Safe and stable housing is essential to support healthy child development and promote strong families. Children thrive in secure, stimulating environments that offer opportunities to engage and learn; however, far too many children are exposed to inadequate housing conditions that threaten their physical, emotional, and cognitive development. Although many services are designed to help vulnerable families, housing problems remain pervasive and costly. A combination of improved service coordination, enhanced community-based intervention, and increased access to housing resources is necessary to reduce housing instability among families with children.

What Is Housing Instability?

Housing instability refers to precarious, unsafe, or unsustainable situations. Examples of conditions that make housing unstable include poor quality housing, overcrowding, frequent mobility, and—in the most extreme cases—homelessness. Families with children now account for nearly 40% of the homeless population, and other inadequate housing situations are common (Cortes et al., 2012; Fargo, Munley, Byrne, Montgomery, & Culhane, 2013; U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2013). To avoid homelessness, vulnerable families may double up with relatives or friends, enduring overcrowded or inadequate conditions that endanger child health and well-being (Figure 1; Pilkauskas, Garfinkel, & McLanahan, 2014).

There are a number of contributors to housing instability. They range from individual factors, such as mental illness, substance abuse, and domestic violence (Shinn et al., 1998), to structural ones such as high unemployment rates, the lack of policies to combat homelessness, and residential segregation (Fargo et al., 2013; Gould & Williams, 2010). Throughout the United States, the scarcity of affordable housing is a particularly important contributor to housing instability among low-income households. People pay dearly for housing, and availability is limited. In 2013, more than 20 million renter-occupied households—nearly half of such households—paid more than 30% of income toward rent. The rental vacancy rate declined to 7.6% in 2014, and the declining availability of housing has driven continued growth in rental costs (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2015). Vulnerable families navigating tight rental markets with limited resources therefore face significant barriers to obtaining and maintaining adequate accommodations.

Housing Instability and Children

Housing instability has both immediate and long-term consequences for children. These consequences extend across multiple domains, including physical and mental health, learning and cognition, and

Figure 1. The cycle of housing instability. Precarious housing includes doubling up, overcrowded housing, and housing mobility.
Exposure to lead, smoke, overcrowding, and other elements of inadequate housing can directly harm children’s health (Galpin, Whitaker, & Dubiel, 1992; Krieger & Higgins, 2002; Wu & Takaro, 2007). Moreover, some elements exert influence indirectly, through such mechanisms such as increased parental stress, lack of resources, and exposure to neighborhood violence (Leventhal & Brooks-Gunn, 2000; Swick, Williams, & Fields, 2014).

Exposure to inadequate housing conditions, such as poor quality structures, overcrowding, and frequent moves, destabilizes families and poses threats to child well-being. Longitudinal studies conducted over a 3-year period show that housing mobility among child welfare-involved children and adolescents—a particularly vulnerable group—disrupts acquisition of behavioral regulation and suppresses development of basic cognitive processes (Fowler & Chavira, 2014; Fowler et al., in press). As these studies show, frequent moves and moves at certain ages trigger developmental cascades that undermine basic skills necessary to do well in school and in adulthood.

Instability and chaos in a child’s home also interact with policies, economic factors, and other elements in his or her environment such as family members, neighbors, schools, and other community institutions. These interactions influence development and well-being in many ways. An effective approach to addressing housing instability and its consequences must consider the unique and dynamic context in which a child is situated.

### Existing Resources

Homeless and unstably housed families come into contact with a number of service systems. However, most services remain uncoordinated and fail to comprehensively address families’ needs.

### Homeless Services

Services for the homeless typically rely on short-term emergency shelter. This involves reliance on homeless and unstably housed families come into contact with a number of service systems. However, most services remain uncoordinated and fail to comprehensively address families’ needs.

![Figure 2. A developmental model of stable housing. HUD = Department of Housing and Urban Development; HHS = Department of Health and Human Services.](image-url)
on local networks of homeless-service providers, which typically have very limited resources and only address the needs of families in housing crises. Temporary shelters provide immediate lodging but often are a poor fit for families in need. For example, families may struggle to find shelters that allow adolescents or that accommodate important family obligations. Shelter locations and policies often conflict with child-welfare system requirements that parents attend meetings, participate in treatment, and secure employment. Furthermore, evidence suggests that shelters do little to stabilize families and that they fail to reduce the need for additional child welfare services even after lengthy shelter stays (Culhane, Park, & Metraux, 2011).

Public Housing Services
Public housing services may connect families to resources such as subsidized housing or voucher programs, yet lack of affordable housing units is a common barrier. Although vouchers and other forms of housing assistance have been associated with reductions in housing instability and homelessness among families (Berger, Heintze, Naidich, & Meyers, 2008; Fertig & Reingold, 2008; Shinn et al., 1998), resources are scarce and far too many families in need are unable to access housing assistance.

The Child Welfare System
Finally, housing instability poses significant challenges for families and children involved in the child welfare system. Nationally representative prevalence estimates indicate that one in six families receiving in-home child welfare services experiences inadequate housing that threatens out-of-home placement; the prevalence of this threat nearly doubles among families working toward reunification (Fowler et al., 2013).

Additionally, the risk for housing insecurity is elevated among former foster youth during the transition to adulthood:

- Approximately 15% of youth who age out of foster care experience subsequent homelessness (Fowler, Marcal, Zhang, & Landsverk, in preparation).
- Nearly one in five youth who age out experience frequent moves.
- One in five aged-out youth experience chronically unstable housing situations (Fowler, Toro, & Miles, 2009).
- A study with former foster youth in three Midwestern states found that more than one third (36%) experienced homelessness by age 26 (Dworsky et al., 2013).

Out-of-home placement, rather than protecting children and stabilizing families struggling with housing problems, may in fact contribute to instability for the youth when they reach young adulthood and may perpetuate instability over time.

The child welfare system therefore presents a significant opportunity to intervene with and stabilize inadequately housed families prior to out-of-home placement and other service utilization. A child welfare system intended to promote child well-being must move beyond keeping families together and address housing conditions that threaten healthy child development (Samuels, 2012). Systemic changes are needed at many
levels to support child welfare-involved families. At the *level of the child*, addressing precarious housing situations and preventing homelessness will alleviate many of the developmental threats associated with instability. At the *level of the family*, housing assistance has proven protective against homelessness and associated child welfare concerns. Housing assistance receipt is positively associated with children’s access to medical care and their academic performance, as well as employment rates and earnings when they reach adulthood (Currie & Yelowitz, 2000; Lee, Beecroft, Khadduri, & Patterson, 2003; Newman & Harkness, 2002). Increased access to vouchers or other forms of assistance can have positive and enduring effects on child well-being.

At the *system level*, addressing family and child homelessness requires communication and coordination among service providers and policymakers. To enable early intervention, the intake process for child welfare services should include screening for family housing problems. Expanding efforts to identify housing problems would improve child welfare outcomes by supporting families prior to out-of-home placement, by allowing for rapid reunification when housing issues prompt removal, by promoting long-term stability for at-risk children and families, and by preventing problems that trigger future child welfare interventions.

The Family Unification Program (FUP), an initiative by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, provides permanent housing for child welfare-involved families. It has shown promise in reducing homelessness and improving housing quality over time (Harburger & White, 2004; Rog, Gilbert-Mongelli, & Lundy, 1998). The program enables child welfare-involved families to access housing choice vouchers through formal partnerships between local public-housing authorities and child welfare agencies. Observational and experimental studies show that low-income families receiving the vouchers experience less homelessness and see improvements in housing quality over time (Sanbonmatsu et al., 1998; Shinn et al., 1998; Wood, Turnham & Mills, 2008); however, rigorous evaluation of FUP has been limited. Initial findings from a field experiment in Chicago, IL, show that FUP can stabilize families in the short term (Fowler & Chavira, 2014). The first randomized controlled trial evaluating FUP recently concluded in Chicago, and the emerging evidence is significantly enhancing understanding of the program’s effects. The findings suggest that FUP promoted housing stability by reducing mobility and time spent in precarious housing situations (Fowler & Schoeny, in press).

**Recommendations**

We offer the following recommendations to reduce housing instability among families with children:

- Reliable screening for housing problems should be systematically implemented in child-welfare and other child-serving agencies to identify at-risk families.
- Timely connections to local resources should be leveraged to stabilize and improve housing conditions, and child welfare systems should promote use of funds to directly address housing problems in a timely fashion.
- Sustainable ways to implement successful models of interagency coordination should be systematically disseminated across child welfare, homelessness, and housing networks.
- Investment should be made in distressed neighborhoods to increase availability of affordable housing and to encourage the development of safe, healthy communities for children and families.

**References**


American Journal of Public Health, 92(5), 758-768. doi:10.2105/AJPH.92.5.758


Samuels, B. (2012, November 28). Innovating to achieve well-being for the most complex families served by child welfare. Address given at the Kick-Off of Administration for Children and Families Partnerships to Demonstrate the Effectiveness of Supportive Housing in the Child Welfare System, Washington, DC.


Acknowledgments
The project described was supported by Grant Number T32MH019960 from the National Institute of Mental Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Mental Health or the National Institutes of Health.
Authors
Kate Marcal
Washington University in St. Louis

Patrick J. Fowler
Center for Social Development

Suggested Citation