more isolated habitats (Sheffer et al. 2006, Chase et al. 2010). Here, we find that isolated habitats have higher predation and resulting lower prey species richness, most likely a result of the ONS of the top predator and its extensive reliance on the terrestrial habitat.

Although our study demonstrates one specific example of when the availability of different habitats can influence the abundance of a species and the strength of its’ interactions in a metacommunity, there are many examples where the ratios of habitat availability may play a critical role in trophic interactions of other species with ONS. For example, many lepidopterans (butterflies and moths) use host-plants in one habitat type for larval development, and other resources in a separate habitat for adult persistence. The well-studied metacommunity interactions among the Glanville fritillary butterfly, Melitaea cinxia, might provide an exemplary system to explore this question, as it requires larval host-plants (Plantago lanceolata and Veronica spicata) which occur in restricted meadows (van Nouhuys and Hanski 2002, van Nouhuys et al. 2003), while adult resources are concentrated in adjacent woodland flowering plants (van Nouhuys and Hanski 2002). If adult survivorship is important to the overall population dynamics of this species, we might expect that M. cinxia larvae will be more concentrated, and exert greater damage, on the host plants in landscapes where the availability of larval host
plants are less abundant. Another example of where this effect might influence the strength of food web interactions is in the well-studied case of bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*), which require both lentic and lotic habitat in lakes (Osenberg et al. 1988, Werner and Hall 1988); the influence of bluegill on prey in each habitat type could depend critically on the bathymetry of the lake and the resulting proportional availability of lentic:lotic habitat.

By explicitly considering the ratios of habitat availability for species with ONS, we can make more specific predictions about the influence of landscape structure on species abundances and the strengths of their interspecific interactions. In this paper, we focus on a top-predator with an ONS, and its influence on its prey community. Importantly, however, there are often many species with ONS that co-occur, and thus will be variably influenced by the ratios of available habitat. In the pond communities we studied here, many of the species of prey also have ONS and utilize terrestrial habitats in their adult stage (e.g., several insects and anurans). Therefore, the influence of predation in the aquatic habitat on the populations of these prey species with ONS will depend on the relative importance of their survivorship in aquatic and terrestrial habitats. For example, we might expect that the influence of predation by larval *A. maculatum* would have a larger influence on ONS species whose population dynamics are more limited by aquatic habitats (e.g., short-lived species) than on species with an ONS whose population dynamics are more limited by terrestrial habitats (e.g., longer-lived species).

The alterations of habitats by humans, most notably habitat loss and the resulting fragmentation, have become one of the greatest threats to global biodiversity (Fahrig 2003, Foley et al. 2005, Fisher and Lindenmayer 2007). Our study emphasizes an
important, but underappreciated, consequence of habitat destruction; potentially altering the ratios of qualitatively distinct habitat types. For example, the draining of wetlands and conversion of forests to agricultural or urban land can dramatically alter the ratios of aquatic to terrestrial habitats in a landscape, which in turn can alter the relative abundance of species and the strengths of their interactions with other species in the food web. Progress in understanding the ultimate consequences of habitat alterations will necessitate ecologists to embrace, rather than avoid, the heterogeneities observed in ecological systems, and to break down the barriers of studying different types of ecosystems, such as aquatic versus terrestrial ecosystems, without considering their interconnections (Knight et al. 2005, McCoy et al. 2009)
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Figure 2.1: a) The relationship between the ratio of aquatic to terrestrial habitats of focal ponds and the abundance of *Ambystoma maculatum* egg masses m$^{-2}$. (both variables are square root transformed to improve normality, n=42). b) The relationship between *A. maculatum* density and the species richness of other amphibians, macro-invertebrates, and zooplankton in focal ponds (n=42).
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**Fig. 2.2:** SEM model showing the indirect effect of aquatic to terrestrial ratio on species richness. Path coefficients are standardized values. $r^2$ values represent the proportion of variance explained by upstream factors.
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Appendix:

Table 2.1: Table 1: Models that included abiotic variables. The simple model is nested within these models. An X indicates that the model includes an arrow from the factor going to either species richness or *A. maculatum*. An * indicates an adequate model fit to the data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Affecting Spp richness</th>
<th>Affecting A. mac</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Probability level</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>CAIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.645</td>
<td>33.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH*</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>16.905</td>
<td>30.213</td>
<td>38.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy cover*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.322</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>19.322</td>
<td>30.967</td>
<td>37.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>canopy cover*</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>19.076</td>
<td>32.385</td>
<td>40.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pond size</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>32.44</td>
<td>47.416</td>
<td>56.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pond size</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.196</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>22.196</td>
<td>35.505</td>
<td>43.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll A*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>15.788</td>
<td>27.433</td>
<td>34.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>canopy cover + pH*</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>29.125</td>
<td>45.761</td>
<td>55.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH + chlor</td>
<td>pHX CH X</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.171</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>33.171</td>
<td>48.143</td>
<td>57.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy cover+ chlor *</td>
<td>CC X CHX</td>
<td>CCX</td>
<td>4.544</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.474</td>
<td>24.544</td>
<td>41.179</td>
<td>51.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All variable model</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69.595</td>
<td>94.549</td>
<td>109.549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Appendix Discussion:** Canopy cover, pH, and Chlorophyll A had a significant effect on overall aquatic species richness ($R^2 = .359, p > .0001$; $R^2 = .107, p = .042$; $R^2 = .001, p = .864$ respectively). Although adding these abiotic explanatory variables into the model yielded significant models that adequately fit the data, they did not lower the $\chi^2$ value of the original simplified model significantly. Most importantly, none of the models that included a direct effect of ATR on species richness were supported, further demonstrating the importance of salamander predation in this system. Abiotic factors do help to explain an additional 25% of the variation in aquatic species richness yielding an overall $R^2 = 0.37$ for this observational study.
Chapter 3

Population-level response of two anurans (*Hyla versicolor* and *Acris crepitans*) to an aquatic predator
Abstract

Interspecific interactions (i.e., predation) shape the structure of communities and can influence the population dynamics of the species involved. For stage-structured organisms, the influence of one species on the population dynamics of another depends on which stage class the interaction influences, the magnitude of the effect on the survivorship, growth or fecundity of that stage class, and the relative sensitivity of the population growth rate to changes in those vital rates. For amphibians, most studies have focused only on the interactions between species in the aquatic habitat and their subsequent effects on larval survivorship. To understand the population-level influence of an aquatic predator on amphibian larvae, their effect on survivorship in the larval (aquatic) habitat must be combined with demographic information across the rest of the life cycle. In this study, we followed the population-level effects of an aquatic predator (Ambystoma maculatum) on two species of Hylidae frogs with different longevities (Hyla versicolor and Acris crepitans). My quantitative synthesis in Chapter One suggests that the population growth rate of longer-lived species tends to be less sensitive to fecundity and juvenile life stages, leading to the hypothesis that an aquatic predator would likely have larger population level impacts on the shorter-lived species (A. crepitans). We found that the population growth rate of A. crepitans had a higher elasticity to the aquatic stage than the longer-lived species, H. versicolor. The presence of predatory A. maculatum larvae decreased the projected population growth rate of both species. However, over the three study-years, we found no significant effect of predator presence on overall population size of either species. The discrepancy between model projections and actual changes in population size likely resulted from overestimation of larval A. maculatum
impacts on larval survivorship, and climatic variation between years that was not reflected in the demographic matrix models. Although interspecific interactions between larval *A. maculatum* and its prey *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* led to large decreases in larval survivorship, this did not translate into differences in population size at experimental ponds. Therefore, to fully understand the impacts of interspecific interactions on the population dynamics of amphibians, one must consider the entire life cycle of the species.
Introduction

The relationship between a predator and its prey is a fundamental ecological interaction that shapes the structure of communities (May 1973, Paine 1980). Often, the relationship between predators and their prey can drive the population regulation of either or both species causing cyclical dynamics in abundances (e.g.: lynx and hare, MacLulich 1937, voles and lemmings, Elton 1942), increases in predator abundances (Gause 1934), and/or decreases and even extinction of prey species (Huffaker and Kennett 1956, Estes and Palmisano 1974). Countless studies on community interactions document the effects of a predator on prey species, and are especially common in aquatic and amphibian community studies (e.g: Morin 1985, Morin 1986, Wilbur and Fauth 1990, Welborn et al. 1996).

Understanding how predation shapes population dynamics is complicated for amphibians, since they occupy many different trophic positions in aquatic systems from primary consumer to top predator (Welborn et al. 1996, Altig et al. 2007). Additionally, most amphibians have a complex life cycle in which they use the aquatic habitat for larval growth and development and then metamorphose to spend their juvenile and adult stages in the terrestrial habitat (Wilbur 1980). Therefore, strong interspecific interactions in the aquatic habitat may not necessarily translate into dramatic consequences for the population dynamics of either predator or prey species (Wilbur 1980, Burgett chapter 1). Although the effects of aquatic predators on larval amphibians has been well documented (e.g.: Brodie and Formanowicz 1983, Morin 1983), to my knowledge, no studies to date have examined the influence of an interspecific interaction on the population-level responses of amphibians with complex life cycles.
Matrix population models integrate the effects of environmental factors (e.g., presence/absence of a predator) on vital rates across the life cycle of an organism, and can be used to project population growth (Caswell 2001). Amphibian populations are typically stage-structured, making matrix population models ideal for studying the potential population level effects of interspecific interactions on particular species. In addition to stage-structure and environmental factors, matrix population models can include a variety of other biological features, such as temporal variation and density dependence. It is typically thought that density-independent models that explicitly incorporate environmental factors provide a meaningful way to integrate vital rates and assess the relative importance of environmental factors on populations. However, this assumption is rarely tested (Crone et al. 2011). In this study, we examine the validity of our models by comparing matrix projections (deterministic population growth rate) to observed changes in population size during the same time interval in which the model parameters were estimated. If these do not match, then it suggests that the model is too simplistic or the parameters were poorly estimated.

Elasticity analysis can be used to examine how small changes in vital rates (i.e.: larval survivorship) influence the overall population growth rate ($\lambda$) of the species (deKroon et al. 1986, Caswell 2001). A wide variety of species, including amphibians, demonstrate a similar pattern: as the longevity of a species increases, the population growth rate becomes less sensitive to perturbations in early life stages (Burgett, Chapter 1, Seather and Bakke 2000, Heppell et al. 2000, Forbis and Doak 2004). Thus, amphibians that are short-lived may be particularly vulnerable to threats that occur on the larval stage in the aquatic habitat, while longer-lived amphibians may experience more
pronounced population declines in response to perturbations in the terrestrial habitat (Burgett, Chapter 1). Therefore, we expect that predation on larvae will have more dramatic effects on populations of shorter-lived amphibians.

In this study, our primary objective is to examine the effects of an aquatic predator, *Ambystoma maculatum*, on the population dynamics of two anurans with disparate life histories. Throughout much of eastern and Midwestern North America, larval spotted salamanders (*Ambystoma maculatum*) and other related species are often top predators in fishless aquatic habitats such as ponds or wetlands (Freda 1983, Nyman 1991). Larval *A. maculatum* can reach relatively high densities (Burgett, Chapter 2), and prey upon the larvae of two species of anurans in the family Hylidae: Grey treefrogs (*Hyla versicolor*) and Blanchard’s cricket frog (*Acris crepitans blanchardi*) (Burgett, unpublished data). Large-scale surveys of fishless ponds throughout eastern Missouri revealed a decrease in *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* larval abundance in isolated ponds with dense *A. maculatum* larvae, although night call surveys indicated the presence of substantial numbers of breeding adult *H. versicolor* at those ponds (Burgett, unpublished data).

*Hyla versicolor* has a larval period of three to four months, can live between seven and nine years as adults, and utilizes the terrestrial habitat extensively (Snider and Bowler 1992, Wright and Wright 1995). *A. crepitans* also has a larval period of approximately four months, but a much shorter adult stage (~eight months) (Burkett 1984, McCallum et al. 2011). Given the disparate life history strategies of *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans*, we hypothesize that the increase in predation by larval *A. maculatum* will have a larger effect on the population dynamics of *A. crepitans* relative to *H.*
versicolor. Alternatively, if predation rates are relatively low, or if predation is less important than other environmental factors, then the presence of a predator might have little effect on population growth rates of these species.

To examine the population level response of H. versicolor and A. crepitans to larval A. maculatum predation, we constructed 12 experimental ponds at Washington University’s Tyson Research Center (TRC) and introduced high densities of larval A. maculatum to half of the ponds. Using mark recapture techniques, we monitored stage-specific vital rates for both species as well as overall population size to determine the effects of this aquatic predator on the overall population dynamics of H. versicolor and A. crepitans. We test our model by independently measuring observed population size over the study interval.

Methods

Experimental set-up

We created 12 experimental ponds (6m diameter, 1m deep) at Tyson Research Center during the winter of 2008. Experimental ponds were located within an oak-hickory forest and were separated by at least 500m from each other and from any existing water bodies at Tyson Research Center, creating isolated ponds with reduced likelihood of migration between populations (Semlitsch 2002). Given the constraints of spacing within TRC, ten of the 12 ponds were located on ridgetops, while two of the 12 ponds were located along a valley bottom. One of the ponds located within the valley became too close to other water bodies and experienced a large influx of migration by Acris crepitans following the flooding events of the nearby Meramec river in 2009 and 2010. We removed this pond (a predator-present pond) from analyses since the influx of
individuals could potentially inflate population sizes of both *A. crepitans* and *H. versicolor*.

After ponds were excavated, we smoothed and contoured the banks of the ponds, lined them with two inches of gravel, geotextile fabric, and a polyethylene liner to ensure their permanence (PPL-30, BTL Liners Inc.). On the berm above each pond, we dug a two-foot wide trench and secured the edges of the liner into the trench to prevent the liner from slipping during filling. We then spread two inches of topsoil over the liner to allow for submergent plant growth. We filled all ponds by 21 March 2008, with well water from Tyson Research Center using a water hauling truck. We inoculated the experimental ponds with concentrated densities of phytoplankton and zooplankton collected from five ponds at TRC. Both submerged (n=6 species) and emergent macrophytes (n=10 species) were added to all ponds over the course of two years. We allowed macroinvertebrates to naturally colonize ponds. Throughout the spring and summer of 2008, we introduced large numbers of egg masses, larvae, and breeding adults of eight common species of anurans (*Hyla versicolor*, *Acris crepitans*, *Pseudacris crucifer*, *P. triseriata*, *Rana sphenoecephala*, *R. clamitans*, *R. sylvatica*, and *Bufo americanus*) from existing ponds located at TRC to establish anuran populations.

We randomly assigned one of two treatments to each pond (predator presence or absence) and added *Ambystoma maculatum* egg masses to predator-present ponds on 8 April 2008. In order to achieve the high density of *A. maculatum* egg masses/m² observed in isolated ponds in Missouri, we added 75 egg masses to each of the six predator-present ponds. Given the longevity and age to sexual maturity of *A. maculatum* (Flageole and Leclair 1992), we did not expect these populations to breed during the time-span of the
project, and thus maintained this treatment by adding 75 egg masses each spring. Although the ponds were designed to be isolated from natural ponds, eight of the 12 ponds received some natural recruitment by *A. maculatum* by 2011. To maintain the treatments, we searched all predator-absent ponds from late February to early April each year and removed any *A. maculatum* egg masses located. We also searched predator-present treatments prior to the addition of egg masses but after female oviposition was complete for the season, and subtracted the number of naturally recruited egg masses into the pond from the 75 added to maintain the treatment density.

**Demographic data collection**

We collected demographic data for both *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* in the presence and absence of larval *A. maculatum* to parameterize matrix population models. Although larval amphibians commonly show density-dependent survivorship (e.g. Van Buskirk and Smith 1991, Altwegg 2003, Trenham and Shaeffer 2005), the overall density of tadpoles of both species was substantially lower in the experimental ponds (average density of *H. versicolor* 0.488/m² in 2010 in predator-absent ponds, *A. crepitans* were extremely rare in dip net sweeps and chimney sampling of ponds, with only one individual ever detected during pond sampling throughout 2009-2011) than that found in established ponds both at Tyson Research Center and elsewhere in Missouri (average density of *H. versicolor* 1.36/m², of *A. crepitans* 0.7878/m²). The low abundance of both species in experimental ponds indicates that density-dependence is likely not a factor affecting the larval survivorship rates of either species during the time span of our data collection (Burgett and Lueder, unpublished data). Because populations of both *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* were recently established at the experimental ponds,
populations were likely still in the exponential growth phase. Therefore, we present only
density-independent population models for each species with and without predators.

*Clutch size*

Clutch size was determined by collecting amplexant pairs of both species from
experimental ponds, placing them overnight in terrariums (which contained a small
container of water for oviposition) located within the lab at Tyson Research Center, and
counting the total number of eggs laid the following morning. Egg masses and adult frogs
were returned to their respective ponds the following day. In 2010, we obtained a total of
12 *H. versicolor* amplexant pairs from predator free ponds and 13 amplexant pairs from
*A. maculatum* present ponds. Amplexant pairs of *A. crepitans* were more difficult to
collect due to their rarity within experimental ponds (average number of males was 3.8 at
experimental ponds) and we were only able to obtain four amplexant pairs at predator-
absent ponds, with only two of these pairs laying eggs in captivity. However, estimates of
clutch size for the two pairs was concordant with estimates of clutch size for the species
found in the literature (Livezey 1950). Finally, the average clutch size for each species
was determined by taking the mean number of eggs/egg mass for ponds with or without
*A. maculatum* (for *A. crepitans*, we averaged the number of eggs/egg mass for the two
clutches laid in captivity and used this estimate for both predator-present and absent
ponds). We incorporated an even sex ratio of 50% for egg masses within our female-
based matrix models.

*Egg survivorship*

We determined overall egg survivorship of *H. versicolor* in the laboratory using
egg masses collected from non-experimental ponds at TRC. We counted the number of
eggs within each mass, placed them in 10 gallon aquariums filled with well-water and either the presence or absence of larval *A. maculatum* (n=15 per treatment), and counted surviving tadpoles just after hatching (2 days later, approximately Gosner stage 20). Contamination of egg masses by bacteria or fungi within natural and experimental ponds can cause reductions in egg survivorship as well as water quality characteristics (Bugg and Trenham 2003). Additionally, *A. maculatum* larvae target tadpoles just as they are about to hatch, once embryos begin to elongate and move (Gosner stage 15), which can cause decreases in egg survivorship prior to actual hatching of eggs (Burgett and Lueder, unpublished data). Therefore, we determined a separate egg survivorship rate for both predator present and predator absent ponds by averaging survivorship rates for all 15 replicates. Unfortunately, we were unable to collect enough amplectant pairs of *A. crepitans* to conduct 15 replicates of egg survivorship experiments under the presence and absence of *A. maculatum*, however we were able to obtain estimates for egg survivorship from two replicates each of predator present and predator absent treatments.

**Larval survivorship**

We used three *in situ* cages (1m$^2$ x 1m deep with 1 mm mesh screening; e.g., Skelly 1995) in each experimental pond to determine larval survivorship of both *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans*; cages in the predator-present ponds were stocked with ambient densities of larval *A. maculatum*. Zooplankton were able to pass through the mesh of the cages and cages were open at the top to allow for colonization of macroinvertebrates at the start of the experiment. We stocked ten *H. versicolor* tadpoles and 5 *A. crepitans* tadpoles into each cage approximately one week after hatching (approximately Gosner stage 22), when they could be handled without causing additional
stress or mortality in tadpoles. The density of tadpoles was chosen because it approximates the naturally occurring densities of both species in the experimental ponds (for *A. crepitans* this was the density seen in predator free ponds). We removed tadpoles from the cages when their back legs emerged. A mesh lid was placed over the cages after two weeks to prevent any metamorphosed tadpoles from escaping the cages before being counted.

*Adult survivorship and growth*

To determine adult survivorship, we collected adult frogs of both species and used a mark recapture technique. We visited experimental ponds at night during peak activity times for *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* (humid or rainy nights, above ~10°C, with little wind) and collected all individuals present at the ponds. Most individuals collected were males, given the ease in locating these individuals, however females were often captured in or around the ponds edge through visual scanning. Additionally, in the spring of 2009, 10 PVC pipe refugia (Boughton et al. 2000) were placed around the ponds to aid in locating adults during the day. The PVC pipe samplers were readily colonized by *H. versicolor*.

When a frog was captured, it was placed individually into a sandwich size Ziploc bag and received a cohort and pond specific Visible Implant Elastomer (VIE) mark (Northwest Marine Technologies Inc.) beginning in the spring of 2009. In 2010 and 2011 we scanned all captured individuals for existing VIE tags using the purple wavelength detection light. If an individual was marked in a previous year, we recorded the VIE tag pattern and the individual received an additional specific mark to denote that it had been recaptured that year. Using the total number of individuals that were marked in year t,
we determined a survivorship rate for adults based on the number of individuals that were recaptured in year t+1. Therefore, we calculated an estimate of survivorship for each species when predators were present or absent between 2009 and 2010, and 2010 and 2011.

We used the mean adult *H. versicolor* survivorship rate from all predator-present treatments and both years to parameterize the *H. versicolor* predator-present model, and used the mean rate from predator-absent ponds to parameterize the *H. versicolor* control matrix. Given the large-scale nature of monitoring and marking individual frogs of two species at 12 experimental ponds, we were unable to individually mark each frog and instead used cohort and pond specific tags. This limited our ability to incorporate detection probabilities into our survivorship rates using traditional methods. One way to account for detection probabilities, would be to penalize adult survivorship (A) by the proportion of individuals that went undetected in a given year (ie: proportion of individuals that were marked in 2009, not recaptured in 2010, but were recaptured in 2011). In our study, no individuals went undetected. That is, all adults recaptured in 2011 that were originally marked in 2009 were also marked in 2010. However, since we had a low sample size available to quantify detection probability, we increased overall adult *H. versicolor* survivorship by 5%, simulating a more conservative approach than our perfect detection probability from recapture data.

*Hyla versicolor* adults that were two years of age or older showed different patterns of survivorship than first year adults, so an additional second year adult (A2) stage was added for this species. Because we had low sample size, we calculated the
survivorship rate for the A2 stage across both predator present and absent ponds (proportion of adults captured in 2010 that were recaptured again in 2011).

From 2009 to 2011, we captured and marked 653 adult *H. versicolor*, and 84 adult *A. crepitans*. We recaptured no adult *A. crepitans* individuals. We recaptured a total of 54 previously marked adult *H. versicolor* in 2010 and 2011. All of the recaptures occurred at the ponds where the individuals were originally marked, indicating limited dispersal between ponds.

**Juvenile survivorship**

The small size of VIE tags allowed us to mark recently metamorphosed juveniles of each species during the summer and fall of each season in order to estimate juvenile survivorship rates in a similar fashion to that of adult survivorship rates. Recently metamorphosed juveniles were collected from experimental ponds using visual surveys of pond edges in addition to dip net sweeps through the experimental ponds to obtain any individuals that had all four legs, but had not yet reabsorbed their tails. We limited the placement of VIE tags in juveniles to the back legs in order to reduce the potential damage to smaller front limbs. We marked 719 *H. versicolor* metamorphs and 88 *A. crepitans* metamorphs between 2009 and 2010. For *A. crepitans*, juvenile survivorship is based on recaptures in 2010 plus 5% to account for detection probabilities. The recapture rate of juvenile *H. versicolor* was extremely low (1 out of 719 marked juveniles < 0.001 survivorship), and thus we used estimates of juvenile survivorship from other studies of *H. versicolor* and similar species to set a more realistic, yet still very low, juvenile survivorship of 0.01 (Rustigian et al. 2003).

**Demographic matrix models**
Using the estimated parameters of tadpole, adult, and juvenile survivorship, clutch size and egg survivorship, we parameterized a basic two-stage demographic matrix model for *A. crepitans* with and without predators and a three-stage model for *H. versicolor* with and without predators (Figure 2.1). Matrix models were based on females, however adult survivorship rates were biased towards males given the propensity to collect more males than females. This provides a more conservative estimate of adult survivorship since male anurans tend to have higher mortality rates than females (Berven 1990). The fecundity term in all four matrix models is the product of clutch size, sex ratio, egg survivorship, and tadpole survivorship. Both *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* complete the larval life stage and metamorphose into juveniles within the first year, and thus we limited our matrix models to include just the juvenile and adult stage with the spring breeding season as time t and the following spring breeding season one year later as time t+1.

We calculated the population growth rate ($\lambda$) and elasticity matrix for each model following the methods outlined in Caswell (2001). We then compared the population growth rate of each species with and without predators for each species. Additionally, we calculated a habitat-specific elasticity value for both the aquatic and terrestrial habitat by summing the elasticities of vital rates that occurred in the aquatic habitat (egg and larval survivorship) and the terrestrial habitat (Juvenile and adult survivorships, clutch size) respectively. Egg and larval survivorship both occur in the aquatic habitat, however it is embedded within the fecundity term in our matrix model. Clutch size is often a product of resources available in the terrestrial habitat, therefore part of the fecundity term occurs in the terrestrial habitat, while part occurs in the aquatic habitat. Consequently, to obtain
a habitat specific elasticity value for the aquatic habitat, we separated elasticity values for each individual vital rate instead of each stage. The total elasticity value for the vital rates was then greater than 1 (whereas the total elasticity value for all stages is = 1). In order to determine the proportionate effect of that habitat on population growth, we divided the habitat specific total elasticity value by the total elasticity value of all vital rates combined.

**Overall population size**

Using the total number of individuals marked in a given year at predator present or absent ponds, we were able to obtain total population size estimates for both species in 2009, 2010, and 2011. We tested whether species, year, predator treatment or their interactions affected the population sizes of *Hyla versicolor* and *A. crepitans* from 2009 to 2011 using repeated measures ANOVA in Systat (version 11). Overall population sizes (count data) for each species were square root transformed to meet assumptions of normality.

**Results**

Population growth rates differed between species and treatments. *Hyla versicolor* populations are projected to grow faster than *A. crepitans*. Both species have higher projected rates of population growth in ponds with predators absent compared to those with *A. maculatum* (Figure 3.3). The population growth rate of *Acris crepitans* was more sensitive to changes in occurring in the aquatic stage than *H. versicolor* (Figure 3.4).

Overall population sizes were much smaller for *A. crepitans* than for *H. versicolor* (repeated measures ANOVA: Table 3.1). There was no overall affect of treatment on total population size (Table 3.1), although *A. crepitans* did show a non-significant trend
of lower population size at predator present compared to predator absent ponds (Figure 3.2). Overall population sizes varied significantly from 2009 to 2011 (Table 3.1), increasing between 2009 and 2010, and remaining stable or slightly decreasing between 2010 and 2011.

**Discussion**

Our matrix population models project that the presence of larval *A. maculatum* at high densities decreases the overall population growth rate (\(\lambda\)) of both species. Interestingly, *H. versicolor* populations are still projected to persist in the presence of predators with \(\lambda > 1\) despite high levels of larval mortality that result from *A. maculatum* presence. In contrast, *A. crepitans* populations are projected to decline at predator-present ponds (\(\lambda < 1\)). Elasticity analysis of the demographic matrix models differed between species as expected, with the population growth rate of shorter-lived *A. crepitans* having a higher elasticity to perturbations that occurred in the aquatic habitat than did longer-lived *H. versicolor* (Figure 3.4). Thus, we would have expected predators in the aquatic habitat to have a more dramatic effect on *A. crepitans* population growth than on *H. versicolor*. However, *A. maculatum* decreased the population growth rate of both species similarly (Figure 3.3, *A. crepitans* no predator: \(\lambda=1.3663\), predator \(\lambda=0.7009\); *H. versicolor* no predator \(\lambda=1.8017\), predator \(\lambda=1.0259\)). This discrepancy between the elasticity values and the actual effect on population growth rates likely arises from differences between species in the magnitude of predation that occurred within the experimental ponds. *Hyla versicolor* showed increased activity levels when *A. maculatum* were present relative to *A. crepitans* (Lueder and Burgett, unpublished data). This may have allowed *A. maculatum* to preferentially prey upon *H. versicolor*, leading
to much larger effects on larval mortality (*H. versicolor* larval survivorship without predators= 0.52, *H. versicolor* survivorship with predators=0.21; *A. crepitans* larval survivorship without predators=0.31, *A. crepitans* larval survivorship with predators=0.25; Lueder and Burgett, unpublished data).

To test our matrix population models, we compare model projections to real changes in population size over the sampling period. Model projections and population size estimates concur that *H. versicolor* populations grow faster than *A. crepitans* populations. However, our model projects that *Ambystoma maculatum* presence will influence growth rate of populations of both species, and we find no overall effect of the predator treatment on either species (although there was a trend for predators to decrease the population sizes of *A. crepitans* (Figure 3.2)). The faster growth rate and higher population sizes observed in *H. versicolor* as compared to *A. crepitans* likely comes from differences in life history strategies between the two species. *A. crepitans* has a smaller body size in comparison to *H. versicolor*, which likely contributes to differences in mean clutch size between the two species (197 eggs/clutch in *A. crepitans* compared to 1720 eggs/clutch in *H. versicolor*). Additionally, *H. versicolor* has a slightly higher larval survivorship rate. The reduced clutch size of *A. crepitans* and lower larval survival in the absence of predators prevents populations from achieving higher population growth rates and thus higher population sizes in experimental ponds.

Demographic matrix modeling reveals a decrease in population growth rate for both species at predator-present ponds, however, the presence of predators did not influence the overall population size of either species over the course of this experiment. Several factors likely affected this inconsistency. First, our demographic models may
have overestimated of larval mortality due to predators. We used standard methodology to quantify the effects of predation on larval mortality (Skelly1995). Specifically, we used *in situ* enclosures that provided alternative prey resources for larval *A. maculatum* and refugia for tadpoles. Despite this, mortality was likely increased in comparison to what tadpoles would experience within the larger experimental pond. Submerged vegetation was quite abundant in experimental ponds, providing increased heterogeneity than experienced within the experimental cages (Lewis and Eby 2002, Kopp et al. 2006). Second, our population size estimates for each pond were relatively low for both species, and there was high variation across ponds in population size. Thus, we had low statistical power to detect effects of predation on population sizes of either species. Finally, our population size estimates might have been more affected by poor abiotic environmental conditions that were present in 2011 than our vital rate estimates.

In 2011, there was a particularly cold winter and spring and a very hot summer, which decreased overall capture probabilities and the number of calling males at experimental ponds compared to 2010. Indeed, the abundances of *H. versicolor* at ponds decreased between 2010 and 2011; this could reflect an actual decrease in population size, or could be indicative of adults skipping the breeding season due to reduced resources or variable weather patterns that prevented them from returning to ponds to breed that year (Penchman et al. 1991). Vital rate estimates for the matrix population models were averaged across years, and were therefore less influenced by 2011 weather than population size estimates. Therefore, predator effects on population size might have been overshadowed by poor abiotic conditions in 2011. It is possible that additional
years of data collection will reveal significant effects of predation on population size, and a greater congruence between model projections and population size estimates.

An important assumption of demographic matrix modeling is that populations are at equilibrium (Caswell 2001). In this study, we are likely capturing the exponential growth phase of both species as they begin, or try to begin to establish populations under the presence and absence of larval *A. maculatum*. Overall population size estimates increased dramatically between 2009 and 2010 and then remained somewhat stable (or decreasing) between 2010 and 2011 due to climatic variation in 2011. This suggests that these populations are likely still experiencing population growth. Although both species are likely experiencing rapid population growth, the limited size of the experimental ponds indicates that the population will eventually reach a point where density-dependence in the larval stage will become important. Therefore, it will be interesting to follow these populations as they begin to reach an equilibrium population size and to parameterize density-dependent matrix models to capture how predation then influences population dynamics of both species.

This study highlights the importance of examining how interspecific interactions in the larval life stage can alter the overall population dynamics of an amphibian species. Although many studies suggest that interspecific interactions in the larval stage of amphibians can cause dramatic decreases in survivorship (e.g.: Brockelman 1969, Skelly 1992, Werner and McPeek 1994), this does not necessarily lead to population declines within the species if the population growth rate is not particularly sensitive to that life stage (Burgett, Chapter 1). The structure of aquatic communities is thus not only dependent upon the interactions of species within it, but the dynamics that are occurring
in the terrestrial habitat as well. This study highlights the importance of examining interspecific interactions at a population scale when one or more of the species has a complex life cycle and is the first study to examine how interspecific interactions in the aquatic habitat scales up to influence the population dynamics of the species.
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Table 3.1: Repeated measures ANOVA comparing overall population size between *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* under the presence or absence of an aquatic predator between 2009 and 2011.
### Between subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>145.207</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>145.207</td>
<td>56.977</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>6.198</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.198</td>
<td>2.432</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment*Species</td>
<td>67.056</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67.056</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>50.971</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Within subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>G-G</th>
<th>H-F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>30.235</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.118</td>
<td>13.420</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year*Species</td>
<td>13.913</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.957</td>
<td>6.176</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year*Treatment</td>
<td>1.796</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year<em>Tmt</em>Spps</td>
<td>29.731</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.866</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>45.059</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1: General matrix model for *Acris crepitans*, (solid lines) and *H. versicolor*, solid lines and dashed lines, where J= juvenile stage, A= adult stage and A2 = second year adult stage. Vital rates are represented by lower case letters where j= juvenile survivorship a= adult survivorship (a=0 for *A. crepitans* since does not live more than 1 year) a2= second year adult survivorship, and f= fecundity (clutch size*sex ratio*larval survivorship).
Figure 3.2: Mean population size and standard deviation of *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* at predator present and absent ponds from 2009 to 2011.
Figure 3.3: The projected population growth rate of *H. versicolor* and *A. crepitans* in predator present and absent ponds from matrix population models.
Figure 3.4: Elasticity of the population growth rate to perturbations in vital rates associated with the aquatic (egg and larval survivorship which is incorporated into the $f$ term) or terrestrial habitat ($j$ and $a$ from Figure 1 and clutch size which is incorporated in the $f$ term).
Aquatic habitat availability influences oviposition in marginal habitats by the spotted salamander (*Ambystoma maculatum*)
Abstract

Many amphibians choose habitats in which to lay their eggs based on the characteristics of the aquatic habitat. For example, the presence of predators or suboptimal abiotic characteristics has been shown in numerous species to influence where a female will deposit her eggs. Although less studied, the characteristics of the landscape surrounding breeding ponds can also influence the oviposition site selection of breeding females. In this study, I examined the oviposition site-selection of a long-lived salamander, *Ambystoma maculatum*, into marginal breeding habitats: those that are highly ephemeral and thus likely to dry out before larvae are able to metamorphose. I specifically tested the null hypothesis that the oviposition of *A. maculatum* in marginal habitats would be proportional across landscape contexts relative to two alternatives: (1) females may increase their use of marginal habitats in landscapes where aquatic habitat is scarce and intraspecific competition in the few breeding ponds is intense, or (2) females may become more philopatric when aquatic habitat is limited and decrease their use of marginal habitats. I surveyed 19 marginal habitats in landscapes of varying contexts, and found evidence for the hypothesis that *A. maculatum* oviposited more in marginal aquatic habitats when their preferred breeding habitat was scarce. This study highlights the importance of knowing both the landscape context and life-history strategy of the species in question when understanding the context of oviposition habitat selection.
Introduction

Many species have a complex life cycle in which they use one distinct habitat for larval growth and development and a second habitat for adult dispersal and reproduction (Wilbur 1980). For these species, oviposition site selection by females is critical for the success of offspring (Resetarits and Wilbur 1989). For example, most of these species are unable to disperse long distances in the larval stage, if at all, such that oviposition site selection shapes the spatial structure of populations and can be critical to overall population dynamics of the species (Pearman and Wilbur 1990). Additionally, oviposition site selection by females can influence a species’ interactions (e.g., competition, predation) in the community in which they reside (Morris 2003). Consequently, selection for the ability of females to discriminate between high and low quality habitats for oviposition is strong (Clausnitzer 1992, Bjorkman et al. 1997, Edgerley et al. 1998, Resetarits 1996).

Among amphibians, several studies have shown that females can discriminate between oviposition sites of high and low quality reflecting both biotic and abiotic factors (Figel and Semlitsch 1995, Reich and Downes 2003, Blaustein et al. 2004). For example, presence of predators, conspecific individuals, and parasites can deter female oviposition (Resetarits and Wilbur 1989, Kats and Sih 1992, Laurila and Aho 1997, Kiesecker and Skelly 2000), and amphibians tend to oviposit more frequently in ponds with shorter hydroperiods, warmer water temperatures, and specific soil compositions (Seal 1982, Reich and Downs 2003). While there have been numerous studies on amphibian oviposition site selection, they have focused either on the factors of the aquatic habitats (e.g., Resetarits and Wilbur 1989, Hopey and Petranka 1994, Kiesecker and Skelly 2000,
Rudolf and Rodel 2005), or on the immediate surrounding terrestrial habitat (Hocking and Semlitsch 2007), and have neglected the broader landscape context in which amphibian populations occur (but see Segev et al. 2011).

Long-lived species with complex life-cycles, including many amphibian species, may rely critically on the ratios of available habitats (aquatic to terrestrial) in making their oviposition habitat selection decisions. For example, I have shown that densities of the long-lived salamander, *Ambystoma maculatum*, at breeding ponds depends critically on the landscape context in which they live; their densities are higher in areas with fewer ponds (Burgett, Chapter 2) and this likely occurs because their populations are less sensitive to aquatic parameters relative to terrestrial parameters (Burgett Chapter 1).

In this study, I examined whether oviposition site selection of female *A. maculatum* in marginal aquatic habitats (non-ideal breeding habitats that are likely to dry before development completion) was influenced by landscape context (e.g., the ratios of aquatic to terrestrial habitat). If female *A. maculatum* use marginal aquatic habitats in similar proportions regardless of the habitat context (e.g.: if they are unable to distinguish between high and low quality habitats or are not making oviposition site choices) I would predict the null hypothesis that oviposition in marginal habitats is proportional to that in preferred habitats as the abundance of preferred aquatic habitats across the landscape changes (dashed line in Figure 4.1). If, however, *A. maculatum* oviposition behavior varies in response to habitat context, one of two alternative hypotheses are possible. First, the high densities of conspecifics found in preferred aquatic habitats within landscapes where aquatic habitat is scarce (Burgett Chapter 2) may deter female *A. maculatum* from ovipositing in those habitats (Rosenzweig 1991, Egan and Paton 2004). This could drive
females to oviposit more in marginal habitats when the landscape has lower abundance of aquatic habitat (HA$_1$ in Figure 4.1; dotted line). Alternatively, amphibian breeding and migrations to breeding habitats can change depending on various environmental factors, specifically climate variables during a given season (reviewed in Semlitsch 2008). Therefore, A. maculatum females could respond to the decrease in aquatic habitat abundance by either not breeding in a given season or by minimizing risk associated with finding alternative breeding sites, and ovipositing primarily in the limited preferred breeding habitat available (Gamble et al. 2007) (HA$_2$ in Figure 4.1; dot-dash line). In this study, I tested these hypotheses using surveys of marginal aquatic habitats and the abundance of A. maculatum egg masses in reference to the overall landscape structure of habitats throughout eastern Missouri.

**Methods**

*Study system*

The availability of aquatic habitats for breeding amphibians can be extremely variable across landscapes (Semlitsch 2002) and ranges from ephemeral wetlands, fishless ponds, streams, lakes and rivers all of which vary in their spatial distribution within landscapes. In particular, Missouri is rather limited in natural ponds and wetlands, and most current amphibian aquatic habitat was man-made to serve as either “wildlife watering holes” (Missouri Department of Conservation “Woodland Resource Guide”), agricultural ponds, or stocked fishing ponds (Perry, MDC: “Missouri Pond Handbook”). The juxtaposition of these aquatic habitats across the landscape is also extremely variable with some areas containing high densities of aquatic habitat, and other areas containing few ponds that are widely spaced. This variability in aquatic habitat distribution provided
us with an opportunity to examine how landscape variation in aquatic habitat availability may influence the oviposition site selection of *A. maculatum*.

*Ambystoma maculatum* spend approximately four months as larvae in fishless aquatic habitats before they metamorphose into the terrestrial habitat as juveniles (Flageole and Leclair 1992). Like many other *Ambystoma*, this species is considered philopatric, returning to natal ponds each spring to breed (Phillips 1989, Patrick et al. 2008). They preferentially oviposit in permanent, semi-permanent, and ephemeral aquatic habitats (Welborn et al. 1996, Johnson 2005), and avoid ovipositing in ponds with abundant predators or high densities of conspecifics (Kats and Sih 1992). In this study, I classified preferred breeding habitat for *A. maculatum* as fishless ponds, with varying hydroperiods, but which held water long enough for larvae to complete their development (e.g., at least until mid-June in most years). Despite their philopatry and oviposition site selection abilities, *A. maculatum* egg masses are sometimes observed in marginal aquatic habitats such as tire ruts, roadside ditches, and fishponds (Burgett and Chase, pers. obvs). These marginal habitats are typically smaller than 15m², very shallow, and hold water for less than 30 d at a time; thus it is unlikely that they would not support the completion of the larval stage of *A. maculatum* in most years.

*Site selection and data collection*

I used a database of existing ponds located within private and public lands in Missouri to look for natural areas that had a range in aquatic habitat availability and established *A. maculatum* populations, allowing me to narrow the search to seven areas. Each natural area had established breeding populations of *A. maculatum* in preferred aquatic habitats (fishless semi-permanent to permanent ponds), had marginal aquatic
habitats (aquatic habitats with a hydroperiod of less than 30 days) present in early spring, and had variable numbers of permanent and/or semi-permanent ponds, so that the regions varied in their overall landscape context.

In the first week of March 2009 and 2010, I visited each area and extensively searched for any marginal ephemeral habitats such as puddles, roadside ditches, tire ruts, and holes underneath treefalls. However, given the area of most of these natural areas (mean size: 996 hectares), I was unable to exhaustively search each landscape for all marginal habitats present. Instead, I focused searches along hiking trails and roads, as well as the area surrounding breeding ponds to identify any potential marginal habitats that may have been used for amphibian oviposition.

For each marginal habitat, I quantified the number of *A. maculatum* egg masses visually (Crouch and Paton 2000) and the area of the marginal habitat. I used Google Earth and extensive ground truthing to find and measure the area of all preferred aquatic habitats within a 500m radius of each marginal habitat. For each marginal habitat, I calculated the average density of *A. maculatum* egg masses (number of egg masses/m²) and the area of preferred habitat within a 500m radius. I averaged these values to have one estimate of each for each natural area. For each preferred aquatic habitat, I calculated the area of all other preferred aquatic habitats within a 500m radius. Burgett (Chapter 2) contains data on the density of *A. maculatum* egg masses in each preferred aquatic habitat. For each natural area, I averaged across these preferred habitats to obtain one estimate of the area of preferred aquatic habitat within a 500m radius and one estimate of egg mass density. The use of a 500m radius to calculate area of surrounding preferred habitat around each focal habitat is better than using a single estimate for each natural
area at a broader spatial scale because this approach more accurately detects the abundance of aquatic habitat within a site that *A. maculatum* females are likely able to perceive given their dispersal abilities.

To test the null hypothesis that *A. maculatum* egg mass density in marginal aquatic habitats is proportional to *A. maculatum* egg mass density in preferred aquatic habitats, I used an ANCOVA to detect a difference in the slopes between marginal and preferred aquatic habitat egg mass density (square root transformed to meet assumptions of normality) over the range in aquatic habitat abundances (Systat, Version 11).

**Results**

I identified 19 marginal aquatic habitats between 2009 and 2010 that were filled with water during the time of female *A. maculatum* oviposition. Overall, I observed 95 *A. maculatum* egg masses that were laid in marginal aquatic habitats. All of the marginal aquatic habitats dried prior to the successful completion of the larval stage of *A. maculatum* (by mid-June) in both years.

Marginal aquatic habitats were embedded within a wide range of landscape contexts, including several that had no other nearby aquatic habitats and others with as many as 11 ponds with breeding populations of *A. maculatum* within a 500m radius. I found that as the amount of aquatic habitat in an area decreased, the density of *A. maculatum* egg masses in marginal aquatic habitats increased (Figure 4.2, Linear regression: $R^2 = 0.668$, $P=0.025$, $F=10.045$), but did so differently from the relationship expected from *A. maculatum* density in preferred aquatic habitats (Figure 4.2: ANCOVA: df=1, $P=0.046$, $F=4.880$).

**Discussion:**
Several studies have shown that female amphibians can discriminate between oviposition sites of high and low quality due to biotic factors such as predators, conspecifics, and parasites (Resetarits and Wilbur 1989, Kats and Sih 1992, Laurila and Aho 1997, Kiesecker and Skelly 2000) and abiotic factors such as hydoperiod, water chemistry, and temperature (Seal 1982, Reich and Downs 2003, Segev 2011). However, thus far, studies have only focused on factors of the aquatic habitat that influence female oviposition choice, neglecting the broader landscape context in which amphibian populations occur. In this study, I examined how the landscape context might affect female oviposition into marginal aquatic habitats, finding that the landscape surrounding marginal aquatic habitats influenced the rate at which *A. maculatum* chose low quality marginal habitats. This supported my first alternative hypothesis (Fig. 1 HA1) that *A. maculatum* use of marginal breeding habitats increased as the density of preferred habitats decreased.

One possible reason for the observed increase in marginal habitat use when aquatic habitat is scarce would be if female *A. maculatum* detected the increased density of conspecifics in isolated breeding ponds, and chose to oviposit in marginal aquatic habitats to increase the chance of their offspring’s survival (Rowe and Dunson 1995, Rudolf and Rodel 2005). Landscapes with numerous aquatic breeding habitats, on the other hand, had lower conspecific densities in breeding ponds, which potentially minimized the relative benefits an individual might gain by using marginal habitats where the chances of survival are risky, but possible in particularly wet years.

*Ambystoma maculatum* has an average life span of approximately 25 years (Flageole and Leclair 1992), and the population dynamics of such long-lived species are
considerably less sensitive to perturbations in the aquatic relative to the terrestrial habitat (Burgett, Chapter 1). Therefore, the complete loss of fecundity within a given breeding season might not affect the overall population size of *A. maculatum* (Burgett Chapter 1) in an area.

Interestingly, some marginal aquatic habitats were located more than 500m from any existing preferred breeding habitats for *A. maculatum*, indicating that females either travel extreme distances to oviposit in marginal habitats, or are philopatric to these marginal habitats. This indicates the possibility that the *A. maculatum* may form persistent populations that use only marginal habitats for breeding. The occasional wet year, in which water could remain in marginal habitats long enough for *A. maculatum* larvae to successfully metamorphose, could be enough to maintain populations where preferred aquatic habitats is non-existent.

Other amphibian species may respond differently to the landscape context depending on their life history strategy and in particular, their longevity. Several other amphibian species, including spring peepers (*Psuedacris crucifer*), chorus frogs (*P. triseriata*), leopard frogs (*Rana sphenocephala*), American toads (*Bufo americanus*) and grey tree frogs (*Hyla versicolor*) oviposited in the marginal aquatic habitats within this study. Short-lived amphibian species have limited opportunities for reproduction and depend more critically on larval survivorship in aquatic habitats (Burgett Chapter 1). As such, their oviposition into marginal habitats would likely have greater repercussions for their population dynamics than for longer-lived species (Burgett Chapter 1).

Although oviposition into marginal aquatic habitats might not have significant effects on the population dynamics of *A. maculatum*, larval *A. maculatum* can
significantly alter the dynamics of prey communities in those habitats (Freda 1983, Nyman 1991). Ephemeral pools serve as essential habitats for many invertebrates (Wellborn et al. 1996), and are often used as refugia for prey species to escape predation in more permanent ponds (Jefferies and Lawton 1985). These habitats also house a unique suite of habitat specialists and are of particular conservation concern in many areas (Colburn 2004). Thus, in landscapes where preferred aquatic habitats for A. *maculatum* are limited, ephemeral pond specialists may be particularly vulnerable to predation due to the increased use of these ephemeral habitats by *A. maculatum*.

In conclusion, I have shown that the landscape in which an amphibian population resides can influence the oviposition site-selection by females. Specifically, a long-lived amphibian increases its use of marginal habitats when aquatic breeding habitats are scarce. This study is one of the first to demonstrate how landscape context (the amount of aquatic habitat in a landscape) influences the oviposition site selection of a species (see also Segev 2011). As anthropogenic processes change the availability of aquatic habitats across a landscape (such as wetland draining), female oviposition decisions based on the amount of breeding habitat in an area may lead to not only population level effects for amphibian species, but consequences for both the aquatic and terrestrial communities in which they reside.
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Figure 4.1: Conceptual figure outlining the relationship between the abundance of aquatic habitat in a landscape (m²) and the density of *A. maculatum* egg masses. The actual relationship between density of *A. maculatum* egg masses in preferred aquatic habitats decreases as the abundance of aquatic habitat within a landscape increases (Burgett, Chapter 2, solid line). The three other lines are possible relationships between the density of *A. maculatum* egg masses in marginal habitats and the abundance of aquatic habitat within a landscape: (1) The null hypothesis predicts that the abundance of preferred breeding habitat in a landscape will not change the use of marginal aquatic habitats by *A. maculatum* for oviposition (the relationship will be proportionate to their use of preferred aquatic habitat). (2) HA₁ shows the alternative hypothesis that *A. maculatum* may use marginal habitats more than would be expected when preferred aquatic habitat is scarce. (3) HA₂ outlines the expected result if *A. maculatum* use marginal habitats less than expected when preferred aquatic habitat is scarce.
Figure 4.2: Effects of landscape context (amount of aquatic habitat within a 500m radius of focal marginal or preferred habitat) on the abundance of *Ambystoma maculatum* egg masses/m² (square root transformed) in marginal and preferred habitats.
Dissertation Conclusions
Conclusions:

The overall goal of this research was to determine how landscape characteristics interact with the longevity of amphibian species to influence their population and community dynamics. I combined a meta-analytical and simulation modeling approach with both observational and manipulative empirical experiments on a long-lived amphibian, *Ambystoma maculatum* and its prey to demonstrate that the ratio of aquatic to terrestrial habitats in a landscape alters the abundance and oviposition site selection of *A. maculatum*, decreases prey species richness, and can change the population dynamics of two anuran prey species.

Through meta-analysis and simulation of 27 amphibian species, I first established that as the longevity of a species increases, the population growth rate becomes less sensitive to perturbations in the aquatic habitat and more sensitive to perturbations in the terrestrial habitat. This pattern, although previously demonstrated in other taxa, had yet to be established in species with complex life cycles and where density-dependence in the larval stage is common. Understanding the relationship between amphibian longevity and habitat elasticity provides a useful tool for conservation planning of declining or threatened amphibian species where data is often limited and timely conservation actions are critical. Given the widespread decline of amphibian species across the planet, rapid conservation actions are often required and the pattern between longevity and habitat elasticity provides a useful first step for establishing the habitat in which to focus conservation efforts.

The results of the meta-analysis in chapter one allowed me to establish hypotheses about the abundance of a long-lived salamander, *Ambystoma maculatum* in aquatic
habitats that were embedded in landscapes with different proportions of aquatic and terrestrial habitats. In chapter two, I present the results from a large-scale survey of *A. maculatum* and the aquatic community at 39 ponds throughout Eastern Missouri. I found that as the aquatic to terrestrial ratio (ATR) of a habitat increased (more aquatic habitats available across the landscape), the density of *A. maculatum* in individual ponds decreased. This was likely because decreases in aquatic habitat within a landscape have a relatively small effect on *A. maculatum* population dynamics, and thus the young individuals in the population were condensed into the limited aquatic habitats available, increasing their localized density. Furthermore, because larval *A. maculatum* are strong predators, prey species richness was decreased in isolated ponds (those with low ATR). Metacommunity theory predicts that reductions in species richness in isolated habitats results both due to decreased dispersal abilities as well as reductions in predator densities. However, this chapter highlights that in aquatic habitats where many species have complex life cycles, the ratio between aquatic and terrestrial habitats can strongly influence the community dynamics.

Two of the prey species that showed reductions in abundance due to *A. maculatum* predation in isolated ponds in chapter two also have complex life cycles. *Hyla versicolor* is a moderately long-lived anuran while *Acris crepitans* lives on average for only one year. I predicted that reduced larval survival in the aquatic habitat due to *A. maculatum* predation would have a larger effect on the population dynamics of the shorter-lived *A. crepitans* than on *H. versicolor*. In chapter 3, I found that although *A. maculatum* larvae preferentially consumed *H. versicolor*, *A. crepitans* was unable to establish populations at ponds with predatory *A. maculatum*, whereas despite large
reductions in larval survival, *H. versicolor* was able to maintain increasing populations both in the presence and absence of larval *A. maculatum*.

In chapter two and three, I showed the effects of the aquatic: terrestrial ratio (ATR) on the community interactions between *A. maculatum* and its prey within aquatic habitats. However, the ATR of a landscape also influences the ability of female *A. maculatum* to discern between oviposition site choices, which can have implications for prey species that specialize on ephemeral habitats to avoid predation. Several studies on amphibians have shown that females use both biotic and abiotic cues to select the habitat for their offspring’s survival. In chapter four, I demonstrate that the ATR of a landscape also influenced female *A. maculatum* oviposition site selection. When preferred aquatic habitats were scarce, *A. maculatum* oviposited more frequently in marginal aquatic habitats.

In all, this dissertation draws attention to previously ignored aspects of both metacommunity dynamics and amphibian population declines; that of landscape context and the ATR. The population dynamics of organisms with ontogenetic habitat shifts, such as amphibians, depend on the availability and ratio of both habitat types within a landscape. While a majority of studies of amphibians have focused primarily on their interactions in their aquatic (larval) habitats, owing primarily to their ease of study, my work highlights that the insights gained from this work can be limited, particularly for long-lived species that utilize terrestrial habitats for a majority of their lives. The rapid decline of amphibians worldwide highlights the need for consideration of demography information across the entire life cycle of the organism and consideration of the overall
landscape context in which populations are embedded in order to curb or prevent future declines.

In addition to the implications for studying amphibian populations, this research has implications for other taxa and for metacommunity theory. Organisms with stage-structured life cycles and those that move long distances or use different ecosystem types during their life cycle will require studies similar to this one in order to fully understand how changes to the environment will affect population dynamics. This is important since ecological research typically is not conducted across the entire life cycle and at landscape scales for these organisms. Metacommunity theory predicts that isolated habitats should contain fewer predators and weaker trophic interactions, since predators tend to have higher extinction rates than prey species owing to their larger body sizes, higher metabolic needs and smaller population sizes. This thesis shows the opposite result. Predator densities were highest in isolated aquatic habitats because population dynamics of these long-lived predators are driven by demography in the terrestrial habitat, and isolated aquatic habitats have stronger trophic interactions. The disconnect between this thesis and the existing theory results from the simple population dynamics assumed in the theory. A broader understanding of how habitat isolation will influence trophic interactions requires expanding metacommunity theory to include stage-structured population dynamics of both predators and prey.