

**Overview:** As conquest and systematic colonization have almost destroyed indigenous food-systems in the United States, as noted in the manuscript, the topic in question is of relevance. Furthermore, the fact that these discussions are taking place in the heart of academia, shows that the efforts, undertaken by the Native American community and, particularly, a formalized Native-centered institution, its bearing its fruits. For this reason, I believe that the lessons of “Reviving Traditional Native American Food with Hunt, Fish. Gather. Program” have to be reported in the literature and further promoted in the future.

**General comments:**

- The manuscript presents the case nicely and well substantiated.
- Questions are clearly posed and its answers provided.
- It is suggested to present upfront what where the circumstances/limitations (time, money, others) to justify the study design.
- As the H.F.G. program takes place within an Academic environment, it will be important to mention the limits and opportunities of the program (if these efforts are expected to be reflected in related research, programming, changes of food policy within campus, further discussions, etc.)
- In the “limitations and recommendations” section, it will be important to provide overall recommendations to keep promoting an awareness of the traditional Native American food-systems.
- In the conclusions, it will be good to see next steps and/or the efforts that are needed to keep reviving Native American food-systems.

**Specific comments:**

- As a one-shot design (one survey at the end of the intervention), the qualitative component (the open ended-questions) should be presented if possible to complement the results (not only in the limitations and recommendations section).
- It will be important to provide evidence for the statement “size was representative for the WUSTL population”
- In the fourth paragraph of the “limitation and recommendations” section, there is a misuse of the word “impact” (which represents a medium to long term stable change compared to a previous situation). I am inferring that the word that is intended in the paragraph is “effect” (a measurable change compared to the previous situation).

**Summary:** After addressing general and specific comments, I would believe that the paper will be ready for publication, constituting a good contribution for academic and community efforts to revive indigenous food-systems.