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ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION
Mechanisms and Consequences of Microtubule-Based Symmetry Breaking in Plant Roots

by
Natasha Bilkey
Doctor of Philosophy in Biology and Biomedical Sciences
Plant and Microbial Biosciences
Washington University in St. Louis, 2023
Professor Ram Dixit, Chair

Directional growth in plants is primarily determined by the axis of cell expansion, which is specified by the net orientation of cortical microtubules. Microtubules guide the deposition of cellulose and other cell wall materials. In rapidly elongating cells, transversely oriented microtubules create material anisotropy in the cell wall that prevents radial cell expansion, channeling cell expansion in the longitudinal direction. Mutations perturbing microtubule organization frequently lead to aberrant cell growth in land plants, with some mutations leading to helical growth patterns (called ‘twisted mutants’), often in roots. This phenotype manifests as right-handed or left-handed twisting of cell files along the long axis of plant organs, which correlates with rightward or leftward organ growth, respectively. Helical growth is a common occurrence in the plant kingdom and serves a variety of purposes, but the molecular mechanisms that produce helical growth and define handedness are not well understood. Furthermore, how molecular-level processes propagate across spatial scales to control organ-level growth is undefined. Here, I used the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana as an experimentally tractable system, focusing on the root organ to study the mechanisms underlying helical growth in plants.
In this work, I used roots as a model plant organ to investigate the molecular mechanisms that control symmetry maintenance and symmetry breaking in plants. Arabidopsis roots are ideally suited for this work because of their simple, concentric ring-like cellular anatomy and well-defined process of development. I selected two Arabidopsis twisted mutants with opposite chirality to study whether the emergence of right-handed and left-handed helical growth involves conserved or distinct mechanisms. Cortical microtubules are skewed in the right-handed spr1 mutant, which lacks a microtubule plus end-associated protein that regulates polymerization dynamics. In contrast, cortical microtubules tend to be laterally displaced in the left-handed cmu1 mutant, which lacks a protein that contributes to the attachment of cortical microtubules to the plasma membrane. Using a cell-type specific complementation approach, I showed that both SPR1 and CMU1 gene expression in the epidermis alone is sufficient to maintain wild-type-like straight cell files and root growth. In addition, epidermal expression of SPR1 restores both the morphology and skew of the cortical cell file to wild-type-like. By genetically disrupting cell-cell adhesion in the spr1 mutant, I found that a physical connection between epidermal and cortical cells is required for the epidermis to cause organ-level skewed growth. Together, these data demonstrate that the epidermis plays a central role in maintaining straight root growth, suggesting that twisted plant growth in nature could arise by altering microtubule behavior in the epidermis alone and does not require null alleles in all cells.

To examine whether cortical microtubule defects in the spr1-3 mutant affect cell growth, I conducted morphometry analysis. I found that while skewed cortical microtubule orientation correlates with asymmetric epidermal cell morphology and growth in the spr1-3 mutant root meristem, cell file twisting is not manifested until the differentiation zone of the root where cell growth slows down and root hairs emerge. Furthermore, I demonstrated that cell file twisting is
not sufficient to generate skewed growth at the organ level, which requires that the root is grown on an agar medium, a mechanically heterogeneous environment. Increasing the stiffness of the agar medium caused the sprl-3 and cmu1 mutant roots to grow straight, indicating that mechanical stimuli influence twisted root growth. Despite their important role in root anchorage, root hairs on the epidermis are not required for skewed root growth, nor for reorienting root skewing in response to changes in the mechanical environment. Overall, this work provides new insights into how symmetry breaking affects root mechanoresponse.

Spatial heterogeneity in the composition and organization of the plant cell wall affects its mechanics to control cell shape and directional growth. In the last chapter of this work, I describe a new methodology for imaging plant primary cell walls at the nanoscale using atomic force microscopy coupled with infrared spectroscopy (AFM-IR). I contributed to generating a novel sample preparation technique and employed AFM-IR and spectral deconvolution to generate high-resolution spatial maps of the mechanochemical signatures of the Arabidopsis epidermal cell wall. Cross-correlation analysis of the spatial distribution of chemical and mechanical properties suggested that the carbohydrate composition of cell wall junctions correlates with increased local stiffness. In developing this methodology, this chapter provides an essential foundation for applying AFM-IR to understand the complex mechanochemistry of intact plant cell walls at nanometer resolution.
Chapter 1: Introduction

Biological symmetry and asymmetry are widely prevalent across spatial scales and kingdoms. Asymmetry in plants is often presented as helical growth, such as twisted tree trunks and coiling tendrils that provide structural rigidity, and circular movement of growing roots to better explore the soil environment. The cortical microtubule cytoskeleton orchestrates plant growth directionality, but exactly how molecular changes at the microtubule level propagate across cell and tissue scales to control organ-level growth is yet unclear. The thesis presented here explores the cellular and tissue-scale mechanisms underlying symmetry maintenance and the consequences of fixed asymmetry in plant roots.

1.1 Symmetry Breaking in Biology

1.1.1 Symmetry and Symmetry Breaking

Symmetry comes from the Greek word ‘simmetria,’ meaning good proportions and harmony (Darvas, 2007), but a more modern definition is ‘invariance under specified transformations’ (Brading et al., 2021; Merriam-Webster, 2023). Ancient Greeks admired the beauty and harmony in symmetry and emphasized it through artwork and architecture (Simon Mittman, 2019). Mathematicians and physicists are excited by hidden symmetries in the laws of nature that enable a deeper understanding of forces and particle behavior (Macleod, 2015; What’s so Special about the Higgs Boson?, 2023). In biology, symmetry exists across all scales. At the nanoscale, symmetry is present in numerous molecular structures, including H₂O molecules and many oligomeric proteins (Kellman, 1996; Goodsell & Olson, 2000; André et al.,
The evolution of multicellularity eventually led to symmetry at a larger scale, and there are diverse types of symmetry seen at the organ and whole organism levels. Plant tissues (roots and shoots) and fungi are often radially symmetric, which enables these organisms to respond to environmental forces from every direction (Holló & Novák, 2012). Bilateral symmetry, which evolved about 600 million years ago (Hecht, 2004), is necessary for mobility in animals (Holló & Novák, 2012).

The prevalence of symmetry in biology is likely matched by the occurrence of symmetry breaking, which is found across the molecular to organism scales and in both unicellular and multicellular organisms (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020; Huang et al., 2012; Inaki et al., 2016; Smyth, 2016). Molecular asymmetry is presented in multiple ways. One form is a difference in electrical charge across the molecule (i.e., polarity or dipole moment), including in H\textsubscript{2}O molecules and certain proteins. Another type of asymmetry is exemplified by cytoskeletal filaments such as actin filaments and microtubules, which are formed by the head-to-tail polymerization of subunits, leading to a structural polarity that is important for force generation (Mullins, 2010). Molecules can also exhibit asymmetry in the form of chiral structures. Molecules can also exhibit asymmetry in the form of chiral structures. Nearly all amino acids (except glycine) are chiral, which can subsequently induce chirality at the protein level in the form of helices and other handed higher-order structures (Efimov, 2018). Asymmetries at the cellular level serve important roles in organismal development. In bacterial cells, the polar localization of some proteins is carefully orchestrated and essential for a variety of functions, including signal transduction, pili assembly, and flagella formation (Shapiro et al., 2002). In plant cells, polar transport of the phytohormone auxin is important for various tropic growth
responses and organogenesis a process mediated by the asymmetric distribution of auxin transport proteins such as the PIN family auxin efflux carriers (Adamowski & Friml, 2015).

Symmetry breaking is fundamental for mammalian development. The helical alignment of the heart’s musculature induces an overall helical shape (Buckberg, 2002), which is important for appropriate cardiac function as evidenced by diseases that arise due to more circumferential tissue alignment (Chang et al., 2022). The left-right asymmetric arrangement of organs in mammals is determined at the embryonic stage by the handedness of ciliary-guided fluid flow (Nonaka et al., 1998; Y. Okada et al., 1999; Takeda et al., 1999; Hirokawa et al., 2009). Large-scale morphological asymmetries are also widely prevalent in plants and serve a variety of purposes, from improved structural support to effective seed dispersal (Smyth, 2016). In summary, the maintenance and breaking of symmetry are widespread and functionally relevant across kingdoms. While asymmetry evolved independently in prokaryotes, plants, and animals, its molecular origin often traces back to cytoskeletal activities (Henley, 2012).

1.1.2 The Cytoskeleton’s Role in Symmetry Breaking

The cytoskeleton is a dynamic network of filaments within a cell that guide the transport of proteins and vesicles, generate forces on organelles and membranes, and provide structural support. The three major components of the cytoskeleton are microtubules, filamentous actin (F-actin), and intermediate filaments (Pollard & Goldman, 2018). While only animals developed intermediate filaments, homologous forms of microtubules and actin exist in all three kingdoms (Pollard & Goldman, 2018). All three polymers have distinct mechanical properties and functions. F-actin is the thinnest cytoskeletal element (6 nm diameter), a highly flexible helix of polymerized globular actin (G-actin) subunits. In contrast, microtubules are thicker (25 nm
diameter), hollow tube-like structures consisting of laterally associated linear protofilaments formed by the polymerization of αβ-tubulin heterodimers. Both F-actin and microtubules have intrinsic polarity with one end being more dynamic than the other (‘barbed’ end for F-actin, plus end for microtubules), which is important for directing motor protein movement (Pollard & Goldman, 2018). Intermediate filaments (10 nm diameter) are highly flexible, braided polymers of polypeptide tetramers that stack with opposing orientations, resulting in no net polarity and no association with motor proteins (Pollard & Goldman, 2018).

In bacteria such as Helicobacter pylori, generation of the naturally occurring helical cell shape involves cytoskeletal filaments called bactofilin that are highly stable (Deng et al., 2019; Sichel et al., 2022), unlike dynamic actin and microtubule filaments. The helical shape of H. pylori is essential for its ability to colonize the stomach, however, the underlying mechanism is unclear (Sycuro et al., 2010) since helical cell shape does not aid in bacterial motility (Padgett et al., 1983; Constantino et al., 2016). Escherichia coli, a rod-shaped bacteria, naturally elongates in a chiral manner due to helical ordering of the MreB cytoskeleton (Varma et al., 2007; S. Wang et al., 2012), a homolog of the eukaryotic actin that guides the local insertion of cell wall material.

In animals, handedness is determined at the embryonic stage by both the actomyosin and microtubule cytoskeletal systems. For example, the myosin 1D (Myo1D) actin motor protein is an evolutionarily conserved determinant of left-right symmetry in animals (Juan et al., 2018). Drosophila melanogaster mutants lacking Myo1D exhibit reversed orientation of visceral organs (Hozumi et al., 2006; Spéder et al., 2006). Overexpression of Myo1D in the epidermis of D. melanogaster larva induces right-handed twisting of the whole larval body (Lebreton et al., 2018). Myo1D likely induces organismal-level torsion through chiral molecular interactions with
actin filaments that lead to chiral cell and organ morphologies (Lebreton et al., 2018). Control of the left-right asymmetric arrangement of organs also involves kinesin family members (KIF), which are microtubule-associated motor proteins essential for ciliary assembly (Hirokawa et al., 2009; Cullen et al., 2021). Mutations in Kif genes affect ciliary morphology and motility (Nonaka et al., 1998; Y. Okada et al., 1999; Takeda et al., 1999; Hirokawa et al., 2009). Cilia in Kif3 mutant mice move rotationally, as opposed to beating in a wavelike manner in wild-type mice, which thus inverses the handedness of fluid flow (Hirokawa et al., 2009). As a result, Kif3 mutant mice exhibit a reversed left-right asymmetry in organ arrangement, a disease called ‘situs inversus’ when it occurs in humans (Mishra et al., 2012).

Handedness in plant development often manifests as helical or chiral growth patterns in aerial tissues, which are widely prevalent across plant species and organs (Smyth, 2016). In contrast to animals, the origin of handedness in plants typically involves the microtubule cytoskeleton (Nakamura & Hashimoto, 2020), with a few notable exceptions involving cell wall modifications (Reiter et al., 1997; Saffer et al., 2017; Schindelman et al., 2001; Sedbrook et al., 2002). How microtubule-level modifications generate helical growth at the organ and whole plant level is not fully understood and will be explored in the section below.

1.2 Helical Growth in Plants

1.2.1 A Historical Perspective

Helical shapes and motions of plants have been appreciated by biologists for over 150 years. Charles Darwin was amongst the first (preceded by Asa Gray in 1858 and Ludwig H. Palm and Hugo von Mohl in 1827) to observe and experimentally test the prevalence and relevance of helical plant growth. In his notable publication, *On the Movement and Habits of*
Climbing Plants, Darwin extensively documents the movements of over one hundred plants he classified as spirally twining, leaf climbers, and tendril bearers (Darwin, 1865). Darwin was fascinated by the helical motion of plant tips and its correlation with the axial twisting of the plant organ itself. Mohl considered that twining plants were ‘irritable,’ in that they bend toward any object they touch, but Darwin disproved this by rubbing tendrils to excite movement and finding no result (Darwin, 1865). Darwin also disputed that axial torsion is the cause of plant twining, and listed several examples of tendril-bearing plants that do not twist (e.g., Pisum sativum, Echinocystis lobata, Bigonia capreolata) (Darwin, 1865).

To date, the molecular mechanisms of axial twisting in plant organs are not fully understood, nor how organ twisting contributes to helical growth. However, significant technological advancements since Darwin’s time have helped address these knowledge gaps. Modern approaches to studying helical plant growth employ timelapse imaging, as seen in recent work on maize (Del Dottore et al., 2017) and rice (I. Taylor et al., 2021) root circumnutation, which uncovered HISTIDINE KINASE 1 as a necessary gene for this helical growth phenomenon (I. Taylor et al., 2021). High-resolution microscopy is used to visualize twisted cell files and cellular morphologies (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020), which also allows for three-dimensional reconstruction and analysis of organ structure (Otsuka & Tsukaya, 2021). The model plant Arabidopsis thaliana has proved a useful tool for studying chiral growth in recent decades given the dozens of characterized mutants exhibiting twisted growth in various organs (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020). These Arabidopsis mutants have pointed to clear roles for the microtubule cytoskeleton and cell wall in twisted growth, and this will be explored further in Section 1.5.
1.2.2 The Purpose of Helical Growth

Helical growth, often observed in aerial tissues (stems, leaves, tendrils, flowers), is widespread in the plant kingdom, including examples in the fossil record (Zhou et al., 2019), but what is the purpose of this developmental phenomenon? Darwin considered that the helical morphology serves a structural purpose, in that stems twine to gain rigidity and carry their own weight (Darwin, 1865). Tendrils, found in grapevines and Cucurbita, coil around supports to facilitate the growth of climbing plants (Smyth, 2016). However, structural integrity is not the only functional purpose of helical growth. Some plants have helically-arranged petals (e.g., Norfolk Island hibiscus), which may serve to attract pollinators (Endress, 1999). There are numerous examples of spiral-shaped seed pods (e.g., *Medicago orbicularis*) and seed pods that spin as they float down (e.g., *Ginkgo biloba*, with helically-orientated cellulose microfibrils in the seed shell (Y. Zhang et al., 2022)), perhaps to facilitate seed dispersal. Many leaves and petals resupinate, or twist by approximately 180° during opening, and while the purpose of this is largely unknown (Smyth, 2016), it may serve as a protective advantage during development or to position petals for pollinator landing (Darwin, 1862).

Naturally-occurring helical growth in plants is most commonly observed in aerial tissues, but helical growth can also occur below ground in the root system. Roots circumnutate at their tips to explore and penetrate the surrounding soil environment (Migliaccio et al., 2009; I. Taylor et al., 2021). Charles and Francis Darwin first describe root circumnutation in their 1880 book, *The Power of Movement in Plants* (Darwin et al., 1880). This movement at each independent root tip leads to a complex root system architecture that stretches deep into the soil and across long distances, acquiring water and nutrients and providing structural stability.
1.3 The Plant Root System

1.3.1 Root System Function and Development

From food crops to giant sequoias that reach over 80 meters high (U.S. National Park Service, 2021), proper root system development is essential for plant health. To understand root system development, which can span meters, scientists often scale down their field of view to focus on the root tip, a few millimeters in length. At the cell level, the root tip is highly symmetrical, and the genetic and molecular mechanisms defining its development are well understood (Figure 1.3.1. *Arabidopsis thaliana* (‘Arabidopsis’) is a commonly-used model plant for studying roots given its highly reduced cellular architecture consisting of an epidermis, cortex, endodermis, pericycle, and stele tissue (Scheres & Wolkenfelt, 1998; Benfey & Scheres, 2000; Petricka et al., 2012). Roots of young (3-day-old) Arabidopsis seedlings are about ~1 cm in length, and with their well-defined anatomy, are highly amenable to quantitative morphological analysis. The epidermal cell layer is in direct contact with the surrounding environment and produces hair-like protrusions in specific cells (called trichoblasts) to improve anchorage and increase surface area for nutrient uptake (Datta et al., 2011). The stele contains vasculature elements (xylem, protoxylem, metaxylem) that transport water and nutrients to and from the shoot. Cell layers surrounding the stele are radially symmetric, but the stele tissue is bilaterally symmetric, with vasculature elements at the center axis (Petricka et al., 2012).
Cell division and cell fate determination occur at the root apical meristem (RAM). The RAM consists of undifferentiated stem cells that give rise to the different cell layers of the root. Within the RAM exists a set of less mitotically-active cells, called the quiescent center (QC), which uses short-range signaling mechanisms to maintain stem cell identity of surrounding cells (van den Berg et al., 1997). Cortical and endodermal initial cells are part of this stem cell niche and give rise to these cell layers through tight spatiotemporal regulation of transcription factor (SHORTROOT and SCARECROW) signaling and periclinal cell division that defines cell identities (Benfey et al., 1993; Helariutta et al., 2000). Epidermis and lateral root cap initial cells are positioned laterally to the quiescent center and, like the cortical and endodermal initials, give rise to these cell layers through transcription factor (FEZ and SOMBRERO) signaling and periclinal cell division (Willemsen et al., 2008).
After cell division and cell fate are determined, RAM cells enter a ‘transition zone’ of slow growth, where cells are morphologically isotropic and become competent for rapid elongation (Verbelen et al., 2006). Above the quiescent center, the total length of the Arabidopsis RAM and the transition zone is about 500 μm. Following the transition zone, cells enter a phase of rapid growth in the ‘elongation zone’ where they grow anisotropically and double in size every hour, reaching lengths of about 140 μm (Verbelen et al., 2006). The elongation zone is about 300 μm in length, and the end of rapid elongation is marked by the production of root hairs from trichoblast cells ~900 μm above the quiescent center. Once root hairs begin to emerge, cell growth dramatically slows, and cells enter the ‘differentiation zone.’

1.3.2 Root Mechanobiology

Roots grow in a mechanically heterogeneous soil environment, filled with obstacles (e.g., rocks, other roots, trash) and other mechanical forces (compact soil) that impede root growth (Monshausen & Gilroy, 2009). Soil compaction, which results from changes in weather and heavy machinery use, is a significant mechanical challenge that impairs soil fertility and root system development (Colombi & Keller, 2019; Bello-Bello et al., 2022). Roots sense and respond to these mechanical stimuli (‘mechanoresponse’) to direct growth, enabling deeper soil penetration that anchors the plant and improves nutrient and water acquisition (Bello-Bello et al., 2022). Understanding root mechanoresponse is highly agriculturally relevant as it informs appropriate farming practices and may aid in the development of crop species with improved mechanoresponse (Iijima et al., 2007; Colombi & Keller, 2019).

The bulk of mechanoperception occurs at the root tip. With no mechanical impedances, growth at the root tip follows the gravity vector (‘gravitropism’), with cells elongating at equal
rates and maintaining radial symmetry. However, when the tip encounters an impenetrable obstacle, it quickly redirects its trajectory by tipping the cell growth balance. Cell expansion is slowed on one side of the root elongation zone, redirecting the root around the barrier (Massa & Gilroy, 2003). When tracking along a flat barrier, like a glass coverslip, wild-type Arabidopsis roots reorient and maintain a tip angle of \( \sim 136^\circ \) relative to the barrier (Massa & Gilroy, 2003). Mutants with mechanosensory defects tend to flatten in response to the barrier, such as the \textit{calmodulin-like 24/touch2 (cml24/tch2)} mutant with a functionally-perturbed CML24 calcium-binding protein (Tsai et al., 2007; Y. Wang et al., 2011). Calcium (\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)) is an essential secondary messenger in plants that regulates a wide variety of abiotic stress responses (Dodd et al., 2010; Nakagawa et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2022). Mechanical stimuli, like touch, immediately trigger a transient release of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) from the cell wall and vacuole into the cytosol in roots and other plant organs (Demarty et al., 1984; Legué et al., 1997; Toyota et al., 2008; Monshausen et al., 2009; Dodd et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2022). Another example of a mutant with altered mechanosensation is \textit{piezo1}, which lacks a mechanosensitive \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) ion channel and has difficulty penetrating stiff agar (Mousavi et al., 2021). Mutants in other cellular processes have also been shown to have defective barrier responses, including those defective in reactive oxygen species (\textit{rbohd1}), ethylene (\textit{eir1}), and auxin signaling (\textit{aux1}) (Jacobsen et al., 2021).

Root waving and skewing are mechanoresponses that differ from barrier sensing (Figure 1.3.2). The Darwins were the first to describe root waving using seedlings grown on smoked-glass plates (Darwin et al., 1880). Waving and skewing are surface-dependent root phenotypes that are thought to result from a combination of thigmotropism and gravitropism (K. Okada & Shimura, 1990; Simmons et al., 1995; Blancaflor & Masson, 2003; Thompson & Holbrook, 2004; Oliva & Dunand, 2007; Vaughn & Masson, 2011; Roy & Bassham, 2014; Z. Zhang et al.,
The exact mechanisms driving root waving and skewing are not fully understood, but the process involves circumnutation at the root tip (Roy & Bassham, 2014). Roots grown on tilted plates containing agar growth medium are responding to two forces: the force of gravity that directs downward growth, and the force of the agar that pushes the root upward. As the root follows the gravity vector downward it is met with the opposing force of the agar, which imposes a friction force that halts tip movement while the elongation zone keeps growing (Thompson & Holbrook, 2004), resulting in a curve in the root. The root tip is then deflected away from the agar, and as the tip grows, it circumnutates and meets the surface of the agar again. This process repeats, causing more waves along the length of the root (Thompson & Holbrook, 2004).

Increasing the incline of the plate amplifies the root waving phenotype (Simmons et al., 1995), but it is important to note that root waving is not observed in all plant species (Simmons et al., 1995).

Figure 1.3.2 Arabidopsis root waving during growth. 4-day-old wild-type Col-0 root growing vertically along an agar nutrient gel imaged once per hour.

Root skewing is the leftward or rightward slanting of the root as a whole, relative to the vertical. More pronounced root skewing is often associated with twisting (‘rotation’) at the cell file level (Nakamura & Hashimoto, 2020; Roy & Bassham, 2014), but cell file twisting is not essential to induce skewed growth (Buer et al., 2003). Wild-type Columbia-0 (Col-0) naturally
adopts a slight leftward skew (viewed from the front of the plate) when grown vertically on agar plates, but exhibits straight cell files (Rutherford & Masson, 1996; Schultz et al., 2017). Skewed root growth is commonly observed across many wild-type Arabidopsis ecotypes, and while the degree of skewing ranges across ecotypes, the vast majority skew leftward (Vaughn & Masson, 2011). While many Arabidopsis mutants with skewed root growth have been identified over the decades (Benfey et al., 1993; Bisgrove et al., 2008; Furutani et al., 2000; Ishida et al., 2007; Korolev et al., 2007; Nakajima et al., 2004; Nakamura & Hashimoto, 2009; Rutherford & Masson, 1996; Sedbrook et al., 2002; Thitamadee et al., 2002; Y. Wang et al., 2011; Whittington et al., 2001; Yuen et al., 2003), only a few studies have explored the genetic basis for controlling skewed growth. Using quantitative trait locus mapping and microarrays, Vaughn and Masson narrowed down a region on chromosome 2 between 9.3 and 11.2 Mb that is associated with rightward skewing in the wild-type ecotype Cvi (Vaughn & Masson, 2011). Schultz et al. narrowed this down even further, using a transcription microarray analysis to identify 11 genes likely involved in skewing (Schultz et al., 2017). These highly probably skew gene candidates are involved in a variety of cellular processes, including sucrose transport (SWEET11, ASNI), iron ion binding (MIOX4), salt tolerance (SIS), and more. At the molecular level, there is extensive evidence that the cortical microtubule cytoskeleton is important for generating skewed root growth (Nakamura & Hashimoto, 2020), and this will be explored in the next section.

1.3.3 Next-Generation Methods for Studying Roots

The pace of root system phenotyping has not kept up with the advancements made in genetic analyses, which imposes a bottleneck on developing high-yielding crops that grow in challenging soil and climate conditions (Furbank & Tester, 2011; Topp et al., 2016). The ultimate goal is high-throughput, in-field phenotyping (Araus & Cairns, 2014), but root systems
are inherently challenging to study given their complex three-dimensional (3-D) morphology, hidden by the surrounding soil. Traditional methods for studying root system architecture in the field are destructive and time-consuming (H. M. Taylor et al., 1991; Trachsel et al., 2011; Maeght et al., 2013). 3-D image analysis has been an important tool driving RSA research in recent decades (Tollner et al., 1994; Downie et al., 2015; Piñeros et al., 2016; Topp et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2019; Atkinson et al., 2019). 3-D imaging can be accomplished using X-ray computed tomography (Heeraman et al., 1997; Taina et al., 2008; Mooney et al., 2012; Pfeifer et al., 2015; Teramoto et al., 2020), which visualizes roots in the soil using differences in the attenuation of X-rays between materials (Teramoto et al., 2020). X-ray computed tomography signals can clearly distinguish between root tissue and the surrounding substrate, but segmenting out root tissue has traditionally been a time-consuming process until recently (Teramoto et al., 2020). X-ray signal is computed to reconstruct slice images, which are virtually stacked to create 3-D volumetric projections (Teramoto et al., 2020).

Advancements in rapid, image-based root phenotyping have produced a variety of tools for studying roots in 2-D paradigms (i.e., on agar plates) (Atkinson et al., 2019), including DART (Le Bot et al., 2010), SmartRoot (Lobet et al., 2011), RootNav (Pound et al., 2013; Yasrab et al., 2019), RootTrace (French et al., 2009; Naeem et al., 2011), DIRT (Bucksch et al., 2014), BRAT (Slovak et al., 2014). These tools process 2-D images of roots by computationally segmenting the root from the agar using color thresholding, creating a skeleton of the root, and producing quantitative morphological data on the root architecture (e.g., root length, tip angles, number of roots). Some software has been further developed for kinematic analyses (Spalding & Miller, 2013), tracking root growth rates and root tip reorientation during gravitropism (Miller et al., 2007; Durham Brooks et al., 2010). While sensitivity to noise remains an issue in root
phenotyping (Atkinson et al., 2019), the output of data at high resolution has greatly expanded biologists’ understanding of root growth behavior.

1.4 Cytoskeletal Control of Plant Directional Growth

1.4.1 Cortical Microtubule Cytoskeleton

Plant growth above and below ground is determined by the combined action of cell division (particularly, the rate of division and orientation of the division plane) and cell expansion (particularly, the rate and direction of elongation). In most plant tissues, cell expansion is anisotropic. Directional growth in plant cells is determined by the axis of cell elongation, which is specified by the net orientation of cortical microtubules (Green, 1962; Baskin, 2001, 2005; Lloyd, 2011). The large (up to 90% of cell volume) water-filled vacuole in mature plant cells pushes cytoplasmic material to the cell cortex. In plant cells, the majority of microtubules are present at the cell cortex (Cyr & Palevitz, 1995) and are physically tethered to the plasma membrane via specific proteins (Liu et al., 2016). Plasma membrane-bound cellulose synthase complexes (CSCs) are attached to cortical microtubules via protein linkers (Paredez et al., 2006; Lloyd, 2006; Gu et al., 2010; Bringmann et al., 2012; S. Li et al., 2012; Pedersen et al., 2023). As cellulose is synthesized directly into the cell wall it assembles into microfibrils (Haigler et al., 2014) that associate with each other and surrounding wall material, generating a force that propels CSCs along microtubules (Diotallevi & Mulder, 2007). As a result, the orientation of extracellular cellulose microfibrils matches the orientation of intracellular cortical microtubules. In Arabidopsis mutants lacking proteins that tether microtubules to the plasma membrane, the force exerted by catalytically active CSCs that remain attached to microtubules causes lateral displacement of microtubules (Liu et al., 2016).
How exactly does the orientation of microtubules dictate the orientation of cell growth?

Cellulose microfibrils associate laterally in the wall, forming a lattice of cellulose layers (‘lamellae’) that is highly resistant to tensile stress (Brett, 2000; Baskin, 2005). High hydrostatic (‘turgor’) pressure from the water-filled vacuole is exerted isotropically on the plant cell wall. This pressure (up to 2 MPa) exceeds that of the inside of car tires, yet because of cellulose and other cell wall material, the cell does not explode (Beauzamy et al., 2014). Turgor pressure, which is maintained by osmotic regulation, provides much of the mechanical rigidity for living plant tissues and is utilized to drive cell growth, which is directed by localized reinforcement of the cell wall (Alberts et al., 2002). In rapidly elongating cells, cellulose microfibril alignment matches transversely-aligned cortical microtubules, creating material anisotropy in the cell wall that prevents radial cell expansion, channeling growth in the perpendicular direction (Baskin, 2005; Bou Daher et al., 2018; Peaucelle et al., 2015). Microtubule orientation aligns along the axis of maximal stress in the cell (Hamant et al., 2008). As cells mature, microtubules reorient longitudinally, slowing cell elongation as cellulose microfibrils resist expansion. Microtubule reorientation is particularly evident during the transition from root cell elongation to cell differentiation in the root (Adamowski et al., 2019).

1.4.2 Cell Wall Composition and Mechanics

The initial cell wall produced by all plant cells is called the primary cell wall. The primary cell wall is thin and extensible, which is important for facilitating cell growth. The secondary cell wall, which is highly rigid, is produced later in certain terminally differentiated cell types, like xylem vessels. Adjacent plant cells are cemented to each other by a gelatinous, pectin-rich structure called the middle lamella. Plant cell walls are highly dynamic structures that are primarily composed of sugar-based polymers, interwoven in a complex meshwork with
highly heterogeneous mechanical properties (Majda et al., 2017; Cosgrove, 2018; Phyo et al., 2017; Grones et al., 2019). The primary cell wall contains cellulose microfibrils, hemicellulose (e.g., xyloglucan), pectin (e.g., rhamnogalacturonan, polygalacturonan), and proteins. Secondary cell walls are distinguished by the presence of lignin, a hydrophobic phenolic compound that provides rigidity and confers resistance against pathogens (Cosgrove, 2005; Fry, 2010; Lampugnani et al., 2018; Anderson & Kieber, 2020). While cortical microtubules facilitate the directionality of cellulose synthesis, both actin and microtubules guide the delivery of other materials to the cell wall, including non-cellulosic polysaccharides, structural proteins, and enzymes (Fukuda, 1997; Ganguly et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2015; McFarlane et al., 2008; Takenaka et al., 2018; C. Zhu et al., 2015; Chebli et al., 2021).

The primary cell wall is elastic, can stiffen and soften, and is capable of plastic deformation. Cellulose microfibrils move in response to mechanical stress by straightening, curving, sliding, bundling, and reorienting (Y. Zhang et al., 2021). Cell wall dynamics are also facilitated by enzymatic activity that modifies non-cellulosic polysaccharides by enabling or disabling their ability to crosslink, or directly cleave the backbone of the polysaccharide (Anderson & Kieber, 2020). Cell wall stiffness can also be altered by regulating the crosslinking of the pectin homogalacturonan. Calcium ions facilitate crosslinking of adjacent homogalacturonan polymers by attaching to galacturonic acid residues along each chain. Homogalacturonan is delivered to the cell wall in a methylated state which deters Ca$^{2+}$-based crosslinking. Demethylation by pectin methylesterase enzymes, which remove methyl groups on galacturonic acid residues, enable calcium binding and crosslinking. The activity of pectin methylesterases is regulated by pH and inhibitory proteins that dynamically bind and release pectin methylesterases in response to signals (Wormit & Usadel, 2018; Anderson & Kieber,
Other cell wall-modifying enzymes include lyases, which cleave pectins, and endotransglucosylases, which cleave xyloglucan (Anderson & Kieber, 2020). Expansins, on the other hand, loosen the cell wall by breaking the load-bearing hydrogen bonds that connect xyloglucan to cellulose (Cosgrove, 2000; Sandt et al., 2007). These limited interactions between closely-intertwined xyloglucan and cellulose are considered to be ‘biomechanical hotspots’ that may play an important role in dictating overall wall mechanics (Park & Cosgrove, 2015).

Analysis of the plant cell wall to determine correlations among its composition, organization, and mechanics has been challenging. Common label-dependent methodologies for imaging the plant cell wall include fluorescent stains (calcofluor, S4B, propidium iodide) and metabolic click labeling (Anderson et al., 2010; Anderson & Wallace, 2012). However, these methods are limited by the diffraction-limited resolution of standard light microscopy (~200-250 nm) and do not measure cell wall mechanical properties. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is used as a label-free technique to map cell wall topography and mechanical properties at the nanoscale, often coupled with targeted enzymatic treatments to distinguish the contribution of different cell wall components (Cosgrove, 2018; Peaucelle et al., 2015; T. Zhang et al., 2016, 2019; Zheng et al., 2017). Nonetheless, AFM cannot directly detect cell wall chemical components.

AFM coupled with nano-infrared spectroscopy (AFM-IR) is an emerging technique to perform nanoscale multimodal imaging of physical, chemical, and mechanical material features (Dazzi et al., 2005, 2012; Dazzi & Prater, 2017; Kurouski et al., 2020). AFM-IR uses a tunable IR laser focused on the sample at the AFM tip. When the wavelength of the IR laser matches the excitation wavelength of the sample beneath the AFM tip, the sample absorbs the IR energy and undergoes thermal expansion which is detected by the AFM tip in contact with the sample.
surface. This can provide chemical images at selected IR wavelengths with nanoscale spatial resolution. AFM is simultaneously used to collect high-resolution topographical and mechanical maps. AFM-IR was first developed to perform nanoscale multimodal imaging of physical, chemical, and mechanical features of composite materials (Dazzi et al., 2012; Dazzi & Prater, 2017; Kurouski et al., 2020) and is primarily used to study synthetic compositions, such as nanofilms, but has also been applied to some biological systems (Dazzi & Prater, 2017; Pereira et al., 2018b). Recently, AFM-IR has been used to image biological specimens such as triacylglycerol-containing vesicles from bacteria, yeast, and microalga (Dazzi & Prater, 2017), extracellular vesicles from animal stem cells (Kim et al., 2018), bone (Gourion-Arsiquaud et al., 2014), and breast cancer cells (Clède et al., 2013; Dazzi & Prater, 2017). In plants, the application of AFM-IR has so far been limited to secondary cell walls which are more readily amenable to sectioning and sample preparation (Farahi et al., 2017; Tetard et al., 2010, 2011, 2012, 2015).

1.5 Arabidopsis ‘Twisted’ Mutants

1.5.1 A Plethora of Twisted Mutants

Given the importance of cortical microtubules in controlling plant cell morphology (Dixit & Cyr, 2004), mutations perturbing microtubule organization frequently lead to aberrant cell growth in Arabidopsis. These mutations typically occur in genes encoding for tubulin or microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs). Some mutations lead to isotropic cell expansion, like in the botero1 (bot1) and microtubule organization 1 (mor1) mutants (Bichet et al., 2001; Whittington et al., 2001). The bot1 mutant lacks the microtubule severing protein, katanin, leading to disorganized cortical microtubules and increased radial expansion of cells, resulting in dwarfed stems, hypocotyls, and roots (Bichet et al., 2001; Reed et al., 1998). The temperature-sensitive mor1 mutant contains a point mutation that disrupts the microtubule binding of a
microtubule plus-end polymerase that belongs to the evolutionarily conserved MAP215 family, disrupting cortical microtubule length and organization at the restrictive temperature and inducing extreme cell swelling and dwarfism (Whittington et al., 2001).

Other microtubule-based mutations lead to symmetry breaking and helical plant growth (‘twisted mutants’) (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020; Smyth, 2016). There are over 30 characterized Arabidopsis twisted mutants (and several in other species) exhibiting helical growth in hypocotyls, leaves, stems, petals, trichomes, and most commonly, roots (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020). The handedness of helical growth is typically fixed, and the majority of twisted mutants display some type of microtubule defect. Some twisted mutants contain skewed cortical microtubule arrays in elongating cells, leading to right-handed or left-handed cell file twisting that correlates with either rightward or leftward organ growth, respectively (Ishida et al., 2007). Examples of mutants with skewed microtubules include spiral2/tortifolia1 (spr2/tor1) (Buschmann et al., 2004; Y. Fan et al., 2018; Furutani et al., 2000; Shoji et al., 2004), calmodulin-like 24/touch2 (cml24/tch2) (Delk et al., 2005; Y. Wang et al., 2011), and spiral1 (spr1) (Balkunde et al., 2019; Furutani et al., 2000; Galva et al., 2014; Nakajima et al., 2004, 2006; Sedbrook et al., 2004). spr2/tor1 is a null mutant lacking a microtubule minus-end stabilizing protein and shows left-handed skewed microtubules in petiole epidermal cells, correlating with right-handed cell file twisting and counter-clockwise rotation of leaves (Buschmann et al., 2004; Y. Fan et al., 2018; Furutani et al., 2000; Shoji et al., 2004). The cml24 mutant, with a loss-of-function mutation in a calcium-binding protein implicated in touch response (see Section 1.3.2), shows left-handed skewed microtubules in root epidermal cells and strong right-handed cell file and root skewing (Y. Wang et al., 2011). The spr1 mutant exhibits a
right-handed root skewing phenotype similar to the \textit{cml24} mutant and will be explored in detail below.

Not all twisted mutants contain skewed microtubules. The \textit{cellulose synthase interacting 1 (csi1)} (Bringmann et al., 2012; Landrein et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016; X. Zhu et al., 2018) and \textit{cellulose microtubule uncoupling 1 (cmu1)} (Liu et al., 2016; Ganguly et al., 2020) mutants exhibit disorganized or floppy microtubules, respectively. \textit{csi1} is a null mutant lacking a MAP that physically links cortical microtubules and CSCs, resulting in misalignment between cortical microtubules and nascent cellulose microfibrils (Bringmann et al., 2012). Interestingly, the handedness of helical growth in the \textit{csi1} mutant depends on the organ type, with right-handed cell files in etiolated hypocotyls and left-handed cell files in roots (Bringmann et al., 2012). In some cases, twisted growth can occur with wild-type-like microtubules. The \textit{rhamnose biosynthesis 1} mutant contains reduced levels of the pectin rhamnogalacturonan-I and has twisted petals and petal epidermal cells but wild-type-like microtubule orientation (Saffer et al., 2017). The abundance of characterized twisted mutants (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020) suggests there are many molecular mechanisms, often related to cortical microtubules and cell wall composition, that function to maintain straight growth. While the molecular mechanisms of many of the proteins involved in twisted growth have been studied, how biochemical defects at the nanoscale propagate across cell and tissue scales to perturb directional growth at the organ and organism scale is yet unclear.

\subsection*{1.5.2 \textit{Arabidopsis spirall} and \textit{cmu1} Mutants}

\textit{SPRI} is a plant-specific gene expressed in most tissues in \textit{Arabidopsis} and throughout all cell layers in the root (Nakajima et al., 2004). The \textit{spr1} mutant was first identified by Takashi
Hashimoto’s lab in 2000. They isolated the spr1-1 and spr1-3 mutant alleles from the Landsberg erecta (Ler) and Col-0 ecotypes, respectively, using fast-neutron irradiation-mediated mutagenesis (Furutani et al., 2000). Fast-neutron irradiation is an effective genetic knockout technique that induces deletions ranging between 1 – 30,000 base pairs throughout the genome (Moody, 2017). The spr1-3 mutant allele contains a 10-base pair deletion in an exon-intron junction, resulting in a genetic knockout (Nakajima et al., 2004). Spr1 mutant seedlings have left-handed skewed cortical microtubule arrays that correlate with strong, right-handed cell file twisting spanning multiple cell layers in roots and etiolated hypocotyls (Furutani et al., 2000; Weizbauer et al., 2011). The entire root organ in spr1 mutant seedlings skews sharply rightward when grown on the surface of an agar medium (Figure 1.5.1) (Furutani et al., 2000). SPR1 is a MAP that autonomously tracks the growing plus ends of microtubules, however, it is not fully understood why lacking SPR1 results in skewed microtubule orientation (Galva et al., 2014; Sedbrook et al., 2004; Wong & Hashimoto, 2017; Balkunde et al., 2019). Cell file twisting in the spr1 mutant is strongest in the epidermis and decreases moving toward inner cell layers (Weizbauer et al., 2011). In addition, cortical cells are isotropically expanded in the spr1 mutant, leading to roots and etiolated hypocotyls with increased diameter compared to the wild-type (Furutani et al., 2000). Hashimoto’s group originally hypothesized that epidermal cell files skew to compensate for reduced anisotropy in the underlying cortex (Furutani et al., 2000), but Schulz’s group used computational modeling to suggest the inverse — cortical cells must expand radially due to geometric constraints imposed by a skewed epidermis. To date, both of these models have not been tested experimentally and hence the relative contribution of each cell layer in determining twisted growth remains unknown.
**Figure 1.5.1** *spirall* and *cmu1* Arabidopsis twisted mutants exhibit opposing chirality. (A-C) Root skewing phenotype of 3-day-old *Col-0* (A), *spr1-3* (B), and *cmu1* (C) seedlings grown vertically on agar plates. (D-F) Maximum projection images of cell files in the differentiation zone of *Col-0* (D), *spr1-3* (E), and *cmu1* (F) seedlings stained with propidium iodide, imaged with confocal microscopy. Roots are depth-coded, with red cells appearing at the surface of the roots. Scale bar = 100 μm. (G) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar plates. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 159, 163, 159 roots for *Col-0*, *spr1-3*, and *cmu1* respectively. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001.

**CMU1**, also known as KINESIN LIGHT CHAIN-RELATED-1 (KLCR1), was first identified by Steffen Abel’s group using a yeast two-hybrid screen to identify proteins interacting with IQD67 DOMAIN 1 (IQD1) (Bürstenbinder et al., 2013). IQD1 is induced by mechanical stimuli and encodes for a protein that associates with microtubules and calmodulin to regulate calcium signaling during defense response (Levy et al., 2005). CMU1 is a MAP that contains a tetratricopeptide repeat domain with homology to the light-chain subunit on kinesin motor proteins (Bürstenbinder et al., 2013; Verhey et al., 2011). CMU1, which localizes in a punctate pattern along the length of microtubules, works in complex with CMU2 to tether...
microtubules to the plasma membrane and prevent their lateral displacement by motile cellulose synthase complexes (Liu et al., 2016). CMU1 also interacts with the FRAGILE-FIBER 1 kinesin to facilitate the deposition of cell wall material (Ganguly et al., 2020). *cmu1* mutants exhibit left-handed skewed cell files in etiolated hypocotyls and roots (Figure 1.5.1), correlated with leftward skewed root growth (Ganguly et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2016). Compared to the *spr1* mutant, the root skewing phenotype in the *cmu1* mutant is more subtle. Nonetheless, the *spr1* and *cmu1* mutants together are useful tools to investigate whether mechanisms responsible for tissue and organ level twisting are conserved or distinct between right-handed and left-handed mutants.

### 1.6 Outstanding Questions Regarding Twisted Plant Growth

Both symmetry and symmetry-breaking span scales, developmental stages, and kingdoms. The broad prevalence of naturally occurring twisted growth in the plant kingdom suggests that twisting is an advantageous trait as discussed in Section 1.2.2. Despite being a topic of interest to plant biologists for nearly 200 years, we still do not fully understand the underlying mechanisms and benefits (or consequences) of twisted plant growth.

For plants that naturally produce twisted organ growth (aside from circumnutation), how do they know when to break symmetry? Specifically, what are the developmental and cellular cues for breaking symmetry? Moreover, what determines the handedness of twisted growth? The plant’s ability to determine when and where symmetry is broken is thought to involve the microtubule cytoskeleton and cell wall. However, twisting can arise from many different kinds of mutations, often (but not always) related to microtubules and the cell wall. Is this why twisted growth is so common in plants? How exactly do molecular processes coordinate to generate
organ-level twisting, or relatedly, maintain straight growth? Adjacent plant cells share a cell wall and are physically adhered to each other, which enables cells to respond to mechanical forces imparted by their neighbors. Does contiguous mechanical coupling throughout the plant tissue play a role in maintaining or breaking growth symmetry?

Plant roots, in particular, rely on their anatomical symmetry to control growth directionality. However, cell file twisting and skewed root growth are common developmental defects observed in Arabidopsis twisted mutants. What are the consequences, if any, of fixed twisted growth in roots? The vast majority of research on Arabidopsis twisted mutants uses seedlings grown on agar plates – a two-dimensional environment. Do twisted mutants exhibit a three-dimensional growth defect that affects the entire root system architecture? What does this look like in a soil environment?

In summary, there are many important questions surrounding the origin and function of twisted growth. The work accomplished by this thesis provides answers to some of these questions while raising new questions that will guide future research on twisted plant growth and its potential application to agriculture.

1.7 Objectives and Significance of the Thesis

This thesis work aimed to understand the cellular mechanisms and developmental consequences of symmetry breaking in plants, using Arabidopsis roots as a model system. In addition, this thesis developed a novel methodology for high-resolution analysis of the plant cell wall, which controls directional cell and organ growth. The three chapters of this thesis are:

i. Microtubule-generated anisotropy in the epidermis is required for maintaining anatomical symmetry in Arabidopsis roots
ii. Twisted growth impairs Arabidopsis root mechanoresponse

iii. Correlated mechanochemical maps of Arabidopsis primary cell walls using atomic force microscope-infrared spectroscopy

In Chapter 2, I used a cell type-specific genetic complementation approach in two Arabidopsis twisted mutants (spr1 and cmu1) and demonstrated that the epidermis alone is sufficient to maintain wild-type-like straight cell files and root growth, regardless of handedness. In addition, epidermal expression of SPR1 restores both the morphology and skew of the cortical cell file to wild-type-like. By genetically disrupting cell-cell adhesion in the spr1 mutant, I found that a physical connection between epidermal and cortical cells is required for the ability of the epidermis to entrain cortical cell morphology and generate skewed root growth. I hypothesized that root hairs on the epidermis played a role in organ-level directional growth, but through generating a root hairless spr1 mutant, I found that root hairs were not required for generating skewed growth. Together, these data highlight the importance of the epidermis in driving twisted growth and suggest that twisted plant growth in nature could arise by altering microtubule behavior in the epidermis alone.

In Chapter 3, I show that the spr1 mutant twisted root phenotype is also present in lateral roots, demonstrating that this mutation affects the root system as a whole, perhaps leading to greater developmental consequences in a soil environment. I demonstrated that cell file twisting in the spr1 mutant is not sufficient to generate skewed growth at the organ level, which requires a heterogeneous external mechanical input. Increasing the stiffness of the agar medium caused spr1 mutant roots to grow straight, indicating that external mechanical stimuli influence twisted root growth. Despite their important role in root anchorage, root hairs on the epidermis are not
required for reorienting root skewing in response to changes in the mechanical environment. Overall, this work provides new insights into how symmetry breaking affects root mechanoresponse.

In Chapter 4, I established new methodologies for sample preparation, data acquisition, and multivariate statistical analyses for AFM-IR on Arabidopsis primary cell walls. This sample preparation method was effective at eliminating spectral contamination and obtaining a section suitable for AFM-IR. This work also demonstrated a novel application of non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) to analyze AFM-IR datasets, detecting factors representing the chemical functionality of neutral sugars of cell wall carbohydrates, acidic sugars of cell wall carbohydrates, and amino and carbonyl groups of cell wall proteins. Furthermore, cross-correlation analysis of the spatial distribution of NMFs and wall mechanical properties showed that the neutral sugar-containing carbohydrate composition in the epidermal cell wall correlates with increased local stiffness. Future improvement of AFM-IR spatial resolution and spectral range may reveal more subtle patterns in component organization that are important for defining the local mechanical properties of the cell wall. With the methodologies developed in this chapter, AFM-IR can be used to explore and understand phenotypic alterations to specific plant tissues in nanoscale resolution caused by environmental stresses or genetic mutations.
Chapter 2: Microtubule-generated anisotropy in the epidermis is required for maintaining anatomical symmetry in Arabidopsis roots

2.1 Abstract

Plant roots, composed of multiple concentric cell layers, rely on their highly symmetrical cellular anatomy to control growth directionality. However, chiral root growth can arise due to mutations targeting microtubules, which play a critical role in directing plant cell growth. It is yet unclear how microtubule-level defects propagate across spatial scales to drive chirality, and how different cell layers contribute to controlling root growth. Here, we used a cell type-specific genetic complementation approach in two Arabidopsis thaliana twisted mutants, spr1 and cmu1, to demonstrate that the epidermis alone is sufficient to maintain wild-type-like straight cell files and root growth, regardless of handedness. By genetically disrupting cell-cell adhesion in the spr1 and cmu1 mutants, we found that physical coupling between adjacent epidermal cells and the epidermis and cortex is required for the ability of the epidermis to entrain cortical cell morphology and generate skewed root growth. We hypothesized that root hairs on the epidermis played a role in directing root growth, but through generating a root hairless spr1 mutant, we found that root hairs were not required for root skewing. Together, these data highlight the importance of the epidermis in driving twisted growth and suggest that twisted plant growth in nature could arise by altering microtubule behavior in the epidermis alone.
2.2 Introduction

Left-right asymmetry or chirality is widespread in unicellular and multicellular organisms (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020; Huang et al., 2012; Inaki et al., 2016; Smyth, 2016). While this trait evolved independently in prokaryotes, plants and animals, its molecular origin often traces back to cytoskeletal activities. In bacteria such as *Helicobacter pylori*, the generation of the naturally occurring helical cell shape involves cytoskeletal filaments called bactofilin (Sichel et al., 2022). Normally rod-shaped bacteria such as *E. coli* can also acquire chiral morphology through mutations in the MreB cytoskeleton (Varma et al., 2007; S. Wang et al., 2012). In animals, handedness frequently arises due to the actomyosin cytoskeletal system. For example, overexpression of the myosin 1D motor protein in the epidermis of *Drosophila melanogaster* larva induces right-handed twisting of the whole larval body (Spéder et al., 2006; Lebreton et al., 2018). By contrast, the origin of handedness in plants typically involves the microtubule cytoskeleton (Nakamura & Hashimoto, 2020), with a few notable exceptions involving non-microtubule-based mechanisms for helical plant growth (Reiter et al., 1997; Saffer et al., 2017; Schindelman et al., 2001; Sedbrook et al., 2002).

Directional growth of plant organs is primarily determined by the axis of cell expansion, which is specified by the net orientation of cortical microtubules (Baskin, 2001, 2005; Bou Daher et al., 2018; Green, 1962; Lloyd, 2011; Peaucelle et al., 2015; Wasteneys, 2000). Cortical microtubules spatially guide the deposition of cellulose and other cell wall materials (Fukuda, 1997; Ganguly et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2015; McFarlane et al., 2008;Takenaka et al., 2018; C. Zhu et al., 2015). In rapidly elongating cells, transversely oriented cortical microtubules create material anisotropy in the cell wall that resists radial cell expansion, channeling growth in the
longitudinal direction (Baskin, 2005). This process produces straight cell files and symmetric plant organs. Mutations in microtubule-associated genes frequently act to break symmetry, resulting in the twisted growth of plant organs (Smyth, 2016; Buschmann & Borchers, 2020). This phenotype manifests as right-handed or left-handed helical cell files which correlate with either rightward or leftward organ growth, respectively. How microtubule-based symmetry-breaking at the cellular level leads to chiral growth of plant tissues and organs remains a long-standing conundrum. To investigate this question, we examined roots of the right-handed spiral1 (Balkunde et al., 2019; Furutani et al., 2000; Galva et al., 2014; Nakajima et al., 2004, 2006; Sedbrook et al., 2004) and left-handed cmu1 (Ganguly et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2016) mutants of the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Our genetic and developmental studies reveal the underlying mechanisms with the epidermis playing a central role by entraining the growth direction and anisotropy of the underlying cell layer and by facilitating mechanical interactions between the root and its environment.

2.3 Materials and Methods

2.3.1 Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

All Arabidopsis thaliana plants that were used in this study are listed in Table 2.3.1. For all plant growth experiments, seeds were surface-sterilized using a 25% bleach solution, resuspended in 0.1% agarose, and stratified at 4°C for 2 days. Sterilized seeds were grown vertically on agar medium containing 1% w/v Phytoblend agar (Caisson Labs), 0.3% w/v sucrose, and half-strength Murashige and Skoog basal medium (Caisson Labs), pH 5.7. under 16/8-h light/dark photoperiod (120 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ light intensity) at 24°C. All experiments used seedlings after 3-4 days of growth.
Table 2.3.1. Arabidopsis thaliana lines used in Chapter 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Wild-type background</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 (At2g03680)</td>
<td>sprl null mutant (fast-neutron mutagenized)</td>
<td>(Nakajima et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-6 (At2g03680)</td>
<td>sprl null mutant (T-DNA insert)</td>
<td>(Sedbrook et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmu1/kler1 (At4g10840)</td>
<td>cmu1/kler1 null mutant (T-DNA insert)</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0 35S::LT16B-GFP</td>
<td>Wild-type expressing plasma membrane marker</td>
<td>ABRC: CS924251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 35S::LT16B-GFP</td>
<td>sprl null mutant expressing plasma membrane marker</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0 35S::RFP-TUB6</td>
<td>Wild-type expressing RFP microtubule marker</td>
<td>(Balkunde et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 35S::RFP-TUB6</td>
<td>sprl null mutant expressing RFP microtubule marker</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Wild-type expressing GFP microtubule marker</td>
<td>(Y. Fan et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 pSHR::SPR1-GFP</td>
<td>sprl null mutant with SPR1-GFP under SHR promoter</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 pSCR::SPR1-GFP</td>
<td>sprl null mutant with SPR1-GFP under SCR promoter</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 pWER::SPR1-GFP</td>
<td>sprl null mutant with SPR1-GFP under WER promoter</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-3 pPEP::SPR1-GFP</td>
<td>sprl null mutant with SPR1-GFP under PEP promoter</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmu1 pWER::GFP-CMU1</td>
<td>cmu1/kler1 null mutant with GFP-CMU1 under WER promoter</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpc try (At2g46410,</td>
<td>cpc try double null mutant (T-DNA + EMS)</td>
<td>ABRC: CS66489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At5g53200)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprl-6 cpc try</td>
<td>sprl cpc try triple null mutant (T-DNA + EMS)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.2 Construction of Plasmids and Generation of Transgenic Plants

To generate spr1-3 cell layer-specific complemented lines, promoters ~2 kb upstream of the SHORTROOT (SHR), SCARECROW (SCR), WEREWOLF (WER), and ENDOPEPTIDASE (PEP) genes were PCR amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA and cloned into pDONR-P1P5r by means of Gateway BP clonase recombination. All promoters were recombined with pGAG entry vector, pDONR-SPR1-GFP (Balkunde et al., 2019), and pDONR-NosGent using Gateway LR clonase recombination. Primer sequences for cloning can be found in Table 2.3.2. All constructs created using PCR were verified by enzymatic digest, colony PCR, and sequencing. spr1-3 mutant plants were transformed with each PROMOTER::SPR1-GFP expression construct using Agrobacterium tumefaciens dip technique. Homozygous T3 lines were selected on Gentamycin-containing growth medium. Cell layer-specific complemented cmu1 lines were generated using the same method, but entry constructs were combined with pDONR-GFP-CMU1 to generate PROMOTER::GFP-CMU1 expression constructs, each carrying a Gentamycin resistance gene for plant selection. To generate plasma membrane marker lines, the spr1-3 mutant was crossed with wild-type Col-0 containing LTI6b-GFP. Homozygous F3 lines were selected on Basta-containing (10 μg/mL) growth medium for selecting spr1-3 x LTI6b-GFP plants. To generate microtubule marker lines, Col-0 and spr1-3 mutant plants were transformed with a 35S::RFP-TUB6 expression construct (Balkunde et al., 2019) using Agrobacterium tumefaciens dip technique. T2 lines were selected on Kanamycin-containing growth medium.

Table 2.3.2. Primers for amplifying cell layer-specific promoters from Col-0 genomic DNA. Bolded nucleotides are complementary to the promoter sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (5’ to 3’; complementary region bolded)</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHR attB1</td>
<td>GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTTaagaagcagcttgggtt</td>
<td>Amplification of SHR promoter for Gateway cloning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.3 Image Acquisition and Analysis

**Root skewing.** Three-day-old seedlings were used for all analyses. For organ-level skewing measurements, seedlings were grown vertically on nutrient agar plates and scanned from behind using a CanoScan 4400F scanner (Canon) at 300 DPI. Images were digitally reversed prior to measuring root skewing angle from vertical (0 degrees) using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). Statistical analyses (ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests) and graph generation were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

**GFP signal and cellular morphometry in spr1-3 and cmu1 cell layer-specific complemented lines.** For imaging SPR1-GFP and GFP-CMU1 signal in spr1-3 and cmu1 complemented lines, respectively, seedlings were stained with propidium iodide (Rounds et al., 2011) (PI; 10 ug/mL in distilled H2O) for 15 minutes, mounted in sterile milliQ water, and
imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal inverted microscope equipped with a 40x oil immersion objective (NA 1.0). GFP was excited by 488 nm laser (detected 500 – 545 nm) and PI was excited by 552 nm laser (detected 562 – 725 nm). To image cellular morphometry in these lines, seedlings were stained with PI (10 μg/mL in distilled H₂O) and imaged using a Leica multiphoton upright microscope equipped with a 40x water immersion objective (NA 5.0). PI was excited by using aligned lasers, 832 nm and 1046 nm. For both experiments, Z-stacks were collected at a pixel resolution of 512 x 512 and a 3 μm slice separation.

Cortical microtubule orientation in Col-0, spr1-3, and cell-layer specific complemented spr1-3. For imaging cortical microtubules in Col-0 and spr1-3 mutant seedlings expressing p35S::RFP-TUB6, seedlings were mounted in sterile milliQ water and imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal inverted microscope equipped with a 40x oil immersion objective (NA 1.0). RFP was excited by 552 nm laser (detected 562 – 725 nm). Z-stacks were collected at a pixel resolution of 1024 x 1024 and a 2 μm slice separation. Images were digitally reversed and roots were digitally straightened to align the root tip perpendicular to the bottom x-axis of the image, prior to measuring microtubule orientation using the FibrilTool plug-in (Boudaoud et al., 2014) for FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Cellular morphometry and growth of Col-0 and spr1-3 root elongation zone cells. For imaging cellular morphometry and growth in Col-0 and spr1-3 mutant expressing p35S::LTI6b-GFP, seedlings were mounted in sterile liquid nutrient media (1/2 MS + 0.3% sucrose, pH 5.7) and imaged using a Leica multiphoton upright microscope equipped with a 40x water immersion objective (NA 1.0). Z-stacks were collected at a pixel resolution of 512 x 512 and a 3 μm slice separation. GFP was excited by 488 nm laser (detected 500 – 600 nm). Plants were imaged at timepoints 0 hours and 2 hours. Images were digitally reversed prior to
measuring cell wall lengths and angles using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). To obtain wall growth rates, cell wall length at 0 hours was subtracted from the length at 2 hours for each cell.

2.4 Results

2.4.1 Epidermal Complementation of the spr1-3 and cmu1 Mutants Rescues Straight Root Growth

How microtubule-based symmetry-breaking at the cellular level propagates across spatial scales to generate chiral growth of plant tissues and organs remains a long-standing conundrum. To investigate this question, we examined the roots of spiral1 (Balkunde et al., 2019; Furutani et al., 2000; Galva et al., 2014; Nakajima et al., 2004, 2006; Sedbrook et al., 2004) and cmu1 (Ganguly et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2016) mutants of the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, which show right-handed and left-handed skewing, respectively, at the organ (Figure 2.6.1A) and cell file (Figure 2.6.1B) levels. Roots are cylindrical structures consisting of concentrically arranged cell layers that are adhered to each other and grow in a coordinated manner (termed symplastic growth). To evaluate the contribution of the different cell layers in generating twisted root growth, we complemented the spr1-3 mutant with SPR1-GFP driven by promoters of the SHORTROOT (pSHR), SCARECROW (pSCR), ENDOPEPTIDASE (pPEP), and WEREWOLF (pWER) genes, which are expressed in the stele, endodermis, cortex, and epidermis, respectively (Marquès-Bueno et al., 2016; Petricka et al., 2012). The cell layer-specific promoters resulted in SPR1-GFP signal exclusively in the specified cell layers (Figure 2.4.1, B to E), in contrast to the native SPR1 promoter which resulted in SPR1-GFP signal in all cell layers (Figure 2.4.1A) as previously reported (Sedbrook et al., 2004). We found that plants expressing SPR1-GFP in either the stele, endodermis, or cortex showed right-handed growth of roots (Figure 2.4.1, F and G) and cell files (Figure 2.4.1, I to K), similar to the spr1-3 null mutant. In striking contrast, plants
expressing SPR1-GFP in the epidermis showed straight growth of roots (Figure 2.4.1, F and G) and cell files (Figure 2.4.1L), similar to wild-type Col-0 plants (Figure 2.4.1F) and native promoter-complemented spr1-6 mutant plants (Figure 2.4.1, F to H). Furthermore, epidermal complementation of the spr1-3 mutant resulted in transverse microtubule orientation in the epidermis, similar to wild-type, whereas cortical complementation did not rescue the skewed microtubule orientation in the spr1-3 epidermal cells (Figure 2.6.2). Complementation of the cmu1 mutant with pWER::CMU1-GFP also resulted in straight root and cell file growth similar to wild-type plants (Figure 2.4.2). Together, these data demonstrate that epidermal expression alone is sufficient to rescue both right-handed and left-handed twisted mutants.
Figure 2.4.1. Expression of SPR1-GFP in the epidermis alone is sufficient to maintain root anatomical and growth symmetry. (A-E) Confocal x-z images of SPR1-GFP signal (cyan) in propidium iodide (PI)-stained (magenta) roots. E = epidermis, C = cortex, N = endodermis, S = stele. Scale bar = 50 μm. (F) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward root growth, respectively. The gray, blue, magenta, yellow, and teal boxplots represent independent transgenic lines expressing SPR1-GFP under the control of either the native SPR1 promoter (Comp.), or SHR, SCR, PEP and WER promoters, respectively. Plots show mean ± SD, n > 80 roots for all genotypes except Comp. (n > 38). Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.05). (G) Representative images of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Icons on the right indicate which cell layers express SPR1-GFP. Scale bar = 0.5 cm. (H-L) Maximum projection confocal images of PI-stained roots. Scale bar = 100 μm. Color bar represents distance in the z-direction.
Figure 2.4.2. Expression of GFP-CMU1 in the epidermis alone is sufficient to maintain root anatomical and growth symmetry. (A) Confocal x-z images of GFP-CMU1 signal (cyan) in propidium iodide (PI)-stained (magenta) roots. E = epidermis, C = cortex. Scale bar = 50 μm. (B) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward root growth, respectively. The teal boxplots represent independent transgenic lines expressing GFP-CMU1 under the control of the WER promoter. Plots show mean ± SD, n > 120 roots for all genotypes. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.05). (C) Representative images of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Scale bar = 0.5 cm. (D) Maximum projection confocal images of PI-stained roots. Scale bar = 100 μm. Color bar represents distance in the z-direction.

We next determined whether epidermal complementation influences underlying cell layers. For this analysis, we focused on the differentiation zone of the sprl-3 mutant due to its strong skewing phenotype (Figure 2.6.1B). In line N8, which fully complements the twisted root phenotype, both the epidermal and cortical cell layers are straight (Figure 2.4.3, A and B). Likewise, in the partially complementing line I4, both the epidermal and cortical cell layers only partly return to straight growth (Figure 2.4.3, A and B). The growth anisotropy of epidermal cells is only marginally different between distinct complementation lines, which is unsurprising given the small difference in epidermal growth anisotropy between wild-type and sprl-3 roots (Figure 2.4.3C). Unexpectedly, the growth anisotropy of cortical cells was significantly restored to wild-type-like in both epidermal complementation lines (Figure 2.4.3D). In contrast, the expression of SPR1-GFP in the cortical cell layer did not significantly alter the skewed growth and anisotropy
of either the cortical or epidermal cells (Figure 2.4.3, A and B). Thus, the epidermis influences the growth directionality and anisotropy of cortical cells and not the other way around as proposed previously (Furutani et al., 2000).

Figure 2.4.3. Epidermal complementation of the sprl-3 mutant restores the skewing and anisotropy of both epidermal and cortical cells to wild-type-like. (A, B) Skewing angle from vertical of individual epidermal (A) and cortical (B) cells in the differentiation zone of 3-day-old propidium iodide-stained roots. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward cell skewing, respectively. (C, D) Cellular anisotropy (length/width ratio) of epidermal (C) and cortical (D) cells in the differentiation zone. Root models to the right of each figure represent cell
skewing (A, B) and cell anisotropy (C, D) of epidermal (teal) and cortical (yellow) cells. All plots show mean ± SD, n > 65 cells for all genotypes. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.05).

2.4.2 Reduced Epidermal Cell Adhesion Suppresses Skewed Root Growth

Epidermal entrainment of the cortex could be due to geometric constraints imposed by symplastic growth of plant tissues (Weizbauer et al., 2011). Such a mechanism requires tight adhesion between adjacent cell layers. Cell-cell adhesion in land plants is mediated by a pectin-rich cell wall structure called the middle lamella (Bethke et al., 2016; Daher & Braybrook, 2015; Du et al., 2020). The quasimodo1 (qua1-1) mutant, which contains reduced levels of homogalacturonan pectin, impairs adhesion between epidermal cells and between epidermal and cortical cells (Bouton et al., 2002). This mutant was found to suppress twisted growth in the hypocotyl and petioles of the spr2-2 mutant (Verger et al., 2019). Therefore, we generated spr1-3 qua1-1 and cmul qua1-1 double mutants, both of which exhibited significant epidermal cell peeling due to partial loss of cell adhesion (Figure 2.4.4, A to I). Introduction of the qua1-1 mutation strongly suppressed right-handed root skewing in the spr1-3 mutant and completely rescued left-handed root skewing in the cmul mutant (Figure 2.4.4J). Notably, suppression of root skewing was not due to diminished torsion of epidermal cells (Figure 2.4.4K). In addition, cortical cell anisotropy was fully rescued in the spr1-3 qua1-1 double mutant (Figure 2.4.4L). Thus, the integrity of the epidermis and its adhesion to the cortex is required for root twisting and epidermal control of cortical cell morphology. Cell adhesion might enable coordinated growth of root tissue through mechanical coupling of cell layers and/or by facilitating the movement of proteins and small molecules through plasmodesmata.
Figure 2.4.4. Cell adhesion is required for twisted growth of spr1-3 and cmu1 mutant roots. (A, D, G) Maximum projection, depth-coded confocal images of propidium iodide-stained roots. Color bar represents distance in the z-direction. Scale bar = 100 μm. (B, C, E, F, H, I) Closeup images of impaired epidermal cell adhesion. Scale bar = 50 μm. White and yellow arrowheads point to partial and near-complete loss of cell adhesion, respectively. (J) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward root growth, respectively. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 112, 116, 116, 115, and 119 roots for Col-0, qua1-1, spr1-3, spr1-3 qua1-1, cmu1, and cmu1 qua1-1, respectively. (K) Epidermal cell file angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. In the qua1-1 and spr1-3 qua1-1 roots, only intact epidermal cells were measured. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 39, 40, 40, and 40 roots for Col-0, spr1-3, qua1-1, and spr1-3 qua1-1, respectively. (L) Anisotropy (length/width ratio) of cortical cells with lengths between 100-150 μm in the differentiation zone. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 69, 68, 67, and 96 cells for Col-0, qua1-1, spr1-3, and spr1-3 qua1-1, respectively. Statistics in J-L represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.05); ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant.
2.4.3 Skewed Microtubules and Cell Morphology Precedes Cell File Twisting

Since the epidermis drives directional root growth, we wanted to compare the morphology and growth of epidermal cells between wild-type and twisted mutants. For this purpose, we introduced p35S::GFP-LTI6b, a plasma membrane marker that delineates the cell periphery (Cutler et al., 2000; Sassi et al., 2014), into wild-type Col-0 and sprl-3 plants. We focused on cell geometry in the elongation zone since tilted microtubule arrays (Figure 2.6.2) are first detectable in this region of the root. Unlike the symmetric cells in Col-0 roots, sprl-3 cells had a right-handed skewed morphology as demonstrated by the ratio of end wall angles (Figure 2.4.5, A and B). In addition, the left lateral wall was longer than the right lateral wall in the sprl-3 mutant compared to Col-0 (Figure 2.4.5C), probably due to their asymmetric growth in sprl-3 (Figure 2.4.5D). As the orientation of cortical microtubules directs cellulose deposition (Baskin, 2005), the skewed morphology of sprl-3 cells is likely attributed to a skewed material anisotropy of the cell wall constraining cell growth.

Figure 2.4.5. Cortical microtubule and cell skewing precedes twisted growth in the sprl-3 mutant. (A) Cell morphometry model denoting paired end-wall angles (a and a’, b and b’) and paired lateral wall lengths (L and R) in root elongation zone cells. (B) Ratio of paired end-wall angles. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 164 and 147 cells for Col-0 and sprl-3, respectively. (C) Ratio of paired wall lengths. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 164 and 147 cells for
Col-0 and spr1-3, respectively. (D) Ratio of paired wall growth rates over the course of 2 hours. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 165 and 137 cells for Col-0 and spr1-3, respectively. Statistics in B-D represent unpaired Mann-Whitney test between genotypes; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.

2.4.4 Cell File Twisting and a Heterogeneous Mechanical Environment Together Promote Root Skewing

In stark contrast to skewed roots when growth on the surface of an agar medium, spr1-3 and cmu1 roots are straight when grown within the agar medium (Figure 2.4.6, A and B), even though epidermal cells are similarly skewed under both conditions (Figure 2.4.6C). Thus, cell file twisting is not sufficient to generate skewed roots. When grown in soil, spr1-3 and cmu1 roots once again showed skewed growth (Figure 2.6.3). Together, these data indicate that a heterogeneous growth environment is essential for the skewed root phenotype.

Figure 2.4.6. Root twisting requires a heterogenous environment. (A) Representative images of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on or into 1% agar medium. Scale bar = 0.5 cm. Asterisk denotes seedling that did not penetrate agar during growth. (B) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on or into 1% agar medium. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 159, 163, and 159 roots for Col-0, spr1-3, and cmu1 seedlings grown on agar, respectively. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 62, 68, and 63 roots for Col-0, spr1-3, and cmu1 grown inside of agar, respectively. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward root growth, respectively. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant. (C) Cell file angles of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on or into 1% agar medium. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 6, 14, and 5 roots for Col-0, spr1-3, and cmu1 seedlings grown on agar, respectively. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 8, 11, and 11 roots for Col-0, spr1-3, and cmu1 grown inside of agar, respectively; ns = not significant.
To determine the mechanistic origin of root skewing, we imaged the interaction of roots with the surface of an agar medium. We observed that root hairs on the left side of sprl-3 roots contacted the agar surface more frequently than on the right side, whereas wild-type and cmu1 roots did not show laterally biased root hair-agar interactions (Figure 2.6.4, A to D). To test whether biased root hair-agar interaction is functionally significant, we crossed the sprl-6 mutant, whose roots skew similar to sprl-3 (Figure 2.6.1A), with cpc try, a root hairless mutant (Kirik et al., 2004). We found that the hairless roots of the sprl-6 cpc try triple mutant skew to the same degree as the hirsute sprl-6 roots (Figure 2.6.4E), demonstrating that root hairs are dispensable for skewed root growth.

2.5 Discussion

This work provides strong experimental evidence in support of the epidermal-growth-control theory proposed for embryonic and adult stem elongation (Kutschera & Niklas, 2007), and demonstrates that the epidermis also plays a dominant role in controlling the growth of roots. In a cylindrical object like a plant root, resistance to torque and bending scales as the fourth power of the radius from the center axis. Thus, the epidermis as the outermost cell layer should have the highest contribution to twisted growth. Arabidopsis roots are minimalistic and contain a single cortical cell layer, unlike other plants which commonly possess multiple cortical layers. Whether the epidermal control of growth applies to roots containing multiple cortical cell layers remains to be determined.

Our results offer a new perspective on the wide prevalence of twisted growth in the plant kingdom. Rather than requiring a null mutation to produce twisted growth, our results suggest that modulation of gene expression in the epidermis alone is sufficient to generate a helical growth habitat at the organ level. The ability to fine-tune the direction of root growth might be
particularly advantageous in soil which is highly heterogeneous in terms of its mechanical microenvironment and water and nutrient availability. Recently, Yu et al. showed that phosphorylation of SPIRAL2-LIKE (SP2L), a microtubule-associated protein and close homolog of SPIRAL2 (Y. Fan et al., 2018; Shoji et al., 2004), mediates cortical microtubule reorientation in the root transition zone to produce twisted cell expansion during halotropism (Yu et al., 2022). Similarly, salt stress causes right-handed root skewing in Arabidopsis (C. Wang et al., 2007), which is associated with rapid proteasome-dependent degradation of SPR1 and restructuring of cortical microtubule arrays (Shoji et al., 2004; C. Wang et al., 2007; S. Wang et al., 2011). Thus, plants might dynamically regulate microtubule-associated genes and/or proteins in the epidermis to promote root twisting as part of hydrotropism and halotropism. Inducing twisted growth might also aid in soil penetration and growth past obstacles, akin to circumnutation of the root tip enhancing root exploration (I. Taylor et al., 2021). On the other hand, constitutive cell file twisting is likely detrimental for root mecanoresponse as demonstrated by the inability of the spr1 mutant to maintain straight root growth trajectory in a mechanically heterogeneous environment.
2.6 Supplementary Information

Figure 2.6.1. *spr1* and *cmu1* roots skew with opposing chirality. (A) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward root growth, respectively. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 159, 163, 79, 159 roots for Col-0, *spr1-3*, *spr1-6*, and *cmu1* respectively. Data reproduced from Figure 6B. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant. (B) Epidermal cell file angles of propidium iodide-stained Col-0, *spr1-3*, and *cmu1* seedlings (n = 12), grown vertically for 3 days on agar medium prior to imaging. Cell file angles were measured in 150 μm intervals from root tip. Positive and negative angles indicate right-handed and left-handed cell file twisting, respectively. Statistics represent unpaired T-test between *spr1-3* and Col-0 (red asterisks) and *cmu1* and Col-0 (purple asterisks); **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001
Figure 2.6.2. Epidermal complementation of the sprl-3 mutant restores transverse microtubule orientation. (A) Maximum projection confocal images of root epidermal cells from p35S::RFP-TUB6 expressing seedlings grown vertically for 3 days on agar medium. Scale bar = 25 µm. Cells outlined in white were used to measure the net microtubule orientation using the FibrilTool plugin. (B) Net microtubule orientation in epidermal cells of the root elongation zone. Positive and negative angles represent right- and left-handed microtubule orientation, respectively, matching the icons on the right. Plots represent mean ± SD, n = 81, 106, 73, and 77 cells for Col-0, sprl-3, PEP D1, and WER N8, respectively; *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant.
Figure 2.6.3. Soil-grown sprl-3 roots maintain skewed cell files. (A) 3-week-old seedlings removed from soil pots and laid flat on black felt. Roots were spread out as much as possible. sprl-3 roots consistently curled at the tips. The directionality of curl depended on how roots were laid and thus handedness was not assigned. Scale bar = 1 cm. (B) Cell file angles of mature Col-0 and sprl-3 roots. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 37 and 41 for Col-0 and sprl-3, respectively. Statistics represent unpaired t-test; ****P < 0.0001. (C) Representative raw images of cell file twisting in soil-grown roots. Scale bar = 500 μm. (D) Col-0 roots follow a random growth pattern along the base of the pot, whereas sprl-3 roots follow a clockwise growth pattern. Line end symbols represent the start of the root exiting the soil (circle) and the root tip (arrowhead).
Figure 2.6.4. Biased root hair-agar interaction in spr1 mutant does not contribute to skewed root growth. (A–C) Representative images of 3-day-old Col-0 (A), spr1-3 (B), and cmu1 (C) seedlings grown on top of agar. Change in light reflection shows root hair-agar contact sites. Root hair-agar interactions are denoted with a white arrowhead. Scale bar = 500 µm. (D) Total number of left-side (L) versus right-side (R) agar-interacting root hairs. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 40 roots for each genotype. Statistics represent unpaired Mann-Whitney t-test; ****P < 0.0001; ns = not significant. (E) Root skewing angle of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. Positive and negative angles indicate rightward and leftward root growth, respectively. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 63, 71, 60 and 26 roots for Col-0, cpc try, spr1-6, and spr1-6 cpc try roots, respectively. Statistics represent one-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001; ns = not significant.
Chapter 3: Twisted growth impairs Arabidopsis root mechanoresponse

3.1 Abstract

The high complexity of the plant root system can be attributed to disparate growth patterns of individual roots, which must navigate various mechanostimuli while obtaining nutrients and water. Mutations targeting microtubules, which play a critical role in directing plant cell growth, can induce chiral root growth. Here, we show that the Arabidopsis thaliana spiral1 mutant, which contains skewed cortical microtubules, exhibits fixed right-handed cell file twisting and skewed root growth in both primary and lateral roots. Similar to other Arabidopsis twisted mutants, we found that spiral1 exhibits an abnormal growth response to plastic barriers, indicating that fixed twisted growth disrupts root mechanoresponse. Organ-level root skewing requires a heterogeneous external mechanical input, which can be achieved by growing seedlings on an agar substrate. To further understand how spiral1 roots respond to changes in the mechanical environment, we increased the stiffness of the agar medium and found that spiral1 root growth directionality was unstable, switching from right- to left-handed on stiffer agar. Right-handed cell file twisting, however, remained unchanged across growth conditions. Despite their important role in root anchorage, we found that root hairs on the epidermis are not required for reorienting spiral1 roots on stiffer agar. Overall, this work provides new insights into the consequences of microtubule-based symmetry breaking for root mechanoresponse.
3.2 Introduction

Vascular plants, including all major crops, build extensive root systems that are vital for nutrient acquisition, water uptake, and soil anchorage. These plants either have taproot or fibrous root systems below ground, with some plants forming adventitious roots above ground from other tissues (Bellini et al., 2014). The overall architecture of the root system largely depends on the soil environment, which is mechanically, chemically, and biologically heterogeneous. Warmer temperatures associated with climate change are expected to significantly impact soil properties, posing a critical threat to agricultural practices across the globe (Seleiman et al., 2021). For example, warmer temperatures will enhance water evaporation in the soil's top layer, which houses at least 50% of root tissue in crops (J. Fan et al., 2016). There is growing interest in modifying the root system architecture to aid in crop adaptation to climate change (B. Li et al., 2022; Lynch, 2018). Kirkegaard et al. showed that increased root growth in wheat enables deeper soil penetration for greater water uptake and improved grain yield (Kirkegaard et al., 2007). Similarly, Ogura et al. showed that genetically-modified Arabidopsis plants with altered auxin signaling grew deeper roots and were more drought-resistant (Ogura et al., 2019). Genetically modifying or selecting root systems that can dig deeper will likely be an advantageous farming strategy for crop production in expectedly warmer future climate conditions.

For roots to dig deeper, they must be able to successfully grow through compact soil and navigate around obstacles such as rocks. Plants are exposed to a variety of mechanical stimuli (‘mechanostimuli’), from wind to sound vibration, and respond and adapt accordingly during development (Monshausen & Gilroy, 2009). In the soil environment, roots use touch response (i.e., thigmotropism) to avoid obstacles such as rocks (Braam, 2005), a process facilitated by auxin signaling (H.-J. Lee et al., 2020). Since mechanostimuli can vary across millimeter-scale
distances in the soil, generating a desired root system to support healthy crop growth is contingent upon engineering root development at this scale. *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*) roots, given their simple architecture and accessibility to microscopy, are well-suited as a model to study how mechanical inputs direct root growth (Scheres & Wolkenfelt, 1998).

*Arabidopsis* has been extensively used for assessing root mechanoresponse, including thigmotropism (H.-J. Lee et al., 2020; Massa & Gilroy, 2003; K. Okada & Shimura, 1990; Tanaka et al., 2006) and gravitropism (Blancaflor, 2015; Durham Brooks et al., 2010; Simmons et al., 1995; Y. Zhang & Friml, 2020). In the lab, growth on top of agar medium is a mechanically heterogeneous environment known to enhance root skewing in twisted mutants (Oliva & Dunand, 2007; Rutherford & Masson, 1996; Schultz et al., 2017; Simmons et al., 1995), and this input is required for generating skewed root growth in the *spr1*-3 mutant (Chapter 2) (Furutani et al., 2000). A well-characterized root growth mechanoresponse is barrier avoidance, during which the root tip forms a step-like structure and reorients growth around the barrier (Massa & Gilroy, 2003). The right-handed twisted mutant, *calmodulin-like 24* (*cml24*), has a defective barrier response (Y. Wang et al., 2011), suggesting that fixed twisted growth may be disadvantageous in mechanically heterogeneous environments. Here, we show that the right-handed *spr1*-3 mutant exhibits twisted growth at the root system level and has a defective barrier response similar to *cml24*. In addition, we demonstrate that *spr1*-3 root growth trajectory is highly sensitive to the stiffness of the agar medium compared to wild-type, with robust straight root growth. Despite their role in root anchorage, root hairs are not essential for guiding root growth directionality. Thus, twisted growth influences the development and mechanoresponsiveness of roots.
3.3 Materials and Methods

3.3.1 Plant Growth Conditions

All *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants used in this study are listed in Table 3.3.1. For all plant growth experiments, seeds were surface-sterilized using a 25% bleach solution, resuspended in 0.1% agarose, and stratified at 4°C for 2 days. Sterilized seeds were grown vertically on agar medium containing 1% w/v Phytoblend agar (Caisson Labs), 0.3% w/v sucrose, and half-strength Murashige and Skoog basal medium (Caisson Labs), pH 5.7. under 16/8-h light/dark photoperiod (120 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ light intensity) at 24°C.

Table 3.3.1. *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines used in Chapter 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Wild-type background</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sprl</em>-3 (<em>At2g03680</em>)</td>
<td><em>sprl</em> null mutant (fast-neutron mutagenized)</td>
<td>Furutani et. al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sprl</em>-6 (<em>At2g03680</em>)</td>
<td><em>sprl</em> null mutant (T-DNA insert)</td>
<td>Sedbrook et. al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>wer</em> (<em>At5g14750</em>)</td>
<td><em>werewolf</em> null mutant (T-DNA insert)</td>
<td>SALK_044925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sprl</em>-6 <em>wer</em></td>
<td><em>sprl wer</em> double null mutant</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>cpc try</em> (<em>At2g46410</em>, <em>At5g53200</em>)</td>
<td><em>cpc try</em> double null mutant</td>
<td>ABRC: CS66489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>sprl</em>-6 <em>cpc try</em></td>
<td><em>sprl cpc try</em> triple null mutant</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 Agar Gradient Assay

Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized and stratified as stated in Section 3.3.1 and plated on agar growth medium containing either 1%, 3%, or 5% Phytoblend agar (Caisson Labs). Seedlings were grown vertically in conditions stated in Section 3.3.1 and imaged at 3 days of
growth from the front-side of the plate using a stereo dissecting light microscope (Leica) to image cell files and scanned at 300 DPI (Canoscan 4400F scanner) to image whole roots. Organ-level root skewing was measured from vertical (0 degrees) using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). FIJI was also used to measure cell file angles in the differentiation zone, relative to the orientation of the primary root. Statistical analysis and graph generation were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

3.4 Results

3.4.1 Root Skewing is Present at the Root System Level in the sprl-3 Mutant

We previously showed that in a mechanically-heterogeneous environment, skewed epidermal cell growth propagates macroscopically to cause chiral bending of the primary root of the Arabidopsis sprl-3 mutant (Chapter 2). However, whether the microtubule-based right-handed helical growth of epidermal cells affects the entire root system of sprl-3 plants has not been examined.

When grown on top of an agar medium, the lateral roots of the sprl-3 mutant exhibit skewed growth at the organ and cell file levels, similar to primary roots, indicating that twisted growth is a root system phenotype (Figure 3.4.1A, Figure 3.6.1). While sprl-3 lateral roots that emerge from the left and right sides of the primary root eventually adopt similar rightward skewing angles, the initial emergence and tip angles of lateral roots are strikingly different between the two sides. Lateral roots forming on the left side of sprl-3 roots show significantly greater emergence angles compared to Col-0 roots, whereas lateral roots forming on the right side of sprl-3 roots show significantly lower emergence angles compared to Col-0 roots (Figure 3.4.1A). In Col-0 plants, lateral root tips on both sides of the primary root start off at an angle of
about 50° and gradually reorient vertically due to gravitropism (Figure 3.4.1B, C). In contrast, in spr1-3 plants, left-side lateral roots start out growing nearly vertically and adopt a rightward bend over time (Figure 3.4.1B). Conversely, right-side lateral roots of the spr1-3 mutant start nearly parallel to the primary root and continue to maintain the rightward growth trajectory (Figure 3.4.1C).

---

**Figure 3.4.1.** The spatial orientation of lateral roots in spr1-3 affects root emergence and tip angles. (A) Lateral root (LR) emergence angles in Col-0 and spr1-3 11-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. For each genotype, LRs were grouped based on spatial orientation on the left or right side of the primary root. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 201, 92, 243, and 90 for Col-0 left side, spr1-3 left side, Col-0 right side, and spr1-3 right side,
respectively. Statistics represent unpaired Mann-Whitney t-tests; ****P < 0.0001. (B, C) LR tip angles, relative to vertical (0 degrees) oriented to the left (B) or right (C) sides of primary roots in Col-0 and sprl-3 11-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium. LRs were groups based on LR length of less than 2.5 μm, between 2.5 and 5 μm, and greater than 5 μm. For B, plots show mean ± SD, n = 75, 54, 42, 25, and 25 LRs for Col-0 < 2.5, Col-0 2.5-5, Col-0 >5, sprl-3 <2.5, sprl-3 2.5-5, and sprl-3 >5, respectively. For C, plots show mean ± SD, n = 94, 70, 34, 24, and 32 LRs for Col-0 < 2.5, Col-0 2.5-5, Col-0 >5, sprl-3 <2.5, sprl-3 2.5-5, and sprl-3 >5, respectively. For B and C, Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.05).

3.4.2 Stiffness of the Agar Medium Affects Organ-Level Directional Root Growth in the sprl-3 Mutant

Twisted mutants, such as cml24, have been previously shown to have defective root mechano-response, as assessed by their inability to sense and avoid glass coverslip barriers in their growth path (Y. Wang et al., 2011). To examine how sprl-3 roots respond to obstacles, we placed hard plastic barriers in the path of growing sprl-3 roots. Unlike wild-type roots which show a characteristic bend upon encountering the barrier, sprl-3 roots flatten along the barrier (Figure 3.6.2), similar to the barrier avoidance response of the cml24 mutant (Y. Wang et al., 2011). sprl-3 roots that hit the flat barrier consistently grow rightward (data not shown), a response that resembles the clockwise growth trajectory of sprl-3 roots that hit the base of the plant pot, as described in Chapter 2 (Figure 2.6.3D).

The above data motivated us to test whether the stiffness of the growth medium affects the growth trajectory of sprl-3 roots. For this experiment, we grew seedlings on media containing either 1%, 3%, or 5% agar. Increasing agar concentration increased the shear modulus, or the force tangential to the root surface, of the growth medium from 1.33 x 10³ Pa (1% agar), 1.52 x 10⁴ Pa (3% agar), to 2.16 x 10⁴ Pa (5% agar). While Col-0 roots grew relatively straight on all three types of media, sprl-3 roots switched from right-handed to left-handed skewing as shear modulus increased (Figure 3.4.2A, B). To determine whether this root response is potentially due to osmotic stress caused by increasing agar concentration (Verger et
al., 2018, 2019), seedlings were grown on 1% agar medium containing different amounts of mannitol. 100 mM mannitol did not affect the growth angle of Col-0 and sprl-3 roots. In the presence of 200 mM and 300 mM mannitol, Col-0 roots changed growth direction slightly, however, the rightward skew of sprl-3 roots was not significantly affected (Figure 3.6.3). Based on these data, we conclude that mechanical cues (due to increasing shear modulus) and not osmotic stress are responsible for changing the growth direction of sprl-3 roots with increasing agar concentration. We found that while sprl-3 roots grow rightward, straight, and leftward on 1%, 3%, and 5% agar media, respectively, the root epidermal cell files showed similar right-handed skewing under all three conditions (Figure 3.4.2C). Thus, the increased shear force of the agar medium can uncouple cell file twisting from directional root growth in the sprl-3 mutant.

Figure 3.4.2. sprl-3 root skewing shifts from right- to left-handed on agar with increasing shear modulus. (A) Representative images of Col-0 and sprl-3 seedlings on each agar type. Scale bar = 0.5 cm. kPa = kilopascals. (B) Root skewing angle, relative to vertical (0 degrees) of Col-0 and sprl-3 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium with increasing agar concentration. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 159, 159, 157, 163, 160, and 154 roots for Col-0 1%, Col-0 3%, Col-0 5%, sprl-3 1%, sprl-3 3%, and sprl-3 5%, respectively. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant. (C) Average cell file angle from vertical measured at the basal differentiation zone. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant.
3.4.3 ACC-induced Root Hair Production Straightens spr1-3 Mutant Roots on 1% Agar

Previously, we showed that while root hairs interact with the agar surface in a biased fashion in the spr1-3 mutant, root hair-agar interaction is not required for generating skewed root growth (Chapter 2). However, given that root hairs function to anchor the root (Bailey et al., 2002; Bengough et al., 2016), we wanted to investigate if root hairs influenced spr1-3 root skewing under conditions of increased mechanical force from the growth medium. We hypothesized that increased root hair production would stabilize root skewing in the spr1-3 mutant. To test this hypothesis, we treated Col-0 and spr1-3 seedlings grown on 1% or 5% agar with 0.1 μM 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC). ACC is a soluble ethylene precursor that induces ectopic root hair production from atrichoblast cell files and enhances root hair growth (Shibata & Sugimoto, 2019). We found that in the presence of ACC, spr1-3 roots were hairier and significantly straighter on both 1% and 5% agar (Figure 3.4.A). However, ACC also caused both Col-0 and spr1-3 roots to be stunted even on 1% agar (Figure 3.4.B), consistent with the inhibition of root growth by ethylene (Růžička et al., 2007). While these results point to a potential role of root hairs in stabilizing root directional growth, the impact of ACC on root growth may be indirectly affecting root mecanoresponse.
Figure 3.4.3. Hairy sprl-3 roots induced by exogenous ACC treatment affect root mechanoresponse. (A) Representative images of Col-0 and sprl-3 seedlings for each treatment type. Scale bar = 0.5 cm. (B) Root skewing angle, relative to vertical (0 degrees) of Col-0 and sprl-3 5-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium with increasing agar concentration and in the presence or absence of ACC. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 34, 33, 29, 24, 29, 31, 31, and 28 roots for Col-0 mock (1%), Col-0 0.1 μM (1%), Col-0 mock (5%), Col-0 0.1 μM (5%), sprl-3 mock (1%), sprl-3 0.1 μM (1%), sprl-3 mock (5%), and sprl-1-3 0.1 μM (5%), respectively. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.05).
3.4.3 Root Hairs are Not necessary for Root Skewing in the spr1 Mutant

To avoid unwanted effects of ACC on root growth, we used a genetic approach to directly assess the effect of root hairs on root mechanoresponse. We generated spr1 lines without root hairs by crossing the T-DNA insertion allele, \textit{spr1-6}, with the hairless double mutant, \textit{caprice (cpc) trypichon (try)} (Kirik et al., 2004). We also crossed \textit{spr1-6} with \textit{werewolf (wer)} to produce a \textit{spr1-6 wer} double mutant which has increased root hair production (M. M. Lee & Schiefelbein, 1999). When grown on media containing either 1% or 5% agar, the \textit{wer} single mutant and \textit{cpc try} double mutant roots grew straight, similar to wild-type roots. In contrast, the \textit{spr1-6}, \textit{spr1-6 wer}, and \textit{spr1-6 cpc try} mutants all showed similar rightward skew on 1% agar and essentially straight growth on 5% agar (Figure 3.4.4). Interestingly, 5% agar promoted root hair elongation in all genotypes, except \textit{cpc try} and \textit{spr1-6 cpc try} (Figure 3.4.4). Taken together, these results demonstrate that root hairs are dispensable for influencing the directional growth of roots in response to increased shear force from the substrate. Furthermore, redirecting root directional growth only requires interactions between the epidermis and the surrounding substrate.
**Figure 3.4.4.** Root hairs are not required for reorientation of *spr1-6* root skewing in response to increased shear modulus. (A) Representative images of seedlings grown on 1% agar plates. Scale bar = 0.5 cm. (B) Root skewing angle, relative to vertical (0 degrees) of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium with increased agar concentration (1% versus 5%). Plots show mean ± SD, n = 176, 183, 133, 178, 182, 102, 177, 187, 120, 182, 186, and 73 roots for Col-0 1%, wer 1%, cpc try 1%, *spr1-6* 1%, *spr1-6 wer* 1%, *spr1-6 cpc try* 1%, Col-0 5%, wer 5%, cpc try 5%, *spr1-6* 5%, *spr1-6 wer* 5%, and *spr1-6 cpc try* 5%, respectively. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant. (C) Close-up images of seedlings on 1% or 5% agar. Scale bar = 200 μm.
3.5 Discussion

Helical movement, or circumnutation, plays an important role in root exploration through mechanically heterogeneous environments, such as soil (Del Dottore et al., 2017; I. Taylor et al., 2021). Using the Arabidopsis twisted mutant, sprl, our findings demonstrate that microtubule-based chiral root growth impairs root mecanoresponse. In Chapter 2, we showed that sprl-3 roots that hit the base of the pot always grow in a clockwise pattern, whereas wild-type Col-0 root growth trajectory was randomized. This result resembles the coiling defect seen in the rice histidine kinase-1 mutant, which has defective circumnutation and a reduced capacity for surface exploration (I. Taylor et al., 2021), but the sprl-3 mutant is not likely defective in circumnutation as these roots still wave (Simmons et al., 1995). Fixed handedness in the sprl mutant may restrict the variability in directional growth response that may be important for exploring the soil or responding to disparate environmental forces. Supporting this, here we show that while the growth trajectory of wild-type roots is robust to changing shear modulus of the growth medium, the growth trajectory of sprl-3 roots is highly sensitive to increased shear modulus. Surprisingly, the increased sensitivity of the sprl-3 roots to their mechanical environment occurs without any change in the epidermal cell file angle. Future work will address the relationship of twisted growth in sprl-3 to the mechanics of differential root bending.

We found that increased agar stiffness induced root hair elongation in all genotypes except the hairless mutants (cpc try and sprl-6 cpc try). This phenomenon may be an adaptive response to promote root adhesion to the agar surface since root hairs play an important role in root anchorage (Bengough et al., 2016). Consistent with this idea, seedlings grown on stiffer agar tended to fall off (data not shown), which occurred more frequently in the hairless mutants. Nonetheless, we show that root hairs are not required for sprl root straightening on stiff agar,
further supporting the finding in Chapter 2 that root hairs do not play a role in guiding root
directional growth.

We show that wild-type roots remained relatively straight along the gravity vector despite
changes in shear force from the substrate. It is unclear whether the maintenance of straight
growth is advantageous in a highly heterogeneous mechanical environment, such as soil. Recent
work from Yu et al. shows that microtubules reorient in the root elongation zone to generate
helical growth in wild-type roots as a mechanism to avoid salt stress (Yu et al., 2022). Perhaps
the ability to turn on and off helical growth in roots is advantageous for navigating the complex
soil environment. To better assess the benefits and drawbacks of twisted growth, it will be
important to analyze sprl root system development in 3-D agar gels with varying mechanical
properties and in soil. Future work should employ advanced imaging technology developed in
recent decades, such as computed tomography and X-ray imaging (Tollner et al., 1994; Downie
et al., 2015; Piñeros et al., 2016; Topp et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2019; Atkinson et al., 2019).
3.6 Supplementary Information

**Figure 3.6.1.** LRs skew rightward in sprl-3 seedlings. (A) Average LR tip angle from vertical on the left and right sides of Col-0 and sprl-3 primary roots, measured at 11 days post germination. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 201, 92, 243, and 90 LRs for Col-0 left side, sprl-3 left side, Col-0 right side, and sprl-3 right side, respectively, across 15-20 seedlings for each genotype. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant. (B) Representative raw images of seedlings used for LR morphometry were imaged from behind the plate and digitally flipped along the horizontal axis. sprl-3 seeds were plated along an angle to prevent roots from touching during growth.

**Figure 3.6.2.** sprl-3 roots flatten along plastic barriers during growth. Col-0 and sprl-3 seeds were germinated on vertical plates in Raspberry Pi imaging devices, and at four days of growth, a plastic barrier was inserted a few millimeters below the roots. Root growth was imaged once per hour for 24 hours.
Figure 3.6.3. Root skewing in the *spr1-3* mutant is unaffected by increasing osmotic stress. Root skewing angle, relative to vertical (0 degrees) of 3-day-old seedlings grown vertically on agar medium containing up to 300 mM of mannitol. Plots show mean ± SD, n = 96, 99, 98, 94, 96, 89, and 94 roots for Col-0 0 mM, Col-0 100 mM, Col-0 200 mM, Col-0 300 mM, *spr1-3* 0 mM, *spr1-3* 100 mM, *spr1-3* 200 mM, and *spr1-3* 300 mM, respectively. Statistics represent Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunn’s multiple comparison test; ****P < 0.0001, ns = not significant.
Chapter 4: Correlated mechanochemical maps of Arabidopsis primary cell walls using atomic force microscope-infrared spectroscopy

4.1 Abstract

Spatial heterogeneity in the composition and organization of the primary cell wall affects its mechanics to generate cell shape. However, directly correlating cell wall composition, organization, and mechanics has been challenging. To overcome this barrier, we applied atomic force microscopy coupled with infrared spectroscopy (AFM-IR) to generate spatially correlated maps of paraformaldehyde-fixed, intact Arabidopsis thaliana epidermal cell wall mechanical and chemical properties. AFM-IR spectra were deconvoluted by non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) into a linear combination of IR spectral factors representing sets of chemical groups comprising different cell wall components. This approach enables the quantification of chemical composition from IR spectral signatures and visualization of chemical heterogeneity at nanometer resolution. Cross-correlation analysis of the spatial distribution of NMFs and mechanical properties suggests that the carbohydrate composition of cell wall junctions correlates with increased local stiffness. Together, our work establishes a new methodology to use AFM-IR for the mechanochemical analysis of intact plant cell walls.

1 This chapter has been adapted from Bilkey et al., 2022 (Bilkey et al., 2022).
4.2 Introduction

Cell walls are a defining feature of plant cells and play a critical role in protecting plants against environmental stress, providing structural support, and determining the direction of growth. All plant cells have an extensible primary cell wall, which forms first and underlies cell growth and morphogenesis. Certain cell types, such as tracheids and fiber cells in the vasculature of the plant, develop a thick and non-extensible secondary cell wall during their differentiation. The primary cell wall consists of polysaccharides such as cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin, along with some proteins (Cosgrove, 2005; Lampugnani et al., 2018). Cells control the synthesis and deposition of individual cell wall components to modulate the composition of the cell wall. Spatial heterogeneity in cell wall composition is particularly important in defining the mechanical properties of the wall (Cosgrove, 2018; Grones et al., 2019; Majda et al., 2017; Phyo et al., 2017).

Cellulose is a linear polymer of β-1,4-linked glucose (Glc) that forms microfibrils that resist tensile stress and control the axis of turgor-driven cell expansion (Cosgrove, 2018). Cellulose microfibrils are believed to be mechanically coupled to each other at sites called ‘biomechanical hotspots’ where hemicelluloses, such as xyloglucan (XG), are thought to form tight linkages between microfibrils (Cosgrove, 2014; Park & Cosgrove, 2012). XG is the major hemicellulose in primary cell walls of Arabidopsis thaliana and other eudicots (Park & Cosgrove, 2015) that consists of a β-1,4-Glc backbone substituted at regular positions with α-D-xylose (Xyl). The Xyl monomers in XG are further substituted with β-D-galactose (Gal) and α-L-fucose (Fuc). Another hemicellulose found in the primary cell walls of A. thaliana is glucuronoxarabinoxylans (GAX), which consists of a β-1,4-xylose monomer backbone with sidechains of α-D-arabinose (Ara) and/or α-D-glucuronic acid (GlcA) monomers (Zablackis et
The cellulose microfibrils and hemicellulose network is surrounded by a hydrophilic pectin matrix that aids in cell wall extensibility, strength, and porosity (Atmodjo et al., 2013; Cosgrove, 2014; Mohnen, 2008; Willats et al., 2001). Pectin is a highly branched polymer consisting of anionic sugars such as α-D-galacturonic acid (GalA) and can undergo reversible methyl esterification to modulate cell wall elasticity (Höfte et al., 2012; Peaucelle et al., 2015).

The main pectin in *A. thaliana* includes homogalacturonan (HGA), rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I), and rhamnogalacturonan II (RG-II). Adjacent cells are adhered to each other via a pectin-rich middle lamella that is important for maintaining the structural integrity of plants (Bouton et al., 2002; Daher & Braybrook, 2015). Approximately 10% of the mass of the plant primary cell wall is comprised of enzymes and structural proteins. Some structural proteins, such as arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs) (Jamet et al., 2006), are glycosylated by oligosaccharides composed mainly of neutral sugars and a small number of GlcA monomers.

A variety of techniques have been developed to spatially visualize plant cell wall composition. For example, metabolic labeling with an alkynylated fucose analog is used to fluorescently label the location of rhamnogalacturonan pectin in the cell wall (Anderson et al., 2012; Anderson & Wallace, 2012). Fluorescent dyes, such as propidium iodide and Pontamine fast scarlet 4SB, are used to stain and image the location of homogalacturonan pectin and cellulose, respectively (Anderson et al., 2010; Rounds et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2013). Since these methods enable live imaging, they reveal real-time spatial locations and concentrations of specific wall components. However, these methods require labeling and typically focus on one cell wall epitope or component at a time.

Spectroscopy has been used as a label-free method to spatially analyze the cell wall composition of plant cells and tissues. For example, IR and Raman spectroscopy, which detect
molecular vibrations via light absorption and inelastic scattering of photons, respectively, have enabled chemical imaging of plant cell walls and other biological materials (Baker et al., 2014; Gierlinger, 2014, 2017). Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and attenuated total reflection (ATR)-FTIR microspectroscopy methods have been used to map cellulose- and lignin-rich regions in *Populus* wood cell walls (Canteri et al., 2019; Cuello et al., 2020; Gierlinger, 2017). Confocal Raman microspectroscopy has also been used to map cellulose and lignin-rich regions in multiple plant types (Agarwal, 2006; Gierlinger, 2014, 2017; Gierlinger & Schwanninger, 2006; Prats Mateu et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2010). While these label-free techniques can simultaneously detect multiple cell wall components, they cannot offer insights into how these cell wall components correlate with cell wall mechanical properties (e.g., stiffness).

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a label-free method that can surpass the visible-light diffraction limit to visualize cell wall topography and mechanical properties at the nanoscale (T. Zhang et al., 2016). However, AFM does not directly provide chemical information, and cell wall composition can only be indirectly inferred from mechanical information and/or through changes in mechanical properties following treatments that degrade specific cell wall components or linkages (Marga et al., 2005; T. Zhang et al., 2016, 2017, 2019). AFM coupled with IR (AFM-IR) is an emerging technique to perform nanoscale multimodal imaging of physical, chemical, and mechanical material features (Dazzi et al., 2005; Dazzi & Prater, 2017; Kurouski et al., 2020). This technique has been primarily used to generate correlated mechano-chemical maps of polymer composites, films, fibers, and blends (Centrone, 2015; Dazzi & Prater, 2017). AFM-IR uses a tunable IR laser focused on the sample at the AFM tip. When the wavelength of the IR laser matches the excitation wavelength of the sample beneath the AFM
tip, the sample absorbs the IR energy and undergoes thermal expansion which is detected by the AFM tip in contact with the sample surface. This can provide chemical images at selected IR wavelengths with nanoscale spatial resolution. Recently, AFM-IR has been used to image biological specimens such as triacylglycerol-containing vesicles from bacteria, yeast, and microalga (Dazzi & Prater, 2017), extracellular vesicles from animal stem cells (Kim et al., 2018), bone (Gourion-Arsiquaud et al., 2014), and breast cancer cells (Clède et al., 2013; Dazzi & Prater, 2017). In plants, the application of AFM-IR has so far has been limited to secondary cell walls (Farahi et al., 2017; Tetard et al., 2010, 2011, 2012, 2015).

In this work, we establish a new methodology for applying AFM-IR to simultaneously measure the mechanical and chemical properties of the primary cell wall of *A. thaliana* epidermal cells. We provide a sample preparation technique suited for AFM-IR on *A. thaliana* stem sections, which eliminates chemical contamination. Since many plant cell wall components have overlapping spectral features and because IR spectral imaging creates large and complex datasets, we applied non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) to reduce the dimensionality of the AFM-IR data into a more manageable number of components (Borodinov et al., 2019; Montcuquet et al., 2010). These non-negative matrix factors were used to identify the IR spectral features representing different cell wall components. By correlating the spatial distribution of chemical groups with nanomechanical properties, this study reveals how the spatial variation in cell wall composition relates to the spatial variation in cell wall mechanics.
4.3 Materials and Methods

4.3.1 Sample Preparation

*Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants were grown under continuous light conditions (120 μEm⁻²s⁻¹) for six weeks. Apical regions of inflorescence stems were sectioned and fixed overnight with 3% paraformaldehyde solution in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 1.4 M NaCl, 1 mM KCl, 100 mM Na₂PO₄, 18 mM KH₂PO₄ pH 7.4). Fixed stem pieces were placed in a cryomold box containing room temperature 10% low-melting deep-sea cold fish gelatin (Cat. No. G7041, Sigma, St. Louis, USA) in deionized water. Stems were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen while held upright. Frozen gelatin blocks were sectioned using a cryostat (CM1850, Leica Microsystems) at 10 μm thickness using a Leica 819 microtome blade (Leica Biosystems Nussloch GmbH, Germany), washed three times with deionized water, and then adhered to a zinc sulfide block and dried overnight in the fume hood. Sections were stored at room temperature until imaging.

4.3.2 AFM-IR Measurement

IR spectral measurements were collected using a Nano-IR2-s instrument in contact resonance mode located at the Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Analysis Studio software (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA) monitored IR absorbance at wavenumber regions of 922-1170, 1301-1410, and 1504-1720 cm⁻¹. Soft IR probes with a nominal spring constant of 0.04 N/m were used. The phase-locked loop (PLL) frequency was tuned to 60 kHz and the laser spot was optimized to the AFM tip with the largest IR absorption at 1030 cm⁻¹. Scans for topography, PLL frequency, phase shift, lateral deflection, and deflection were first collected over a large 25 × 25 μm² region on epidermal cells at a scan...
rate of 0.5 Hz with a resolution of 128 × 128 pixel points and 8 co-averages in contact resonance mode. Within this region, the same scan types were then collected over a small 3 × 3 µm² region at a scan rate of 0.2 Hz with a resolution of 256 × 256 pixel points and 8 co-averages. A 30 × 30 IR array was collected in the small region with 4 co-averages and a spectral resolution of 1 cm⁻¹.

4.3.3 NMF and Cross-Correlation with Phase Shift

NMF analysis was conducted using factors varying in number from two to six to determine the optimal number of NMF factors, in part, based on cophenetic correlation coefficients and residual sum of squares. Once the optimized number of NMF factors was determined, the NMF factor spectra (loading) and factor distribution coefficients (score) were exported. The solved NMF factor spectra were compared to the FTIR spectra of the standards using principal component analysis (PCA). Then, NMF factor distribution maps were generated to visualize the factor spatial distribution. The phase shift map (originally 256 × 256 pixel points) collected on the 3 × 3 µm² region was reduced, by average data binning, to 30 × 30 pixel points, matching the NMF factor distribution map. Cross-correlation analysis between phase shift and the difference in NMF factor scores between factor 1 and sum of factors 2 and 3 was then performed similar to the protocol used in Borodinov et al., 2019.

4.4 Results and Discussion

4.4.1 AFM-IR Imaging of A. thaliana Epidermal Cell Walls

The spatial resolution and signal quality of AFM-IR images depends greatly on sample thickness and roughness (Pereira et al., 2018a). For example, in thick samples (>1 µm) of poor thermal conductivity, insufficient decay of thermal expansion with respect to scan rate can reduce spatial resolution and signal quality (Kurouski et al., 2020). Most AFM-IR studies have
involved spun-cast polymer thin films rather than complex biological specimens. As a result, our study required special considerations for sample preparation.

Resin embedding is a commonly used technique to obtain thin sections with well-preserved cellular structures (Verhertbruggen et al., 2017). However, epoxy resin has strong IR absorption in the 1720 – 922 cm\(^{-1}\) region, which would interfere with the IR signatures useful in our study (Coste et al., 2021; González et al., 2012; Pereira et al., 2018a). Therefore, paraformaldehyde (PFA) tissue fixation and low melting-temperature gelatin were used to embed apical portions of A. thaliana stems. A cryostat was then used to obtain cross sections from a frozen block of gelatin-embedded stem with controlled and uniform thickness (\(\sim 10 \mu m\)) and surface roughness (\(< 100 \text{ nm}\)). This method resulted in sections that had intact epidermis, phloem, and xylem cells; but softer tissues, like the pith and cortex, were less well preserved (Figure 4.6.1A). AFM-IR imaging was conducted on the epidermal cell wall because the epidermis is composed of mostly primary cell walls (Cosgrove, 2018). Because sections were obtained perpendicular to the periclinal cell walls, the mechanical properties detected by AFM are unaffected by turgor pressure. PFA-fixed sections demonstrate minimal spectral difference from A. thaliana stem tissue that had undergone no sample preparation (Figure 4.6.2). Therefore, the sample preparation method devised here was suitable for generating plant stem sections for AFM-IR with no detectable spectral contamination.

Topographical (Figures 4.4.1A, B) and phase (Figure 4.4.1C) images obtained via contact resonance mode AFM imaging were used to locate an epidermal cell wall. The topographical map of a 25 × 25 \(\mu m^2\) region shows the wall between two adjoining epidermal cells and corresponds to the AFM-IR image collected (Figure 4.4.1B). Phase images report the difference
in AFM cantilever drive and response oscillation that is sensitive to the surface stiffness and adhesion of the surface to the AFM tip (Ye & Zhao, 2010). Generally, softer samples result in a negative phase shift and stiffer samples result in a positive phase shift (Dong & Yu, 2003). Phase shift can be sensitive to cell wall composition and has been previously used to capture different cell wall ultrastructural features in young poplar stems (Farahi et al., 2017). Similarly, in the *A. thaliana* sample from this study, the phase map (Figure 4.4.1C) showed striations along the wall, presumably with regions of softer and stiffer material. The spatial variation in sample surface and subsurface properties indicated by phase shift can be attributed to spatial variation in cell wall mechanics and/or adhesion (Bidhendi & Geitmann, 2019), which are thought to be determined by wall composition and organization (Bidhendi et al., 2019; Bidhendi & Geitmann, 2019; Bou Daher et al., 2018; Cosgrove, 2018; Peaucelle et al., 2011). For example, streaks in the phase shift maps indicate regions where the tip goes from an attractive (stiff) to a repulsive (soft) material (Figure 4.4.1D-F).
Figure 4.4.1. AFM-IR imaging of an epidermal cell wall in a PFA-fixed A. thaliana stem sample. (A) Image of sample region from the AFM camera. AFM cantilever tip is outlined in yellow. (B, C) Contact resonance mode AFM height image and phase shift map of the large scan region (25 x 25 µm²) showing epidermal cells. Positive shifts in phase indicates increasing sample stiffness. Black box represents where AFM-IR measurements (30 x 30 spectral array) were collected. Scale bar = 5 µm. (D) Phase 2 map of the small scan region (3 x 3 µm²) where AFM-IR measurements were collected. White dashed line is outlining a region of increased stiffness at the junction between two epidermal cells. (E, F) Zoomed-in images of two regions of varying phase shift along cell-cell junction, indicated in D. Scale bar = 500 nm. (G) Height map was obtained of a 3 x 3 µm² region where AFM-IR data was collected.

Chemical information on a shared epidermal cell wall was acquired with a 30 × 30 array (900 AFM-IR pixel points or spectra) over a 3 × 3 µm² region, collecting a matrix of spectra every 100 nm in the x- and y-dimension (black square in Figures 4.4.1B, C). Although the spatial resolution of our AFM-IR acquisition could not be determined, it nonetheless offered multimodal
images to correlate cell wall chemical and mechanical properties. Within the scan region, images (256 × 256 pixel map over a 3 × 3 µm² region) were collected for phase shift (Figure 4.4.1D), height (Figure 4.4.1G), phase-locked loop (PLL) frequency (Figure 4.6.1B), and deflection (Figures 4.6.1C, E). Continuous adjustment in PLL frequency is necessary to maintain the resonance enhanced condition throughout the scan. Hence, PLL monitors changes in contact resonance frequency which occur due to changes in sample surface stiffness and adhesion (Stan & Solares, 2014). Deflection is a direct measure of cantilever-surface interaction force and is used to produce a three-dimensional image of the sample surface (Vadillo-Rodríguez et al., 2004). Based on Figure 4.4.1, the stiff portion of the wall, indicated by high positive phase shift, is outlined by a white dashed line (Figure 4.4.1D). This region appears slightly raised in the height map (Figure 4.4.1G), with more distinct three-dimensional structure in the deflection (Figure 4.6.1C) and lateral deflection (Figure 4.6.1D) maps. Based on the orientation of the sample, this region was determined to be the junction between the anticlinal cell walls of two epidermal cells. Figures 4.4.1E and 4.4.1F show enlarged phase shift images of the cell-cell junction, suggesting a stiffer boundary between the cells and softer regions on either side. This stiff cell-cell junction likely represents the middle lamella, a pectin-rich region that acts as a ‘glue’ between shared cell walls (Daher & Braybrook, 2015; Zamil & Geitmann, 2017). Previous work by Zamil et al. using a micro-stretching technique also found that the middle lamella between epidermal cells is significantly stronger than the rest of the cell wall (Zamil et al., 2014).

4.4.2 Determining the Spatial Variation in Primary Cell Wall Composition by AFM-IR

In our experiments, the available lasers for AFM-IR spectral acquisition were limited to a range of 922-1170, 1301-1410, and 1504-1720 cm⁻¹. All Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
FTIR) and AFM-IR spectra in this study were pre-processed applying spectral smoothing, baseline correction, and normalization approaches (Gautam et al., 2015) (see Supporting Information and Figure 4.6.3). To ensure that spectra produced by AFM-IR are similar to those obtained by traditional FTIR, PCA was used to compare FTIR and AFM-IR spectra of carbohydrate standards obtained from plant primary cell walls. The results show that the FTIR and AFM-IR spectra of the carbohydrate standards are statistically indistinguishable (Figure 4.6.2). Therefore, the AFM-IR spectra accurately reflect cell wall IR response and chemistry.

The IR spectra of the primary cell wall is complex because the different polymeric components each have intricate chemical functionality. FTIR spectra of cell wall standards were used to establish IR band assignments to specific primary cell wall components. Commercially available XG, RG-I, cellulose, xylan, and polygalacturonic acid (PGA) samples extracted from various plant sources were used as representative examples of cellulose, pectin, and hemicellulose. Lignin was not included as a cell wall standard since it is not abundant in the epidermal cell walls of *A. thaliana* apical stems (C. Zhu et al., 2015). Further, imaging of lignin autofluorescence (Decou et al., 2017) demonstrated that there is essentially no lignin in the epidermis (Figure 4.6.4). FTIR spectra of each standard are shown in Figure 4.3.2A, and major IR bands observed for each cell wall standard are detailed in Table 4.6.1. To further facilitate interpretation of AFM-IR spectral data, FTIR spectra of *A. thaliana* primary cell wall reference fractions were obtained. Oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH extracts, were isolated from alcohol-insoluble residues generated from *A. thaliana* seedling by sequential extraction (Figure 4.6.5). Previously, Peralta et al. performed sequential extractions followed by glycome profiling on the apical section of an *A. thaliana* stem (Pattathil et al., 2012; Peralta et al., 2017). It was found that the oxalate extract was enriched in RG-I and AG epitopes (Peralta et al., 2017). Similarly, in this
study, the oxalate extract isolated from A. thaliana seedlings was found to consist mainly of Gal (39%), Ara (25%), and GalA (20%) residues (Table 4.6.2). In addition to carbohydrates, this extract also contained a significant amount of protein (see Table 4.6.3). Therefore, the oxalate extract, in this study, likely contains pectins, AGPs, and other cell wall proteins. Peralta et al. found that the subsequent carbonate and 1 M NaOH extracts were enriched in epitopes associated with xylans, HGA, RG-I, and AG (Peralta et al., 2017). In this study, the polysaccharides in the 1 M KOH extract were composed mainly of Xyl (35%), Ara (21%), Gal (20%), GalA (8%), and Glc (6%) residues (Table 4.6.2). The 1 M KOH extract also contains a significant amount of protein (Table 4.6.3). Therefore, the 1 M KOH extract, in this study, likely contains xylans, pectins, and cell wall proteins. Lastly, Peralta et al. reported that a 4 M NaOH extract was enriched in epitopes associated with non-fucosylated XGs, fucosylated XGs, and xylan (Peralta et al., 2017). In this study, the polysaccharides in the 4 M KOH extract were composed mainly of GalA (25%), Xyl (24%), Ara (14%), Gal (13%), and Glc (10%) residues (see Table 4.6.2). In addition, the 4 M KOH extract lacked significant amounts of protein (Table 4.6.3). This dataset suggests that the 4 M KOH extract, in this study, likely consists of XGs, pectins, and xylans.

The cellulose, xylan, and XG standards exhibit strong IR absorption between ~975-1170 cm$^{-1}$ (Figure 4.4.2A). As shown in Table 4.4.1, these IR bands are related to: (1) sugar ring ether O-C-O, (2) primary and secondary alcohol C-O, and (3) glycosidic ether O-C-O stretching commonly observed in the neutral residues and polysaccharide linkages of cell wall carbohydrates. The oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH reference extracts, as well as the mean AFM-IR spectrum of the A. thaliana epidermal cell wall, also show strong IR absorption in the ~975-1170 cm$^{-1}$ region (Figure 4.6.5 and Figure 4.4.2B), suggesting the presence of
carbohydrates. The mean *A. thaliana* AFM-IR spectrum contains prominent bands with peak maxima at: (1) 1310 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to alcohol O-H bending and/or the amide III band; (2) 1380 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to alcohol O-H bending, aliphatic C-H bending, and/or carboxylic acid symmetric stretching; (3) 1525 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to the amine II band; and (4) 1670-1660 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to adsorbed water O-H bending, carboxylic acid antisymmetric stretching, and/or the amine I band. Prominent IR bands at 1310, 1380, 1525, and 1657 cm\(^{-1}\) are also observed for the soy protein standard (Figure 4.4.2A), indicating the presence of cell wall proteins in the *A. thaliana* epidermal cell wall spectrum. While the oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH reference extracts also absorb at 1525 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 4.6.5), the relative absorption peak intensity at 1525 to 1075 cm\(^{-1}\) for the 4 M KOH reference extract is much lower than for oxalate and 1 M KOH reference extracts. The lower intensity in this region suggests that the 4 M KOH reference extract contains significantly less protein. Pectin cell wall standards (i.e., PGA and RG-I) show strong IR bands at ~1407 and 1604 cm\(^{-1}\), which are assigned to carboxylic acid symmetric and antisymmetric stretching (Figure 4.4.2A). Although IR absorption at ~1407 and 1604 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed in the mean *A. thaliana* AFM-IR spectrum (Figure 4.4.2B) and in the oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH reference extracts (Figure 4.6.5), these bands overlap with the IR absorption of proteins, which makes resolving the presence of acidic sugars on pectin based on carboxylic acid functionality difficult. PCA analysis further specifies the extent of the chemical similarity between cell wall reference fractions, cell wall standards, and the *A. thaliana* epidermal cell wall regions (Figure 4.6.6).
Figure 4.4.2. AFM-IR data of PFA-fixed *A. thaliana* stem epidermal cell wall show similar absorption patterns to FTIR spectra of cell wall standards. (A) Normalized FTIR spectra of cellulose, soy protein, PGA, RG-I, xylan and xyloglucan. FTIR absorption between 1300 - 1171 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1503 - 1411 cm\(^{-1}\) was removed for comparison with AFM-IR data. (B) a box plot of the AFM-IR spectra collected on the epidermal cell wall sample surface by binning 30 \(\times\) 30 pixels into 15 \(\times\) 15 pixels and by binning spectral wavenumbers 8\(\times\). The red and blue line represent the mean and median AFM-IR spectra, respectively. There was no AFM-IR absorption between 1503 – 1411 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1300 – 1171 cm\(^{-1}\).

The variance of IR absorption intensity across measured wavenumbers is substantial (Figure 4.4.2B), suggesting that there is chemical complexity and heterogeneity as a function of AFM-IR pixel location. Single wavenumber maps (Figure 4.4.3) were generated to depict the
spatial variation in IR absorption of specific functional groups associated with different cell wall standards (Table 4.4.1): neutral sugars in carbohydrates (C-O; 1075 cm\(^{-1}\)); amide II in proteins (C-N; 1525 cm\(^{-1}\)); and acidic sugar in carbohydrates, amide I in protein, and/or adsorbed water (1660 cm\(^{-1}\)). While both 1075 and 1660 cm\(^{-1}\) appear to have high absorption intensity across the majority of the AFM-IR scan region, there are clear differences in the level of absorption at these wavenumbers and their spatial distribution. For example, the area that shows the strongest absorption at 1075 cm\(^{-1}\) shows the weakest absorption at 1525 and 1660 cm\(^{-1}\). Similarly, the region towards the bottom of the map that shows strong absorption at 1660 cm\(^{-1}\), also shows weak absorption at 1075 and 1525 cm\(^{-1}\). By contrast, in the top third of the map (the region between 2.5-3.0 μm in the y-dimension), there is a relatively equal spatial distribution of all three wavenumbers. Thus, single wavenumber maps can distinguish between the spatial distribution and concentration of spectrally resolved functional groups, such as C-O and C=O. However, these functional groups are found in multiple cell wall components. Therefore, single wavenumber maps are insufficient to fully capture the spatial and chemical complexity of cell wall components.

Figure 4.4.3. Fixed wavenumber maps at (A) 1075 cm\(^{-1}\), (B) 1525 cm\(^{-1}\), and (C) 1660 cm\(^{-1}\). The black dashed outline represents the cell-cell junction highlighted in Figure 4.4.1D. a.u. = arbitrary units.
Table 4.4.1. IR assignments of the average Arabidopsis AFM-IR spectrum compared to commercial standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber range (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Wavenumber maxima (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Band Assignments</th>
<th>Commercial Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1706-1631</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>amide I (C=O stretch weakly coupled with C-N stretch and N-H bending)</td>
<td>soy protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1631-1598</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>adsorbed water O-H bending</td>
<td>cellulose, xylan, xyloglucan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COO- antisymmetric stretching</td>
<td>PGA, RG-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>adsorbed water O-H bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1567-1504</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>amide II (C-N stretch strongly coupled with N-H bending)</td>
<td>soy protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1394-1351</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>alcohol O-H bending</td>
<td>cellulose, xylan, xyloglucan, PGA, RG-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aliphatic C-H bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COO- symmetric stretching</td>
<td>PGA, RG-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1342-1301</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>C-H bending</td>
<td>cellulose, xylan, xyloglucan, PGA, RG-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alcohol O-H bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>amide III (N-H in plane bending coupled with C-N stretching)</td>
<td>soy protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1170-1145</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>glycosidic O-C-O vibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1137-1045</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>sugar ring ether O-C-O stretching</td>
<td>cellulose, xylan, xyloglucan, PGA, RG-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C-O stretching (secondary alcohol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045-944</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>C-O and C-C stretching (primary/secondary alcohol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.3 Deconvoluting AFM-IR Spectra for Mechanochemical Correlations

To more accurately delineate local concentrations of specific cell wall functional groups and components, NMF spectral deconvolution was applied (Borodinov et al., 2019; Kulkarni et al., 2018; Labbe et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2018; Montcuquet et al., 2010; T. Zhang et al., 2018).

NMF of the AFM-IR dataset (i.e., input matrix) requires a subjective, user-specified number of factors. The cophenetic correlation coefficient and residual sum of squares for a given solution represents the extent to which the residual data (i.e., the data from the input matrix that was not recreated by linear combinations of the factors) can be explained by best-fit linear combinations.
of solution factors. For example, Brunet et al. proposed that the cophenetic correlation coefficients demonstrate the stability of NMF solutions or how accurately the NMF solution describes the dataset (Brunet et al., 2004). Hutchins et al. proposed that the proper NMF solution occurs when the residual sum of squares, or the variation between the target matrix and the NMF solution, is minimized (Hutchins et al., 2008). However, these measures alone cannot solely inform which is the ‘best’ solution or number of factors. In this study, NMF solutions were generated with the number of factors ranging from two to six. Distinctive factor spectra were generated up to the three-factor solution, but following that point, increasing factor number resulted simply in factor splitting. Furthermore, the cophenetic correlation coefficient and residual sum of squares values were relatively low for the three-factor solution (Figure 4.6.7). Therefore, the three-factor NMF solution was used to analyze the A. thaliana AFM-IR dataset.

Key IR absorption bands in the NMF spectra were analyzed and used to attribute the origin of NMFs (Figure 4.4.4A and Table 4.4.2). Factor 1 spectrum exhibited strong absorption for sugar ring ether O-C-O and primary alcohol C-O stretching at IR bands between 975-1091 cm\(^{-1}\), which are absorption signatures associated with cell wall carbohydrates (Figure 4.4.4A). Absorption in this region was also seen in the FTIR spectra of carbohydrate standards and reference extracts. Notably, similar to cellulose, XG, and xylan, the Factor 1 spectrum did not show strong absorption in regions related to carboxylic acids (1407 and 1604 cm\(^{-1}\)). Factor 1 thus primarily represents a set of chemical groups that comprise neutral sugars on primary cell wall carbohydrate components; although, there are likely spectral elements attributed to cellulose, XG, and xylan in Factor 2. The Factor 2 spectrum contained IR absorption bands between (1) 1063-1170 cm\(^{-1}\) with peak maxima at 1110 and 1165 cm\(^{-1}\) that are attributed to glycosidic ether O-C-O and/or secondary alcohol C-O stretching, and (2) 1301-1346 cm\(^{-1}\) with a peak maximum
at 1310 cm\(^{-1}\) that is attributed to alcohol O-H bending and/or the amide III band. These two IR absorption band regions are found in the FTIR spectra of both carbohydrate and protein standards. Factor 2 thus represents specific moieties on primary cell wall carbohydrate components, mainly glycosidic linkages, and N-H groups of amides on proteins. The Factor 3 spectrum contained IR absorption bands between (1) 1324-1410 cm\(^{-1}\) with peak maxima at 1380 and 1403 cm\(^{-1}\) that are attributed to alcohol O-H bending, aliphatic C-H bending, and/or carboxylic acid symmetric stretching, (2) 1504-1570 cm\(^{-1}\) with a peak maximum at 1525 cm\(^{-1}\) that is attributed to the amide II band, and (3) 1596-1696 cm\(^{-1}\) with peak maxima 1610 and 1660 cm\(^{-1}\) that are attributed to carboxylic acid anti-symmetric stretching, adsorbed water O–H bending, and/or the amide I band. Factor 3 thus represents the acidic sugars on primary cell wall carbohydrate components, mainly carbonyls, as well as C-N and C=O groups of amides on proteins. The FTIR of RG-I and PGA suggest that the IR band for carboxylic acid anti-symmetric stretching is centered closer to 1600 cm\(^{-1}\). Accordingly, a major IR absorption feature of the spectra of Factor 3 is located more towards 1660 cm\(^{-1}\) is likely more characteristic of the presence of a protein amide I band or protein-adsorbed water. Factor 1 loading was mapped in Figure 4.4.4B. As expected for the plant cell wall, neutral sugars represented by Factor 1 are present throughout most of the AFM-IR scan region. The NMF loading maps for Factors 2 and 3 are shown in 6 x 6 pixel squares, in agreement with the cell wall being a composite material (Figure 4.6.8) (Burton et al., 2010).
Figure 4.4.4. NMF factor spectra represent carbohydrate-rich and protein-like regions in the epidermal cell wall. (A) NMF factor spectra plot for the 3-factor NMF solution. Peaks in each NMF factor spectrum are labeled with vertical lines. There was no AFM-IR absorption between 1503 – 1411 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1300 – 1171 cm\(^{-1}\). (B) Distribution map of Factor 1 representing its concentration (absolute value) in each pixel, with stacked bar plots displaying the ratio of NMF scores of Factors 1, 2 and 3 binned across 6 × 6 pixels (one box of the grid). Black dashed outline represents the cell-cell junction highlighted in Figure 4.4.1D.

Table 4.4.2. IR assignments of NMF factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Wavenumber range (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Wavenumber maxima (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Band Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1696-1596</td>
<td>1660, 1610</td>
<td>amide I (C=O stretch weakly coupled with C-N stretch and N-H bending) carboxylic acid O=C-O stretching adsorbed water O–H bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1648-1543</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>carboxylic acid O=C-O stretching adsorbed water O–H bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1571-1504</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>amide II (C-N stretch strongly coupled with N-H bending) alcohol O-H bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1410-1324</td>
<td>1403, 1380</td>
<td>carboxylic acid O-H bending aliphatic C-H bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1346-1301</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>alcohol O-H bending amide III (N-H in plane bending coupled with C-N stretching) carboxylic acid O=C-O stretching</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The left and top edges of the *A. thaliana* AFM-IR image are enhanced in the abundance of Factor 3 spectral features, whereas the bottom-right corner of the *A. thaliana* AFM-IR is enhanced in the abundance of Factor 2 spectral features. To better visualize these differences, we generated a map of the difference between Factor 1 score and the sum of Factors 2 and 3 scores (Figure 4.4.5A). Although an operation of this type with NMF loading values is ill-defined, it can be used to visualize which locations are enhanced in Factor 1 versus Factors 2 and 3 or vice versa. Positive values indicate locations where the IR spectra are enhanced in Factor 1, while negative values indicate locations with IR spectra are enhanced in Factors 2 and 3. In this map, Factor 1 dominates an oval-shaped region along the cell-cell junction (cyan and blue pixels), suggesting a high relative abundance of neutral sugars in this region. This map suggests that cellulose, xyloglucan, and xylan are present at higher concentrations than proteins and pectins within this region. By contrast, proteins and pectins represented by Factors 2 and 3 together appear to dominate the bottom third of the AFM-IR image (yellow and red pixels). Plants contain various cell wall proteins that make up 5-10% of the cell wall (Albenne et al., 2013; Amos & Mohnen, 2019; Daher & Braybrook, 2015; Keller, 1993). Localized deposition of cell wall proteins was previously demonstrated by Smallwood et al., who performed immunohistochemical staining of extensin and showed it localizes as puncta in the root epidermal cell wall (Smallwood et al., 1995). Without the need for immunostaining, our results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1091-975</th>
<th>1073</th>
<th>sugar ring ether O-C-O stretching primary alcohol C-O stretching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1170-1063</td>
<td>1165, 1110</td>
<td>glycosidic ether O-C-O stretching secondary alcohol C-O stretching</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
further support that cell wall proteins may be preferentially deposited in certain regions of the cell wall. The remaining light-green colored pixels in the map depict an equal mixture of Factor 1 and Factors 2 + 3, indicating that in some regions of the cell wall, the concentration of cell wall components is more balanced. These results show that NMF is a useful statistical tool to deconvolute AFM-IR spectral datasets to identify the major chemical components of cell walls and to distinguish their spatial organization and concentration.

**Figure 4.4.5.** Higher relative presence of NMF Factor 1 positively correlates with cell wall stiffness. (A) NMF distribution difference between Factor 1 score and sum of Factors 2 and 3 scores. (B). Correlation between phase shift and the NMF distribution difference between Factor 1 score and sum of Factors 2 and 3 scores as seen in Figure 4A for each pixel. Black dashed outline represents cell-cell junction highlighted in Figure 4.4.1D.
4.4.4 Correlating Cell Wall Composition and Stiffness

An advantage of AFM-IR is its use in co-registering chemical and nanomechanical information. Cross-correlation coefficients can be used to evaluate the relationship between local mechanical properties, measured by cantilever phase shift, and chemical fingerprints (Borodinov et al., 2019). In this study, a positive cross-correlation value at a given pixel could result from either an increase in both the relative Factor 1 score and phase shift, or an increase in relative combined Factors 2 and 3 scores and a decrease in phase shift. Conversely, a negative cross-correlation value at a given pixel could result from either an increase in the relative Factor 1 score but a decrease in phase shift, or an increase in both the relative combined Factors 2 and 3 scores and phase shift. The former result would suggest that cell wall components described by Factor 1 correlate to cell wall stiffening while the cell wall components described by Factors 2 and 3 correlate to cell wall softening, while the latter result would suggest the reverse. The magnitude of the cross-correlation value is indicative of the strength of the correlation.

The epidermal cell-cell junction displayed a distinguishable topological feature (Figure 4.4.1G) as well as appeared stiffer than the rest of the scanned region, as indicated by a positive phase shift (Figure 4.4.1D). Cross-correlation analysis showed that a higher relative abundance of neutral sugars represented by Factor 1 correlates with cell wall stiffening, specifically along the cell-cell junction (blue pixels; Figure 4.4.5B). It is possible that around the cell-cell junction, a higher concentration of crystalline cellulose is driving wall stiffening (Rongpipi et al., 2019). Combined Factors 2 and 3 scores correlate with cell wall stiffening in a small region along the cell-cell junction (red and yellow pixels; Figure 4.4.5B). NMF factor score and phase shift in regions adjacent to the cell-cell junction are not strongly correlated, suggesting that softening of
the cell is not due to the concentration of a particular cell wall component or, at least, the loading of a Factor 1 or Factors 2 + 3.
4.5 Supplementary Information

4.5.1 Supplementary Methods

**Arabidopsis cell wall alcohol insoluble residue (AIR) preparation** (Guo, 2018; Phyo et al., 2017; Ruiz-Matute et al., 2011; Yeats et al., 2016). *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 seeds were surface sterilized using a 25% (v/v) bleach solution prepared in sterile deionized (DI) water. For oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH extracts, seedlings were grown vertically on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog medium (Caisson Laboratories) with 1% agar, 0.3% sucrose, pH 5.7 for 2 weeks in 16 h / 8 h light conditions (120 μEm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$), at 24°C. Seedling tissue was ground to a powder using a mortar and pestle at liquid nitrogen temperature. The powder was transferred into a 50 mL falcon tube filled with 40 mL of 80% ethanol, extracted for 30 minutes on ice, and then aliquoted into 2 mL Eppendorf tubes. Samples were pelleted by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 10 min at room temperature and the supernatant was discarded. Pellets were resuspended in cold 80% ethanol and centrifuged multiple times until the sample changed from green to gray-white. 1 mL of 1:1 (v:v) chloroform:methanol was added to each tube and thoroughly vortexed. Samples were extracted for 10 min at room temperature, centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 10 min, and solvent was removed. This was repeated for a total of 3 washes with chloroform:methanol. After the last disposal of the chloroform:methanol solution, 1 mL of acetone was added to each sample tube. The pellets were disrupted with vortexing and samples were centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 10 min. The final AIR pellets were air-dried overnight in a fume hood.

**Cell wall component extraction.** This was performed by the University of Georgia Complex Carbohydrate Research Center (UGA CCRC).
**Polysaccharide extraction.** Destarched AIR material from Arabidopsis Col-0 seedings (384.1 mg) was suspended in 38 mL of 0.25% ammonium oxalate and gently mixed by tube rotator overnight at RT. The mixture was centrifuged at 1,200 x g for 15 min and the supernatant was transferred for dialysis (MWCO: 6-8 kDa). The resulting Oxalate extract was lyophilized after dialysis and weighed (28.15 mg, 7.4% yield). The pellet was dispersed in ~40 mL of 1 M potassium hydroxide (KOH) with 0.1% (w/v) NaBH₄ and mixed overnight, followed by centrifugation (1,200 x g, 15 min). The supernatant was removed and transferred for dialysis (MWCO: 1 kDa). The extracted material (1 M KOH) was lyophilized and weighed (66.02 mg, 17.2% yield) for further analysis. The residue pellet was resuspended in ~40 mL of 4 M KOH and mixed overnight to extract the second fraction of hemicellulose (4 M KOH). The sample was centrifuged (1,200 x g, 15 min) and the supernatant was collected and dialyzed (MWCO: 1 kDa). The extracted material (4 M KOH) was lyophilized and weighed (31 mg, 8.1% yield).

For a glycosyl composition analysis by TMS derivatization, the three carbohydrate extracts (Oxalate: 0.28 mg; 1 M KOH: 0.32 mg; 4 M KOH: 0.28 mg) were then spiked with 20 µg of inositol (internal standard) and heated with 500 µL of 1 M methanolic HCl in a sealed screw-top glass test tube for 18 h at 80 °C. After cooling and removal of the solvent under a stream of nitrogen, the sample was treated with 200 µL of methanol, 100 µL of pyridine, and 100 µL of acetic anhydride for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated, and the sample derivatized with 200 µL of Tri-Sil HTP (Pierce) at 80 °C for 30 min. The GC-MS analysis of the resulting TMS methyl glycosides was performed on an Agilent 7890A GC interfaced to a 5975C MSD, using a Supelco Equity-1 fused silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm ID) and the temperature was programmed as listed in Table 4.6.2. The results of the glycosyl composition analysis are included in Table 4.6.3.
Protein extraction. The protein extraction followed the procedure described previously by Liang and Christeller, 2004 (Christeller & Laing, 2005), and Koontz, 2014 (Koontz, 2014), with slight adjustment. The Arabidopsis seedling (5 g) was ground into smaller granules by Hamilton coffee grinder and grind these granules by pestle and mortar with 100 mL of extraction buffer, composed of 200 mM HEPES (pH 7), 1% polyvinyl pyrrolidone, 10% glycerol, 2 mM dithiothreitol and 500 µL of proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The extraction buffer was stored at 4°C before use. The mixture was filtered through a nylon mesh (pore size: 100 µm), and the insoluble part was washed by 50 mL of buffer again. Both filtrates were combined and centrifuged at 30,000 x g for 15 min (4°C) twice. The pellet was washed by 50 mL of extraction buffer again, and the supernatants were combined for dialysis (MWCO = 3.5 kDa) in 20-50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 7.2) to remove salt and polyphenol, followed by lyophilization. The pellet was re-extract three times with the extraction buffer. The supernatants were combined and underwent benzonase (450 U) digestion at 37 ºC overnight. The sample was then dialyzed again in 20 mM ammonium bicarbonate to remove the salt and nucleotides and resuspended in ~15 mL of water. The sample was preceded with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation by adding 1.6 mL of 94% TCA in the solution of the sample. The solution was stored at -20 ºC for 15 min and centrifuge at 10,000 x g for 10 min to remove the supernatant. The pellet was washed by acetone three times to remove TCA and dried by a stream of nitrogen. The weight of the pellet was 37 mg.

Total carbohydrate and protein analysis. Total carbohydrate levels in the Arabidopsis cell wall extracts were quantified using a phenol-sulfuric acid assay. As a reference standard for total carbohydrate analysis, a 100 mg/L glucose solution was prepared using α-D-Glucose from Sigma, St. Louis, USA. In test tubes, around 5 mg of the extracts was weighed separately and
added, while 100, 200, 400, 600 and 800 µL of the 100 mg/L glucose standard solution were pipetted into other test tubes. An empty tube was used as control. The volume in each tube was made up to 1 mL with DI water. Then, 1 mL 5 % (w/v) phenol solution was added into each tube and mixed on a shaker for 5 min. 5 mL of 96 weight percent sulfuric acid was then added to each tube with continuous shaking for 10 min. After the tubes showed different color levels, 2 µL of each solution was pipetted to each well in a well plate with 3 replicates each. Then, 198 µL control solution was added to each well followed by tapping a few times to mix well. The well plate was then placed in an oven at 90°C for 5 min. After cooling down to room temperature, the absorbance values at a UV wavelength of 490 nm were measured using a Tecan Infinite M200Pro plate reader for total carbohydrate analysis. After a calibration of the glucose standards, the linear regression coefficient had a value of 0.9871. The parameters from the regression analysis were used to interpolate the total carbohydrate percentages of the extracts.

Protein levels were quantified in cell wall extracts using the Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) using the test-tube procedure. Extracts were dissolved in milliQ water and diluted to a concentration of 2 mg/mL. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) standards were prepared per the Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay protocol at a working range of 20 - 2,000 µg/mL. Absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 562 nm. The linear regression coefficient of the BSA standard curve had a value of 0.974. Samples were measured in duplicate and a positive control of 300 µg/mL BSA (BioRad) was used to confirm accuracy. The results of the total carbohydrate and protein analyses are included in Table 4.6.3.

**Preparation of standard pellets.** To make hydraulic-pressed pellets, around 5 mg of dry commercial plant cell wall standards (cellulose, polygalacturnic acid (PGA), rhamnogalcaturonic acid I (RGI), and xyloglucan, Megazyme Ltd.), Arabidopsis cell wall extracts (Oxalate, 1 M
KOH, and 4 M KOH) were mixed with 100 mg of KBr and placed on a hydraulic press die. Pressure of 3 metric tons was applied for 5 minutes. Pellets were stored in a desiccator until FTIR measurements were collected.

Preparation of spin-coated films. Silicon wafers were rinsed with DI water and air dried for 10 min. PGA, RGI and xyloglucan were dissolved with DI water to a concentration of 10 g/L and filtered using a 0.22 μm syringe. The solutions were each spin coated onto the precleaned silicon wafers at 1000 rpm for 40 s. The spin coating program is: 400 rpm for the first 10 s, 1000 rpm for the next 40 s, 400 rpm for the last 10 s, with 100 rpm acceleration speed. Spin coated wafers were vacuum dried at 80 °C for 3 hours and stored in a desiccator until AFM-IR measurement. The films were measured to have a thickness of at least 80 nm by a profilometer.

FTIR measurements. FTIR spectra were collected on standard pellets (cellulose and Arabidopsis cell wall extracts) and spin-coated standard films (PGA, RGI, and xyloglucan). DI water was used to clean a Thermo Scientific® Nicolet 470 FTIR spectrometer before FTIR measurements. The parameters for FTIR measurements were set as follows: resolution as 1 cm⁻¹, number of scans as 64 per sample and the mode as attenuated total reflection. Background spectra were obtained in ambient air before the measurements. FTIR spectra of samples were measured at each corner to ensure reproducibility of the spectra and exported for further analysis.

Pre-processing of IR spectra. FTIR spectral range was reduced to match the range of AFM-IR. FTIR and AFM-IR spectra were divided into three separate spectral regions for pre-processing: 922-1170 cm⁻¹, 1301-1410 cm⁻¹, and 1504-1720 cm⁻¹. No outlier spectra were detected using principal component analysis at a 95% confidence level. Separate spectral regions were smoothed using a zeroth order Savitzky–Golay (SG) smoothing filter with a window of 7
points to reduce the effect of noise. A first-order automatic weighted least square approach for baseline correction was applied to obtain a baseline-corrected dataset. Smoothed IR spectra were normalized with an extended multiplicative scatter correction algorithm using Eigenvector® SOLO software. The separate spectral regions were rescaled according to the baseline-corrected dataset and combined into a single spectral document for multivariate analyses. AFM-IR and FTIR spectra were smoothed again using a zeroth order SG smoothing filter with a window of 7 points to reduce noise for plotting purposes.

**Principal component analysis (PCA).** The number of principal components (PCs) was set as 3 for PCA using a nonlinear iterative partial least squares algorithm via Python. The significant PCs were determined from their individual contribution to total variances. PC scores and PC spectra (loading) were exported for each significant PC. To visualize the point-to-point variations in the region of interest, PC scores of two PC components were plotted on a 2D plane, such as PC1 score versus PC2 score.

### 4.5.2 Supplementary Figures and Tables

**Table 4.5.1.** IR assignments of commercial standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber Range (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wavenumber Maxima (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Band Assignments</th>
<th>Peaks Observed in the Extracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1720-1586</td>
<td>1652</td>
<td>H–O–H bending vibration of absorbed water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1407-1350</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>CH₂ bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350-1328</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>CH₂ symmetric bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1328-1303</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>CH₂ symmetric bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167-1151</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>glycosidic O-C-O vibrations</td>
<td>cellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131-1092</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1092-1044</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1044-1007</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavenumber Range</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007-959</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1717-1507</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>COO- antisymmetric stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1409-1378</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>COO- symmetric stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1378-1303</td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>CH₂ bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1156-1126</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>glycosidic O-C-O vibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1126-1082</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082-1061</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1061-1044</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1044-998</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998-958</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>958-940</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>CO bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1705-1507</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>COO- antisymmetric stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1409-1381</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>COO- symmetric stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1381-1350</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>CH₂ bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350-1303</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>ring vibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1166-1126</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>glycosidic O-C-O vibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1126-1086</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086-1060</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060-1039</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1039-972</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>972-940</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>CO bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1701-1619</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>amide I (C=O stretch weakly coupled with C-N stretch and N-H bending)</td>
<td>soy protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1520-1507</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>amide II (protein N–H bend, C–N stretch)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1363-1304</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>amide III (N-H in plane bending coupled with C-N stretching)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1685-1537</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>COO- antisymmetric stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1408-1365</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>CH₂ bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1342-1309</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>C-H and O-H bending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167-1153</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>glycosidic O-C-O vibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1148-1106</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>C–O stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C–C stretching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.5.2. The glycosyl composition analysis results of the three Arabidopsis cell wall reference extracts (Oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH), performed by the UGA CCRC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Oxalate</th>
<th>1 M KOH</th>
<th>4 M KOH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose (Ara)</td>
<td>Mass (µg)</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribose (Rib)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnose (Rha)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fucose (Fuc)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose (Xyl)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucuronic Acid (GlcA)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galacturonic acid (GalA)</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose (Man)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose (Gal)</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (Glc)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Acetyl Glucosamine (GlcNAc)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Galacturonic acid (Me-GalA)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.5.3. Total carbohydrate and protein percentages of the three Arabidopsis cell wall reference extracts (Oxalate, 1 M KOH, and 4 M KOH).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Oxalate</th>
<th>1 M KOH</th>
<th>4 M KOH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate percentage (wt%)</td>
<td>23.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>33.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>92.2 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein percentage (wt%)</td>
<td>33.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>44.0 ± 7.3</td>
<td>14.4 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.5.1. The epidermis and vasculature of PFA fixed stem sections maintain cell shape and tissue integrity. (A) PFA-fixed stem section with cell layers labeled. Height (B), phase-locked-loop (C), deflection (D), and lateral deflection (E) maps were obtained of a 3 x 3 μm² region where AFM-IR data was collected.
Figure 4.5.2. Pre-processing steps used for FTIR and AFM-IR spectra. 900 raw AFM-IR spectra (A) were first smoothened 5pt (B), and then EMSC normalized (C). There was no AFM-IR absorption between 1503 - 1411 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1300 - 1171 cm\(^{-1}\), thus absorption = 0 a.u. (arbitrary units) in these regions of the spectra.
**Figure 4.5.3.** PCA of AFM-IR spectra and FTIR spectra of the same carbohydrate standards show highly similar IR signatures. (A) PCA score plot of FTIR and AFM-IR of selected commercial standards, and dehydrated ground tissue from PFA-fixed and unfixed Arabidopsis stem. (B-D) AFM-IR and FTIR spectra for commercial standards (polygalacturonic acid, PGA; rhamnogalacturonan I, RGI; and xyloglucan, XG) used in PCA. AFM-IR signature closely matches the signature of FTIR. (E) Comparison of FTIR spectra of unfixed ground stem tissue and of PFA-fixed ground stem tissue. Unfixed stem tissue is chemically indistinguishable from PFA-fixed stem tissue. There was no AFM-IR absorption between 1503 - 1411 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1300 - 1171 cm\(^{-1}\), thus absorption = 0 a.u. (arbitrary units) in these regions of the spectra.
Figure 4.5.4. Lignin autofluorescence in Col-0 stem section. Lignin autofluorescence (excitation wavelength = 405 nm and emission wavelength = 450-650 nm) in a PFA-fixed Col-0 stem section prepared on a microscope slide. (A) Transmitted light channel, (B) fluorescence emission channel, and (C) overlay of transmitted light (gray) and fluorescence (green). Enlarged images of epidermal cells (D-G) show autofluorescence of the waxy cuticle but no autofluorescence in the anticlinal and inner periclinal walls. In contrast, enlarged images of xylem vessels (H-K) show high levels of autofluorescence throughout their cell walls. Scale bar = 200 μm (A-C) and 50 μm (D-K).
Figure 4.5.5. FTIR spectra of extracts from Arabidopsis seedling tissue. FTIR spectra of cell wall extracts obtained using 4M KOH, oxalate and 1M KOH. The NMF factor score percentages for each extract are shown on the right. FTIR absorption between 1503 - 1411 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1300 - 1171 cm\(^{-1}\) was removed to match AFM-IR spectral measurements, thus absorption = 0 a.u. (arbitrary units) in these regions of the spectra.

Figure 4.5.6. PCA shows chemical heterogeneity within the epidermal cell wall. (A) PC score plot (PC1 versus PC2) of cell wall standards together with 900 Arabidopsis AFM-IR spectra and 3 NMF factor spectra. Scores for AFM-IR pixel spectra are colored based on phase 2 image in B. (B) Phase 2 map of epidermal wall where AFM-IR data was collected, divided into three regions: cell-cell junction (orange, Region 1), within 1 μm of the cell-cell junction (white, Region 2), and more than 1 μm from the cell-cell junction (green, Region 3).
Figure 4.5.7. Cophenetic coefficient of variation (A) and residual sum of squares (RSS, B) for NMF factors ranging from 2 to 6. There is a local minimum when the number of NMF factors is set to 3. At this local minimum, RSS continues to decrease. This supports that the 3-factor solution is best for NMF analysis (Brunet et al., 2004).

Figure 4.5.8. Distribution maps of Factor 2 (A) and Factor 3 (B) representing their concentrations (absolute value) in each pixel. Black dashed outline represents cell-cell junction highlighted in Figure 4.4.1D. A 6 x 6 box is outlined to represent the binned pixels as in Figure 4.4.4.
Chapter 5: Conclusions and Future Directions

Plant root systems adopt highly complex three-dimensional architectures in their quest to acquire water and nutrients, navigate the mechanically-heterogeneous soil environment, and provide structure support that stabilizes the plant. Root system development depends on directional growth at each root tip, which is defined by the microtubule cytoskeleton and relies on the root’s inherent anatomical symmetry. Using Arabidopsis twisted mutants, spr1 and cmu1, I found that directional root growth can be defined by the epidermal cell layer alone, which also controls the morphology of inner tissues. The epidermis interacts directly with the surrounding environment and requires a heterogeneous mechanical input to generate chiral growth, impacting the architecture of the root system as a whole. Intriguingly, root hairs, a feature unique to the epidermis that provides anchorage, are not necessary for guiding root growth trajectory. I found that chiral growth negatively impacts multiple root mechanoresponses, as the spr1 mutant exhibits both defective root barrier avoidance and enhanced sensitivity to increased stiffness of the agar medium, which modulates its organ-level growth phenotype. Wild-type root growth, in contrast, is more resilient to conditions with varying mechanical stresses. Overall, this thesis work provides new insights into how morphological changes resulting from mutations affecting the microtubule cytoskeleton propagate across spatial scales to generate chiral growth at the root organ level, and how chiral growth consequently influences root mechanoresponse. The following sections summarize the main findings of Chapters 2-4 and outline key next steps to address outstanding questions.
5.1 The Epidermis Controls Root Directional Growth

In Chapter 2, I used a cell layer-specific genetic complementation approach in right-handed \((spr1)\) and left-handed \((cmu1)\) Arabidopsis twisted mutants and demonstrated that the epidermis alone is sufficient to maintain wild-type-like straight cell files and root growth, regardless of the handedness of twisted growth. Twisted growth in the \(spr1\) mutant was originally attributed to the reduced anisotropy of this mutant’s cortical cell layer, which appears expanded in etiolated hypocotyls (Furutani et al., 2000). Furutani et al. theorized that the isotropic expansion of the cortex forces the longer epidermal cells to tilt as a compensatory mechanism to maintain tissue integrity. However, my results show that the epidermis, and not the cortex, dictates symmetry maintenance in the root. This finding is consistent with the second moment of area principle which states that resistance to torque and bending scales as the fourth power of the radius from the center. Furthermore, epidermal expression of \(SPR1\) restored both the anisotropy and skew of the cortical cell file to wild-type-like in the \(spr1\)-3 mutant. These results indicate that the epidermis entrains the morphology of the cortex, and in contrast to Furutani’s original theory, it can be concluded that the isotropic expansion of cortical cells in the \(spr1\) mutant is a compensatory response to the twisting of the epidermis. By genetically disrupting epidermal and cortical cell adhesion in the \(spr1\)-3 mutant, I found that isotropic expansion of the cortex requires a physical connection with the epidermis. In addition, disrupting epidermal cell adhesion suppressed root skewing in the \(spr1\)-3 mutant, indicating that the integrity of the epidermis is important for directing organ-level growth. In conclusion, epidermal control of internal tissue morphology and root growth directionality is facilitated by cell-cell adhesion, which maintains contiguous mechanical coupling and possibly mediates symplastic communication between cells. These data suggest that twisted plant growth in nature could arise
by altering microtubule behavior in the epidermis alone and does not require null alleles that might perturb other developmental and physiological processes in unwanted ways.

**Future Direction 1: Does the thickness of the cortical cell layer affect the ability of the epidermis to maintain root symmetry?** While my work points to a clear role for the epidermis in controlling root directional growth, this work was conducted in Arabidopsis, which contains a simple root cellular anatomy with one cortical cell layer. Most land plants, including agriculturally important species such as maize and rice (*Oryza sativa, Os*), contain more complex root anatomy consisting of 8 to 15 layers of cortical cells (Hochholdinger et al., 2004). Cortical cell division is controlled by the *SHORTROOT (SHR)* and *SCARECROW (SCR)* genes (Helariutta et al., 2000). I hypothesize that the thickness of the cortex does not affect the ability of the epidermis to control root growth because with a thicker cortex, the increased distance of the epidermis from the center axis will enhance its resistance to torsion, as dictated by the second moment of area principle. To test this hypothesis, future work should generate *spr1-3* lines that ectopically express *OsSHR2* under the control of a constitutive promoter, which induces multiple cortical cell layers in Arabidopsis roots (Wu et al., 2014). I expect that there will be no difference in cell file twisting or root skewing between *spr1-3* and *spr1-3/OsSHR2* plants. Furthermore, complementing the *spr1-3/OsSHR2* mutant in the epidermis alone by expressing pWER::*SPR1-GFP* should rescue straight growth, as was seen in Chapter 2. However, it would be interesting to see if complementing *spr1-3/OsSHR2* in the cortex alone by expressing pPEP::*SPR1-GFP* would partially rescue straight growth, as a thicker cortex may contribute more to controlling root growth.

**Future Direction 2: Can the epidermis control symmetry breaking in the root?**

While my work demonstrated that epidermal expression of *SPR1* or *CMU1* is necessary to
maintain root growth symmetry, whether loss of \textit{SPR1} or \textit{CMU1} in the epidermis alone is sufficient to drive twisted root growth remains unknown. Thus, an ideal complementary experiment would be a cell layer-specific knockout approach, specifically in the epidermis. Decaestecker et al. recently developed a CRISPR system for tissue-specific knockout (CRISPR-TSKO) in Arabidopsis (Decaestecker et al., 2019). This technique, which expresses the Cas9 nuclease and guide RNA under the control of tissue-specific promoters, generated somatic mutations with high efficiency in the first generation. It is important to note with this technique that each cell technically experiences different CRISPR-based mutations (typically small indels) that are not inheritable, but the tissue-specific expression of the Cas9 cassette is inherited. Future experiments should use CRISPR-TSKO to conduct epidermis-specific knockout of \textit{SPR1} and \textit{CMU1} using the respective p\textit{SPR1}::\textit{SPR1-GFP} and p\textit{CMU1}::\textit{GFP-CMU1} lines. The loss of GFP signal in the epidermis will signify the successful knockout of each gene in this cell layer. I predict that these lines will exhibit skewed cell files and roots as seen in the respective mutant lines.

**Future Direction 3: What is the relationship between cellular growth rate and the origin of cell file twisting in the \textit{spr1} mutant?** I found that in the elongation zone of \textit{spr1-3} roots, the skewed organization of cortical microtubules in the epidermis correlates with asymmetric cell growth, which precedes cell file twisting. Interestingly, the onset of cell file twisting correlates with the slowing down of cell elongation. Specifically, cell file twisting initiates during the transition between the distal elongation zone and the differentiation zone, a phenotype previously reported in other twisted mutants (Thitamadee et al., 2002). How do root cell growth patterns differ between the \textit{spr1} mutant and wild-type? What exactly is the relationship between cell growth and cell file twisting in the \textit{spr1} mutant? My work has
narrowed down which cell layer and developmental zone future work should focus on to answer these questions.

I hypothesize that through rapid cell elongation, skewed microtubule orientation creates material anisotropy of the cell wall that builds torsional stress along the cell file, which is relieved through cell file twisting as growth slows down. Future experiments should employ exogeneous hormone treatments to either enhance or decrease root cell growth and evaluate how changes in growth rate influence cell file twisting. For example, auxin reduces the rate of cell elongation in primary roots in a dose-dependent manner, leading to a shorter elongation zone (Rahman et al., 2007). I hypothesize that reducing the rate of elongation will reduce torsional stress along the cell file in the spr1 mutant, thus suppressing cell file twisting. These experiments can be complemented by computational modeling to quantitatively evaluate the mechanical stresses imposed by rapid cell elongation and whether and how they might yield twisted growth.

### 5.2 Mechanisms of Twisted Root Growth and Consequences on Root System Architecture

How does twisting of the epidermis cause roots to bend in a specific direction? One notable feature of the root epidermis is the production of root hairs from trichoblast cell files. In Chapter 2, I found that in spr1-3 seedlings grown on top of agar, root hairs interacted with the agar in an asymmetric manner. Intriguingly, spr1-3 mutant roots that penetrated and grew inside the agar did not skew, despite maintaining twisted cell files. Inside agar, root hairs likely experience equal forces in all directions that average out, leading to straight root growth. These observations suggested a model in which biased mechanical interactions between root hairs and the surface of the agar provides the motive force to deflect roots in the opposite direction.
However, when I tested this model by generating a root hairless *spr1* mutant (*spr1-6 cpc try*), I found that root hairs were not required to generate skewed root growth. Therefore, some other feature of epidermal cells is responsible for deflecting roots when grown on an agar medium. One can imagine that in a highly heterogenous mechanical environment, like the soil, the interaction between the epidermis and surrounding substrate might vary in space and time, leading to complex root structures. However, even in the simple growth conditions of an agar plate, it is unclear exactly how the interaction between epidermal cells and the agar confers such an extreme organ-level phenotype in the *spr1* mutant.

**Future Direction 1: How does the twisted epidermis in the *spr1* mutant interact with the agar to generate the root-skewing phenotype?** My work demonstrated that the epidermis-agar interactions are sufficient to cause directional root bending. I hypothesize that the chiral twisting of epidermal cells in the *spr1* mutant creates asymmetric microscale interactions between the root surface and agar that deflect the root. In Chapter 2, I used standard widefield microscopy to visualize agar indentation around root hairs but could not detect smaller agar indentations around the root with this imaging approach. A potentially useful tool to apply in future work is polarized light. Agar is a birefringent (a transparent, molecularly-ordered material through which light is double-refracted), linear elastic gel with predictable stress and strain properties (Lemon et al., 2017). Polarizing filters force light waves to adopt one alignment. When polarized light passes through agar, any stress applied to the agar will rotate the axis of polarized light to a degree dependent on the applied force (Full et al., 1995). This detectable shift in light polarity can be mapped across the surface of the agar. Hence, it may be possible to visualize the stress induced by a root growing along the agar surface. To compare potential differences in stress applied by *spr1* mutant and wild-type roots to an agar surface, it will be
important to use polarized light microscopy at cell file-level resolution, focused on the
differentiation zone of the root where twisted growth is most obvious. If stress differences are
detectable between genotypes, it would be interesting to image how stress changes around the
transition between cell elongation and differentiation which correlates with detectable twisted
growth.

**Future Direction 2: How does the spr1 mutation impact three-dimensional root
development and mechanoresponse?** Arabidopsis twisted mutants have previously been shown
to have defective root mechanoresponse in barrier avoidance (Y. Wang et al., 2011), and I
confirmed a similar defect in the spr1-3 mutant. Here, I explored whether the stiffness of the
growth environment also affected root mechanoresponse by growing seedlings on plates
containing different agar concentrations. Increasing the concentration of agar increased the
stiffness of the medium and caused spr1-3 root skewing to progressively switch from rightward
to leftward, indicating that organ-level directional growth was less stable in the spr1-3 mutant
compared to wild-type Col-0, which sustained straight growth across all treatments. Surprisingly,
leftward-skewed spr1-3 roots on stiff agar maintained right-handed twisted cell files,
demonstrating that even in a heterogeneous mechanical environment, cell file twisting is an
intrinsic feature of roots that can be de-coupled from organ-level growth response. Lastly,
despite their important role in root anchorage (Bengough et al., 2016), I found that root hairs on
the epidermis are not required for reorienting spr1-6 root skewing on stiff agar.

My thesis work demonstrates that twisted growth in spr1-3 affects the root system as a
whole. In Chapter 3, I show that spr1 lateral roots exhibit similar cell file twisting and root
skewing as the primary root when grown on agar plates, supporting findings in Chapter 2 that
describe cell file twisting and fixed clockwise growth trajectories of soil-grown spr1 roots. While
seedlings grown on agar are experimentally tractable, this growth method does not recapitulate the complexity of a soil environment. The opacity of soil poses a significant barrier to high-resolution root imaging. However, X-ray computed tomography (CT) is an advanced, 3-D imaging tool that has been extensively used to image soil-grown roots in recent decades (Heeraman et al., 1997; Taina et al., 2008; Mooney et al., 2012; Pfeifer et al., 2015; Teramoto et al., 2020). CT imaging, which easily distinguishes between root tissue and the surrounding substrate, can be used to reconstruct a precise 3-D volumetric projection of the root system, from which root system architecture can be quantified (Paya et al., 2015; Teramoto et al., 2020; Herrero-Huerta et al., 2022). Thus, future work to understand the implications of twisted growth on root system development should employ CT imaging. This approach should enable a comparison of root system architecture of the spr1 mutant and wild-type plants under controlled conditions such as in compact versus loose soil. In addition, this approach would allow assessment of how roots respond to barriers in a 3-D soil environment and whether twisted roots interact differently with barriers than wild-type roots.

A complementary approach would be to compare root system architecture in agar gels of varying stiffnesses, or in an agar gel that increases in stiffness with depth. For this experiment, it is important to remember that spr1 roots grown inside of agar do not skew rightward, as seen on top of agar, but still maintain twisted cell files. This experiment may answer the following questions: How does increased stiffness of the surrounding root environment impact root system development? Does fixed twisted growth in the spr1 mutant enable deeper root penetration? Quantifying the 3-D root system architecture and its development over time should provide insights into the possible benefits of twisted root growth on soil penetration, obstacle avoidance, and overall plant health.
**Future Direction 3: Does the sprl mutation impact auxin distribution in the root?**

Gravitropism, or growth along the gravity vector, is a characteristic root mecanoreponse. This response is sensitive to developmental and environmental cues, and roots of different species typically exhibit a specific gravitropic setpoint angle (GSA) or root skewing angle. Sprl-3 roots adopt a large, rightward GSA compared to wild-type. Previously, fixed twisted growth was found to impact root gravitropism in another Arabidopsis mutant with defective auxin transport, twisted dwarf1 (B. Wang et al., 2013). I found that the sprl-3 mutant exhibits a similar defect. Specifically, sprl-3 roots show reduced root bending in response to a reorientation of the gravity vector, but the kinematics of sprl-3 root bending was similar to wild-type. A transient change in the lateral distribution of auxin plays a critical role in mediating root growth (Went, 1974; Y. Zhang & Friml, 2020). Therefore, it would be interesting to explore if the differential distribution of auxin helps define the right-handed skewing angle (or GSA) adopted by sprl roots.

A gravitropic stimulus is easy to apply to plate-grown seedlings by simply rotating the plate. Upon a 90° rotation, starch-filled granules in the root apex sense the change in gravity vector, signaling a change in auxin transport from symmetric to asymmetric distribution along the length of the root elongation zone (Friml et al., 2003; Baster et al., 2013; Y. Zhang & Friml, 2020). Given the gravitropic defect I found in sprl-3, it would be important to determine if auxin distribution is perturbed in sprl-3 roots. To facilitate these experiments, I have introduced a widely-used fluorescent reporter to visualize auxin transport, pDR5::GFP (Chen et al., 2013), into both sprl-3 and cmul mutants. Future work should use a fluorescent stereomicroscope to visualize GFP signal in the elongation zone of sprl-3/pDR5::GFP and cmul/pDR5::GFP roots grown on agar plates to determine if auxin distribution is asymmetric and correlates with handedness in these mutants. Furthermore, it will be important to assess how the distribution of
auxin changes in response to a gravitropic stimulus. External mechanical forces have been shown to cause the reorientation of cortical microtubules and redistribution of PIN family auxin efflux carriers (Heisler et al., 2010). Therefore, auxin movement may be perturbed in twisted mutants due to changes in the tissue stress pattern caused by twisted growth. In either case, these experiments will shed light on whether auxin distribution plays a role in the root growth of twisted mutants.

**Future Direction 4: Do mutations in microtubule-associated genes contribute to naturally-occurring twisted growth in plants?** My thesis work demonstrates that *SPR1* and *CMU1* are necessary for symmetry-maintenance in Arabidopsis roots — mutations in the *spr1* and *cmu1* genes result in right- or left-handed root growth, respectively. A caveat of this work is that all experiments were performed using Arabidopsis plants in the wild-type Col-0 ecotype background. Can these findings be translated to naturally-occurring twisted growth? To test this, future work should utilize the Arabidopsis ‘1001 Genomes’ database ([https://1001genomes.org/](https://1001genomes.org/)) to investigate variation in gene expression in wild-type Arabidopsis ecotypes that naturally skew right-ward or left-ward. This database contains transcriptomic (AraRNAseq) and phenotypic (AraPheno) data across at least 1001 Arabidopsis ecotypes. I looked through this database for phenotypes related to root skewing of seedlings grown vertically on agar plates and discovered a study performed by Julkowska et al. that compared root architecture remodeling in response to salt stress (Julkowska et al., 2017). The AraPheno database summarized root skewing data of 328 accessions on the control medium (0 mM NaCl) in this study ([https://arapheno.1001genomes.org/phenotype/643/](https://arapheno.1001genomes.org/phenotype/643/)). The most leftward-skewing ecotype was Co-2 from Portugal, and the most rightward-skewing ecotype was Kro-0 from Germany (Julkowska et al., 2017). Using the AraRNAseq database, the transcriptional profiles of these
ecotypes (and a few others with similar phenotypes) should be compared to see if the expression of microtubule-associated genes, such as *CMU1* and *SPR1*, are reduced or absent in Co-2 and Kro-0. If so, this would suggest that naturally-occurring twisted growth may be directly due to genetic variations that reduce the expression of microtubule-associated genes. It would also be important to assess the expression of other genes, not necessarily related to microtubules, that are associated with twisted growth, summarized in a 2020 review by Buschmann and Borchers (Buschmann & Borchers, 2020).

### 5.3 AFM-IR Can Be Used to Study Plant Cell Wall Mechanochemistry at the Nanoscale

In Chapter 4, I contributed to the development of new methodologies for sample preparation, data acquisition, and multivariate statistical analyses for AFM-IR on *A. thaliana* primary cell walls. A method using gelatin embedding and cryostat sectioning of PFA-fixed *A. thaliana* stems was effective at eliminating spectral contamination and obtaining a section suitable for AFM-IR. Through the adaptation of this sample preparation and sectioning method, AFM-IR may be applied to other genotypes, plant species, and plant tissues to assess local cell wall mechanochemical properties. This work also demonstrated a novel application of non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) to analyze AFM-IR datasets. NMF deconvolution of AFM-IR spectra across the epidermal cell wall detected factors representing chemical functionality of neutral sugars of cell wall carbohydrates, acidic sugars of cell wall carbohydrates, and amino and carbonyl groups of cell wall proteins. Ultimately, cross-correlation analysis of the spatial distribution of NMFs and mechanical properties showed that the neutral sugar-containing carbohydrate composition of cell wall junctions between neighboring *A. thaliana* epidermal cells correlates with increased local stiffness. Future improvement of AFM-IR spatial resolution and
spectral range may reveal more subtle patterns in component organization that are important for defining the local mechanical properties of the cell wall. With these advances in methodologies for sample preparation, data acquisition, and multivariate statistical analyses, AFM-IR can be used to explore and understand phenotypic alterations to specific plant tissues in nanoscale resolution caused by environmental stresses or genetic mutations.

**Future Direction: Use Arabidopsis cell wall-deficient mutants to improve AFM-IR data analysis.** As highlighted throughout this thesis, the Arabidopsis root is an excellent model system to understand plant growth and development. In Chapter 4, we used the apical region of an Arabidopsis stem for AFM-IR application because the stem is physically easy to manipulate for sample preparation, compared to the root. However, the root contains simpler cellular anatomy, with one cell layer per tissue type, and the stages of cell development are easy to define. The next step of this work should adapt our current sample preparation methodology to be used on Arabidopsis roots grown on agar plates. For this, I have produced root samples for Huiyong Li (Dr. Marcus Foston’s lab) for troubleshooting a new sample preparation methodology and conducting AFM-IR experiments.

In addition, our ongoing work is using cell wall-deficient mutants to help identify IR spectral signatures associated with specific cell wall components. For this work, we are using xyloglucan-deficient *xt1xt2* (Cavalier et al., 2008), cellulose-deficient *proctuse1/cesa6* (Fagard et al., 2000), and pectin-deficient *quasimodo2* (Mouille et al., 2007) Arabidopsis mutants. Using the power of AFM-IR to generate correlated mechanochemical maps of the cell wall, we expect to better attribute localized mechanical properties to the prevalence of specific cell wall components. In the future, this analysis should be applied to epidermal cells of twisted mutants such as *spr1-3* and *cmu1* to determine how microtubule-related mutations affect cell wall
composition and mechanics at the nanoscale, to gain a better understanding of the origin of skewed growth at the cell level. Furthermore, it will be important to create mechanochemical maps in different cell types and developmental regions of the root, perhaps under various treatment conditions, to more closely understand how cell wall mechanochemical properties at the nanoscale impact root development.
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Appendix I: Application of pan-sharpening algorithm for correlative multimodal imaging using AFM-IR

6.1 Abstract

The coupling of atomic force microscopy with infrared spectroscopy (AFM-IR) offers the unique capability to characterize local composition of a broad variety of materials with nanoscale resolution. In order to fully utilize the measurement capability of AFM-IR, a three-dimensional dataset (2D map with a spectroscopic dimension) needs to be acquired, which is prohibitively time-consuming at the same spatial resolution of regular AFM scan. In this paper, we provide a novel approach to process spectral AFM-IR data based on a multicomponent pan-sharpening algorithm. This approach requires one spectral map with low spatial resolution and a limited number of chemical maps at given wavenumbers. As a result, we are able to generate high-resolution maps of component distribution, produce chemical maps at any wavenumber available in the spectral range, and perform correlative analysis of the physical and chemical properties of the samples. We highlight our approach using plant cell walls as a model substrate and showcase the interplay between mechanical stiffness of the sample and its composition. We believe our pan-sharpening approach can enable deeper understanding of structure-property relationship of materials at nanoscale.

\[2\] This chapter has been adapted from Borodinov et al., 2019.
6.2 Introduction

In the last decade, improvements in instrumentation and data analytics have facilitated a paradigm-shift in scanning probe microscopy (SPM). SPM allows acquisition of localized chemical and/or physical properties for a wide range of materials, yielding unique insights into the structure-property relationship of functional materials (Cui et al., 2016; Floresyona et al., 2017), novel composites (Mikhalchan et al., 2018; Brown et al., 2016), and biological objects (Clede et al., 2013; Kochan et al., 2018; Pancani et al., 2018; Gourion-Arsiquaud et al., 2014). This approach involves datasets where spatial coordinates may be augmented with one or more spectroscopic dimensions. Such datasets are then analyzed and interpreted using multivariate statistics (Belianinov and Vasudevan et al., 2015; Somnath et al., 2018), spectral unmixing (Kannan et al., 2018), neural networks (Nikiforov et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2011), and component analysis (Belianinov and Kalinin et al., 2015). As a result, it enables correlative multi-modal imaging (Ovchinnikova et al., 2015) which can highlight optomechanical (Dazzi et al., 2012), electromechanical (Gruverman et al., 1998; Kalinin et al., 2002; Thompson et al., 2012), and electrochemical (Li et al., 2015) interactions in materials. However, acquiring spectral datasets requires capture of a full spectrum at each spatial location. In some cases, it is possible to perform rapid spectroscopic imaging via full signal capture (G-mode) (Collins and Ahmadi et al., 2016; Collins and Belianinov et al., 2016; Somnath et al., 2015), however, this avenue is not yet available for all possible spectroscopic modes (such as AFM-IR). Therefore, the time required to acquire such datasets increases proportionally with the number of points, making direct acquisition of the high-resolution spectral maps difficult. Potential sample drift and degradation, as well as drastically decreased throughput of such analysis, prompt a search for
alternative approaches to spectral imaging which could reliably reconstruct full-resolution dataset using reduced number of measurements.

For example, atomic force microscope infrared-spectroscopy (AFM-IR) facilitates nanometer resolution (~15 nm) topological, chemical, and mechanical analysis. Unlike Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, AFM-IR can only measure the absorption at a given wavenumber. Therefore, reasonable AFM-IR acquisition times can only be obtained with a sparse spectral map (with respect to spatial and spectral dimensions) and/or an absorption map at a given wavenumber (Dazzi et al., 2016; Morsch et al., 2016). While both these types of AFM-IR analysis approaches provide sample chemical non-homogeneity, they still do not yield a full high-resolution dataset which would be useful for correlative analysis. One approach to the merging of spectral data contained in different channels is the use of sharpening algorithms such as data fusion (Van de Plas et al., 2015). They establish the relationship between known signals in the images and use it to provide context-aware interpolation for the low-resolution image. This approach is well-suited for the case when the functional relationship between two images (e.g. how change of one parameter is related to change of the second one) is not precisely know a priori and needs to be established during the analysis. However, correlations in this data fusion algorithm are built by individual spectral lines and do not account for the fact that intensities of the lines in spectrum are heavily constrained. Meanwhile, for the case of spectral datasets, this constraint is well-understood and can be used to generate high-resolution images with higher confidence in the final result using physically meaningful constraints. For example, if the available information channels generate partially overlapping subsets of a bigger dataset (that is impossible or impractical to measure), this fact should be actively used throughout the reconstruction of such dataset. To achieve this, a number of pan-sharpening algorithms have
been developed for the processing of satellite images to restore color images based on grayscale map (Vivone et al., 2015) and restore spectral datasets from multispectral maps (Loncan et al., 2015).

In this paper, we apply a coupled non-negative matrix factorization (CNMF) pan-sharpening (PS) algorithm for AFM-IR data. We demonstrate the restoration of the full spectral dataset at the resolution of a standard AFM scan with the added possibility of extracting spectral signatures of individual components as well as their abundance across the sample. Thus, we propose a multicomponent analysis-based approach to AFM-IR enabled by PS approach. We describe in detail factors influencing its results and discuss the applicability of quality metrics for the evaluation of the fusion product. Furthermore, we highlight the practical application of our method for the analysis of plant cell walls, providing a correlation between mechanical properties and chemical composition at nanoscale. We believe this PS algorithms can be readily adopted in other areas of spectral chemical imaging such as time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS), helium ion microscope secondary ion mass spectrometry (HIM-SIMS) and AFM mass spectrometry (AFM-MS).

6.3 Materials and Methods

6.3.1 AFM-IR

All experimental AFM-IR datasets were acquired using Anasys NanoIR2-s instrument with spectral ranges 917-1173, 1311-1409, 1505-1867 cm\(^{-1}\). Commercially available NanoIR probes for contact mode with force constants 0.07 N/m were used.
6.3.2 Plant Sample Preparation

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Columbia-0 plants were grown on soil in a growth chamber at 24°C at a 16h/8h light/dark photoperiod (long-day) condition. The top-most internode of four-week-old plant stems were hand sectioned using a razor blade, without being embedded. The resulting section was spread and compressed onto a SiO$_2$/Si$^+$ wafer substrate to obtain a sample for analysis.

6.3.3 Data Analysis

AFM-IR measurements and algorithm development were conducted at the Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, which is a US DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725, (N.B., A.V.I, A.B., S.V.K, O.S.O). A portion of algorithm development was a part of the AI Initiative, sponsored by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory (S.J., R.K.V), managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The plant sciences portion of this work was supported by the Center for Engineering MechanoBiology (CEMB), an NSF Science and Technology Center, under grant agreement CMMI: 15-48571 (N.B. and M.F.).

6.4 Results and Discussion

6.4.1 Algorithm Description

Pan-sharpening (PS) is a family of algorithms that are widely used for image processing. Fundamentally, PS relies on the fact that there is a clear and well-defined relationship between information captured by two or more channels with different spatial resolutions. For example, traditional algorithms for PS combine low resolution RGB and high-resolution grayscale maps. In this case one can easily find the grayscale value of a pixel based on the values of individual
color channels. The resulting grayscale image, however, will contain less information rendering the reverse calculation not possible as multiple color images can collide into the same grayscale map (meaning, multiple color images can generate the same grayscale image). However, if it is known that certain pixel $i$ on a grayscale image has certain RGB values $(r_i, g_i, b_i)$ and grayscale intensity $(h_i)$, it can be assumed that a neighboring pixel $j$ with grayscale intensity $(h_j)$ close to $(h_i)$ has RGB values $(r_j, g_j, b_j)$ that are also close to $(r_i, g_i, b_i)$. This assumed continuity of the image is generally considered feasible as RGB values of a pixel on a map corresponds to a specific object, landscape feature or a type of material which are similar within some local area. For example, if two pixels belong to a roof on a satellite image are red, it is reasonable to assume that the rest of the roof is red as well.

Same basic considerations can be applied to a more general case of spectral pan-sharpening. Here, each pixel in the image is characterized by a full spectral vector $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$. At the same time, this full spectrum can be unambiguously condensed into RGB values $(r_i, g_i, b_i)$ or grayscale intensity $(h_i)$. Here, RGB images and a sparse spectral map are used to restore the full-resolution dataset where a distinct spectrum is assigned to each pixel. The prerequisites for the PS approach are essentially the same – some degree of feature continuity as well as functional dependence between spectral and single-frequency datasets. It is worth noticing that while traditionally RGB channels are used to build single-band maps (commonly referred to as multispectral), it is possible to generalize this approach and expand it for an arbitrary set of distinct maps as long as the mathematical method of their generation from the spectral dataset is known.

In the case of AFM-IR, the 3D spectral dataset with low-spatial resolution is formed by acquiring point spectra on a two-dimensional grid. AFM-IR images at a fixed wavenumber (FW)
play the role of multispectral maps which will be used for the full dataset estimation. It is evident that there are multiple choices for the wavenumber selection. Figure 6.4.1 displays the overall idea of PS applied for AFM-IR. In this paper, we will refer to the original full resolution dataset $X = [x_1, \ldots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \lambda \times n}$ with a total of $n$ points and $m \lambda$ spectral bands as *ground truth* while the result of pan-sharpening algorithm will be *pan-sharpened* hyperspectral dataset $\tilde{Y}_H$. H and M subscripts are designated for hyperspectral (full resolution spectral map) and multispectral data (a group of FW images). In this paper, $Y_H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \lambda \times m}$ denotes a hyperspectral dataset with low spatial resolution and a total of $m$ points, and $Y_M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \lambda \times n}$ is a multispectral full resolution dataset with $n \lambda$ bands (each of them is a FW image). There are multiple methods of restoration the $\tilde{Y}_H$ including, but not limited to, component substitution (CS), multiresolution analysis (MRA), Bayesian methods, neural networks (NN), and matrix factorization. Coupled non-negative matrix factorization (CNMF) was chosen due to its relatively low computational costs (lower than Bayesian and NN approaches but higher than CS and MRA) and high quality of the factorization product as indicated by relevant metrics. Another reason for such choice was the incorporated analysis of component distribution which allows the use of the entire spectral range for calculating the abundance maps. Since the final goal of IR chemical imaging is to pinpoint the spatial localization of individual species with distinct spectral signatures rather than investigation of isolated IR bands, this advantage will play a significant role in correlative comparison of registered images. This approach allows for the meaningful investigation of the interplay between chemical and physical properties measured by different methods, ultimately opening a pathway for better understanding the composition-structure-property relationship at nanoscale.
Figure 6.4.1. The general idea of the spectral pan-sharpening algorithm – create a dataset which is impossible or impractical to acquire directly by combining several fixed wavenumber images and an image with high spectral but low spatial resolution.

It is important to highlight that pan-sharpening approaches actively use the knowledge of how spectral imaging and FW maps are related. This acts as additional constraints on the algorithm output. This differentiates our method from the cases where the relationship between two channels is not known a priori and is being established during the analysis.\textsuperscript{27} Thus, we incorporate our expertise in the AFM-IR technique to generate results using physically meaningful limitations. This allows to view spectral and spatial modes of AFM-IR operation as complimentary parts of the dataset that can be directly accessed. Specifically, a single wavenumber map is a slice of a 3D data cube (two physical and one spectroscopic dimension) while a low-resolution spectral map is a downsampled subset of the 3D data cube by its physical dimensions.
The details of CNMF are reviewed by Loncan et al. (Loncan et al., 2015). In brief, the assumptions behind this method are: a) there is a distinct number of endmembers with unique spectral characteristics, b) the spectral image in each point is a linear combination of endmember spectra (which may or may not be presented in pure form in the dataset). Both of these are reasonable for the case of AFM-IR where a given number of materials or regions (such as chemical interface) have intrinsic spectra with varying intensity across the image. Thus, one can represent $X$ as:

$$X = HU$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \rho}$ is a matrix representing spectra of $\rho$ endmembers, and $U \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho \times n}$ is the matrix containing the abundance maps of corresponding endmembers. For a low-resolution image, it can be stated that:

$$Y_H \approx HU_H \text{ and } Y_M \approx H_M U$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $U_H$ is the abundance map matrix of the low-resolution image and $H_M$ is the endmember matrix for the FW maps. Generally, these can be calculated from $U$ and $H$ if the spectral responses are known, however, directly accessing these matrices is not required. CNMF performs spectral unmixing of $Y_H$ and $Y_M$ and then assuming that NMF components of both decompositions are related, it combines $H$ estimated through the first decomposition and $U$ estimated through the second decomposition. Here we start with NMF unmixing of $Y_H$ by minimizing the Frobenius norm of the difference between $Y_H$ and $HU_H$ using random initial guess and multiplicative update solver. This yields the spectra of the endmembers contained in $H$. The second decomposition is performed to calculate $U$. Here, $U_H$ is spatially upsampled using bilinear interpolation and then used as an initial guess for $U$. To generate the initial guess for $H_M$,
we sliced \( H \) selecting only those wavenumbers that correspond to FW high resolution maps. Finally, to restore \( Y_H \) we multiply \( H \) and \( U \). As a result of this operation, high-resolution abundance maps of each components are produced.

### 6.4.2 Simulated Dataset

To prove the feasibility of the PS via coupled NMF decomposition, we have applied it to four datasets. First, we have created a dataset that would simulate the real AFM-IR data. Three types of materials with distinct IR spectra (simulated as a series of Gaussian curves, Figure 6.5.1A) are spatially separated on a map. In addition, random noise is added (Figure 6.5.1B). A series of FW maps similar to the one displayed in Figure 6.5.1C and spectral low resolution map (a section is shown on Figure 6.5.1D) were used for the fusion. The results are showcased in Figure 6.4.2.
Figure 6.4.2. Pan-sharpening applied to the simulated dataset using three NMF components at a downsampling rate of 16. The FW images at spectral point 180 are shown. The FW slice of spectral dataset used for the PS (A) and its interpolation into the original image size (B) are very poor representations of the actual distribution of the band across the sample. However, the pan-sharpened image (C) is very close to the ground truth (D). The point spectra of the pan-sharpened dataset (E, F) are reasonably close to the ground truth, however, some distortions are evident. Notice that with small amounts of NMF components PS also performs denoising.

It is evident that the CNMF algorithm, with three spectral components, performs well at restoring the dataset. Visual examination of the maps at specific wavenumbers generated from $\mathbf{X}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_H$ confirms the applicability of the pan-sharpening algorithm for this type of data. Additionally, as CNMF operates by extracting individual components (Figure 6.5.2), the random noise can be removed from the data (Figure 6.4.2E,F). When the number of components increases past three, the effect of denoising is observed to a lesser degree. In order to quantitatively evaluate the quality of CNMF-based fusion, we used a number of metrics used in the pan-sharpening community. Specifically, we used three metrics: cross-correlation (CC), spectral angle mapper (SAM), and root-mean square error (RMSE). Geometric distortion of PS
result at a given wavenumber can be calculated using cross-correlation between two images. The resulting number is a measure of how similar the ground truth and the PS result are at that wavenumber. To get the global quality metric, values of CC for different wavenumbers are averaged. To estimate the spectral distortion, a spectral shape preservation is calculated in each point. This is achieved by dividing an inner product of two spectra in a given pixel (one from the ground truth and one from the PS product) by $l^2$ norms of those spectra. This value (spectral angle mapper) indicates the level of spectral distortion in a pixel. These pixelwise SAMs are averaged to generate an overall quality measure for a PS product. Finally, RMSE is used a third metric which is sensitive to all distortions in the data reconstruction. Overall, this set of measures allows to estimate both geometrical and spectral quality of the PS algorithm as CC describes the geometric distortion, SAM represents spectral distortion, and RMSE is a general measure of PS operation. The ideal values for CC is 1, while for SAM and RMSE the ideal value is 0 which would be attained if the PS achieved perfect dataset restoration.

We have investigated the influence of the number of NMF components as well as downsampling rate (defined here as downsampling by the same value for both axes, e.g. a 256 x 256 map turned into 16 x 16 would have a downsampling rate of 16) and number of FW maps used for the restoration on all three metrics (Figure 6.5.3). It is evident that when the number of NMF component falls below the number of distinctive spectral signatures (in this case 3), the quality of restoration is poor for all of the metrics used. At the same time, even when downsampling reaches 16 (meaning that the spectral map used for the reconstruction was only 16 x 16 pixels), the metrics are barely affected. Finally, increase of the number of FW maps used did improve the results of fusion. In the simulated dataset there are 7 characteristic peaks. While the selection of the maps does matter, it is clear that a more diverse FW input leads to a higher
quality PS result. When the number of FW maps falls below 5, the results are no longer accurate. This study highlights main rules for the CNMS-based PS: 1) number of NMF components should be at least equal to the number of distinctively dissimilar spectral signatures, 2) FW maps should be acquired for more than half of the relevant peaks, and 3) the downsampling rate can be increased significantly to speed up the measurement.

One may notice that even under the best conditions for the PS algorithm, the quality metrics are far from ideal reaching only \( CC = 0.6 \) and \( SAM = 0.4 \). This is an unexpected effect of the random noise found in the dataset. When investigated closely (Figure 6.5.4), it is clear that CNMF performs well for both noisy and clean datasets; however, the presence of random variations strongly affects metrics. \( CC \) and \( SAM \) are influenced the most while \( RMSE \), as a metric, is more robust to noise. This is an important finding as it highlights the limited applicability of the traditional metrics used to evaluate the products of PS fusion for the case of a random noise in the dataset. Indeed, when the noise is removed, all three measures show much better results (Figure 6.5.5).

As first part of the CNMF algorithm is the endmember extraction, it is important to ensure that the sampling for the spectral mapping is representative. If there is a small object with unique spectra which did not make it to the spectral map, indeed, the PS product will not be representative. However, if the endmembers captured during the low spatial resolution scan are sufficient (meaning, any point spectrum in the dataset can be represented as a linear combination of these endmembers), then the feature of interest will be observed. For example, Figure 6.4.2A displays a slice of a very coarse spectral map. On this map the pattern is heavily distorted. However, as we use high-spatial channel to get the geometric information, we can effectively restore even smallest features.
6.4.3 Experimental AFM-IR Dataset

To confirm the assessment of CNMF-PS algorithm for AFM-IR, we acquired a high-resolution spectral map so the ground truth $X$ is known. At this stage we have used a test sample provided by Anasys which is a microtomed slide of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and polystyrene embedded in epoxy matrix. The polystyrene and epoxy used for the preparation of a test sample have almost indistinguishable spectra because of the IR laser range, so NMF analysis uses only two components here (PMMA and epoxy/polystyrene). Figure 6.4.3 displays the NMF abundance maps after the low-resolution spectral image was decomposed (A). After interpolation, abundance maps obtain high spatial resolution (B), however, these maps do not contain new context-aware information. After a second round of NMF which uses FW images, the abundance maps converge to a detailed image (C, D). As a result, the restored maps restore $\hat{Y}_H$ with high visual quality as evident from Figure 6.4.3 (C, D, E, F) and Figure 6.5.6. Standard metrics of the PS fusion product evaluation also suggest that the algorithm is effective (Figure 6.5.7. For the analysis of the experimental data, we have also added an additional step of spectra normalization that adjusts mean and standard deviation of FW images and spectral map to match.
Figure 6.4.3. Pan-sharpening applied to AFM-IR dataset with known ground truth: spectral 12x16 image and 3 FW maps (1604, 1730 and 1800 cm⁻¹) were used to reconstruct the spectral 46 x 64 image with 2 NMF components. Low resolution map (A) cannot be efficiently interpolated (B), however, a product of pan-sharpening is very close to the ground truth both in terms of information about chemical distributions (C, D) and point spectra (D, E).

To further illustrate the applicability of CNMF-PS, we have performed a reconstruction of a full resolution dataset using FW maps at 1041, 1153, 1605, and 1733 cm⁻¹ and a 16 x 16 spectral map. The resulting restored dataset contains maps of IR absorption at every wavenumber available with 256 x 256 resolution. Figure 6.4.4 display the comparison of the maps in the X and YH. Maps used in the process of the fusion (1041 and 1733 cm⁻¹) as well as maps measured as control ones (such as 1363 cm⁻¹) highlight the efficiency of the approach used here to acquire multidimension datasets (see Figure 6.5.8 for NMF abundance maps).
Figure 6.4.4. Pan-sharpening applied to AFM-IR dataset: spectral 16x16 image and 4 FW maps (1041, 1153, 1605 and 1733 cm⁻¹) were used to reconstruct the spectral 256 x 256 image with 2 NMF components. It is clear that PS restores dataset well after the histogram adjustment. Initial low resolution of spectral maps (A) cannot be efficiently interpolated (B), however, pan-sharpened image allows to gather detailed information about chemical distributions (C) and point spectra (D, E).

In order to capture the high-resolution spectral map of a similar quality it would take 16 x 16 = 256 times longer, which is substantial considering that acquiring a single spectrum takes about 30 seconds. Reconstructing from smaller maps allows reducing acquisition time and mitigating potential sample degradation and drift.

6.4.4 Correlative Analysis of Chemical and Mechanical Properties Using CNMF-PS

To further demonstrate the practical application of CNMF-PS algorithm, we have applied it for the analysis of plant cell walls. The primary plant cell wall is a composite material
composed of reinforcing cellulose microfibrils embedded in a mixture of other cell wall polymers, such as pectins, xyloglucans, and other non-cellulosic polysaccharides (Cosgrove, 2005; Burton et al., 2010). There is spatial and physiochemical heterogeneity in the composition and organization of polymers in the plant cell wall that is impossible to replicate in a synthetic composite material (Jochi et al., 2018; Cosgrove, 2018). This heterogeneity in the cell wall correlates with different mechanical properties across the cell, and this has bearing on whole-plant growth, cell morphogenesis, and development of tissues (Cosgrove, 2018; Gindl et al., 2004). The intrinsic complexity of the cell wall confers a plant cell the ability to provide mechanical support and strength, yet also allow for growth and flexibility in challenging environmental conditions. Advancing our understanding of the composition and organization of polymers in the plant cell wall has practical applications in biofuel energy initiatives. For instance, it may enable alterations of the cell wall chemical composition to modify wood properties and improve processes designed for biomass delignification (Tetard et al., 2012).

Improved understanding of structure-property relationships that define the plant cell wall requires obtaining nanometer resolution of topological, chemical, and mechanical properties. In this work, we have prepared Arabidopsis thaliana plant stem for analysis with AFM-IR to obtain a correlated chemical and mechanical signature of the cell wall. This will provide insight as to how the chemical complexity and spatial variation within the cell wall contributes to its mechanical properties, such as adhesion, plasticity, and elasticity.

CNMF-based pan-sharpening was applied for an AFM-IR dataset acquired from plant cell wall placed on a Si substrate. A sparse spectral map with 256 points (16x16 grid) was fused with 8 FW maps acquired at 1071, 1131, 1319, 1403, 1533, 1591, 1653, 1740 cm\(^{-1}\). It was found that there are two distinct spectral signatures which were identified during NMF decomposition.
of the spectral dataset (Figure 6.4.5A). Strong peaks were observed in the endmember spectra of component 1 at 1591-1653 cm$^{-1}$ likely corresponding to an Amide I band and component 2 at 1131 cm$^{-1}$ likely corresponding to ether bond stretching. Based on these strong peak observations, component 1 was assigned as plant protein and component 2 as cell wall polysaccharides. Alonso-Simón et al. compiled a summary of wavenumbers obtained by Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy of cell walls and report that FTIR adsorption bands at 1120, 1140, and 1160 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to xyloglucan, pectin, and cellulose, respectively (Alonso-Simon et al., 2011). The abundance maps corresponding to these NMF spectral components are presented in Figure 6.4.5B,C, which allows us to determine the distribution of the materials in the sample with respect to all peaks rather than by only one or two characteristic frequencies. Such an approach is more quantitative as it allows for efficient unmixing of overlapping IR signatures. In addition, it scales well with increasing number of the components. This is a very useful property of the CNMF-PS which makes the comparative analysis of complementary data well-grounded.
Figure 6.4.5. Pan-sharpening for data fusion: the restored IR dataset from a biological object was separated into two components (A) and correlated with the contact resonance (B). The abundance maps of the components (C,D) show the primary localization of corresponding chemicals. Cross-correlation clearly indicates that component 1 is soft as increasing of this component loading leads to decrease in sample stiffness (E, G) while component 2 has the opposite effect (F, H). Spearman coefficient between IR component loadings and resonance shift were -0.28 and 0.28, correspondingly.
We collected a map of mechanical contact resonance over the sample. While the resonant frequency is heavily determined by the cantilever itself, the local properties of the surface which the tip is in contact with can shift the frequency up (for stiffer samples) or down (for less rigid ones). This is reflected on Figure 6.4.5B: the mechanical properties are not uniform across the sample. Visual comparison between Figures 6.4.5C,D and Figure 6.4.5B suggests that higher polysaccharide content in a given pixel increases contact resonance frequency while protein plays the opposite role, which is in good agreement from the known properties. While cell wall polysaccharides can have Young’s moduli in the range of 2-140 GPa (Chanliaud et al., 2002; Gibson et al., 2012) proteins show stiffness in the ranges 0.03-0.3 MPa (Mauri et al., 2008). We have calculated a Spearman correlation which describes the correlated monotonicity of two datasets and does not imply the normal distribution of the values being compared. If a relationship between two datasets can be perfectly described as a monotonic function, Spearman’s rank is equal to +1 or -1 depending on whether or not this monotonic function increases or decreases. This measure is convenient for the case of correlating dissimilar datasets as it does not require this relationship to be linear or even known in a closed form. As long as the relationship between physical and chemical parameters is monotonic, Spearman’s rank would be suitable for highlighting it. Indeed, Spearman’s rank for Figure 6.4.5C and Figure 6.4.5B (protein content and mechanical contact resonance) is -0.302 and for Figure 6.4.5D and Figure 6.4.5B (cell wall content and mechanical contact resonance) is +0.283 with p values being 0.0. To characterize the local similarity and highlight the regions with strongest correlation, we calculated cross-correlation within corresponding 4x4 kernels situated on respective images (Figure 6.4.5E,F). Plotting these values against the IR component intensity confirms the visual assessment and the results of Spearman’s rank calculation.
The presence of a certain type of material with a distinct IR signature affects the local stiffness. Hence, in the areas where the abundance of a given component is high, we might expect strong correlation (or anticorrelation) with the resonance shift. In the areas where this component is absent the value of cross-correlation is expected to be close to zero as observed variations of the local stiffness are unrelated to the presence of a specific material. Indeed, below noise floor the IR signals and peak shift are not correlated (below white dashed line), however, if IR signal is strong enough (to the right of the white dashed line), the correlation becomes apparent. Overall, the increasing presence of component 1 leads to gradually decreasing contact resonance while component 2, on the contrary, positively contributes to the local stiffness. CNMF-PS procedure not only allows the restoration of large multidimensional datasets but also paves the way for correlative analysis (such as comparison of local mechanical properties with the distribution of specific components).

In this paper we have demonstrated the applicability of pan-sharpening algorithm to restore full spectral and full spatial resolution AFM-IR dataset. This method drastically decreases time required to acquire spectral images while simultaneously providing multicomponent analysis capability. We discuss the influence of the parameter affecting the result such as downsampling rate, number of components used for decomposition as well as number of fixed wavenumber maps involved in dataset restoration. Finally, we showcase the application of PS CNMF algorithm for correlative analysis of plant cell walls in identifying the relationship between local mechanical properties and chemical composition. Such approaches can be readily adopted for other spectral imaging techniques utilized in chemical imaging of complex materials.
6.5 Supplementary Information

Figure 6.5.1. Simulated dataset which contains three distinct compounds with unique spectra (A). An example of a point spectrum is shown in panel (B). The FW maps (C) and spectral low resolution map (D) are the inputs of the PS algorithm.
Figure 6.5.2. Component abundance maps after first NMF, interpolation and after second NMF for a simulated dataset.
Figure 6.5.3. Cross correlation, SAM and RMSE as a function of the number of NMR components and downsample rate for a simulated dataset with noise. While downsample rate below 16 has almost no effect on the quality of fusion, it is clear that at least 3 NMF components are required for PS in this case.
Figure 6.5.4. Quality assessment of the CNMF PS algorithm (downsample rate 16). Both cross correlation (A) and spectral angle mapper (B) show significantly different values suggesting drastic difference between quality of restoration while RMSE (C) values are similar. However, visual assessment of fusion product shows reasonable results for both clean (D) and noisy (E) data.
Figure 6.5.5. Cross correlation, SAM and RMSE as a function of the number of NMR components and downsample rate for a simulated dataset without noise. Again, at least 3 NMF components are required for high-quality PS in this case.
Figure 6.5.6. Component abundance maps after first NMF, interpolation and after second NMF for a spectral PMMA/polystyrene+epoxy dataset with known ground truth.
Figure 6.5.7. Cross correlation, SAM and RMSE as a function of the number of NMR components and downsample rate for a real AFM-IR dataset. 2 NMF components are required for high-quality PS in this case.
Figure 6.5.8. Component abundance maps after first NMF, interpolation and after second NMF for a use-case PMMA/polystyrene+epoxy dataset.
6.6 References


Appendix II: Structural insights into how the *Arabidopsis* SPIRAL2 protein targets and stabilizes microtubule minus ends

7.1 Abstract

The acentrosomal cortical microtubules of higher plants dynamically assemble into specific array patterns that determine the axis of cell expansion. Recently, the *Arabidopsis* SPIRAL2 (SPR2) protein was shown to regulate cortical microtubule length and light-induced array reorientation by stabilizing microtubule minus ends. SPR2 autonomously localizes to both the microtubule lattice and minus ends and decreases the minus end depolymerization rate. Here, we used biochemical, structural, cell biological, and genetic experiments to identify the structural determinants required for SPR2 to target and stabilize microtubule minus ends. We present the crystal structure of the SPR2 N-terminal domain, which reveals a unique TOG domain architecture with seven HEAT repeats. We demonstrate that a coiled-coil domain mediates multimerization of SPR2 that provides avidity for microtubule binding and is essential to bind soluble tubulin. The TOG domain, a basic region, and coiled-coil domain are necessary and sufficient to target and stabilize microtubule minus ends both *in vitro* and in plants. These results reveal how a TOG domain, which is typically found in microtubule plus-end regulators, has been appropriated in plants to regulate microtubule minus ends.

---

3 This chapter has been adapted from Fan et al., 2023.
7.2 Introduction

Plant cortical microtubules (MTs) play an essential role in cell morphogenesis by guiding the deposition of cellulose (Paredez et al., 2006) and matrix polysaccharides (Zhu et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2015) into the cell wall. This function relies on the creation and maintenance of distinctive cortical MT arrays in different cell types as well as their dynamic rearrangement in response to various internal and external stimuli (Oda, 2015). Since the bulk of cortical MTs have free plus and minus ends, modulating the dynamics of both ends is important to array organization and remodeling.

The dynamics of both MT ends are controlled by a group of specialized proteins that recognize specific tubulin conformations at the MT plus end and minus end, respectively (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2015; Nogales and Zhang, 2016). MT plus-end tracking proteins (+TIPs) specifically decorate growing plus ends and serve to stabilize plus ends and link them to other cellular structures (Galjart, 2010). Likewise, MT minus-end targeting proteins (-TIPs) stabilize free MT minus ends and attach them to other cellular structures (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2019).

In animals, the calmodulin-regulated spectrin-associated protein (CAMSAP)/Patronin/Nezha family of -TIPs has been studied extensively. Different members of this protein family either track growing MT minus ends (Jiang et al., 2014), progressively accumulate on the MT lattice formed by growth at the minus end (Jiang et al., 2014), or bind to and inhibit the growth of minus ends (Hendershott and Vale, 2014). This protein family is distinguished by the presence of a C-terminal CAMSAP1, KIAA1078 and KIAA1543 (CKK) domain which confers MT minus-end targeting (Atherton et al., 2019, 2017).
Plants lack the CAMSAP/Patronin/Nezha protein family. Recently, the plant-specific TORTIFOLIA1/SPIRAL2 (SPR2) protein was found to autonomously localize to and stabilize MT minus ends (Fan et al., 2018; Leong et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2018). Loss of SPR2 greatly enhances the frequency and rate of MT minus end depolymerization and impairs the ability of the cortical MT array to dynamically reorient in response to blue light perception (Fan et al., 2018; Leong et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2018). Notably, the SPR2 protein differs from the CAMSAP/Patronin/Nezha protein family in both structure and dynamics. SPR2 lacks the CKK domain that is necessary for CAMSAP/Patronin/Nezha proteins to recognize MT minus ends. In addition, unlike the CAMSAP/Patronin/Nezha proteins, SPR2 tracks depolymerizing MT minus ends both in vivo and in vitro, localizes to the MT lattice, and to a lesser extent to growing MT plus ends (Fan et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2018).

The Arabidopsis SPR2 protein contains five predicted Huntington, elongation factor 3, phosphatase 2A, target of rapamycin 1 (HEAT) repeats at the amino terminus followed by a basic region and coiled-coil domain (Fan et al., 2018). A HEAT repeat domain followed by a basic region is reminiscent of the tumor overexpressed gene (TOG) domain-containing MT regulatory proteins XMAP215 and CLASP, which localize primarily to MT plus ends in both plants and animals (Brouhard et al., 2008; Kawamura and Wasteneys, 2008; Mimori-Kiyosue et al., 2005; Ambrose et al., 2007). A TOG domain is characterized by the presence of six HEAT repeats that form a paddle-shaped structure, and in the case of the yeast XMAP215 member, Stu2, binds a tubulin dimer with high affinity (Al-Bassam et al., 2006, 2007; Slep and Vale, 2007; Ayaz et al., 2012). While TOG domains are best known for their ability to bind to free tubulin subunits (Ayaz et al., 2012, 2014), structural differences in some of the TOG domains of XMAP215 and CLASP proteins confer binding to MT lattice-incorporated tubulin (Fox et al., 2018).
Proteins that contain more than two TOG domains (e.g., human and plant XMAP215 homologs) function as monomers (Currie et al., 2011; Lechner et al., 2012; Widlund et al., 2011), whereas those that contain only one or two TOG domains (e.g., yeast XMAP215 homologs and Cep104) function either as dimers or oligomers (Al-Bassam et al., 2006; Gard et al., 2004; Rezabkova et al., 2016), with the possible exception for the mitogen-activated protein kinase activator MEKK1 (Filipčík et al., 2020). Thus, involvement of multiple TOG domains is an important characteristic of proteins that regulate MT dynamics.

Here, we report structural, biochemical, and cell biological data that together identify key structural features of SPR2 for targeting and stabilizing MT minus ends. We found that the N-terminus of SPR2 contains a single tubulin-binding TOG domain followed by a basic region that confers binding to the MT lattice. X-ray crystallography revealed that the TOG domain of SPR2 is structurally unique with seven HEAT repeats. In addition, we demonstrate that multimerization of SPR2 by the coiled-coil domain increases its MT-binding affinity and is essential for SPR2 to bind and recruit soluble tubulin dimers to a MT. When expressed in the Arabidopsis thaliana spr2-2 mutant, the N-terminal and coiled-coil domains of SPR2 are necessary and sufficient to stably localize to the minus ends of cortical MTs and inhibit their depolymerization rate. Together, our results establish SPR2 as a distinct TOG domain-containing protein that has evolved to regulate the dynamics of MT minus ends.
7.3 Materials and Methods

7.3.1 Plant Growth

*Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants were used for all experiments. For growth on plates, seeds were sterilized with 25% (v/v) bleach for 10 min, rinsed five times with sterile water, suspended in 0.1% (w/v) sterile agarose solution, and planted on plates containing 2.2 g/L MS salts with Gamborg’s vitamins (Caisson Laboratories), 3 g/L Sucrose, pH 5.7. Seeds were stratified in the dark at 4°C for 2-3 days and then grown at 23°C under 16 h light for 4 days. When transferred to the soil, seedlings were grown under continuous light at 22°C.

7.3.2 Constructs

For live imaging, the *pSPR2::SPR2-mRuby* construct (Fan et al., 2018) was modified by replacing the full-length *SPR2* cDNA with the appropriate truncated *SPR2* cDNAs. These constructs were introduced into the *spr2-2* mutant expressing a GFP-TUB6 MT marker (Fan et al., 2018) using *Agrobacterium*-mediated floral dip transformation. Transgenic plants were selected using 100 mg/L gentamicin with 50 mg/L kanamycin, and homozygous lines containing a single copy of the transgene were used for further study.

For protein expression, the pGEX *SPR2-GFP* construct (Fan et al., 2018) was modified by inserting a PaeI restriction site between *SPR2* and *GFP*, and the PreScission protease site was replaced by a TEV protease site. *SPR2* fragments were generated using PCR, restriction digested by BamHI and PaeI enzymes, and used to replace the full-length *SPR2* in the modified pGEX *SPR2-GFP* construct. To generate His-tagged expression constructs, *SPR2* cDNA encoding residues 33-333 aa and 503-566 aa were obtained using PCR and individually sub-cloned into
pET28 (MilliporeSigma) using engineered NdeI and EcoRI restriction endonuclease sites to generate thrombin-cleavable His-tagged proteins. Primers used in this study are listed in Table 7.6.2.

### 7.3.3 Protein Purification

GST-tagged SPR2 proteins were expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta DE3 cells and purified using affinity chromatography with Glutathione Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) in a cold room. Purified proteins were treated with His-tagged TEV protease (1.7 mg/ml) in cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1% Triton X-100, pH 7.0) for 20 hours to remove the GST tag, and free SPR2 proteins were separated from the beads by centrifugation. The TEV protease was removed from the digested proteins by incubation with Ni$^{2+}$-NTA beads for 2.5 hours in a cold room. Isolated proteins were desalted using PD-10 columns (GE Healthcare) and exchanged into BRB80 buffer (80 mM piperazine-1,4-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid), 1mM MgCl$_2$, and 1mM EGTA, pH 6.8) supplemented with 50 mM NaCl to prevent protein aggregation. Proteins were either flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C for long-term storage or kept on ice and used within 1 week.

His-tagged SPR2 proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 DE3 pLysS cells and purified using Ni$^{2+}$-NTA column (Qiagen). The N-terminal His$_6$ tag was cleaved with bovine α-thrombin (Haematologic Technologies). Cleaved proteins were filtered over a benzamidine-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) column to remove thrombin and a subsequent Ni$^{2+}$-NTA column used to remove uncleaved His$_6$-tagged protein. Cleaved protein constructs were buffer exchanged into storage buffer (25 mM Tris pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol), concentrated using 3
kDa Amicon Ultra Spin Concentrators (MilliporeSigma), flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Detailed protein purification procedures are provided in Supplemental Methods.

7.3.4 MT Cosedimentation

MTs were prepared using unlabeled porcine brain tubulin (Cytoskeleton Inc) reconstituted in ice-cold BRB80 buffer, polymerized in the presence of 1mM Mg-GTP for 1 hour at 37°C, and assembled MTs stabilized with 20 µM paclitaxel (Cytoskeleton Inc). Different concentrations of taxol-stabilized MTs were co-incubated with either 2 µM SPR2(1-500)-GFP or SPR2(1-570)-GFP, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 20 µM paclitaxel for 20 min. MTs and bound proteins were sedimented by centrifugation at 100,000 g at 25°C for 20 min. Supernatant and pellet fractions were resuspended in equal volumes of loading buffer and analyzed using SDS-PAGE. The amount of SPR2 protein in the supernatant and pellet fractions was quantified using densitometry.

7.3.5 In vitro MT Dynamics Reconstitution Experiments

In vitro assays with dynamic MTs were conducted as described in (Fan et al., 2018). Briefly, 300 nM GMPCPP-stabilized MT seeds containing 1:12 biotin-labeled and 1:10 rhodamine-labeled porcine tubulins (Cytoskeleton Inc) bound to coverslips were used to initiate MT polymerization by flowing in 20 mM 1:25 rhodamine-labeled porcine tubulin in BRB80 buffer containing 1% methyl cellulose (4000 cP, MilliporeSigma), 50 mM DTT, 2 mM GTP, an oxygen-scavenging system, and 500 nM of either full-length or truncated SPR2-GFP proteins. Images were collected by a 100X (NA 1.45) objective and a back-illuminated electron-multiplying CCD camera (ImageEM; Hamamatsu) at 2 s intervals.
7.3.6 Live Imaging of Arabidopsis Seedlings

Variable-angle epifluorescence microscopy images were collected from hypocotyl epidermal cells of four-day-old, light-grown Arabidopsis seedlings as described in (Fan et al., 2018).

7.3.7 Soluble Tubulin Pulldown

Anti-GFP beads (MBL Life Science) were equilibrated in binding buffer (BRB80 buffer supplemented with 50 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween-20) and incubated with 1 µM full-length SPR2-GFP protein on a rotary shaker for 2.5 hours at room temperature. Beads were then blocked with 3 µM BSA for 1 hour on a shaker in a cold room and 2 µM unlabeled porcine tubulin (Cytoskeleton Inc) prepared in binding buffer containing 10 mM DTT and 0.2 mM PMSF was added and incubated overnight. Beads were centrifuged at 1000 g for 1 min, washed 3-times with binding buffer, transferred to a fresh tube and washed again for 5-times with binding buffer. Bound proteins were then analyzed with SDS-PAGE. As controls, 1 µM of full-length SPR2-GFP and 2 µM unlabeled porcine tubulin were incubated separately with the anti-GFP beads.

7.3.8 Imaging Soluble Tubulin Binding to MT-bound SPR2-GFP Proteins

GMPCPP-stabilized MTs were assembled using 50 µM unlabeled porcine tubulin containing 1:12 biotin-labeled porcine tubulin (Cytoskeleton Inc.) and polymerized in the presence of 1mM GMPCPP (Jena Bioscience) at 37°C for 30 min. 300 nM GMPCPP-stabilized MTs were introduced into a flow chamber and allowed to bind to 20% anti-biotin antibody for 10 min. 100 nM of the specified SPR2-GFP proteins along with 2.5 µM 1:9 rhodamine-labeled porcine tubulin were then introduced into the flow chamber in BRB80 buffer containing 1%
methyl cellulose (4000 cP, MilliporeSigma), 50 mM DTT and an oxygen-scavenging system (Fan et al., 2018). GFP and rhodamine were excited using 5 mW 488 nm and 561 nm diode-pumped solid-state lasers and images collected by a 100x (NA 1.45) objective and a back-illuminated electron-multiplying CCD camera (Image EM; Hamamatsu) at 2 s intervals.

7.3.9 Size Exclusion Chromatography Multi-Angle Light Scattering

Full-length and truncated SPR2 constructs were individually injected onto a Superdex 200 10/300 GL size exclusion column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated and run in storage buffer supplemented with 0.2 g/L sodium azide. Samples were subsequently passed consecutively through a Wyatt DAWN HELEOS II light scattering instrument and a Wyatt Optilab rEX refractometer. The light scattering and refractive index values were used to calculate the weight-averaged molar mass (M_w) and the mass fraction in each peak using the Wyatt Astra V software program (Wyatt Technology Corp).

7.3.10 Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination

The SPR2 N-terminal domain construct (residues 33-333) was crystallized using the hanging drop method: 2 μl of 15.7 mg/ml protein plus 2 μl of a 1 ml well solution containing 27.5% (w/v) PEG 3350, 150 mM ammonium phosphate, and 280 mM sodium iodide at 18 °C. A SPR2 crystal was cryo-frozen in 27.5% (w/v) PEG 3350, 150 mM ammonium phosphate, and 30% (v/v) glycerol. Two native diffraction data sets were collected on single crystal (after a translation of the crystal along the axis of the goniometer) at the Advanced Photon Source 22-ID beamline at 100 K (each collection: 360 frames, 0.5° oscillations, 12398.420 eV; run 1 start = -119.00°, run 2 start = -28.00°). Data were processed and merged using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). AlphaFold was used to generate a model of the SPR2 N-terminal domain.
(residues 33-333) (Jumper et al., 2021; Varadi et al., 2022), from which a truncated model (residues 43-323) was used in a molecular replacement search to phase the structure. The molecular replacement search yielded a refined log likelihood gain of 212 for one protomer in the asymmetric unit (57% solvent). Initial models were built using AutoBuild (PHENIX) followed by reiterative buildings in Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) and refinement runs using phenix.refine (PHENIX) (Adams et al., 2010). Refinement runs used real space and reciprocal space refinement protocols, including simulated annealing with torsion angle molecular dynamics (temperatures: 5,000 K start, 300 K final, 50 steps), initial secondary structure restraints, individual B-factor refinement, and atomic displacement parameters using a maximum-likelihood target. The final refinement run yielded a \( R_{\text{free}} \) value of 25.9%. The final model includes SPR2 residues 33-327, an N-terminal Gly-Ser-His-Met cloning artifact, a single iodine atom, and seven water molecules. Data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 7.6.1. Structure images were generated using the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 2.4.0 (Schrödinger, LLC), including use of the program’s structure alignment protocol (except for the structure alignment of SPR2 and Stu2 TOG2 (pdb 4U3J) (Ayaz et al., 2014) which used the Dali server (Holm, 2020). Sequence similarity was based on the following amino acid grouping criteria: \([N,Q,H], [T,S,C], [D,E], [R,K], [F,Y,W], [L,V,I,M], [A,G,S], [P]\).

7.3.11 Quantification and Statistical Analysis

All experiments were repeated at least three times using independent plants and protein preparations. Statistical significance of data was calculated using the Student’s \( t \)-test. To quantify the dynamics of MTs \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro}, kymographs of individual MTs were generated using
the Dynamic Kymograph plugin (Zhou et al., 2020) and analyzed using the MT Kymograph Analysis plugin (Zhou et al., 2020). Graphs and curve fitting was done using GraphPad Prism.

7.3.12 Data Deposition

Coordinates for the *Arabidopsis thaliana* SPIRAL2 TOG domain structure have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code: 8D00.

7.4 Results

7.4.1 The N-terminal region of SPR2 mediates MT lattice binding and minus-end localization

To identify the domains that enable SPR2 to bind and stabilize MT minus ends, we generated truncated versions of the SPR2 protein that were tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP) at their C-terminus (Figure 7.4.1A). Full-length and truncated GFP-labeled SPR2 proteins were recombinantly expressed and purified (Figure 7.4.1B) and used to assess MT binding in functional reconstitution experiments. In these *in vitro* experiments, we used dynamic rhodamine-labeled MTs that polymerized from immobilized GMPCPP-stabilized MT fragments (called ‘seeds’). We found that the N-terminal fragment of SPR2 that lacks the basic region (1-276 aa) does not bind to MTs (Figure 7.4.1C). Inclusion of the basic region conferred uniform binding along the MT lattice, with the extent of MT binding correlating positively with the length of the basic region (compare the 1-340 aa and 1-400 aa fragments in Figure 7.4.1C). Notably, a larger N-terminal fragment which includes the complete basic region as well as an unstructured region preceding the coiled-coil domain (1-500 aa) showed punctate MT lattice binding and minus end localization (Figure 7.4.1C), similar to full-length SPR2 (Figure 7.4.1F). Furthermore, the 1-500 aa fragment bound more readily to the GMPCPP-stabilized MT seeds
than to the GTP/GDP-state dynamic polymer (Figure 7.4.1C), also similar to full-length SPR2 (Figure 7.4.1F).

**Figure 7.4.1.** MT binding and minus-end localization of SPR2 fragments. (A) Schematic representation of the domain architecture of SPR2 protein and the various truncations used in this study. (B) Coomassie Blue-stained SDS-PAGE gels of recombinantly expressed and purified GFP-tagged SPR2 protein fragments. Dots indicate the expected size of each protein. (C-F) Representative images of the localization of 500 nM GFP-tagged N-terminal fragments (C), N-terminus and coiled-coil containing fragments (D), C-terminal fragments (E), and full-length SPR2 (F) along rhodamine-labeled microtubules (Rho). Fluorescence intensity profiles of microtubules (magenta) and SPR2 protein (green) are shown below each image set. A.U., arbitrary units. Scale bar = 2 μm.
The coiled-coil domain dramatically increased MT binding of the N-terminal fragment of SPR2 (1-570 aa). The 1-570 aa fragment occasionally showed punctate MT binding, but more commonly showed continuous signal along the MT length with a strong preference for the GMPCPP-stabilized MT seeds over the GTP/GDP-state dynamic polymer (Figure 7.4.1D). Deletion of the Ser/Thr-rich region at the extreme N-terminus (38-570 aa) did not perturb MT lattice binding and minus end localization (Figure 7.4.1D). However, a larger deletion that encompasses the HEAT repeat region (281-570 aa) is unable to bind to MTs (Figure 7.4.1D).

The C-terminal region of the SPR2 protein, with or without the coiled-coil domain, does not bind to MTs (Figure 7.4.1E). Comparing the MT binding of the various SPR2 protein fragments to full-length SPR2 protein indicates that the 38-570 aa fragment most closely mimics the extent and pattern of MT binding of full-length SPR2.

7.4.2 Multimerization of SPR2 enhances microtubule binding

Given the striking difference in the extent of MT decoration between the 1-500 aa and 1-570 aa fragments of SPR2, we investigated whether the coiled-coil domain increases the MT binding affinity of SPR2. Using MT co-sedimentation assays, we found that the 1-500 aa fragment shows nonsaturating MT binding with an apparent $K_D$ of 17.4 μM (Figures 7.4.2A and 7.4.2C). By contrast, the 1-570 aa fragment bound to MTs with an apparent $K_D$ of 1.3 μM (Figures 7.4.2B and 7.4.2D). Therefore, the coiled-coil domain increases the MT binding affinity of SPR2 by at least one order of magnitude.
Figure 7.4.2. Multimerization state of SPR2. (A, B) Coomassie Blue-stained SDS–PAGE gels of microtubule cosedimentation assays. 2 μM (1-500)-GFP (A) or (1-570)-GFP (B) were co-incubated with increasing concentrations of taxol-stabilized microtubules, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, and 20 μM taxol for 20 min. S, supernatant; P, pellet. Arrowheads identify the different protein bands. (C, D) MT binding curves for (1-500)-GFP (C) or (1-570)-GFP (D), respectively. Each data point represents the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. The data were fit to a model assuming single-site specific binding yielding apparent KD values of 17.4 μM and 1.3 μM for (1-500)-GFP and (1-570)-GFP, respectively. (E-G) SECMALS analysis of SPR2 33-333 (E), SPR2 503-566 (F), and full-length SPR2 (G). Plots show the elution profile of each construct from a size exclusion column as measured using the refractive index (y-axis at right) over time. The experimentally determined mass is plotted in kDa (MW, y-axis at left) over time for each main peak. The average mass (± SD) is indicated. For each construct, dashed gray lines indicate the monomeric formula weight. For the traces of the SPR2 503-566 and the SPR2 1-864 constructs, additional dashed gray lines indicate the MW of theoretical dimer, trimer, and tetramer species. Plots shown are representative of experiments run in duplicate.

Yeast two-hybrid and bimolecular fluorescence complementation experiments have demonstrated that SPR2 interacts with itself (Fan et al., 2018; Haikonen et al., 2013). In addition, photobleaching analysis indicates that SPR2 exists primarily as multimers (Fan et al., 2018). To determine whether the coiled-coil domain is responsible for multimerization of SPR2, we
purified the SPR2 N-terminal domain (33-333 aa), central domain (503-566 aa), and full-length protein and analyzed each construct using size exclusion chromatography–multi angle light scattering (SECMALS). The SPR2 N-terminal domain (Figure 7.4.E) eluted as one main peak with an experimentally determined mass (33 ± 3 kDa) similar to the monomeric formula weight (32.8 kDa). By contrast, the SPR2 central region containing the coiled-coil domain eluted as a bimodal peak (Figure 7.4.F). Analysis of the experimental mass across the first portion of the bimodal peak yielded a mass of 32 ± 7 kDa while the later portion of the bimodal peak yielded a mass of 24 ± 2 kDa. The predicted masses of dimeric (19.2 kDa), trimeric (28.9 kDa), and tetrameric (38.5 kDa) species indicate that the SPR2 central domain forms a higher-order oligomer, potentially a tetramer formed as a dimer of dimers. The full-length SPR2 eluted as a single main peak with an experimental mass of 381 ± 2 kDa, aligned with a predicted tetramer mass of 376 kDa (Figure 7.4.G). Prior SECMALS analysis of the SPR2 C-terminal domain from *P. patens* and *A. thaliana* (649-864 aa) revealed a monomeric domain (Ohno et al., 2023; Bolhuis et al.). These results indicate that the central coiled-coil domain mediates tetramerization and that regions flanking residues 503-566 contribute to tetramer stability.

**7.4.3 The 1-500 aa, 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments of SPR2 inhibit the dynamics of microtubule minus ends**

Our *in vitro* reconstitution experiments showed that the 1-500 aa, 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments of SPR2 localize to the minus ends of GMPCPP-stabilized MTs (Figures 7.4.1C and 7.4.1D). To determine whether these SPR2 fragments affect the dynamics of MT plus and minus ends, we conducted kymograph analysis (Figure 7.4.3A). We included the 1-400 aa fragment in this analysis to assess whether its binding along the lattice influences MT dynamics.
Figure 7.4.3. Effect of SPR2 fragments on MT dynamics in vitro. (A) Kymographs of MTs in the presence of 500 nM of indicated SPR2 proteins. (B) In vitro MT dynamics measurements for the experiments shown in (A). Only MTs that had continuous GFP signal at the minus end were analyzed. Values are means ± SD (n = number of events for growth and shortening rates; n = total number of kymographs for percent growth and shortening times). The letters indicate statistically distinguishable groups as determined by the Student’s t-test (p < 0.05).

MT minus ends that had a clearly detectable GFP signal from the 1-500 aa, 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments spent significantly less time growing and shortening compared to the tubulin-alone control (Figure 7.4.3B). This effect is like that of the full-length SPR2 protein. Unexpectedly, the 1-570 aa fragment, which showed the highest MT binding, inhibited MT minus end dynamics to a lesser degree than the 1-500 aa and 38-570 aa fragments (Figure 7.4.3B).

The 1-400 aa fragment impacted MT minus end dynamics significantly less than the 1-500 aa, 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa SPR2 fragments (Figure 7.4.3B). All the SPR2 fragments used in this study altered the dynamics of MT plus ends to a small degree (Figure 7.4.3B). This is likely
an indirect effect of these proteins binding to the MT lattice, as previously reported for full-length SPR2 (Fan et al., 2018).

### 7.4.4 SPR2 binds to free tubulin dimers

The Arabidopsis SPR2 protein is predicted to contain five HEAT repeats near the N-terminus (Figure 7.4.1A), flanked by predicted, conserved pairs of helices. We wondered whether SPR2 has an additional, cryptic sixth HEAT repeat, which would collectively constitute a TOG domain. Since TOG domains are best known for their ability to bind to free tubulin dimers (Ayaz et al., 2012, 2014), we conducted pulldown experiments to test this possibility with full-length SPR2-GFP. These experiments revealed that SPR2-GFP can bind directly to soluble GDP-state tubulin (Figure 7.4.4A). To determine the structural elements required for SPR2 to bind to free tubulin and to investigate whether SPR2 can simultaneously bind to free tubulin and MT lattice, we used a total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy-based assay. In this assay, unlabeled GMPCPP-MTs were co-incubated with GFP-labeled SPR2 protein and GDP-state rhodamine-labeled tubulin (Figure 7.4.4B). In the absence of SPR2 protein, rhodamine-labeled tubulin did not localize to MTs (Figure 4C). However, in the presence of full-length SPR2-GFP protein, we observed punctate rhodamine-tubulin signal that coincides with SPR2-GFP puncta along the MT lattice (Figure 7.4.4C). Similarly, rhodamine-tubulin puncta consistently colocalized with 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa SPR2 puncta on the MT lattice (Figure 7.4.4C). However, 1-500 aa and 1-400 aa SPR2 fragments were unable to recruit rhodamine-tubulin to the MT lattice (Figure 7.4.4C). Therefore, we conclude that multimerization is required for SPR2 to bind and recruit tubulin dimers to the MT lattice.
7.4.5 The SPR2 N-terminal domain is an architecturally distinct TOG domain

To gain insight into the architecture of the SPR2 N-terminal domain, we determined the crystal structure of a construct spanning residues 33-333 aa to 2.5 Å resolution (for crystallographic statistics see Table 7.6.1). The structure was solved by molecular replacement using search model coordinates obtained from AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021; Varadi et al., 2022). The final, refined model (R = 0.214, R<sub>free</sub> = 0.259, see final 2F<sub>o</sub>-F<sub>c</sub> electron density in (Figure 7.6.1A) encompasses residues 33-327, and consists of seven HEAT repeats (HR), designated HR A-G. Together, these HRs structurally resemble a TOG domain (Figure 7.4.5A), although its α-solenoid includes an additional HR relative to the six found in TOG structures determined to date. The refined model aligns well with the AlphaFold prediction model (Cα RMSD = 1.1 Å), with differences localized to the HR C-D inter-HEAT loop, and the relative positioning of HR A and HR G (Figures 7.6.1B and 7.6.1C). Like tubulin-binding TOG domains, the SPR2 N-terminal domain has an extended paddle-like conformation due to an offset in the α-
solenoid structure between HR C and HR D that limits the twist common to HEAT repeat domains. Amino acid conservation maps primarily to the face of the domain formed by intra-HEAT loops, which has an overall basic charge that would complement the negatively charged MT exterior (Figure 7.4.5B, 7.6.2, and 7.6.3A-7.6.3C).
Figure 7.4.5. SPR2 contains a bona fide TOG domain with a unique architecture. (A) Cartoon diagram of the SPR2 TOG domain, containing HEAT repeats HR A-G. (B) SPR2 TOG domain as in (A) after a 90° rotation about the x-axis in cartoon (above), and surface (middle: mapping conservation; below: electrostatics) representation. (C) SPR2 (above: oriented as in (A); below: after a 90° rotation) aligned with Stu2 TOG2 (Ayaz et al., 2014) over HRs B-D. Key structural differences are indicated with red arrows. (D) Comparison of SPR2 (above, residues colored according to conservation as in (B)) and Stu2 TOG2 (below, showing key residues involved in tubulin binding) intra-HEAT repeat residues. (E-I) Comparison of Stu2 TOG2 bound to tubulin (E) (Ayaz et al., 2014), and SPR2 TOG modeled on tubulin after alignment to Stu2 TOG2 HR B-D without (F), and with Stu2 TOG2 shown (G-I).

We next compared the SPR2 N-terminal domain to the Stu2 TOG2 structure from the Stu2 TOG2:αβ-tubulin complex, since the tubulin-binding determinants observed in this complex are well defined (Ayaz et al., 2014). The SPR2 N-terminal domain aligned best to Stu2 TOG2 when the alignment was focused on HRs B-D (Dali Z score 2.1; Ca RMSD = 2.1 Å, 113 of 124 residues aligned). When superimposed, the tubulin-binding intra-HEAT loops of Stu2 TOG2 align well to those of SPR2, and Stu2 residues involved in tubulin-binding have homology to many of the SPR2 residues at equivalent positions (Figures 7.4.5C and 7.4.5D).

Significant structural differences are noted in the relative positioning of the flanking HRs (Figure 7.4.5C). SPR2 HR A is rotated around the α-solenoid axis relative to Stu2 TOG2 HR A while SPR2 HRs E-G are shifted along the α-solenoid axis relative to Stu2 TOG2 HRs E-F. The SPR2 HR E is shorter than the Stu2 TOG2 HR E, and its compaction into the α-solenoid effectively positions SPR2 HR F to structurally mimic Stu2 HR E, though positioned further along the α-solenoid axis (Figure 7.4.5C). Key Stu2 TOG2 HR E tubulin-binding residues have position-equivalent homologs in the SPR2 HR F intra-HEAT loop, suggesting that SPR2 may use its elongated TOG architecture to bind a structural state of tubulin that is distinct from the curved tubulin conformation engaged by Stu2 TOG2 (Figure 7.4.5D) (Ayaz et al., 2014). Given the structural homology to Stu2 TOG2, and the structural variation observed in TOG structures determined to date (Al-Bassam et al., 2007; Byrnes and Slep, 2017; Leano and Slep, 2019; Maki
et al., 2015) we designate the SPR2 N-terminal domain a bona fide TOG domain with distinct structural attributes.

7.4.6 Structural modeling suggests that the SPR2 TOG domain uses unique structural elements to bind to αβ-tubulin

To explore how SPR2 might engage a tubulin dimer, we used the structural alignment of the SPR2 TOG domain on the Stu2 TOG2:αβ-tubulin structure discussed above (Figure 7.4.5C). As the alignment was focused on the HR B-D region, which engages β-tubulin, we used the β-tubulin subunit to anchor structural alignments. Alignment of SPR2 TOG to the Stu2 TOG2: αβ-tubulin structure highlighted unique features of the SPR2 TOG domain that may be used to engage tubulin (Figures 7.4.5E-5I). SPR2 TOG HRs A-D complement the surface of β-tubulin, but distinct from canonical TOG domains, the SPR2 HR A intra-HEAT loop is uniquely angled towards the β-tubulin H11’-H12 segment (Figure 7.4.5H). This explains why the SPR2 HR A intra-HEAT loop lacks a conserved tryptophan, found in canonical TOG domains, that engages determinants on β-tubulin H3. Instead, the SPR2 HR A loops positions a conserved arginine (R56) within hydrogen bonding distance of determinants on β-tubulin H11’. SPR2 HR E is recessed into the TOG structure and is not predicted to contact β- or α-tubulin. However, SPR2 HR F structurally mimics Stu2 TOG2 HR E and is similarly positioned to contact α-tubulin H11’ (distance from H11 as modeled: 2 Å), although the SPR2 HR F intra-HEAT loop is positioned more towards the minus end of the tubulin subunit than Stu2 TOG2 HR E (Figure 7.4.5I). The additional SPR2 HEAT repeat, HR G, is uniquely positioned to engage α-tubulin H12 (Figure 7.4.5I). Collectively, the SPR2 TOG domain features distinct architectural determinants in HR A and HRs F-G that likely engage the H11’-H12 segments of β- and α-tubulin, respectively.
7.4.7 The 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments of SPR2 rescue helical growth of the spr2-2 mutant

To determine whether the SPR2 fragments that bind and stabilize MT minus ends in vitro are functional in vivo, we used genetic complementation of the spr2-2 knockout mutant, which has a strong right-handed helical growth phenotype (Shoji et al., 2004). The coding sequence of full-length SPR2, 1-500 aa, 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments fused to mRuby were expressed under the control of the SPR2 promoter in the spr2-2 mutant containing a GFP-TUB6 microtubule marker. Consistent with previous work (Fan et al., 2018), expression of full-length SPR2-mRuby in the spr2-2 mutant rescues helical growth of cotyledons (Figure 7.4.6A), true leaves (Figure 7.4.6B), and petals (Figure 7.4.6C). For the 1-500 aa fragment, we analyzed six independent single-insertion homozygous lines. All these plants were morphologically indistinguishable from the spr2-2 mutant and exhibited right-handed helical growth of cotyledons (Figure 7.4.6A), true leaves (Figure 7.4.6B), and petals (Figure 7.4.6C). For the 1-570 aa fragment, we analyzed eight independent single-insertion homozygous lines. Of these, two lines appeared like wild-type plants (e.g., line #2-4), indicating full complementation (Figures 7.4.6A-6C). The remaining six (1-570)-mRuby expressing plants showed partial complementation (e.g., line #23-3), such that cotyledons showed right-handed helical growth (Figure 7.4.6A), but true leaves and petals appeared like wild-type (Figures 7.4.6B and 7.4.6C).
Figure 7.4.6. Phenotypes of spr2-2 mutant expressing SPR2 fragments. Images of Col-0, spr2-2 mutant, and spr2-2 mutant complemented with either SPR2-mRuby, (1-500)-mRuby, (1-570)-mRuby, or (38-570)-mRuby fusion proteins. (A) Seedlings 10 days after germination. (B) 20-day-old plants grown in the soil. (C) Flowers from adult plants. Arrowheads in (A) point to the twisted cotyledons in the partially complemented (1-570)-mRuby #23-3 line. Scale bar = 0.5 cm for seedling and plants; scale bar = 1 mm for flowers.

For the 38-570 aa fragment, we analyzed five independent single-insertion homozygous lines. Three of these lines showed full complementation (e.g., lines #5-1 and #42-1), with cotyledons, true leaves, and petals showing wild-type-like morphology (Figures 7.4.6A-7.4.6C). The remaining two lines did not show any mRuby signal, probably because of gene silencing, and therefore were not analyzed further.

7.4.7 The 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments of SPR2 stabilize microtubule minus ends in vivo

We next performed live imaging of hypocotyl epidermal cells to determine whether the complementation results of the different SPR2 fragments can be explained by their localization pattern and effect on cortical MT dynamics. Full-length SPR2-mRuby localizes continuously at free cortical MT minus ends (Fan et al., 2018) (Figures 7.4.7A and 7.4.7B), with an average dwell time of 106 s (n = 130). In striking contrast, the (1-500)-mRuby signal appeared only sporadically at cortical MT minus ends (Figure 7.4.7C), with an average dwell time of 7 s (n =
The cortical MT minus ends in these plants depolymerized rapidly (Figure 7.4.7D), like in the spr2-2 mutant (Fan et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2018).

**Figure 7.4.7.** Cortical MT dynamics and minus end localization of SPR2 fragments in hypocotyl epidermal cells. Montage and kymograph showing the localization and cortical MT dynamics of full-length SPR2-mRuby (A, B), (1-500)-mRuby (C, D), (1-570)-mRuby line #2-4 that shows full complementation (E, F), (1-570)-mRuby line #23-3 that shows partial complementation (G, H), and (38-570)-mRuby line (I, J). Numbers above each montage indicate time in seconds. Arrow and dot label the MT plus and minus end, respectively. A purple dot indicates when the
mRuby signal is present at the minus end. A white dot indicates when the mRuby signal is absent at the minus end. Arrowheads in (J) point to (38-570)-mRuby signal at growing plus-ends. Scale bar = 2 µm.

In the 1-570 #2-4 line which shows full complementation, we observed continuous (1-570)-mRuby signal at minus ends with an average dwell time of 147 s (n = 71) (Figure 7.4.7E). In addition, the cortical MT minus ends in this plant depolymerized slowly like in wild-type plants (Figure 7.4.7F). By contrast, in the 1-570 #23-3 line in which embryonic tissues show right-handed twisting, the (1-570)-mRuby signal at cortical MT minus ends was sporadic (Figures 7.4.7G), with an average dwell time of 65 s (n = 81). The cortical MT minus ends in this plant depolymerized rapidly (Figures 7.4.7H), like in the spr2-2 mutant. Lastly, in the 38-570 complementation lines, the (38-570)-mRuby signal is present continuously at cortical MT minus ends (Figures 7.4.7I), with an average dwell time of 105 s (n = 110) and the minus ends of these cortical MTs show wild-type-like dynamics (Figures 7.4.7J).

We used these data to quantify the growth and shortening rates as well as the time spent growing, shortening, and pausing for both the plus and minus ends of cortical MTs. The 1-500 aa, 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa fragments partially rescued the growth rate of the plus ends, except for the 1-570 aa line #23-3 in which the plus-end growth rate is statistically indistinguishable from control plants (Table 7.4.1). The MT plus-end shortening rate was statistically the same between all the lines (Table 7.4.1). For time spent by the plus ends in the various phases, the 1-500 aa lines failed to restore these parameters to control values; in fact, the deviation from control values was even more severe in these plants compared to the spr2-2 mutant. By contrast, the 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa lines showed at least partial rescue of these parameters, with 38-570 aa line #5-1 being the most like control plants (Table 7.4.1).
With respect to the cortical MT minus ends, both the shortening rate and time spent shortening were more severely altered in the 1-500 aa lines compared to the spr2-2 mutant (Table 7.4.1). However, in the 1-570 aa and 38-570 aa lines, these parameters were at least partially restored to control values (Table 7.4.1).

### Table 7.4.1. Dynamics of cortical microtubule plus and minus ends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Growth rate (μm/min)</th>
<th>Shortening rate (μm/min)</th>
<th>Growth time (%)</th>
<th>Shortening time (%)</th>
<th>Pause time (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plus end</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (114)</td>
<td>20.1 ± 22.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (79)</td>
<td>88.7 ± 10.0&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (75)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 10.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (75)</td>
<td>0 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spr2-2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 5.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (59)</td>
<td>20.6 ± 12.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (9)</td>
<td>98.1 ± 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (53)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 6.0&lt;sup&gt;le&lt;/sup&gt; (53)</td>
<td>0 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-500 #2-2</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (62)</td>
<td>11.4 ± 5.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (2)</td>
<td>99.9 ± 1.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (61)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 1.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (61)</td>
<td>0 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-570 #2-4</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (63)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 13.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (26)</td>
<td>93.0 ± 11.9&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt; (51)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 11.9&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt; (51)</td>
<td>0 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-570 #23-3</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (57)</td>
<td>19.7 ± 15.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (22)</td>
<td>95.9 ± 7.4&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt; (45)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 7.4&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt; (45)</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38-570 #5-1</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (101)</td>
<td>20.5 ± 26.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
<td>89.3 ± 9.8&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (65)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 9.8&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt; (65)</td>
<td>0 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38-570 #42-1</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (55)</td>
<td>25.8 ± 16.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (26)</td>
<td>94.3 ± 6.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (42)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 6.8&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt; (42)</td>
<td>0 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minus end</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.7&lt;sup&gt;ef&lt;/sup&gt; (111)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>61.0 ± 38.0&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (74)</td>
<td>39.0 ± 38.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spr2-2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.8 ± 6.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (68)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>89.3 ± 20.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (52)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 20.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-500 #2-2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.6 ± 6.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98.0 ± 7.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (61)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 7.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-570 #2-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.8 ± 6.3&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>77.8 ± 33.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (59)</td>
<td>22.2 ± 33.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-570 #23-3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.8 ± 6.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (60)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>80.4 ± 27.5&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt; (51)</td>
<td>19.7 ± 27.5&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt; (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38-570 #5-1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.2 ± 2.4&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (124)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>79.4 ± 28.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (68)</td>
<td>20.6 ± 28.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.5 Discussion

The acentrosomal cortical MT cytoskeleton of higher plants consists of treadmilling polymers with abundant free minus ends (Shaw et al., 2003). The SPR2 protein has emerged as a plant-specific MT minus-end targeting protein which localizes to and tracks free minus ends and greatly reduces their depolymerization rate (Fan et al., 2018; Leong et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2018). However, the structural elements of SPR2 that confer minus end localization and protection against rapid depolymerization are unknown. In this study, we show that a unique TOG domain followed by a basic region and unstructured linker, and oligomerization via a central coiled-coil domain, are important for SPR2 to target and stabilize free MT minus ends.

We demonstrate that the N-terminal region of SPR2 contains a bona fide TOG domain. Importantly, we found that oligomerization is required for SPR2 to co-bind soluble tubulin and the MT polymer in our assay. Our SECMALS data indicates that SPR2 exists as a tetramer. A single tetramer of SPR2 is likely sufficient to protect a minus end from rapid depolymerization since four SPR2 molecules are typically found at stabilized minus ends in vitro (Fan et al., 2018). It remains to be determined whether all four TOG domains in a SPR2 tetramer are involved in binding soluble tubulin and whether SPR2’s ability to bring free tubulin to a MT is required for minus end protection.

Our crystallographic structure revealed that the TOG domain of SPR2 has a distinctive architecture consisting of seven HEAT repeats, making it unique among TOG domain-containing proteins. Alignment of SPR2 TOG to the Stu2 TOG2: αβ-tubulin structure indicates that unique
features in HR A and HRs F-G of the SPR2 TOG domain likely engage the H11’-H12 segments of β- and α-tubulin, respectively. Future work will test the importance of specific amino acids in the SPR2 TOG domain for tubulin binding, their contribution to the minus-end stabilizing function of SPR2, and the role of binding the H11’-H12 segments of β- and α-tubulin.

We found that a positively charged region following the TOG domain facilitates MT lattice binding by SPR2, probably by binding to the negatively charged MT surface. Oligomerization of SPR2 greatly enhances MT binding, likely through an avidity-based mechanism in which a SPR2 tetramer use its basic regions to engage multiple sites on the MT lattice. In the MT plus-end polymerase, XMAP215, a lattice-binding basic region is thought to be important for function at physiological concentrations by promoting accumulation of XMAP215 at the plus end (Widlund et al., 2011). The basic region of SPR2 might play a similar role. SPR2 molecules diffuse along the MT lattice both in vitro (Fan et al., 2018) and in vivo (Yao et al., 2008; Wightman et al., 2013). Upon arrival at a minus end, diffusive SPR2 molecules become immobilized and remain persistently at the minus end (Fan et al., 2018). Thus, the lattice-binding basic region likely enhances SPR2’s localization to minus ends, especially at low concentrations. In addition, lattice binding might enable SPR2 to track depolymerizing minus ends.

In our in vitro experiments, the ~100 aa segment between the basic region and coiled-coil domain is necessary for minus end localization. This region is predicted to be disordered and it is possible that it mediates recognition of a specific tubulin face or conformation uniquely found at the minus end.
When expressed in the Arabidopsis spr2-2 mutant, the 1-500 aa fragment of SPR2 is unable to complement the helical growth phenotype. While this fragment localizes to cortical MT minus ends, it does not prevent their rapid depolymerization. This inability correlates with a dramatically lower dwell time at cortical MT minus ends compared to full-length SPR2. Hence, stable association of SPR2 to minus ends is required for its function. The low MT binding affinity of the 1-500 aa fragment provides one possible explanation for its sporadic localization to cortical MT minus ends. Alternatively, this fragment might be non-functional due to its inability to recruit tubulin subunits to MTs.

The Arabidopsis spr2-2 mutant expressing the 1-570 aa fragment of SPR2 showed either complete complementation or partial complementation wherein embryonic organs remained twisted but post-embryonic organs are wild-type-like. Full complementation was associated with stable localization of the 1-570 aa fragment to cortical MT minus ends and slow minus end depolymerization. By contrast, partial complementation was associated with sporadic localization at cortical MT minus ends and their rapid depolymerization in hypocotyl epidermal cells. Whether the difference in complementation strength between these plants is due to differences in expression level of this fragment remains to be determined.

The 38-570 aa fragment of SPR2 showed complete complementation when expressed in the Arabidopsis spr2-2 mutant. This fragment best recapitulates the MT binding and minus end stabilizing activity of full-length SPR2 in vitro. Further, it shows continuous tracking of depolymerizing cortical MT minus ends in vivo, similar to full-length SPR2. We intentionally generated this fragment to determine whether the Ser/Thr-rich region regulates SPR2’s localization and function, potentially via phosphorylation. However, our data demonstrate that the N-terminal 37 aa are dispensable for the normal activity of SPR2.
We found that the C-terminal domain of SPR2 is not required for targeting and stabilizing MT minus ends. In addition, it does not contribute to the occasional localization of SPR2 to growing cortical MT plus ends (Fan et al., 2018), since the 38-570 aa fragment is able to do so. Recently, the SPR2 C-terminal domain was found to have structural homology to the C-terminal domain of the p80 katanin regulatory subunit (Ohno et al., 2023; Bolhuis et al.). While this raises the possibility that SPR2 might interact with katanin to regulate MT dynamics, it remains to be experimentally determined.

How might SPR2 reduce the depolymerization rate of the MT minus end? Based on our data, one possible mechanism is that SPR2 brings new tubulin subunits to minus ends, which would slow their depolymerization rate. Alternatively, SPR2 might stabilize the MT lattice conformation at the minus end to inhibit depolymerization. A third possibility is that a tetramer of SPR2 binds to multiple lattice sites, perhaps on different protofilaments, thus preventing the outward curling of protofilaments and consequently limiting minus end depolymerization. We note that these distinct mechanisms are not mutually exclusive. Regardless of the exact mechanism, our data establish SPR2 as a TOG domain-containing protein involved in regulating the dynamics of MT minus ends.
7.6 Supplementary Information
Figure 7.6.1. The SPR2 TOG domain structural core aligns well to the AlphaFold prediction model. (A) Stereodiagram of SPR2 TOG HR F αF’ and HR G αG’ helices, 2Fo-Fc electron density contoured at 1.0 σ. (B-C) SPR2 TOG domain crystal structure (multiple colors) aligned to the AlphaFold prediction model (teal region used in molecular replacement search model, black region excluded from the search model). Major differences between the refined crystal structure and the AlphaFold prediction are indicated with red arrows. (C) Alignment as in (B), rotated 90° about the x-axis.

Figure 7.6.2. The SPR2 TOG domain is highly conserved across plants. (A) Domain architecture of Arabidopsis thaliana (A.t.) SPR2. (B) Sequence alignment of A.t. SPR2 and homologs from Oryza sativa (O.s.), Selaginella moellendorffii (S.m.), Physcomitrella patens (P.s.), and Marchantia polymorpha (M.p.). Identity (green) and similarity (yellow) is contoured at 100% across homologs. Secondary structure, solvent accessible surface area (SASA), and residue number for the A.t. SPR2 TOG structure is indicated above the alignment.
Figure 7.6.3. The SPR2 TOG domain face composed of intra-HEAT loops is highly conserved and basic. (A) SPR2 TOG domain shown in cartoon format, rotated in 90° increments along the x-axis. (B-C) SPR2 TOG domain shown in surface format with identity (green) and similarity (yellow) (delineated as in Figure 7.6.2) mapped on the surface (B), and showing electrostatics (C), oriented as in (A).

Table 7.6.1. Crystallographic data processing and refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>SPR2 residues 33-333</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>I222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions: a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>72.8, 78.5, 131.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution (Å)</th>
<th>38.36-2.80 (2.95-2.80)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R/ $R_{\text{free}}$ (%)</td>
<td>21.4 (27.6) / 25.9 (34.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Reflections, R/R$_{\text{free}}$</td>
<td>8246 (917) / 1078 (113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total atoms: Protein / Water / Iodine</td>
<td>2256 / 7 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereochemical ideality (rmsd): Bonds (Å) / Angles (°)</td>
<td>0.003 / 0.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran Analysis: Favored / Allowed (%)</td>
<td>97.6 / 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses indicate statistics for the highest-resolution shell.

### Table 7.6.2. Oligonucleotides used in Appendix II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BamHI-SPR2 F</td>
<td>GCCCCTGGGATCCATGAGCACACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaeI-SPR2 R</td>
<td>TTGCGGCGCATGCTTGTGGAATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaeI-GFP F</td>
<td>CGACAAGGCATGCGCGCCAAACCCCGAGCCCATGGTGAGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NotI-GFP R  GTCACGGCGGCGGCTTAATGTACAGCTCG
PaeI-SPR2 (1-276) R  TGTTGCGGGCGCATGCAGGCTCTACAGCGC
PaeI-SPR2 (1-340) R  TGTTGCGGGCGCATGCAGGCTCTACAGCGC
PaeI-SPR2 (1-400) R  TTGTTGCGGGCGCATCTTTATACCTTAAGAC
PaeI-SPR2 (1-500) R  GTTGCGGGCGCATGGAAGGACCTTCAGATTGGTTATCA
PaeI-SPR2 (1-570) R  GTTGCGGGCGCATGGAAGGACCTTCAGATTGGTTATCA
BamHI-SPR2 (38-570) F  CCAGGGCCATATGGGATCC
BamHI-SPR2 (281-570) F  GCCCCTGGGATCCTCACATTCAAGGCTTTAATTA
BamHI-SPR2 (501-864) F  GGCCCTGGGATCACAAGTAATAGAGGAA
BamHI-SPR2 (571-864) F  CCCCTGGGATCCCAGCTGGGTTCGAAA
BstBI-GST F  CTGAAAATGTTGCAAGATCGTTATTGCTTTATGTCATAAAC
15A-TEV-BamHI R  GTGTGCTCATGGAATGATGTGCTTATCAGAGGTCTCAGCTGCTGC
SPR2 33 Nde F  GCCCTGGGATCACAAGTAATAGAGGAA
SPR2 333 Eco R  GCCAGGCGGCTGAATTCTTAATCTGAAGGACGACATCGACATATTTTCCAG
SPR2 503 Nde F  GCCAGACCCATATGAATAGAGGAA
SPR2 566 Eco R  GCCGAGGCGGCTGAATTCTTAAGGAGACCTGATGATATTTTCCAG
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