

2012

A pre-embedding immunogold approach reveals localization of myosin VI at the ultrastructural level in the actin cones that mediate *Drosophila* spermatid individualization

Marta Lenartowska

Nicolaus Copernicus University of Torun

Mamiko Isaji

Washington University in St Louis

Kathryn G. Miller

Washington University in St Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/bio_facpubs



Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lenartowska, Marta; Isaji, Mamiko; and Miller, Kathryn G., "A pre-embedding immunogold approach reveals localization of myosin VI at the ultrastructural level in the actin cones that mediate *Drosophila* spermatid individualization" (2012). *Biology Faculty Publications & Presentations*. Paper 29.

http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/bio_facpubs/29

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biology at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Publications & Presentations by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

**A pre-embedding immunogold approach reveals localization of myosin VI at the
ultrastructural level in the actin cones that mediate *Drosophila* spermatid
individualization**

Marta Lenartowska^{*}, Mamiko Isaji, Kathryn G. Miller

Marta Lenartowska^{*}

Laboratory of Developmental Biology, Institute of General and Molecular Biology, Faculty of
Biology and Earth Sciences, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Gagarina 9, 87-100 Toruń,
Poland, phone: (56) 611 4997, Fax: (56) 611 4772, e-mail: mlenart@umk.pl

^{*}Corresponding author

Mamiko Isaji

Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, Campus Box 1137, 1 Brookings
Dr., St. Louis, MO 63130, US

Kathryn G. Miller

Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, Campus Box 1137, 1 Brookings
Dr., St. Louis, MO 63130, US

Abstract

1
2 Stable actin structures play important roles in the development and specialization of
3 differentiated cells. How these structures form, are organized, and are used to mediate
4 physiological processes is not well understand in most cases. In *Drosophila* testis, stable actin
5 structures, called actin cones, mediate spermatid individualization, a large-scale cellular
6 remodeling process. These actin cones are composed of two structural domains, a front
7 meshwork and a rear region of parallel bundles. Myosin VI is an important player in proper
8 actin cone organization and function. Myosin VI localizes to the cones' fronts and its specific
9 localization is required for proper actin cone formation and function during individualization.
10 To understand how these structures are organized and assembled, ultrastructural studies are
11 important to reveal both organization of actin and the precise localization of actin regulators
12 relative to regions with different filament organizations. In the present work we have
13 developed a novel pre-embedding immunogold-silver labeling method for high-resolution
14 analysis of protein distribution in actin structures which allowed both satisfactory antibody
15 labeling and good ultrastructural preservation. Electron microscopic studies revealed that
16 myosin VI accumulated at the extreme leading edge of the actin cone and preferentially
17 localized throughout the front meshwork of the cone where branched actin filaments were
18 most concentrated. No myosin VI labeling was found adjacent to the membranes along the
19 length of the cone or connecting neighboring cones. This method has potential to reveal
20 important information about precise relationships between actin-binding proteins, membranes,
21 and different types of actin structures.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

Keywords

40 actin cytoskeleton, *Drosophila melanogaster*, myosin VI, pre-embedding immunogold
41 technique, spermatid individualization
42
43
44
45

Abbreviations

46 BSA – bovine serum albumin
47
48 EM – electron microscopy
49
50 JLA20 – anti-actin antibody
51
52 MAb – monoclonal antibody
53
54 PB – phosphate buffer
55
56 PBS – phosphate-buffered saline
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

Actin structures take a variety of forms in different cell types. These structures are often stable features of cells that persist over long periods of time and play important roles in cell and tissue organization and physiology. How such structures form and are organized and maintained is not well understood in most cases. One important step in understanding such structures is revealing the precise organization of actin in them and the relationship between those filaments and proteins that help organize and stabilize the actin structures. Localization of actin-associated proteins has been studied in many such structures at the light microscope level. However, few studies have shown structure organization in sufficient detail to resolve precise filament organization and relationship between those actin filaments and the proteins that are important to generate and maintain that organization (for some examples see Asano et al. 2001; Stromer et al. 2002; Furness et al. 2005; Marchelletta et al. 2008). Although such information can only be gathered by ultrastructural studies, preservation and visibility of actin filament structure and simultaneous immunolocalization for actin-associated proteins is difficult. Conditions required for good preservation and visualization of actin often preclude antibody labeling for a variety reasons. In this work, we developed a method for such localization using a model system, *Drosophila* spermatid individualization, which is amenable to functional manipulation actin binding protein activity. Combining ultrastructural information about actin organization with high resolution localization of actin-associated proteins under conditions in which we manipulate protein function will help us understand the formation and function of these structures.

Stable actin structures play an important role during a late step of *Drosophila* spermatogenesis, called spermatid individualization. During individualization, a cyst of 64 syncytial spermatids is reorganized into individual mature sperm by membrane remodeling and removal of cytoplasmic contents (Tokuyasu et al. 1972; Fabrizio et al. 1998; Noguchi and Miller 2003). This cellular remodeling is driven by long-lived actin structures called actin cones which travel synchronously along the axonemes from the sperm nuclei to the ends of the tails. The membrane of the cyst is remodeled to enclose each sperm separately. The bulk of the cytoplasm and most organelles are excluded from the individualized spermatids, accumulate in the cystic bulge ahead of the cones and are finally excluded from mature sperm as the waste bag. Previously, we demonstrated that the actin cones are composed of two main

1 domains, a rear region of parallel bundles and a front region of dense meshwork, which are
2 differentially regulated and have different functions (Noguchi et al. 2006, 2008). The bundles
3 are required for cone movement and the meshwork serves a structural role, acting like a
4 bulldozer to exclude the cytoplasm and organelles from the sperm tails. One of the proteins
5 that play a key role in maintaining the proper actin organization in the cones is myosin VI.
6 Myosin VI localizes to the cones' fronts and promotes formation of a dense actin meshwork
7 that grows larger as the cones move (Hicks et al. 1999; Noguchi et al. 2006). In myosin VI
8 mutants, the cones do not accumulate sufficient F-actin, resulting in disruption of their
9 movement before individualization is completed (Noguchi et al. 2006). Moreover, cellular
10 components that are normally eliminated from mature sperm are no longer excluded. Instead,
11 a large amount of cytoplasm remains and some groups of sperm tails are not properly
12 separated by plasma membranes, resulting in male sterility. Myosin VI is also required for
13 proper localization of some actin-binding proteins known to have roles in regulation of actin
14 dynamics (Rogat and Miller 2002; Noguchi et al. 2008). The absence of myosin VI results in
15 impaired distribution of these regulators, suggesting that myosin VI may stabilize the actin
16 cone structure by coordinating the localization of specific actin binding proteins. These
17 findings led us to propose a structural role for myosin VI in *Drosophila* spermatid
18 individualization. However, its precise mechanism of action in this process remains unclear.

19 Myosin VI is a ubiquitously expressed unconventional actin-based molecular motor,
20 which converts energy from ATP hydrolysis into mechanical force. Myosin VI is the only
21 known actin-based motor that moves toward the pointed (minus or slow growing) end of an
22 actin filament, the opposite direction from other characterized myosins (Wells et al. 1999;
23 Ménétreay et al. 2005). The altered structure that mediates backward motility also confers
24 other unusual properties. Under high backward load the movement of myosin VI stalls, and
25 the protein behaves as an anchor, attaching cargos and/or adaptors to actin (Altman et al.
26 2004; Chuan et al. 2011). These atypical properties of myosin VI suggest that this motor
27 protein may have unique cellular functions that are based on its anchoring role (Frank et al.
28 2004; Sweeney and Houdusse 2007, 2010).

29 In this report we carried out an ultrastructural analysis of actin and myosin VI
30 distribution in actin cones. Electron microscopic observations of myosin VI in these highly
31 specialized actin structures has not been previously described. Two different
32 immunocytochemical methods and some modifications of these methods were tested. We
33 show here that a modified pre-embedding immunogold labeling proved to be the best for

1 precise analysis of myosin VI distribution and potentially other important proteins, in actin
2 cones.
3

4 **Materials and Methods**

7 *Fly husbandry and primary culture of cysts*

8
9 *D. melanogaster* were raised on standard cornmeal, agar, and sucrose medium at room
10 temperature. Oregon R was used as the wild-type strain. In vitro culture of elongated cysts
11 isolated from testes dissected from newly enclosed adult males was carried out as previously
12 described (Cross and Shellenbarger 1979; Noguchi and Miller 2003). For this study,
13 individualizing cysts with the cystic bulge positioned between one-fourth and one-third of the
14 cyst length were used.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 *Conventional electron microscopy (EM)*

23 For ultrastructural analysis of actin cones in cystic bulges, isolated individualizing cysts were
24 washed twice with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.0. After washing, each cyst
25 was stuck on a small piece of plastic sheet coated with poly-L-lysine (Thermanox, Electron
26 Microscopy Sciences) by pushing on both sides of the cystic bulge with a thin glass needle.
27 This step allowed the cyst to remain in one plane for later longitudinal sectioning of the actin
28 cones present inside the cystic bulge. The cysts were then fixed with 1.5 % glutaraldehyde
29 (EM grade, Sigma) in PBS for 3 h on ice, washed three times with PBS, postfixed with 1 %
30 osmium tetroxide (OsO₄, Sigma) for 1 h at 4°C, and finally were brought to room temperature
31 and rinsed twice with PBS before processing for EM. The samples were dehydrated through
32 an ethanol series, infiltrated and embedded in Poly/Bed 812 resin using a standard protocol
33 (Polysciences). Longitudinal sections of the cystic bulges (60-70 nm) were cut using a
34 diamond knife (Micro Star Technologies) and a Leica UTC ultramicrotome. Ultrathin sections
35 were stained with 2.5 % uranyl acetate and 0.4 % lead citrate solutions, and examined using a
36 JEOL EM 1010 transmission electron microscope.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 *Myosin II subfragment 1 (S1) fragment decoration*

52 Purification of rabbit skeletal myosin II and preparation of S1 subfragment were carried out
53 using standard methods (Margossian and Lowey 1982). To examine actin cone structure,
54 individualized cysts were selected from cultures and processed for myosin S1 fragment
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 decoration and EM visualization using the procedures described previously (Noguchi et al.
2 2006, 2008).

3 4 5 ***Immunolabeling*** 6

7 Two methods were tested for immunocytochemical actin and myosin VI localizations on actin
8 cones of individualizing cysts at the EM level: post-embedding and pre-embedding
9 immunogold techniques.
10

11 For post-embedding immunogold actin localization, isolated individualizing cysts
12 were washed twice with 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.0. After washing, cysts were stuck on small pieces
13 of plastic sheet as described above. The cysts were then fixed with 4 % formaldehyde (EM
14 grade, Polysciences) in PBS for 30 min. at room temperature, rinsed three times in PBS,
15 dehydrated through an ethanol series, and finally infiltrated and embedded in LR White resin
16 using a standard protocol (Electron Microscopy Sciences). During polymerization of the resin,
17 the small pieces of plastic sheets with cysts stuck to them were put vertically inside gelatin
18 capsules to enable cutting of longitudinal sections. Ultrathin sections were collected on nickel
19 grids covered with 0.3 % Formvar (Sigma). Sections were incubated in blocking solution
20 containing 2 % bovine serum albumin in PBS (BSA, Sigma) for 15 min. at room temperature.
21 Next, sections were kept in 1:50 dilution of a primary, monoclonal mouse anti-actin antibody
22 (JLA20 IgM MAb, Calbiochem) in PBS supplemented with 0.2 % BSA for 1 h and washed
23 three times in PBS, followed by incubation with a secondary antibody (anti-mouse IgG/IgM
24 10 nm, Aurion) diluted 1:100 in PBS with 0.2 % BSA for 1 h at room temperature. The cysts
25 were then washed three times with PBS, postfixed with 1 % glutaraldehyde in PBS for 5 min.,
26 and finally washed several times with PBS and mQ H₂O before staining. Sections were
27 stained with 2.5 % uranyl acetate and examined using transmission electron microscopy.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 For pre-embedding immunogold actin and myosin VI localization, isolated
44 individualizing cysts were washed twice with 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.0. The cysts were then stuck
45 on small pieces of plastic sheets as described above. To improve penetration of the labeling
46 reagents, cell membranes were treated with 0.1 % saponin (Sigma) in PBS for 10 min. For
47 some of the cysts the permeabilizing solution was supplemented with 20 μ M phalloidin
48 (Sigma). After washing twice in PBS, cysts were fixed with 4 % formaldehyde (EM grade,
49 Polysciences) and 0.2 % tannic acid (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 10 min. at room
50 temperature, washed a few times with PBS, and then incubated in blocking solution
51 containing 2 % BSA in PBS for 30 min. at room temperature. The primary mouse MAbs:
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 anti-actin JLA20 IgM or anti-myosin VI 3C7 IgG (Kellerman and Miller 1992) diluted 1:50
2 and 1:20 respectively in PBS with 0.2 % BSA was applied at room temperature for 2 h,
3 followed by overnight incubation at 4°C. The next day, cysts were washed three times in PBS
4 and incubated with secondary antibodies (ultra-small anti-mouse IgG/IgM 0.8 nm, Aurion)
5 diluted 1:100 with PBS supplemented with 0.2 % BSA for 2 h at room temperature. The cysts
6 were then washed with PBS and rinsed twice with sodium phosphate buffer (PB), pH 6.8, and
7 fixed with 1.5 % glutaraldehyde (EM grade, Sigma) in PB for 30 min. at room temperature.
8 After extensive washes in PB, mQ H₂O, and 0.02 M sodium citrate buffer, pH 7.0, the gold
9 particles were amplified using a silver-enhancing kit (Aurion). Silver enhancing was stopped
10 in mQ H₂O. Then the cysts were postfixed with 1 % OsO₄ for 30 min. at room temperature,
11 and finally washed twice in mQ H₂O. The samples were dehydrated, embedded in Poly/Bed
12 812 (Polysciences), cut in longitudinal ultrathin sections, stained and examined under
13 transmission electron microscope as described above for ultrastructural analysis.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Control samples were prepared according to the same protocols for post-embedding
24 and pre-embedding immunogold localizations with exception of incubations with the primary
25 antibodies.
26
27
28

29 Actin and myosin VI staining in actin cones at the light microscope level were
30 examined using the methods described previously (Rogat and Miller 2002; Noguchi and
31 Miller 2003).
32
33
34
35

36 Results

37 *Ultrastructural analysis of actin cones in the individualizing cyst*

38
39 In previous reports, two different methods of sample preparation for electron
40 microscopy were used to examine the process of individualization: myosin II S1 decoration
41 technique and conventional EM (Noguchi et al. 2006, 2008). While both methods revealed
42 some similar features, each was more effective at resolving some features. Using rabbit
43 skeletal muscle myosin II S1 subfragment decoration, it was possible to see actin filament
44 organization. Myosin II S1 decoration is a standard method used to reveal the organization
45 and orientation of actin filaments, because this protein fragment binds at a characteristic
46 angle, making an arrowhead pattern along the filament length and causing filaments to appear
47 thicker. In wild-type *Drosophila* males, fully elongated cysts undergoing individualization
48 contained synchronously moving actin cones composed of two main domains: a rear region of
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 parallel bundles and a front dense meshwork. These different structural and functional
2 domains are easily distinguishable in longitudinal sections of cones examined at the EM level
3 (Fig. **1a-b** and previous reports). The myosin II S1 decoration method very clearly revealed
4 that as the cones moved along the cyst their front meshwork became bigger and much more
5 dense (compare Fig. **1a, b**). The myosin II S1 decoration technique did not preserve the cone
6 membrane because of the relatively strong permeabilization and the decoration step on
7 unfixed material that is required for this technique.
8

9
10
11
12 Conventional EM revealed different features. Each actin cone appears as a triangular
13 grey fibrous area surrounded by individual smooth membrane (Fig. **1c-d**, arrowheads) which
14 connected to the adjacent membranes of neighboring cones at the positions where these
15 membranes appeared to form an inverted 'U' (Fig. **1c**, arrows). This connecting membrane is
16 the place where the process of membrane remodeling is thought to occur during
17 individualization. The F-actin organization present in the structural domains seen by myosin
18 II S1 decoration was difficult to discern when the cysts were fixed according to this
19 procedure. Although the precise actin organization was not clear, the front region of the actin
20 cone contained significantly thicker fibrous material compared to the rear region (Fig. **1d**).
21 These regions correspond to the dense actin meshwork and parallel bundles, respectively,
22 visualized using myosin II S1 decoration (Fig. **1a-b**). Only application of both methods of EM
23 observation allowed a detailed analysis of the individualizing cyst ultrastructure.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 ***The pre-embedding immunogold method is better for antigen localization in actin cones***

36
37
38 To understand the organization and relationship of myosin VI and actin to each other and the
39 membrane, immunolocalization at the EM level was attempted. Two different methods, post-
40 embedding and pre-embedding labeling, with a few additional technical modifications were
41 tested. Actin localization was performed as a specific control in order to develop the best
42 procedure for antibody penetration and reproducible results. The best technique was then used
43 to detect myosin VI, while preserving the highly-organized actin cytoskeleton in these unique
44 structures.
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 First, we examined standard post-embedding immunogold labeling of the isolated
52 cysts using the relatively mild fixation (4 % formaldehyde) generally used for light level
53 immunocytochemistry. This method revealed the expected actin distribution, along the whole
54 length and width of the cones (Fig. **2b**), but the ultrastructural preservation of the filaments
55 was highly unsatisfactory (Fig. **2a-b**). Despite the poor preservation, longitudinal sections of
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the actin cones had the proper triangular shape and were surrounded by cone membranes (Fig.
2 **2a**, small arrows). Axoneme/mitochondria pairs were visible inside the cones, but the distinct
3 F-actin organization in the previously defined structural domains as revealed by myosin II S1
4 decoration was absolutely impossible to discern (Fig. **2a**). At higher magnification, some gold
5 particles were localized adjacent to the cone membrane (Fig. **2b**, arrows). This result
6 indirectly supports the idea that the cone membrane is tightly associated with the actin
7 filaments as might be expected based on actin in other situations.
8
9

10
11
12 To try to simultaneously obtain both good immunolocalization of the antigen and well-
13 preserved cone ultrastructure, a pre-embedding immunogold technique was next tested.
14 Theoretically, this technique should make it possible to obtain suitable preservation of both
15 features, because immunolabeling is performed prior to the strong fixation necessary for
16 preservation of ultrastructure and subsequent embedding in the resin. This technique is
17 therefore a powerful method for subcellular localization of proteins. Despite its advantages,
18 pre-embedding immunolocalization has potentially one general limitation, like possible
19 decreased penetration of immunoreagents even though cell membranes are permeabilized. In
20 the case of individualizing cysts, immunoreagents need to penetrate through two layers, the
21 somatic cyst cells that enclose the entire cyst and the membrane of the syncytial cysts
22 themselves, which surrounds the nuclei, axoneme and actin cone of each spermatid.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 Pre-embedding immunogold localization of actin carried out according to standard
34 protocol on unfixed material and examined in longitudinal sections did not reveal the
35 expected results. Despite the effective penetration of antibodies through the somatic cyst cells
36 and spermatid membranes and proper binding to the epitopes, the actin cytoskeleton was
37 partially disrupted (Fig. **3a-c**). Some empty spaces were visible in both the front and rear
38 domains of the cone (Fig. **3a**, small arrows), suggesting that actin filaments were partially
39 destroyed under the conditions of incubation. This undesirable outcome was not surprising
40 since the actin cytoskeleton is a dynamic structure that can quickly undergo rearrangements or
41 destruction in response to external stimuli, including chemical agents. However, a satisfactory
42 level of labeling was present in small regions of the actin cone where the actin cytoskeleton
43 was well preserved (Fig. **3b-c**), indicating that antibody penetration was excellent. Therefore,
44 we modified the method to obtain better preservation of actin filaments by supplementing the
45 permeabilizing solution with phalloidin, which stabilizes F-actin (Dancker et al. 1975).
46 Additionally, after permeabilization we gently stabilized the cysts with 4 % formaldehyde and
47 0.2 % tannic acid, two fixatives recommended for immuno-cytochemistry. This modified
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 procedure of pre-embedding immunogold localization resulted in good preservation of the
2 actin cytoskeleton and the expected actin distribution (Fig. **4a-c**). Inspection of longitudinal
3 sections of the cones revealed uniform labeling of the two distinct actin organization regions -
4 the dense actin meshwork at the front and the parallel bundles in the rear (Fig. **4a-b**).
5 Moreover, axoneme/mitochondria pairs were visible inside the cones and membranes were
6 sufficiently well preserved. At higher magnification, some gold-silver particles were localized
7 adjacent to the cone membrane (Fig. **4c**, arrows) similar to results obtained using the post-
8 embedding method. Control sections without primary antibody incubation did not reveal any
9 specific labeling within the actin structures, except for a few randomly distributed background
10 particles (data not shown). Taken together, we concluded that the modified pre-embedding
11 immunogold method is suitable for detailed analysis of protein distribution in actin cones at
12 the EM level.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 *Localization of myosin VI in actin cones during individualization at the EM level*

24
25
26 In previous reports, we showed that in individualizing cysts myosin VI localized at the fronts
27 of actin cones and this localization is required for the proper actin cone structure and actin
28 content. In the absence of myosin VI, spermatid individualization was not properly completed
29 and males were sterile (Hicks et al. 1999; Rogat and Miller 2002; Noguchi et al. 2006). In
30 myosin VI mutants, other actin-binding proteins were disorganized. These findings led us to
31 propose a structural role for myosin VI in *Drosophila* spermatogenesis, but verification of this
32 hypothesis required knowing the precise localization of myosin VI. Immunofluorescence and
33 GFP-myosin VI localization studies revealed that in moving actin cones, myosin VI localized
34 as a tight band at the front of the cones (Fig. **5a-c** and previous reports). This localization of
35 myosin VI was confirmed in this work at the EM level using the modified pre-embedding
36 immunogold technique. Specific labeling was mainly found in the front meshwork (Fig. **6a-c**).
37 As the cones moved along the cyst myosin VI was concentrated at the extreme leading edge
38 of the actin cone (Fig. **6b**, arrows). However, a much-reduced concentration of gold-silver
39 labeling was also visible in the rear domain of parallel actin bundles (Fig. **6a**). It was not so
40 apparent using fluorescence microscopy. Longitudinal serial sections through the actin cones
41 showed that myosin VI was not localized as a ring at the base of the cone but was present in
42 the whole area filled with actin meshwork (data not shown). In this domain, label was
43 localized preferentially in the regions where branched actin filaments were most concentrated
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

(Fig. **6b-c**). In the sections showing well-preserved cone membrane, labeling was not found directly at the membrane, as was seen when actin was localized. In addition, no gold-silver particles were detected adjacent to the membrane that connected neighboring cones (Fig. **6b-c**, double arrows). These data show that myosin VI is primarily associated with the F-actin forming the front meshwork, supporting its structural role in actin organization. The lack of labeling associated with the membranes suggested that this protein is not involved directly in the membrane remodeling which occurs during spermatid individualization. Control sections without primary antibody incubation did not reveal any specific labeling, except for a few randomly distributed background particles (Fig. **6d**).

Discussion

Some previous reports have shown immunocytochemical localization of myosin VI at the EM level using conventional post-embedding immunogold techniques. Most of them revealed the presence of this protein in the regions near the plasma and intracellular membrane structures such as Golgi complex, recycling endosome, and various vesicles involved in endocytosis and secretion or occurring in the active synaptic zone (Hasson et al. 1997; Buss et al. 2001; Warner et al. 2003; Rzadzinska et al. 2004; Morriswood et al. 2007; Sobczak et al. 2008; Roux et al. 2009; Puri et al. 2010). In these post-embedding experiments, the efficiency of labeling was satisfactory, but actin structures were not visible. Therefore, application of this technique was not suitable for the localization of proteins within the highly organized F-actin structures mediating spermatid individualization in *Drosophila*.

In the present study, a novel labeling technique was developed using ultra-small gold particles and a modified pre-embedding methodology to visualize localization of myosin VI in high-organized actin cones. Since the current protocol allowed us to combine selective immunolabeling with a good ultrastructural preservation, we could localize the distribution of myosin VI relative to the distinct structural domains of the cones. We are confident that the method we have developed results in labeling that reflects the actual myosin VI localization on actin cones for three reasons. We tested this method first for actin localization and compared pattern of labeling with a standard post-embedding technique. Actin labeling pattern was analogous using both methods, with uniform actin distribution over the whole cone and the presence of some labeling adjacent to the cone membrane. Second, our observations of myosin VI distribution using pre-embedding immunogold technique are in excellent general agreement with the results obtained in immunofluorescence and GFP-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

myosin VI localization experiments. However, ultrastructural localization revealed an aspect of myosin VI localization which was not detected in light microscopic observations: that a small amount of signal was associated with the rear of the cones, in the region of parallel bundles. Finally, this new protocol preserves the actin cytoskeleton of the cone in a pattern similar to that produced by the myosin II S1 decoration technique. Thus, this method should be suitable for preserving actin structures and allowing immunolocalization relative to these structures in other contexts.

Based on our previous and present studies, we suggest that myosin VI acts as an anchor during spermatid individualization to stabilize actin cones, protecting the pointed ends of the filaments from depolymerizing factors (Noguchi et al. 2006) or by recruiting and tethering unidentified proteins or protein complexes necessary for regulation of actin dynamics at the fronts of the cones (Rogat and Miller 2002; Noguchi et al. 2006). Our present results demonstrate that either of these hypotheses might be valid. The specific gold-silver labeling indicates that as the cones move along the cyst and their front meshwork became bigger myosin VI is highly concentrated at the extreme leading edge of the actin cone. This specific myosin VI localization might reflect its possible accumulation near the minus ends of actin filaments, since we previously showed that most filaments are oriented with their pointed ends facing the front of the actin cone (Noguchi et al. 2006). Also, the unique ability of myosin VI to move toward the minus end of actin filament (Wells et al. 1999; Ménétrey et al. 2005) is consistent with a myosin VI translocation to extreme front of actin cone.

We showed here that in the front meshwork of functional actin cone myosin VI-immunoreactivity preferentially labeled regions where the dense meshwork of branched actin filaments were most concentrated. These areas are likely to be zones of active actin assembly. Some actin-binding proteins known to have important roles in regulation of actin assembly, such as the Arp2/3 actin nucleation complex and its activator, cortactin, also localized to the front domain of the actin cone (Rogat and Miller 2002; Noguchi et al. 2008). In myosin VI mutants, cones do not accumulate sufficient F-actin to exclude cytoplasmic contents during movement (Hicks et al. 1999; Noguchi et al. 2006) and asymmetric distribution of actin regulators is disrupted (Rogat and Miller 2002; Isaji et al. unpublished data). Thus, myosin VI could mediate recruitment to and retention of these and/or other important proteins at the fronts of actin cones in places where their activities are required. This idea is consistent with myosin VI's ability to act as a load-dependent anchor in vitro (Altman et al. 2004). But so far none of the proteins that have been studied appear to be a direct partner of myosin VI during

1 spermatid individualization in *Drosophila*. However, the modified pre-embedding
2 immunogold method described here offers the excellent possibility for studies of putative
3 myosin VI interacting proteins to observe their precise localizations relative to myosin VI.
4

5 None of our present and previous data support the idea that myosin VI functions as a
6 transporter involved in endo- or exocytosis during *Drosophila* spermatid individualization.
7 Cellular reorganization during this process separates a cyst of 64 syncytial spermatids into
8 individual sperm each surrounded by a single membrane. Myosin VI is at the extreme leading
9 edge of the synchronously moving cones. This location places this protein in an ideal position
10 to link sites of membrane remodeling to actin dynamics. We originally hypothesized this
11 region might either be an area of high endocytic membrane trafficking or a region where
12 newly synthesized membrane and cytoskeleton components are delivered. However, we could
13 not see any myosin VI labeling adjacent to the membranes along the length of the cone or
14 connecting neighboring cones. These results are constant with our previous reports, which
15 provided no support for endo- or exocytosis activities around the actin cones (Rogat and
16 Miller 2002; Noguchi and Miller 2003). Thus, myosin VI is unlikely to play a direct role in
17 membrane remodeling.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 This new pre-embedding method allows the simultaneous observation of antigen
30 distribution, actin ultrastructure, membrane organization, and other features. The technique
31 should be applicable to other types of actin structures and cell types. Revealing the precise
32 relationships between actin regulatory proteins, motor cargoes, and different filament
33 arrangements should increase our understanding of how the many different types of actin
34 structure found in differentiated cells are formed, are maintained, and function.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Conflict of interest** None.
43
44

45 **Acknowledgments**

46 We thank Michał Świdziński and Olga Narbutt for technical assistance, and Deborah J Frank
47 for critical reading of this manuscript. This work was supported by a grant from Polish
48 Ministry of Science and Higher Education, grant number N N303 816240 and by National
49 Institutes of Health Grant R01GM-60494 (to K.G.M.).
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **References**

57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Altman D, Sweeney HL, Spudich JA (2004) The mechanism of myosin VI translocation and
2 its load-induced anchoring. *Cell* 116:737-749
- 3 Asano Y, Mabuchi I (2001) Calyculin-A, an inhibitor for protein phosphatases, induces
4 cortical contraction in unfertilized sea urchin eggs. *Cell Motil Cyto* 48:245-261
- 5
6
7 Buss F, Arden SD, Lindsay M, Luzio JP, Kendrick-Jones J (2001) Myosin VI isoform
8 localized to clathrin-coated vesicles with a role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis.
9 *EMBO J* 20:3676–3684
- 10
11
12 Chuan P, Spudich JA, Dunn AR (2011) Robust mechanosensing and tension generation by
13 myosin VI. *J Mol Biol* 405:105-112
- 14
15
16 Cross DP, Shellenbarger DL (1979) The dynamics of *Drosophila melanogaster*
17 spermatogenesis in in vitro cultures. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 53:345-351
- 18
19
20 Dancker P, Löw I, Hasselbach W, Wieland T (1975) Interaction of actin with phalloidin:
21 polymerization and stabilization of F-actin. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 400:407-14
- 22
23
24 Fabrizio JJ, Hime G, Lemmon SK, Bazinet C (1998) Genetic dissection of sperm
25 individualization in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Development* 125:1833-1843
- 26
27
28 Frank DJ, Noguchi T, Miller KG (2004) Myosin VI: a structural role in actin organization
29 important for protein and organelle localization and trafficking. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*
30 16:189-194
- 31
32
33 Furness DN, Katori Y, Mahendrasingam S, Hackney CM (2005) Differential distribution of
34 β - and γ -actin in guinea-pig cochlear sensory and supporting cells. *Hear Res* 207:22-
35 34
- 36
37
38 Hasson T, Gillespie PG, Garcia JA, MacDonald RB, Zhao Y, Yee AG, Mooseker MS, Corey
39 DP (1997) Unconventional myosins in inner-ear sensory epithelia. *J Cell Biol*
40 137:1287–1307
- 41
42
43 Hicks JL, Deng WM, Rogat AD, Miller KG, Bownes M (1999) Class VI unconventional
44 myosin VI is required for spermatogenesis in *Drosophila*. *Mol Biol Cell* 10:4341-4353
- 45
46
47 Kellerman KA, Miller KG (1992) An unconventional myosin heavy chain gene from
48 *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Cell Biol* 119:823-834
- 49
50
51 Marchelletta RR, Jakobs DT, Schechter JE, Cheney RE, Hamm-Alvares SF (2008) The class
52 V myosin motor, myosin 5c, localizes to mature secretory vesicles and facilitates
53 exocytosis in lacrimal acini. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 295:C13-C28
- 54
55
56 Margossian SS, Lowey S (1982) Preparation of myosin and its subfragments from rabbit
57 skeletal muscle. *Methods Enzymol* 85B:55-71
- 58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Ménétreay J, Bahloul A, Wells AL, Yengo CM, Morris CA, Sweeney HL, Houdusse A (2005)
2 The structure of the myosin VI motor reveals the mechanism of directionality reversal.
3 Nature 435:779-785
4
- 5 Morriswood B, Ryzhakov G, Puri C, Arden SD, Roberts R, Dendrou C, Kendrick-Jones J,
6 Buss F (2007) T6BP and NDP52 are myosin VI binding partners with potential roles
7 in cytokine signaling and cell adhesion. J Cell Sci 120:2574-2585
8
9
- 10 Noguchi T, Lenartowska M, Miller KG (2006) Myosin VI stabilizes an actin network during
11 *Drosophila* spermatid individualization. Mol Biol Cell 17: 2559-2571
12
13
- 14 Noguchi T, Lenartowska M, Rogat AD, Frank DJ, Miller KG (2008) Proper cellular
15 organization during *Drosophila* individualization depends on actin structures
16 composed of two domains, bundles and meshwork, that are differentially regulated
17 and have different functions. Mol Biol Cell 19:2363-2372
18
19
- 20 Noguchi T, Miller KG (2003) A role of actin dynamics in individualization during
21 spermatogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Development 130:1805-1816
22
23
- 24 Puri C, Chibalina MV, Arden SD, Kruppa A, Kendrick-Jones J, Buss F (2010)
25 Overexpression of myosin VI in prostate cancer cells enhances PSA and VEGF
26 secretion, but has no effect on endocytosis. Oncogene 29:188-2000
27
28
- 29 Rogat AD, Miller KG (2002) A role for myosin VI in actin dynamics at sites of membrane
30 remodeling during *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. J Cell Sci 115:4855-4865
31
32
- 33 Roux I, Hosie S, Johnson SL, Bahloul A, Cayet N, Nouaille S, Kros CJ, Petit C, Safieddine S
34 (2009) Myosin VI is required for the proper maturation and function of inner hair cell
35 ribbon synapses. Hum Mol Gen 18:4615-4628
36
37
- 38 Rzadzinska AK, Schneider ME, Davies C, Riordan GP, Kachar B (2004) An actin molecular
39 treadmill and myosins maintain stereocilia architecture and self-renewal. J Cell Biol
40 164:887-897
41
42
- 43 Sobczak M, Wasik A, Kłopočka W, Rędowicz MJ (2008) Involvement of myosin VI
44 immunoanalog in pinocytosis and phagocytosis in *Amoeba proteus*. Biochem Cell Biol
45 86:509-519
46
47
- 48 Stromer MH, Mayes MS, Bellin RM (2002) Use of actin isoform-specific antibodies to probe
49 the domain structure in three smooth muscles. Histochem Cell Biol 118:291-299
50
51
- 52 Sweeney HL, Houdusse A (2007) What can myosin VI do in cells? Curr Opin Cell Biol
53 19:57-66
54
55
- 56 Sweeney HL, Houdusse A (2010) Myosin VI rewrites the rules for myosin motors. Cell
57 141:573-582
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Tokuyasu KT, Peacock WJ, Hardy RW (1972) Dynamics of spermatogenesis in *Drosophila*
2 *melanogaster*. I. Individualization process. *Z Zelloforsch Mikrosk Anat* 124:479-506

3 Warner CL, Stewart A, Luzio JP, Steel KP, Libby RT, Kendrick-Jones J, Buss F (2003) Loss
4 of myosin VI reduces secretion and the size of the Golgi in fibroblasts from Snell's
5 waltzer mice. *EMBO J* 22: 569-579

6
7
8 Wells AL, Lin AW, Chen LQ, Safer D, Cain SM, Hasson T, Carragher BO, Milligan RA,
9 Sweeney HL (1999) Myosin VI is an actin-based motor that moves backwards. *Nature*
10 401:505-508
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Figure legends**

17
18 **Fig. 1** Ultrastructure of individualizing actin cones. Different important details of the actin
19 cones' ultrastructure were visualized in longitudinal sections of actin cones decorated with
20 myosin II S1 subfragment (**a-b**) and fixed using a standard fixation procedure for
21 conventional EM (**c-d**). The cones shown in **b** and **d** are at a later stage than the cones shown
22 in **a** and **c**. Large *arrow* in **c** indicates the direction of the cones' movement, small *arrows* in **c**
23 indicate the membrane connecting neighboring cones, and *arrow-heads* on **c** and **d** indicate
24 the individual spermatid membrane; *ax*, axoneme; *mi*, mitochondria. *Bars* 1 μm .
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Fig. 2** Post-embedding immunogold localization of actin in the cones; **b** is a higher
33 magnification of the *insert* (**i**) marked on **a**. Large *arrow* in **a** indicates the direction of the
34 cones movement, small *arrows* indicate the individual cone membrane (**a**) and actin labeling
35 adjacent to the cone membrane (**b**); *ax*, *axoneme*; *mi*, *mitochondria*. *Bars* 1 μm (**a**) and 200
36 nm (**b**).
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Fig. 3** Standard pre-embedding immunogold localization of actin in the cones; **b** and **c** are
43 higher magnifications of the *inserts* (**i** and **ii**, respectively) marked on **a**. Large *arrow* in **a**
44 indicates the direction on the cone movement, small *arrows* in **a** indicate some empty spaces
45 where actin filaments were destroyed during sample preparation; *ax*, *axoneme*; *mi*,
46 *mitochondria*. *Bars* 1 μm (**a**) and 200 nm (**b-c**).
47
48
49
50
51

52 **Fig. 4** Modified pre-embedding immunogold localization of actin in the cones. Large *arrow* in
53 **a** indicates the direction on the cone movement, small *arrows* in **c** indicate actin labeling
54 adjacent to the cone membrane; *ax*, *axoneme*; *mi*, *mitochondria*. *Bars* 1 μm (**a-b**) and 200 nm
55 (**c**).
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Fig. 5** Double labeling of myosin VI and actin in the actin cones at the light microscope level.
2 Myosin VI immunolocalization is in green and actin phalloidin staining is in red. *Bars* 10 μm .
3

4 **Fig. 6** Modified pre-embedding immunogold localization of myosin VI in the actin cones. The
5 cone shown in **a** is at an earlier stage than the cones shown in **b-c**. Large *arrow* in **a** indicates
6 the direction on the cones movement, small *arrows* in **b** indicate myosin VI concentrated at
7 the extreme edge of the actin cone front domain, and double arrows in **b-c** indicate the
8 membrane connecting neighboring cones. Control section (**d**) did not reveal any specific
9 labeling within the actin structures, except for a few randomly distributed background
10 particles; ax, *axoneme*; mi, *mitochondria*. *Bars* 1 μm (**a, d**) and 200 nm (**b-c**).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 6 revised
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

