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In Brief
Oxidative damage to RNA has received relatively little attention despite evidence that it can accumulate in cells and is associated with numerous disease states. Simms et al. demonstrate that a single modified residue in an mRNA can lead to ribosomal stalling. Cells in which no-go decay is compromised show increased levels of 8-oxoG mRNA, suggesting that mRNA surveillance mechanisms may have evolved to cope with damaged mRNA.
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SUMMARY

Chemical damage to RNA affects its functional properties and thus may pose a significant hurdle to the translational apparatus; however, the effects of damaged mRNA on the speed and accuracy of the decoding process and their interplay with quality-control processes are not known. Here, we systematically explore the effects of oxidative damage on the decoding process using a well-defined bacterial in vitro translation system. We find that the oxidative lesion 8-oxoguanosine (8-oxoG) reduces the rate of peptide-bond formation by more than three orders of magnitude independent of its position within the codon. Interestingly, 8-oxoG had little effect on the fidelity of the selection process, suggesting that the modification stalls the translational machinery. Consistent with these findings, 8-oxoG mRNAs were observed to accumulate and associate with polyribosomes in yeast strains in which no-go decay is compromised. Our data provide compelling evidence that mRNA-surveillance mechanisms have evolved to cope with damaged mRNA.

INTRODUCTION

The accurate translation of cellular mRNAs is an integral feature of the ribosome and translation factors. On intact mRNAs, the selection of aminoacylated tRNA substrates by the ribosome has evolved to utilize thermodynamic and kinetic differences between cognate and noncognate interactions (Zaher and Green, 2009a). On aberrant mRNAs, such as truncated ones and those either containing premature or lacking stop codons, a number of ribosome-based-quality-control processes ensure that these RNAs are not translated and instead are targeted for degradation (Graille and Séraphin, 2012; Kervestin and Jacobson, 2012; Shoemaker and Green, 2012). In addition to defects in its sequence, mRNA is susceptible to chemically induced defects. Nucleic acids are constantly under assault from both endogenous and exogenous agents that can affect their chemical properties and hence their function (Wurtmann and Wolin, 2009). These assaults include reactive oxygen species (ROS), UV light, and alkylating agents. In contrast to DNA, damaged RNA has received little attention, presumably due to its transient nature (Li et al., 2006), even though damaged RNA is known to accumulate and has been linked to a number of neurodegenerative diseases (Nunomura et al., 1999; Shan et al., 2003, 2007; Shan and Lin, 2006; Tanaka et al., 2007). Indeed, in human fibroblast cells, the median half-life of mRNAs is ~10 hr (Yang et al., 2003), indicating that damaged mRNAs may persist and are likely to pose a challenge to the cell if not corrected. Furthermore, a number of mRNA-surveillance mechanisms exist to handle aberrant mRNAs, suggesting that high turnover of RNA alone is not a sufficient means to cope with damaged RNAs.

ROS are present under normal conditions as byproducts of metabolic reactions (Finkel and Holbrook, 2000), and their levels increase significantly under stress conditions. The reaction of ROS with nucleic acids results in a myriad of modifications (Barciszewski et al., 1999). Among these lesions, modification to the guanine base resulting in the 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-guanine (8-oxoGua) is the quintessential archetype of oxidation adducts due to its ability to pair with adenosine during DNA replication (Figure 1A). In agreement with this altered base-pairing property, RNA damage appears to affect decoding, as crudely oxidized mRNA has been linked to reduced translational efficiency and accuracy (Shan et al., 2003, 2007; Tanaka et al., 2007). To date, mechanistic understanding of this inefficiency (i.e., whether it is due to miscoding, stalling, or degradation of the damaged mRNA) is lacking. This is mainly due to the difficulty of targeting a specific transcript at an exact location for oxidation.

Oxidized RNA is known to accumulate in vivo, for which 8-oxoG is present at ~1 in $10^5$ residues in total RNA (the levels could potentially be higher in the mRNA pool) under normal conditions and increases as much as 10-fold under oxidative stress (Hofer et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2000), highlighting the potential risk to cellular homeostasis. Additionally, oxidized RNA appears to turn over at a faster rate (Hofer et al., 2005), suggesting that it is selectively degraded; however, the exact details of such a mechanism are currently unknown. It is conceivable that factors that recognize lesions on RNA exist and target it for degradation, but a more appealing model would involve ribosomal action. What is attractive about this model is the existence of a number of ribosome-based mRNA-surveillance mechanisms (Shoemaker and Green, 2012). Second, as the ribosome is the only machine that sees all RNAs, it is not difficult to imagine how a damaged RNA quality-control mechanism can be built into the ribosome. The signal for any degradation process,
would have to initiate within the decoding center of the ribosome as it selects an incoming aminoacyl-tRNA (aa-tRNA) against the oxidized A-site codon. With this in mind, here we examine the effect of 8-oxoG on decoding using a high-resolution approach, providing a comprehensive look at the ribosomal response to oxidative damage.

**RESULTS**

8-oxoG Is Detrimental to the Decoding Process

To explore the effects of RNA damage on the decoding process, we took advantage of our high-resolution reconstituted bacterial system (Zaher and Green, 2009b), which can monitor the...
efficiency of incorporation of every single amino acid (Figure S1). We produced ribosomal initiation complexes carrying the initiator tRNA. The first two positions of the codon and anticodon minihelix require strict Watson-Crick base pairing, whereas the third position allows some wobble base pairing. Additionally, the decoding center of the ribosome utilizes different interactions to recognize the correct geometry for the different positions (Ogle et al., 2001). As a result, we reasoned that the position of the modification within the codon might have differential effects on tRNA selection by the ribosome. In particular, we expected the decoding process to be normal in the presence of damage at the third position of the codon. To address this hypothesis, we generated three new sets of complexes, placing the 8-oxoG at the first, second, or third position of the codon (see Figure S2). In each case, the 8-oxoG complex reacted much less efficiently with the cognate aa-tRNA than its intact counterpart. The complexes with 8-oxoG in the first or second position instead reacted more efficiently with the predicted (if 8-oxoG pairs with A, or G at the wobble position) near-cognate aa-tRNA, but again with an overall poor yield. Lastly, the third set of complexes, carrying the 8-oxoG at the wobble position, reacted poorly with its cognate aa-tRNA, in direct contrast to our prediction that the third position is insensitive to damage. Furthermore, the complex reactivity with near-cognate aa-tRNAs displayed a distinct profile relative to its intact counterpart, highlighting the detrimental effect of the adduct on the decoding process even at the third position of the codon (Figure S2). Taken together, the data reveal a comprehensive overview of the potential havoc caused by oxidative damage to mRNA on the translation machinery.

We next tested if modification of other positions would elicit a less profound effect on the rate of peptide-bond formation. We measured the rate of peptide-bond formation for the same set of complexes described earlier with their respective cognate and near-cognate aa-tRNAs. Cognate aa-tRNA incorporation was three to four orders of magnitude slower for 8-oxoG codons than for undamaged controls (Figure 2A). Conversely, 8-oxoG codons incorporated near-cognate aa-tRNAs nearly 10-fold faster than intact codons, although the observed rates (0.002–0.03 s⁻¹) remained dramatically slower than that measured for normal peptide-bond formation (20–40 s⁻¹) (Figure 2A). These observations collectively argue that 8-oxoG likely stalls the elongation phase of translation regardless of its location within the anticodon. Furthermore the drastic inhibitory effect of 8-oxoG on the decoding process was confirmed under competitive conditions. In particular, in contrast to the native GGC complex, which produced the expected full-length peptide when incubated in the presence of the full complement of aa-tRNAs, elongation factors and release factors (PURE system, NEB), the oxidized G₈-oxoGC complex failed to produce any detectable peptide products (Figure 2B).

To provide mechanistic insight into the deleterious effects of oxidized mRNA on the decoding process, we set out to explore if the effects of 8-oxoG on peptidyl transfer result from inhibition of conformational changes known to be important for the decoding process (Ogle et al., 2002). In particular, we added the aminoglycoside paromomycin to our reaction, which binds the decoding center and induces a conformation in the 30S subunit similar to that observed when cognate tRNA is bound; in doing so, it allows the ribosome to accept near-cognate tRNAs as if they were cognate ones (Carter et al., 2000). As expected (Pape et al., 2000), the addition of paromomycin to a reaction between the GGC complex and its cognate Gly-tRNA Gly ternary complex had no effect on the observed rate of peptide-bond formation (Figure 2C, left panel). In contrast, addition of the antibiotic to the oxidized G₈-oxoGC complex accelerated the rate of peptide-bond formation more than 100-fold (Figure 2C, right panel). These observations strongly argue that 8-oxoG prevents the
small subunit from adopting the active conformation required for proper tRNA selection.

Peptide Release Is Only Marginally Affected in the Presence of 8-oxoG

Two of the three stop codons contain a guanosine: UAG and UGA. In *E. coli*, these are recognized by RF1 and RF2, respectively. Stop-codon recognition by RFs is inherently different than sense-codon recognition by aa-tRNA due to the intrinsic differences in the polymer (protein versus RNA) carrying out the recognition (Zaher and Green, 2009a). Unlike aa-tRNA selection, which requires many residues of the decoding center to adopt a distinct conformation from the ground state, RF selection involves fewer and dissimilar conformational changes within the decoding center (Korostelev et al., 2008; Laurberg et al., 2008; Weixlbaumer et al., 2008). As a result, we reasoned that the effects of 8-oxoG on sense-codon recognition could be different than those determined for RF-recognition on stop codons. Since we expected 8-oxoG to have the greatest effect at the second position, we programmed ribosomes with f-[35S]-Met-tRNAfMet in the P site and either a UGA or U8-oxoGA in the A site and assessed their reactivity with RF2. In direct contrast to peptide bond formation, the presence of 8-oxoG only marginally affected the rate of peptide release (0.7 s⁻¹ and 0.1 s⁻¹ for control and 8-oxoG, respectively) (Figure 2D). Together, these results suggest that 8-oxoG prevents conformational changes associated with RNA-RNA interactions (i.e., codon-anticodon), but not protein-RNA interactions (i.e., RF-stop codon), in the decoding center.

8-oxoG Inhibits Protein Synthesis in Cell Extracts

So far, our characterization of the effects of 8-oxoG on the decoding process has focused on initiation complexes in a bacterial reconstituted system, and the effects of adducts on authentic elongation during protein synthesis were not discerned. Nevertheless, a number of studies have looked at the consequences of oxidative RNA damage on its function, and all hinted at a profound correlation between oxidized mRNA and reduced translational efficiency and accuracy (Shan et al., 2003, 2007; Tanaka et al., 2007). However, in all of these studies, the identities of the adducts formed from the crude hydrogen peroxide treatment and their relative abundance were not quantified. Furthermore, the effect of the treatment on the yield of full-length protein products was only marginal at best (Tanaka et al., 2007), presumably due to the inefficiency of the hydrogen peroxide treatment.

To improve upon this and provide a better understanding of the effects of the adduct on translation, we generated synthetic reporter mRNAs containing the lesion at a specific location within the coding sequence. We used in vitro transcription to synthesize a ~300 nt transcript and ligated this to an 8-oxoG-containing synthetic RNA oligonucleotide (Figure S3). We note that the final construct has the modification on the first position of a GAC codon. We also constructed three control reporters (one with the equivalent native codon, a second with a stop codon and a third shorter transcript with no stop codon) (Figures 3A and S3). Using these reporters, we could assess how detrimental the 8-oxoG adduct is to translational speed and whether our reconstituted system recapitulates what happens during...
bona fide translation in bacterial and eukaryotic extracts. We initially analyzed the translation of these reporters in an S30 bacterial extract. In agreement with the reconstituted system, the 8-oxoG transcript produced a protein product of a size similar to that of the stop transcript (Figure 3B). A priori, one would expect the incubation of 8-oxoG and no-stop transcripts in the S30 extract to result in the accumulation of peptidyl-tRNA, as these transcripts are expected to stall the ribosome, unless a ribosomal rescue system is operational in these extracts. Interestingly, we observe no peptidyl-tRNA accumulation with either reporter, even though the products were resolved on bis-Tris gels (Figure 3B), which are known to maintain the integrity of peptidyl-tRNA.

We next asked whether the inhibitory effects of 8-oxoG on translation were evident in eukaryotic extracts. For efficient translation in eukaryotes, the transcripts were capped using vaccinia capping enzyme and poly(A)denylated (except for the no stop reporter) using E. coli poly(A) polymerase. Consistent with our bacterial studies, 8-oxoG prevented the translation of full-length protein. The 8-oxoG reporter, when incubated in wheat germ extracts or rabbit reticulocyte lysates, failed to produce the full-length protein product and instead generated a product of similar length to that of the stop codon reporter (Figure 3C, 3D, and S3). However, in contrast to the bacterial extract results, we could detect accumulation of peptidyl tRNA in the presence of the 8-oxoG and no-stop transcripts, suggesting that the ribosomal rescue system in the wheat germ extract is not efficient at clearing a stalled ribosome on the oxidized RNA.

Additionally, the yield of the truncated protein products is much less than those produced in the presence of the control and stop mRNA, suggesting that 8-oxoG indeed inhibits the progress of translation. To gain an improved resolution of the products being produced from these reactions, we utilized Tris-Tricine gels to resolve the peptide products. With this gel system, we noted the appearance of several smaller protein bands in the presence of 8-oxoG mRNA (Figure 3D), likely resulting from ribosomes stacked behind the ribosome that stalls on the adduct. Furthermore, we observed no improvement in the yield of the truncated protein products upon addition of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Figure 3D), suggesting that the diminished yield of the truncated product is likely due to slowed translation and not to turnover of the protein products. We also note that incubation of labeled RNA in extracts did not reveal significant degradation of the RNA during the course of the reaction (Figure S3). These observations strongly suggest that, similar to what we observe in the bacterial reconstituted system, 8-oxoG halts translational elongation in eukaryotes.

8-oxoG mRNAs Accumulate In Vivo in the Absence of No-Go Decay

Three mRNA quality-control processes are known to exist in eukaryotes: nonsense-mediated decay, no-go decay (NGD), and nonstop decay. In NGD, transcripts containing secondary structures such as hairpins or stretches of rare codons are cleaved just upstream of the ribosome (Doma and Parker, 2006; Tsuboi et al., 2012). In yeast, the process involves the proteins
Dom34, Hbs1, and Rli1 that together are responsible for recognizing and subsequent recycling of the stalled ribosome (Pisareva et al., 2011; Shoemaker et al., 2010; Shoemaker and Green, 2011). The process results in an endonucleolytic cleavage of the mRNA; the 3’ end piece is then degraded by the 5’-3’ exonuclease Xm1, and the 5’ end piece is degraded by the exosome (Tsuboi et al., 2012). The exact role of these various quality-control processes in recognizing damaged RNA (e.g., oxidized RNA) has not been studied. Intriguingly, however, quality-control processes have been linked to damaged RNA where enzymatic depurination at specific sites within a transcript was found to stall the ribosome and is likely to elicit NGD (Gandhi et al., 2008).

Our observation that the 8-oxoG modification stalls protein synthesis suggests that 8-oxoG-containing mRNAs might be subject to degradation through NGD. To address this possibility, we quantified 8-oxoG levels in total and poly(A)-enriched RNA from different yeast strains using a competitive ELISA protocol (Yin et al., 1995) that specifically recognizes the oxidized base (Figure S4). Interestingly, the levels of the adduct in wild-type cells under normal conditions were approximately 5-fold higher in poly(A)-enriched RNA than in total RNA (~0.03 ng 8-oxoG/μg total RNA versus ~0.15 8-oxoG/μg poly(A)-enriched RNA), suggesting that mRNAs are more susceptible to oxidative stress, perhaps due to their naked nature and reduced secondary structure relative to tRNA or rRNA. Furthermore, we observe little to no change in the level of 8-oxoG adducts in total RNA in the absence of quality-control or mRNA-decay pathways. In contrast, the levels of 8-oxoG in poly(A)-enriched RNA increase significantly by almost 2-fold (1.85-fold ± 0.17, p = 0.028) in the absence of Dom34 (Figure 4A), suggesting that 8-oxoG-containing mRNA is subject to NGD and is stabilized in the absence of Dom34. Additionally, Xm1-deficient cells show a significant accumulation of 8-oxoG (2.18-fold ± 0.25, p = 0.011), whereas abrogating exosome function had no effect (Figure S4). We next sought to confirm that the changes in 8-oxoG levels are not the result of strain to strain variation by complementing the dom34Δ and xm1Δ strains and measuring the levels of 8-oxoG in these rescued strains (dom34Δ comp. and xm1Δ comp., respectively). These strains were complemented by integrating the native genes at the his3 locus of chromosome XV (under the control of the endogenous promoter and carrying the endogenous UTR), resulting in transcript levels that were similar to wild-type (Figure S4). Consistent with our proposal, 8-oxoG levels were restored to wild-type upon reintroduction of Dom34 and Xm1 (Figure 4A). It is worth noting that our measurements of 8-oxoG levels are likely an underrepresentation, since our poly(A)-enriched samples still contain some rRNA (Figure S4).

Furthermore, the 2-fold increase in the steady-state levels of 8-oxoG in the absence of Dom34 and Xm1 is consistent with the effects of these factors on the steady-state levels of typical NGD reporters (Tsuboi et al., 2012).

These observations are in full agreement with our current understanding of the NGD process, wherein (1) translation arrest results in an endonucleolytic cleavage upstream of the stall sequence (in this case, 8-oxoG); (2) ribosomes are recycled by Dom34:Hbs1; and (3) the 3’ fragment, i.e., 8-oxoG containing, is degraded by Xm1, whereas the 5’ fragment is degraded by the exosome (Doma and Parker, 2006; Tsuboi et al., 2012). It is worth noting that while endonucleolytic cleavage is not directly mediated by Dom34:Hbs1, it is significantly stimulated in the presence of these factors (Tsuboi et al., 2012). Two key predictions emerge from this model: (1) in the absence of Dom34, 8-oxoG-containing mRNA is more likely to associate with the ribosomes; (2) in the absence of Xm1, 8-oxoG-containing mRNA is stabilized but would not be associated with the ribosomes. We set out to test these predictions by performing polysome analysis of the different yeast strains and quantifying the distribution of 8-oxoG among the different fractions of ribonucleoproteins (Figures 4B–4E). xm1Δ strains have been shown to accumulate poly(A) mRNAs in the light fraction of the polysome due to stabilization of uncapped mRNA that cannot be translated (Hu et al., 2009). To correct for this, we normalized the levels of 8-oxoG to the total amount of RNA during our polysomes analysis of poly(A)-enriched RNA, which should adjust for variation in poly(A)-RNA occupancy across the sucrose gradient. As expected, for all strains, we observed very similar distributions of 8-oxoG in total RNA (Figure 4D), consistent with our measurements from whole cells (Figure S4). However, in the absence of Dom34, 8-oxoG levels in poly(A)-enriched RNA are significantly higher in the 80S and polysome fractions (Figure 4E), demonstrating that Dom34p plays a role in clearing stalled ribosomes on damaged mRNA. Additionally, in the absence of Xm1, 8-oxoG levels were elevated in the light fractions, containing non-ribosome-bound RNAs, and unaltered in the 80S and polysome fractions. Supporting the model that Dom34 acts upstream of Xm1 in NGD, Xm1/Dom34 double-mutant 8-oxoG levels were similar to those of Dom34 (Figure 4E).

We next hypothesized that if NGD is important in rescuing ribosomes stalled on damaged mRNA, then deleting dom34 and xm1 should make yeast cells sensitive to nucleic-acid-damaging agents. In particular, we were interested in determining the sensitivity of the different yeast strains to the carcinogen 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4NQO), which is known to react with RNA to form the 8-oxoguanine lesion (Tada and Kohda, 1993). We utilized a zone of inhibition (halo) assay to compare the growth of the deletion strains in the presence of 4NQO (Figure 4F). Consistent with a role for NGD in clearing damaged mRNA, deletion of dom34 and xm1 rendered yeast cells significantly more sensitive to 4NQO compared to the single mutants (3.0-fold ± 0.06, p < 0.0001 and 1.8-fold ± 0.06, p < 0.0001, respectively), and reintroduction of the genes restored normal growth relative to the wild-type strain (Figure 4G). Finally, the dom34Δ xm1Δ double mutant was found to exhibit dramatic sensitivity to 4NQO compared to the single mutants (3.0-fold ± 0.18, p < 0.0001) (Figure 4G). These observations strongly suggest that Dom34 and Xm1 protect the cell from the effects of RNA-damaging agents.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we demonstrated that mRNA containing the oxidized nucleotide 8-oxoG stalls the ribosome and that NGD may have evolved to clear these and other aberrant mRNAs. A priori, we expected the adduct, based on its chemical properties, to increase miscoding by allowing G:A mismatches between the codon and anticodon. In contrast to this prediction, 8-oxoG was found to inhibit tRNA selection in a well-defined...
Figure 4. 8-OxoG mRNA Is Stabilized in Yeast in the Absence of No-Go-Decay Factors and Associates with Polysomes in the Absence of Dom34

(A) Bar graph showing the levels of 8-oxoG, relative to WT, in poly(A)-enriched RNAs isolated from the indicated yeast strains. The values shown are the means of at least three measurements ± SEM. *p < 0.05

(B) Polysomes profiles of the indicated strains.

(C) Denaturing agarose electrophoresis of the RNA samples isolated from the different fractions in the sucrose gradient.

(D and E) Distribution of 8-oxoG levels across the gradients in total RNA and poly(A)-enriched RNA samples, respectively. Levels of 8-oxoG in (D) were normalized to the total amount of 8-oxoG across the gradient, whereas in (E), they were divided by the amount of poly(A)-enriched RNA.

(F) Representative images of zone of inhibition assays on the indicated yeast strains using 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4NQO).

(G) Quantification of 4NQO sensitivity for the indicated strains, relative to WT. Data are mean ± SEM, from results of at least three biological replicates. ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

See also Figure S4.
reconstituted system, with rates of peptide-bond formation that are three to four orders of magnitude slower than those measured on intact complexes. Remarkably, the effects of the modifications on the accuracy of tRNA selection appear to be minimal; the rates with near-cognate ternary complexes in the presence of 8-oxoG are slightly faster (<10-fold) relative to intact complexes. Furthermore, the position of the adduct, even at the wobble position, resulted in a similar effect on the decoding process, highlighting the severity of mRNA oxidation on the protein synthesis machinery. In agreement with observations from the reconstituted systems, we find near-complete inhibition of protein synthesis in the presence of 8-oxoG during authentic elongation in eukaryotic extracts. The effects of 8-oxoG on the decoding process appear to be a direct result of impaired RNA-RNA interaction between the codon and anticodon, as recognition of stop codons by protein factors was only marginally affected.

How can a small change to the nucleobase result in such drastic effects on the decoding process? One could imagine that the addition of the carbonyl oxygen to the non-Watson-Crick face of the base introduces a polar group that needs to be hydrated. The introduction of this energetic penalty is likely to be inhibitory to the decoding process. On the other hand, in solution, 8-oxoG exists in equilibrium between the anti and syn conformation, but on the ribosome, the adduct might favor the anti conformation, which is detrimental to proper A-minor interaction in the decoding center. Regardless of the conformation of 8-oxoG in the decoding center, the modification appears to prevent the decoding center from adopting an active conformation. This is supported by our data showing that the addition of paromomycin alleviates most of the inhibitory effects observed in the presence of 8-oxoG. These observations highlight the sensitive nature of the decoding center, which is reinforced by recent reports demonstrating a uridine to pseudouridine change (a small change that does not affect the base-pairing properties) has a dramatic effect on the decoding process and increases stop-codon readthrough (Fernández et al., 2013; Karjolich and Yu, 2011).

Our in vitro studies suggested that oxidized RNA is subject to NGD. NGD was initially characterized by Doma and Parker (Doma and Parker, 2006), who showed that mRNAs with strong secondary structure or stretches of rare codons are targeted for degradation in a process that is dependent on translation. While mRNAs with hairpins for the most part are not biologically relevant, this study established a direct relationship between translational speed and rapid degradation of the corresponding mRNA. Consistent with this, we find 8-oxoG mRNA to be stabilized in the absence of Dom34 (a key component in NGD) and associated with polysomes. These observations strongly suggest oxidized mRNA is a primary target of ribosome-based mRNA quality-control processes. We note that NGD and in particular Dom34 has been implicated in a number of processes; for example, Inada and colleagues have shown the factor to be important in rescuing ribosomes trapped on truncated mRNA (Tsutou et al., 2012). Recently, Guydosh and Green used ribosomal profiling to show that in the absence of the factor, ribosomes venture into the 3’ UTR (Guydosh and Green, 2014). Furthermore, the factor is critical for nonfunctional rRNA decay (LaRiviere et al., 2006; Soudet et al., 2010) and ribosomal assembly (Strunk et al., 2012). Interestingly, all of these processes share a common feature whereby the target for the factors is a stalled ribosome with an empty A site (van den Elzen et al., 2014). It is highly likely that the list of NGD targets is long and may include other modified mRNAs such as those resulting from alkylative and UV damage.

Oxidative damage to RNA appears to be correlated with a number of age-related neurodegenerative diseases and is likely to be a contributing factor to their pathogenicity. As an example, Shan et al. showed that in Alzheimer patients, greater than 50% of mRNA isolated from cerebral cortex were oxidized (Shan and Lin, 2006). Our results indicate that RNA damage represents a real and serious threat to the cell and RNA surveillance pathways such as NGD may have evolved to provide a mechanism to cope with these damages. RNA metabolism could be a useful target for delaying or eliminating the onset of age-related neurodegenerative diseases.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Dipeptide Formation Survey and Rates of Peptide-Bond Formation**

For survey reactions, equal volume of purified initiation complexes (2 μM), pre-incubated at 37°C, was added to ternary complex. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 5 s before the reaction was quenched by the addition of 100 μM KOH. Peptide release was carried out in a similar manner, except initiation complexes were combined with RF1 or RF2 at 10 μM and the reaction stopped using 20 mM EDTA (pH 6.0). To determine rates of peptide-bond formation, equal volumes of initiation and ternary complexes were mixed on a quench-flow instrument (RQF-3 quench-flow, KinTek Corporation). For all reactions, dipeptides were resolved from unreacted fMet using cellulose TLCs electrophoresis in pyridine-acetate buffer (pH 2.7) (Youngman et al., 2004).

To determine the observed rates of peptide-bond formation or peptide release, dipeptide yield (PT rates) or fraction of released fMet (peptide release) was plotted against time and the curve fit to a first-order rate equation using GraphPad prism software. Observed rates reported were calculated by multiplying the fit rate with the endpoint and then divided by the maximal endpoint (observed with the native complexes).

**ELISA**

8-oxoG was quantified using a competitive ELISA assay. Each experiment included a set of standards (8-oxoG in 0.1% BSA), enabling quantification by a standard curve (Abs = (Absmax)/(1 + ([8-OHG])/IC50)).

**Zone of Inhibition Assay**

Yeast cultures were grown to mid-log phase and plated in triplicate at 0.5 optical density per plate. After drying thoroughly, 25 μg of 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide in DMSO was spotted on a 0.5 cm filter paper disc and placed in the center of the plate. Plates were incubated at 30°C for ~48 hr before imaging. The area of inhibition was measured using ImageJ. Data were normalized to wild-type and analyzed using the Student’s t test. Detailed methods are provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and four figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.042.
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