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Ultraviolet light is well known to induce cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) and pyrimidine (6–4) pyrimidone photoproducts in duplex DNA, which interfere with DNA replication and transcription. Recently, a new class of DNA photoproducts known as anti cyclobutanepyrimidine dimers have been discovered, which form in G-quadruplex forming sequences in solution. G-quadruplex structures have been proposed to form in human DNA telomeres and certain promoters in vivo but evidence for their existence has been lacking. Since anti-cyclobutante pyrimidine dimers have been shown to form in G-quadruplex forming sequences, their formation in irradiated human cells could be used to confirm the existence of G-
quadruplexes \textit{in vivo}. Methods have been developed for assaying these DNA modifications, but it hasn’t always been easy to confirm their identity or characterize their structures.

The goal of this thesis was to further investigate the formation of anti-thymine dimers in human telomeric sequences under various conditions known to favor or disfavor G quadruplex formation. Central to this goal was the development of a high resolution LC MS/MS method for separating and identifying all the photoproducts. Part one of this thesis, describes the development of a new LC method to better separate the CPD-containing nuclease P1 (NP1) digestion products of DNA and the utilization of the new method to analyze the NP1 products of UV irradiated telomeric DNA isolated with the previous HPLC method. The second part of this thesis focused on directly coupling the LC method to MS/MS mass spectrometry to simultaneously separate and identify the nuclease P1 digestion products of UV irradiated telomeric DNA in Li+. These products were then compared against the products formed in the presence of K+. In the course of these studies new hexameric digestion products corresponding to (pTTA)$_2$ were also identified which could contain two CPDs dimers.
Chapter One: Introduction

1. DNA structures

DNA is the core of genetic information in all living cells. It was in April 1953, when Watson and Crick published the Nature paper in which they illustrated the base pairing of DNA double helix (21). B-form DNA is the dominant right-handed helix in the biological state. DNA can also adopt a variety of unconventional non-B-form structures under a variety of conditions as well as non-helical secondary structures such as cruciform, hairpin structures and G-quadruplexes (19, 20). The DNA double-helical family members include A-DNA, B-DNA and Z-DNA, while other secondary structures such as cruciform retain the AT and GC base pairing between complementary strands, G-quadruplexes do not involve GC base pairs and their formation requires base pair disruption to allow the free G-rich strand to fold back upon themselves to form the four-stranded structure. The structures of G-quadruplex DNA are influence by several factors such as sequence, topology, temperature and protein binding (19). Extensive studies have been carried out to monitor the existence of these secondary structures using a wide variety of biochemical and biophysical techniques. The A-DNA structure is adopted by both double-stranded DNA and RNA or hybrid DNA-RNA duplex structures (19). The
chemical synthesis of defined oligonucleotide sequences, allowed the determination of high resolution crystal structure, the first of which showed the unexpected occurrence of a left-handed helical structure with alternating glycosidic bonds in anti and syn conformations. This was termed Z-DNA, which can be generated in regions of alternating GC at high ionic strength (31). Later, it has been proposed that Z-DNA could be involved in gene regulation.

1.1 The history of G-quadruplexes

The self-assembly of guanylic acid was first noted in the early twentieth century by the German chemist Bang who observed the gel formation at high guanosine 5’-monophosphate (GMP) concentrations and defined pH conditions (22). Later studies by Gellert of 5’-GMP fibers by X-ray diffraction showed that tetrameric guanine residues were arranged in a co-planar hydrogen-bonded array (Figure 1) (23). In 1978, Miles and Fraser showed that the stability of G-quartets depends on the positive ion that interacts with the central oxygen atom. Blackburn affirmed that repetitive guanine rich tracts related to the telomeric DNA sequence can form a G-quadruplex structure (24, 26). These studies suggested that certain G-rich DNA sequence may form in living cells. Strong evidence for the existence of G-quadruplex structures in vivo was shown by staining Stylonichia lemnæ macronucleo with high affinity quadruplex specific antibodies Sty49 (47). To date
extensive studies have been carried out to explore the native state of unusual DNA secondary structures concerning their configuration, thermodynamic and kinetic stability under physiological conditions.

![Figure 1: G quadruplex Structure A) chemical Structure of a G-quartet with a central metal ion B) G-quartet as a basic building block of a G-quadruplex C) Topology of intermolecular G-quadruplex consisting of three G-quartets](image)

1.2 G-quadruplex Structures

As discussed above, guanine-rich DNA sequences can self-assemble to form four-stranded structures known as a G-quadruplexes under physiological conditions. These structures are composed of stacks of two or more guanosine quartets; a set of four guanine nucleotides associated with each other in a square Hoogsteen hydrogen-bonded array. Each guanine acts as donor and acceptor of two hydrogen bonds (N1 to O6 and N2 to N7). The association of N7 of guanine bases in the Hoogsteen paired G-quartet assembly protects them from methylation by dimethyl
sulfate (DMS); allowing a chemical discrimination of G-quadruplex formations and other DNA secondary structures.

The four strands constituting an inter- or intramolecular G-quadruplex structure can have four different arrangements. The four strands oriented in the same direction are designated parallel (Figure 2A); three strands oriented in the same direction and one strand oriented in the opposite direction designated (3+1) also known as Hybrid 1 (Figure 2B); two strands oriented in the same direction and two strands oriented in the opposite direction designated anti-parallel (Figure 2C); Two strands oriented opposite of each other and the other two strands oriented in the opposite direction (up-down-up-down) also designated anti-parallel (Figure 2D).

Figure 2: Four types of G-tetrad foundations: A) Parallel G-tetrad, B) (3+1) G-tetrad, C) antiparallel G-tetrad; D) Antiparallel G-tetrad.

Monovalent cations such as K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) stabilize the structures by interacting with the central electronegative carbonyl O6 atoms of the G-quartet. The most stabilized G-quadruplex structure is generated by intercalating K\(^+\) between two adjacent G-quartets (25). These stacked G-quartets overlap along the four stranded helical structure and the stacks are joined together by the sugar phosphate backbone (48). It is important to state that this configuration does not usually form in the
absence of metal cations (36). G quadruplex structures can be formed by the folding of G-rich single stranded DNA or association of two or four independent G-rich sequences.

### 1.3 Intramolecular G-quadruplex topology

G-Rich sequences with the potential to adopt intramolecular quadruplexes are comprised of four consecutive runs of guanines that are separated by three loop regions with different lengths and sequences. The non-participant bases in the intramolecular assembly of G-quadruplexes form loops at different lengths and sequences. All the G-quadruplexes have a set of core G-quartet structural features and the differences in these structures are the loops which differ in size and composition. These loops can connect two parallel or anti-parallel adjacent strands creating four possible loop arrangements. A number of studies have shown how loop sequence and length can regulate the structural conformation and stability of G-quadruplex structures (27, 28). These loops can connect two parallel or anti-parallel adjacent strands creating four possible loop arrangements (29, 30).

The type of G-quadruplex structure adopted by a sequence can have different topologies depending on the orientation of the strands and the glycosidic bond angles of the guanines that participate in the assembly of the G-quartet which may have a syn or anti-conformation. Among them the basket type, which is an
antiparallel-stranded intramolecular structure having one central diagonal loop and two edgewise loops. Hybrid types are a mixture of antiparallel/parallel stranded structures which are formed by different combination of loop arrangements. The hybrid structures have two lateral loops and one propeller loop (Figure 3).

Figure 3: G-Quadruplexes are formed of stacks of square G-quartets, with guanines at each corner surrounding a positive ion.

G-quadruplex forming sequences can adopt many different structures under different ionic conditions. The nature of the monovalent cations can regulate the topology of unimolecular DNA quadruplexes, but usually does not affect the topology of bimolecular DNA quadruplexes (49). For G-quadruplexes it’s been shown that an
anti-parallel arrangement appears to be favored in Na\(^+\) solution while a parallel arrangement is generated in K\(^+\) (31, 32).

1.4 **G-quadruplex Polymorphism**

The classical DNA double helix has two grooves; major and minor. G-quadruplexes have four grooves. The variability of quadruplex structures is related to the flexibility of the glycosidic bond. Attraction or repulsion between the sugar and the base of the nucleoside generates syn- and anti-conformations respectively (Figure 4).

![G-syn and G-anti conformations](image)

Figure 4: Orientation around the glycosidic bond, where the guanine bases adopt both syn and anti-conformations.

DNA exists as a double helix and the two strands are held together by Watson and Crick base pairing. G-quartet contains eight Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds in a cyclical arrangement, so there are on average two hydrogen bonds per base. Monovalent
cations especially \( K^+ \) and \( Na^+ \) but not \( Li^+ \) (34) are required for the G-quadruplex conformation and stability. The \( K^+ \) ion has a large molecular size which is stacked between two adjacent guanine quartets, holding them together and forming a stronger quartet than when \( Na^+ \) ion is used due to the smaller molecular size (35). Monovalent cations stabilize G-quadruplexes in the following order: \( K^+ > Na^+ > Rb^+ > NH_4^+ > Cs^+ > Li^+ \) (36). The oligodeoxynucleotide, \( d[AGGG(TTAGGG)3] \) (Tel22), adopts a basket structure in solutions containing \( Na^+ \), and in the presence of \( K^+ \), the predominant intracellular cation, the hybrid-type structures are favored over the basket (Figure 5).
Figure 5: A) The building blocks of G-quadruplexes are G-quartets that arise from the association of four guanines into a cyclic arrangement stabilized by Hoogsten hydrogen bonding (N1–N6 and N2–N7). B) The planar G-quartets stack on top of one another, forming four-stranded helical structures. G-quadruplex formation is driven by monovalent cations such as Na+ and K+.

1.5 G-quadruplex forming sequences in vivo

Studies have revealed repetitive guanine sequences, with the potential to form G-quadruplexes within biologically important regions of the eukaryotic genome including telomeric DNA, oncogenic promoter sequences (38) and nuclease hypersensitive regions (33). The accumulation of these potential quadruplex-forming sequences throughout the genome raised the prospect that quadruplex structures may play an important role in cellular processes which include replication, transcription, translation and impeding telomerase activity (50) leading to the conclusion that these promoter regions can regulate gene expression at the
transcription levels. This implies that there may be a correlation between potential G-quadruplex structure sequences and specific gene function.

The telomere is a specialized nucleoprotein complex at the extreme ends of all eukaryotic chromosomes (24). The telomeres of eukaryotic chromosomes have unique structures that include short nucleotide sequences present as tandem repeats. The telomeric repeat sequence varies between different organisms but all have the general G-rich sequence $d[T_{1-3}(T/A)-G_{3-4}]_4$. Telomeres stabilize the termini of linear chromosomes and protect them from exonuclease degradation and recombination and stop them from being recognized and processed as DNA breaks. In normal (non-cancerous) human somatic cells, telomeric DNA is composed of approximately 10 kilobase pairs of ds DNA sequences that contain tandem repeats of the sequence $(TTAGGGG)_n$ (26). These sequence repeats can form G-quadruplex structures through Hogsten hydrogen bonds in the presence of monovalent cations such as $K^+$ and $Na^+$.

In mammals the ends of linear chromosomes can form a protective cap-like structure to maintain chromosome integrity from damage by fragmentation and fusion. Telomeres make it possible for cells to divide and acts as a cellular marker for apoptosis when the sequence has been shortened to a critical length (Figure 6). Several capping proteins have been characterized involving DNA binding proteins (39, 40). Strong evidence for the existence of G-quadruplex in vivo comes from the
observations made of telomeres in the macronuclei of ciliated protozoa Stylonychia lemmae specifically bound to quadruplex-specific antibodies (47). The study demonstrated that antiparallel four stranded DNA structures were presented in the macronuclei (51).

Figure 6: Telomere: Protective cap at the end of the chromosome strands.
A great deal of research has been focused on understanding the structural diversity in the human telomere G-quadruplex structure. Early studies show that the single repeat d(TTAGGGT) human telomere sequence can form a propeller parallel-stranded G-quadruplex in K⁺ (Hybrid I) (41). The oligodeoxynucleotide, d[AGGG(TTAGGG)₃] (Tel22), adopts a basket structure in solutions containing Na⁺, and in the presence of K⁺ the hybrid-type structures are favored over the basket and chair structures (5). Tel26, d[AAA(GGGTTA)₃GGGAA] was found by NMR to adopt a mixture of Hybrid 1 and Hybrid 2 structures in K⁺.

Other extensive studies have been done to characterize DNA secondary structures in the promoters of genes. Studies have identified the presence of G-quadruplex forming sequences in the promoter and gene regulatory regions of several proto-oncogenes (42). These proto-oncogenes are involved in growth and proliferation and proximal promoter regions are TATA-less and contain several G/C rich regions.

1.6 DNA Photoproduct Formation in Human G-quadruplexes Forming Sequences.

UVB irradiation overlaps the upper end of the DNA absorption spectra and is the range mainly responsible for skin cancer through direct photochemical damage
to DNA. The majority of the photoproducts arise from a photoreaction between two pyrimidines, the structure and stereochemistry of which depend on the conformation of the two bases at the time of irradiation. Irradiation of double stranded B form DNA under physiological conditions mainly produces *cys-syn* cyclobutane pyridine dimers (CPDs), pyrimidine (6-4) pyrimidone photoproducts, and their Dewar valance isomers (2, 52). Other rare UV photoproducts, including the spore photoproduct, TA*, A=A are also well known. If not repaired, the photoproducts may interfere with DNA replication or transcription (Figure 7). If bypassed by a DNA polymerase, they may lead to mutations. Non-adjacent photodimers of both intra-strand and inter-strand types are much rarer. Intra-strand-type non-adjacent dimer form when one or more nucleotides between two pyrimidines become extrahelical, owing to the formation of single strand DNA or a slipped structure allowing the two pyrimidines to photodimerize in a colinear arrangement to form non-adjacent CPDs. These types of non-adjacent dimers effectively shorten the DNA template, and have been implicated in the formation of UV-induced deletion mutations (17).

Anti CPDs are expected to form between two separate strands, or loops in DNA and were first detected under non-physiologic conditions of desiccated or ethanolic DNA. Later a cis-anti TT CPD was found to form from a short single stranded oligodeoxynucleotides under acidic conditions. The product was identified
by mass spectrometry by first digesting the DNA with Nuclease P1 to produce a tetrameric product comprised of the CPD attached at the 3’-ends to the flanking nucleotide. The observation of non-adjacent CPD formation suggested that it might be possible that these anti CPDs could form between the loops of G-quartet structures in telomeres and promoters (18, 19). Indeed irradiation of human telomeric DNA sequences was found to produce a variety of anti CPDs by a combined enzymatic LC-MS/MS assay (52). Most surprisingly, anti CPD formation was found to occur in the presence of K⁺ which favors hybrid G-quadruplexes that would appear to be incapable of forming anti-CPDs because they do not contain any adjacent lateral loops (49). In contrast, anti CPDs were not detected in Na⁺, which would have appeared to favor formation of anti-CPDs because of the presence of two lateral loops. A proposal suggesting that a form 1 two tetrad G quadruplex was the photoreactive conformation was later shown unlikely (45), and a more recent paper has suggested that a reverse Hoogsteen hairpin may be involved (19,20, 10).
Figure 7: Photochemistry of DNA. A) Structures of the major type of photoproducts. B) Intra- and C) interstrand-type photoreactions, both of which lead to non-adjacent photoproducts, except when n=0 for B, which results in an adjacent photoproduct.
1.7 Thesis

The goal of this thesis was to further investigate the formation of anti-CPD forming in human telomeric sequences under various conditions known to favor or disfavor G quadruplex formation. Central to this goal was the development of a high resolution LC MS/MS method for separating and identifying all the photoproducts. Part one of this thesis, describes the development of a new LC method to better separate the CPD-containing nuclease P1 (NP1) digestion products and utilize it to analyze the NP1 products of UV irradiated telomeric DNA isolated with the previous HPLC method. The second part of this thesis focused on directly coupling the LC method to MS/MS mass spectrometry to simultaneously separate and identify the nuclease P1 digestion products of UV irradiated telomeric DNA in Li+. These products were then compared against the products formed in the presence of K+. In the course of these studies a new hexameric digestion product was corresponding to (pTTA)\textsubscript{2} was also identified and consistent with the presence of two anti-CPDs.
Chapter Two

Our interest in the photochemistry of the G-quadruplex forming 26-mer sequence d(AAAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGAA) was because previous irradiation of Tel26 with 312 nm UV light, appeared to produce two major photoproduct-containing products following NP1 degradation, the cis, syn T=TA CPD from adjacent thymines, and the trans, anti T(A)=T(A) CPD formed between two non-adjacent thymines. We also wanted to investigate what products formed in Li+ solution which does not support quadruplex formations.

Nuclease P1 coupled LC-MS/MS assay.

The photoproducts that are formed in human telomeric sequences are characterized by enzymatic digestion with nuclease P1. NP1 has both endonuclease activity and 3’-phosphatase activity. It has a binding pocket that is specific for the bases in DNA and, upon binding to the 5’-base, catalyzes hydrolysis of the phosphodiester bond to the 3’-side to yield deoxynucleotide with a 3’-hydroxyl group. Because the bases in dipyrimidine photoproducts are covalently linked together, NP1 cannot bind to either base of the photoproduct and consequently cannot cut on the 3’-side of either nucleotide of the photoproduct. As a result Py=PyN trinucleotides are produced from adjacent CPD photoproducts, and Py(N)=Py(N) tetrinucleotides are produced from
non-adjacent anti CPDs (Figure 8). The NP1 digestion products of the DNA containing the tri and tetranucleotides are separated from mononucleotides by reverse phase HPLC, and either subsequently or simultaneously analyzed by MS/MS mass spectrometry. To optimize the separation, various solvent systems, ramps, and chromatography columns were tested. The separated products were identified by their mass and fragmentation patterns.
Figure 8: NP1 digestion of photoproducts containing DNA. NP1 is unable to hydrolyze the 3’ side of a photodimerize base.
**Irradiation and NP1 Digestion of the G-quadruplexes.**

The G-quadruplexes were irradiated and digested by Chen Lu at the Department of Chemistry at Washington University. A 50 μM solution of Tel26 G-quadruplex in 150 mM LiCl was irradiated with UVB light for 2.5 h on ice. The sample was then subjected to NP1 enzymatic digestion for at least 36 h at 37°C. The photoproduct containing digestion fragments were separated via reverse phase HPLC and monitored by UV absorption at 260 nm under the conditions shown in Figure 9. The following was summarized from published data of the original HPLC method that was developed at Dr. Taylor’s lab: the mononucleotides elute during the first 20 minutes followed by the elution of trinucleotides around 30 minutes and the tetranucleotides around 40-43 minutes. The 260 nm UV absorbance of the T=TA trinucleotide digestion photoproduct results from the presence of 3’-adenine, while the absorbance of the T(A)=T(A) or T(T)=T(A) tetranucleotide digestion photoproducts are the result of two 3’ adenines or a 3’-adenine and a 3’-thymidine. The CPDs have very little absorption at 260 nm.
Three fractions containing the photoproduct tri- and tetranucleotides were collected by Chen Lu at Washington University using the method as shown in Figure 9. Fraction 1 was collected around 29.1 minutes, Fraction 2 was collected at 41.7 minutes and Fraction 3 was collected at 42.8 minutes respectively.
Figure 10: HPLC Chromatograph trace provided by Dr. Taylor’s lab displaying fractions collected A) Zoom in B) Zoom out.
Analysis of Samples Obtained by the Original RP-HPLC Method with an New Method

My primary aim was to establish a new LC method with which I could separate and identify the NP1 degradation products with good separation and resolution. RP HPLC was carried out on a 2.1x150 mm Waters Acquity UPLC High Strength Silica (HSS) T3 column, with particle 1.8 µm particle size on a 1260 Infinity Quaternary LC System from Agilent Technologies. I started the development investigating a number of buffer systems and gradients and settled on the buffer and gradient system shown in Figure 11. Np1 digestion for the parent ODN afforded major products corresponding to monomers eluting at 3.95 (pG), 4.18 (pT), 6.63 (pA) and 30.71 (dA) minutes when using the HPLC method. The analysis of fraction 1 that was collected at 29.1 minutes with the original LC method; eluted between 6-8 minutes with the new LC method. Interestingly, this fraction was resolved into two peaks; one of which eluted around 6.57 minutes and second peak eluted around 7.82 minutes as shown in Figure 12.
Figure 11: Reverse phase HPLC gradient. MPA: 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate; MPB 50%/50% 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate and acetonitrile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>% Mobil Phase A</th>
<th>%Mobil Phase B</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Run time: 105 minutes

MPA: 100% Ammonium Formate

MPB: 50/50 (v/v) Ammonium Formate + Acetonitrile

Column: Waters Acquity UPLC HSS T3, 1.8 um 2.1 x 150 nm Silica Based bonded Pore size 100 Å

Part# 186003540
Figure 12: Analysis of Fraction 1 collected by Chen Lu at Washington University in Dr. Taylor’s lab.
The analysis of fraction 2 with elution time of 41.7 minutes in the original method resulted in one peak by the new LC method with an elution time of 33.4 minutes as shown in Figure 13.

![Figure 13](image-url)

**Figure 13:** Analysis of fraction 2 collected by Chen Lu at Washington University in Dr. Taylor’s lab.

The analysis of fraction 3 that had been collected around 42.8 minutes was resolved into three peaks with the new method. One of the peaks eluted around 33.41 minutes, a second peak eluted around 36.76 minutes and a third and major peak eluted at 38.98 minutes as shown in Figure 14.
Further analysis of the first fraction by LC-MS is shown in Figure 15. The LC-MS of both of the peaks at 6.65 and 7.90 minutes showed an m/z for of 938.164 corresponding to the (M-H)^− ion of the trinucleotide pT=pTpA, which must correspond to either cis-syn, trans-syn cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers between the two T’s or the (6-4) or Dewar photoproducts.
Figure 15: Analysis of fraction 1 by A) LC-MS zoom out B) Full LC-MS extracted and processed data of peak one C) Full LC-MS extracted and processed data of peak 2 in fraction 1.
The analysis of fraction 2 showed which gave a single peak at 33.4 minutes in the new method, as shown in Figure 16. The LC-MS showed the presence of an ion of m/z 1260.209 corresponding to the (M-H)⁻ ion of a tetranucleotide pT(pA)=pT(pA).

A)

B)

Figure 16: Analysis of fraction 2 by A) LC-MS analysis of peak one in fraction 2. B) Full LC-MS extracted and processed data of peak one in fraction 2.
Three peaks eluted from the analysis of fraction 3 by the new LC-MS method. One of the peaks eluted around 33.4 minutes, the second peak eluted around 36.8 and the third peak eluted around 38.98 minutes. Analysis of the first two peaks by LC-MS (Figure 17), gave an m/z of 1269.235 corresponding to the (M-H)⁻ ion of pT(pA)=pT(pA) or a molecule of mass 1270.235. The analysis of the third peak gave an m/z of 1260.209 corresponding to the (M-H)⁻ ion of the tetramer pT(pA)=pT(pT) or a molecule of mass 1261.

Figure 17: Analysis of fraction 3 by LC-MS.
Comparison of the original with the new RP-HPLC Method

There were some significant differences between the elution times of the nucleotides and the photoproduct digestion products using the original and new RP-HPLC methods. For example, mononucleotides eluted within the first 20 minutes in the original method, whereas the eluted between 3-30 minutes with the new method. Another difference between the two methods is that trinucleotides eluted from at 29.1 minutes in the original method, whereas in the new method the same fraction eluted between 6-8 minutes. Also tetrancleotides that appeared to eluted as a single peak at 41 minutes appeared as three peaks between 31-40 minutes.

Direct LC-MS Analysis of NP1 Digestion Mixtures by the New Method

After the analysis of the mononucleotides and fractions that were provided by Dr. Taylors Lab, we continued with the study of Tel26 in Li⁺ and other metal ions.
Oligodeoxynucleotides (ODN) were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (IDT). Tel26 was prepared in 100 mM Li⁺ and heated at 95°C for 5-10 minutes and then was rapidly cooled down in ice. UVB irradiation was performed at 270-400 nm with peak intensity at 312 nm on ice with UVB light for about 2-2.5 hours at Washington University by Chen Lu. The analysis of the degradation products was performed by LC using a Waters Acquity UPLC HSS T3 silica based column in a
1260 infinity quaternary LC system by Agilent Technologies using the settings shown in Figure 18. The peaks that appeared to correspond to previously identified peaks from the original study were labeled as A, B, C, D, E, F. Other peaks that were not previously identified in the original studies are labeled 1, 2 and 3 respectively as shown in Figure 19. The LC-ESI-MS experiments were carried out in the negative ion mode with the orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q Exactive-Plus). A solution of 10 mM ammonium formate in water and acetonitrile was used as the spray solvent. Each labeled peak in the HPLC trace in Figure 19 was analyzed by LC-MS and MS-MS and compared to previously identified products based on their m/z and fragment ions.
Figure 19: A) Analysis of irradiated and NP1 degraded Tel26 in Li+ at 260 nm (zoom out). B) Analysis of irradiated and NP1 degraded Tel26 in Li+ at 260 nm (zoom in).
Analysis of Unknown Peak A.

Analysis of unknown peak A which eluted at 7.94 minutes (Figure 20A) gave an m/z of 938.16 corresponding to the [M-H]^- of the trimer (T=TA). The [M-H]^- ion gave product ions at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]^- as shown in Figure 20B.

Figure 20: A) Analysis of unknown Peak A by LC-MS and B) MS/MS results for unknown Peak A and product ion analysis.
Analysis of Unknown Peak B.

During early development of the new LC and MS/MS method an unknown peak (unknown B, Figure 19) was observed eluting at 29.83 minutes from in Tel26 irradiated in Li⁺ (Figure 21A) which could not be detected by MS in negative ion mode. When the dA at the 5’-terminus of Tel26 was replaced with pdA and irradiated and digested with NP1, the shoulder in unknown peak B was no longer detected as shown in Figure 21B.
A) Analysis of shoulder in peak B

![TIC graph with UV and 29.83 min. did not ionize label]

B) LC-MS of Tel26 in Li+ no shoulder in peak B

![LC-MS graph with peaks and RT values]

Figure 21: Analysis of unknown B peak by LC-MS ATel26 in Li+ with dA and B) LC-MS without shoulder in peak B.
Analysis of Unknown Peak C.

The analysis of unknown peak C eluting at 33.59 minutes, gave an m/z peak at 1260.224 (Figure 22A) corresponding to the [M-H] for the tetranucleotide pTp(A)=pTp(T). For the MS/MS analysis the [M-2H] at 629.6 was trapped and fragmented (Figure 22B). Product ions were observed at m/z 321 corresponding to [pdT-H]; a product ion was observed at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]; another product ion was observed at m/z 527.12 corresponding to [pT=pT –HPO3-2H2O –H]'; another product ion was observed at m/z 545.13 corresponding to [pT=pT-HPO3-H2O-H]'; an product ion was observed at m/z 607 corresponding to [pT=pT -2H2O–H]; another product ion was observed at m/z 625.09 corresponding to [pT=pT-H2O-H]'; a product ion was observed at 929.14 [pT=pT(pT) –H2O-H] and a product ion was observed at m/z 938.16 corresponding to [pT=pT(pA) –H2O –H]'.
A) LC analysis of unknown peak C

B) MS/MS analysis and product ions

Figure 22: Analysis of Peak C by A) LC-MS and B) MS/MS results and product ions.
Analysis of Unknown Peak D.

The analysis of unknown peak D eluting at 36.8 minutes gave an m/z at 1269.235 as shown in Figure 23A corresponding to pT(pA)=pT(pA). The MS/MS experiments were then carried out on [M-2H]^{2-} at 634.11 which was trapped and fragmented (Figure 23B). Product ions were observed at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]^{-}; m/z 527 corresponding to [pT=pT –HPO_3-2H_2O –H]^{-}; m/z 545.13 corresponding to [pT=pT-HPO_3-H_2O-H]^{-}; m/z 607 corresponding to [pT=pT -2H_2O –H ]^{-}; at m/z 625.09 corresponding to [pT=pT-H_2O-H]^{-}; at m/z 858.19 corresponding to [pT=pT(pA) –HPO_3- H_2O –H]^{-} and m/z 938.16 corresponding to [pT=pT(pA) –H_2O –H]^{-}.
A) LC-MS analysis of unknown peak D

B) MS/MS Analysis and Product ions.

Figure 23: Analysis of Peak D by A) LC-MS and B) MS/MS results and product ions.
Analysis of Unknown Peak E.

The analysis of unknown peak E eluting at 37 minutes gave an ion of m/z at 1260.22 as shown in Figure 24A corresponding to [M-H]⁻ ion of pT(pA)=T(pT). The peak at 37 minutes was then ionized by negative electrospray to give [M-2H]²⁻ of 629.6 which was trapped and fragmented. Product ions were observed at m/z 321.05 corresponding to [pdT-H]⁻; at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]⁻; at m/z 607.06 corresponding to [pT=pT -2H₂O –H]; at m/z 849.19 corresponding to [pT=pT(pT) – H₂O-HPO₃-H]⁻; at m/z 929.14 corresponding to [pT=pT(pT) –H₂O-H]⁻ and m/z 1180.23 corresponding to [pT(pA)=pT(pT) – HPO₃– H]⁻.
A) LC-MS analysis of peak E

Figure 24: Analysis of unknown Peak E by A) LC-MS and B) MS/MS results and product ions.
Analysis of Unknown Peak F.

The analysis of peak F eluting at 39 minutes gave an m/z ion at 1269.235 as shown in Figure 25A corresponding to the [M-H]$^-$ ion of pT(pA)=pT(pA). The peak at 39 minutes was then ionized by negative electrospray to give [M-2H]$^{2-}$ of 634.11 as shown in Figure 25B which was trapped and fragmented. Product ions were observed at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]$^-$; m/z 527.12 corresponding to [pT=pT –HPO$_3$-2H$_2$O –H]$^-$; at m/z 607.06 corresponding to [pT=pT -2H$_2$O –H]$^-$ and m/z 938.158 corresponding to [pT=pT(pA) –H$_2$O –H]$^-$. 
A) LC analysis of unknown peak F

B) Ionization of unknown peak F
C) MS/MS analysis and ion fragments of unknown peak F

Figure 25: Analysis of unknown Peak F by A) LC-MS and B) and C) MS/MS results and product ions.

Analysis of Peak 1.

The analysis of unknown peak 1 (as seen in Figure 19) eluting between 12 to 15 minutes with a peak at around 13 minutes gave an m/z 266.09746 (Figure 26A) corresponding to the [M-H]$^-$ ion of dG. The m/z ion of of 266.09 was trapped and fragmented to give an ion of m/z 150.04 corresponding to the [M-H]$^-$ ion of guanine (Figure 26B). It is not clear where this digestion product could have come from, since nuclease P1 only produces nucleotide monophosphates, except if a deoxynucleoside is present at the 5’-end, as was the case for the oligodeoxynucleotides having dA at the end. There should not have been any dG at the 5’-end, unless it came from incomplete DNA synthesis.
A) LC-MS of unknown peak 1

B) MS/MS of unknown peak 1

Figure 26: Analysis of peak unknown 1 by LC-MS and B) MS/MS.
Analysis of Unknown Peak 2.

The analysis of unknown peak 2 eluting between 42.42 minutes gave a m/z ion of 1877.331 as shown in Figure 27A corresponding to a hexamer pTpT(A)=pTpT(A)). The peak at 42 minutes also gave a peak a m/z of 625.1 corresponding to the triply charged ion [M-3H]^3- (Figure 27B) and m/z of 938.1524 corresponding to the doubly charged ion [M-2H]^2- (Figure 27C) which was trapped and fragmented. Product ions were observed at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]; and m/z 607.1 corresponding to [pT=pT -2H2O -H]; at m/z 1135.22 corresponding to [pTpT==pTpT-HPO3-2H2O-H]; m/z 1215.17 corresponding to [pTpT==pTpT-2H2O-H]; m/z 1386.31 corresponding to [pTpT(pA)==pTpT-H2O-2HPO3-H] and m/z 1466.28 corresponding to [pTpT(pA)==pTpT-H2O-HPO3-H]. For nuclease P1 to give such a product would require that both T’s are forming anti photoproducts, and could result from the photodimerization of the two T’s flanking the 5’-side of the anti-photoproduct leading to the double dimer pT(pA)==pT(pA) photoproduct. Subsequent hydrogen fluoride (HF) degradation studies by Innocent Harelimana of the Taylor group have provided evidence for the presence of both trans, anti and cis, anti CPDs of thymine in the product, a propose structure of the hexamer is shown in Figure 28.
A) LC extracted and processed data for unknown peak 2.

B) Triply charged ions [M-3H]^{3-} of 625.1.

C) Doubly charge ions [M-2H]^{2-} of 938.16.
D) MS/MS data analysis.

Figure 27: Analysis of unknown peak 2 by A) LC extracted and processed data for unknown peak 2 B) ionization of peak 2 by negative electrospray to give [M-3H]$^{3+}$ triple charge C) Ionization of peak two by negative electrospray to also give [M-2H]$^{2+}$ Doubly Charge D) MS/MS data analysis of unknown peak 2.

Figure 28: Suggested structure of the double dimer corresponding to a hexamer pTpT(A)=pTpT(A)).
Analysis of Unknown Peak 3.

The analysis of unknown peak 3 eluting between 39 to 43 minutes gave a m/z of 1989.6496 as shown in Figure 29A and does not correspond to any expected hexamer. The peak at 43 minutes also gave a peak a m/z of 994 corresponding to the doubly charged ion [M-2H]^2− as shown in Figure 29B which was trapped and fragmented. Product ions were observed at m/z 330.06 corresponding to [pdA-H]^− as shown in Figure 29C.
A) LC-MS data extracted and processed

B) Double charge: ionization by negative electrospray to give \((M-2H)^{2-}\) of 994
C) MS/MS data

![Image of MS/MS spectrum]

Figure 29: Analysis of unknown peak 3 by A) LC-MS and B) Ionization of unknown peak 3 by negative electrospray to give [M-2H]^2- doubly charge C) MS/MS spectrum of unknown peak 3.

Summary of identified peaks:

The summary of the peaks identified in the new LC/MS method are shown in Table 1 below and as they appear in a chromatogram in Figure 30.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Elution Time</th>
<th>m/z observed</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.95 346.0565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.18 322.0573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>938.1641</td>
<td>pT=pT(pA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>267.097</td>
<td>dG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>297.108</td>
<td>dA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>1260.224</td>
<td>pT(pA)=pT(pT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>36.88</td>
<td>1269.236</td>
<td>pT(pA)=pT(pA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>37.30</td>
<td>1260.224</td>
<td>pT(pA)=pT(pT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>39.28</td>
<td>1269.236</td>
<td>pT(pA)=pT(pA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42.42</td>
<td>1877.3306</td>
<td>pTpT(pA)=pTpT(pA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1989.65</td>
<td>possible hexamer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Summary of identified unknown peaks by LC-MS

All of the nuclease P1 digestion products A, C-F had been previously identified. As mentioned, peak B the front shoulder was remove and B was identified to be dA. Peak 1 was identified as dG, but its source is unknown. The unknown peak 2 m/z of 1877.331 at 42 (Figure 30) corresponding to TT(A)=TT(A) had been previously identified by MALDI analysis of an isolate peak, but no MS/MS data had been obtained. The MS/MS data supports the assignment of a double dimer, since no fragments corresponding to pT were observed. The unknown peak 3 m/z 1989 at 43 minutes will need to be further investigated because does not correspond to any expected hexamer. The peak at 43 minutes also gave a peak a m/z of 994
corresponding to the doubly charged ion \([M-2H]^2-\) as shown in Figure 29B which was trapped and fragmented.

Figure 30: Summary of Photoproduct formation of Tel26 in Li⁺
Comparison of photoproduct formation in Li⁺ with K⁺.

Photoproduct formation in Tel26 in Li⁺ were compared against the products formed in the presence of K⁺. It was observed that there were more photoproducts produced in Tel26 in Li⁺ (Figure 31A) than in K⁺ as shown in Figure 31B, most notably a greater amount to TA=TT product, and the TTA=TTA photoproduct.

A) Tel26 in Li⁺ UV Trace
B) Tel26 in K+ UV Trace

Figure 31: Peak analysis of A) Tel26 in Li+ and B) Tel 26 in K+ UV trace zoom in.

Experimental Section.

2.1 Materials and Methods

Oligodeoxynucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., (IDT, Coralville, Iowa) and Lifetechnologies.

Nuclease P1 (NP1) from penicillium citrinum, 10 mM ammonium formate and acetonitrile were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Acquity UPLC High Strength Silica (HSS) T3, particle size 1.8 μm 2.1x150 mm from Waters. Milli-Q (18.2 mΩ/cm) water obtained from Milli-Q water purification system.
2.2 Preparation of the Guanine-Quadruplexes

Oligodeoxynucleotides from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) were used without further purification. Typically, 50 uM ODN (IDT) in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, with 150 mM KCl or LiCl; were heated at 95°C for 10 minutes and then rapidly cooled down in ice.

2.3 UV Irradiation of Oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs)

UVB irradiation was carried out immediately after sample preparation. G-quadruplex samples were irradiated on a bed of ice for 2-2.5 h at a distance of ~ 1 cm from the UVB lamp.

2.4 Digestion of the Oligodeoxynucleotides (ODN) by Nuclease P1

Typically, 1 ul of 1U/uL aqueous NP1 from Penicillium citrinum (Sigma) and 1 uL of 10 mM ZnCl₂ were added to 100 uL of 50 µM UVB irradiated sample and digested at 37°C for ≥36 h.

2.5 HPLC separation

HPLC separation and analysis were carried out on 1260 Infinity Quaternary LC System by Agilent Technologies. An Acquity UPLC High Strength Silica (HSS) T3,
particle size 1.8 \( \mu \text{m} \) 2.1 x 150 mm from Waters was used for reverse-phase HPLC. A gradient was used at a flow rate of 0.200 mL/min. Method: Mobile phase A (MPA) 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate; Mobile phase B (MPB) 50/50 (v/v) 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate and acetonitrile as shown in Figure 32.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>% Mobil Phase A</th>
<th>%Mobil Phase B</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Run time: 105

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPA:</th>
<th>100% Ammonium Formate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPB:</td>
<td>50/50 (v/v) Ammonium Formate + Acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column:</td>
<td>Waters Acquity UPLC HSS T3, 1.8 um 2.1 x 150 mm Silica Based bonded Pore size 100 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part#:</td>
<td>186003540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 32: Reverse phase HPLC gradient. MPA: 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate; MPB 50%/50% 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate and acetonitrile. Reverse phase HPLC gradient. MPA: 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate; MPB 50%/50% 10 mM aqueous ammonium formate and acetonitrile.
2.6 ESI-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) and MS/MS

ESI-MS and MS/MS experiments were carried out in the negative ion mode with the orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q Exactive-Plus) by ThermoScientific. A solution of 10 mM ammonium formate in water and acetonitrile was used as the spray solvent. The spray voltage was 2.5 kV. The capillary voltage and temperature were 25 Voltage and 250°C, respectively. MS/MS experiments were done by using normalize collision energy (NCE). The Mass window for precursor-ion selection was 3.0 m/z units. Approximately 2 scans were averaged for each spectrum. Each scan consisted of 100 microscans with a maximum injection time of 300 microscans. For MS/MS each scan consisted of 60 microscans for full scans with a maximum injection time of 300 microscans.
Chapter 3. Conclusion

Guanine-rich nucleic acids can form G-quadruplexes. These four-stranded complexes repeatedly occur in the human genome, playing an important role in gene regulation and serving as targets of drugs for cancer treatment. Crystallographic and nuclear magnetic resonance studies show the core of a G-quadruplex to be the G-tetrad, a planar assembly of four guanine bases networked via hydrogen bonds. G-tetrads stack one on another, with a cation located between adjacent tetrads in coordinating eight carbonyls of guanine bases for stabilization. Previous studies using biophysical approaches, such as CD, UV spectroscopy have outlined the thermodynamic profiles of the folding and unfolding of quadruplexes. The quadruplex and photoproducts formation varies with the cation species as is shown in this report. UV light is well known to cause adjacent pyrimidine base pairs next to each other in duplex DNA to covalently bond together to form cis, syn cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs). More recently, thymines in G quartet structures associated with human telomeres, the sequence at the end of chromosomes, were found to form anti CPDs upon UV irradiation in the presence of K⁺ and Li⁺, but not Na⁺. In part one of this thesis I described the development of a new LC method that better separates the CPD-containing nuclease P1 (NP1) digestion products of UV irradiated telomeric DNA. In the second part I focused on
directly coupling the LC method to MS/MS mass spectrometry and separated and identified the nuclease P1 digestion products of UV irradiated telomeric DNA in Li⁺. The separated products were identified by their mass and fragmentation patterns. The photoproduct distribution in the presence of Li⁺ was then compared against the products formed in the presence of K⁺. Also, in the course of this thesis I have further characterized a new tandem photoproduct of Tel26 in Li⁺ that appears to be a double dimer formed between the two flanking TTA segments of the quadruplex corresponding to pTpT(pA)=pTpT(A). This product likely forms from further photodimerization of the anti dimer of pT(pA)=pT(pA). In Figure 30 and Table 1 summarizes all the unknown peaks that were separated and identified by LC and MS/MS during this study. Also there is a summary of all fragment ions identified during this study in Table 2. I was able to observe that Tel26 in Li+ has more photoproducts and isomer formations when compared to Tel26 in K⁺ as shown in Figure 31.

Further calculation of the mass accuracy was performed by subtracting the observed mass minus the theoretical mass divided by the observed mass times 1 million (ppm) for m/z accuracy (Table 3) to confirm.
Table 2: Assignments of negative fragment ions from tri (A: T=TA), tetra- (C & E: T(A)=T(T), D & F: T(A)=T(A)) and hexanucleotide (2: TT(A)==TT(A)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/z (negative ion)</th>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Observed for unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>125.03</td>
<td>Thy-H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.05</td>
<td>Ade-H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.042</td>
<td>Gua-H</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241.08</td>
<td>dT-H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250.094</td>
<td>dA-H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266.09</td>
<td>dG-H</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321.05</td>
<td>pdT-H</td>
<td>C, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.06</td>
<td>pdA-H</td>
<td>A, C, D, E, F, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346.06</td>
<td>pdG-H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527.12</td>
<td>pT=pT – HPO$_3$-2H$_2$O – H</td>
<td>C, D, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545.13</td>
<td>pT=pT-HPO$_3$-H$_2$O-H</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607.06</td>
<td>pT=pT -2H$_2$O – H</td>
<td>C, D, E, F, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625.09</td>
<td>pT=pT-H$_2$O-H</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>849.19</td>
<td>pT=pT(pT) – H$_2$O-HPO$_3$-H</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>858.19</td>
<td>pT=pT(pA) –HPO$_3$-H$_2$O – H</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>929.14</td>
<td>pT=pT(pT) –H$_2$O-H</td>
<td>C, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938.16</td>
<td>pT=pT(pA) –H$_2$O – H</td>
<td>C, D, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1180.23</td>
<td>pT(pA)=pT(pT) – HPO$_3$– H</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1135.22</td>
<td>pTpT==pTpT-HPO$_3$-2H$_2$O-H</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1215.17</td>
<td>pTpT==pTpT-2H$_2$O-H</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1386.31</td>
<td>pTpT(pA)==pTpT-H$_2$O-2HPO$_3$-H</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1466.28</td>
<td>pTpT(pA)==pTpT-H$_2$O-HPO$_3$-H</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These peaks were also observed in the MS/MS of the m/z 634 ion of unknown peak F (T(A)=T(A)).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak Name</th>
<th>Theoretical m/z</th>
<th>Observed m/z</th>
<th>Mass Accuracy ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pA</td>
<td>331.0682</td>
<td>331.06846</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dA</td>
<td>251.1018</td>
<td>297.11</td>
<td>154852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pG</td>
<td>347.06</td>
<td>347.1</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT</td>
<td>322.0566</td>
<td>322.1</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA-T</td>
<td>938.2</td>
<td>938.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA-TA</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>1269.22</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA-TT</td>
<td>1260.209</td>
<td>1260.224</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT-TT</td>
<td>1252.20578</td>
<td>1252.21109</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 1</td>
<td>1877.313</td>
<td>1877.331</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 2</td>
<td>1990.08</td>
<td>1990.65</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 3</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>266.09</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Summary of the identified peaks mass accuracy.
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Appendix

Previous studies have discovered that photocrosslinking of T’s can occur in structures other than quadruplexes providing evidence that implicates antiparallel reverse-Hoogsteen base-paired hairpins as the photoreactive conformation leading to the trans, anti T(A)=T(A) CPD. These results suggested that reverse Hoogsteen hairpin structures co-exist with G-quadruplexes.

The following sequences of Tel21 (Cl-4-98, 19Apr2015) were prepared in potassium solution. Tel21 GGA; Tel21GAG; Tel21AAG and Tel21_3’ were prepared in Dr. Taylor’s lab at Washington University by Chen Lu. The sequences were then analyzed and processed by Claudia Posadas using the new LC and MS-MS methods.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Loop 1</th>
<th>Loop 2</th>
<th>Loop 3</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel26</td>
<td>AAA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG AA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel22</td>
<td>A GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF3</td>
<td>GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA GGG T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel15</td>
<td>TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel15U²</td>
<td>TTA GGG TUA GGG TTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP15WC</td>
<td>TTA CGG TTA CGG TTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel21_3’alt</td>
<td>GGG TTA III TTA GGG TTA III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel21_3’con</td>
<td>GGG TTA GGG TTA III TTA III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel21_5’alt</td>
<td>III TTA GGG TTA III TTA GGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel21_5’con</td>
<td>III TTA III TTA GGG TTA GGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel21_mix</td>
<td>IGI TTA GIG TTA IGI TTA GIG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP21GGA</td>
<td>GGA TTA GGA TTA AGG TTA AGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP21GAG</td>
<td>GAG TTA GAG TTA GAG TTA GAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP21AAG</td>
<td>AAG TTA AAG TTA GAA TTA GAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP21WC</td>
<td>GCG TTA GGG TTA GGG TTA CGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Tel21 sequences provided by Dr. Taylor’s Lab at Washington University in St. Louis.
1. LC-MS Trace of GAG, AAG, GGA
2. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GAG, AAG, GGA looking at peak with retention times at 30.78 and 30.86 minutes

938.16, R=71106 z=2

966.00, R=72206 z=1
3. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, GAG looking at peak with retention times at 34.28 minutes
629.60, R=90107 z=2 (tetramer)
4. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, GAG looking at peak with retention times at 35.88 minutes. 
634.11, R=90007 z=2
5. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, GAG looking at peak with retention times at 36.14 minutes.

629.60 R= 90607 z=2
6. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, and GAG looking at peak with retention times at 37.95 minutes.
634.10931 R = 10931 z=2
7. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, and GAG looking at peak with retention times at 35.75 minutes.
8. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, and GAG looking at peak with retention times at 36.27 minutes.
9. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, and GAG looking at peak with retention times at 50.27 minutes.
10. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, and GAG looking at peak with retention times at 60.78 minutes.
11. LC-MS and MS/MS Trace of GGA, AAG, and GAG looking at peak with retention times at 65.49 minutes.
Sequence and image provided by Washington University-St. Louis from Dr. Taylor’s lab.
12. MS/MS Trace of pTel26 Li+ of 938 m/z peak at 41 minutes (isomer)

13. MS/MS Trace of pTel26 Li+ of 966 Da no real UV peak, right after peak at 31 min (trace of 938 present at 31 min but too low for MSMS).

14. MS/MS Trace of pTel26 Li+ of 1990 Da peak at 39.83 minutes.