



Center for Social Development

GEORGE WARREN BROWN SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK

# Parental Assets and Children's Educational Outcomes

Subsequently published as: Loke, V., & Sacco, P. (2011). Changes in parental assets and children's educational outcomes. *Journal of Social Policy*, 40(2), 351-368.

Vernon Loke  
Center for Social Development  
George Warren Brown School of Social Work

Paul Sacco  
George Warren Brown School of Social Work

2009

CSD Working Papers  
No. 09-17

Campus Box 1196 One Brookings Drive St. Louis, MO 63130-9906 • (314) 935.7433 • [csd.wustl.edu](http://csd.wustl.edu)



Washington University in St. Louis

# Parental Assets and Children's Educational Outcomes

*Several countries, including Canada, Singapore and the United Kingdom, have enacted asset-based policies for children in recent years. The premise underlying these policies is that increases in assets lead to improvement in various child outcomes over time. But little existing research examines this premise from a dynamic perspective. Using data from the NLSY79 mother and child datasets, two parallel process latent growth curve models are estimated to examine the effects of parental asset accumulation on changes in children's math and reading achievement over six years during middle childhood. Results indicate that the initial level of assets is positively associated with math scores but not reading scores, while higher rates of asset accumulation are associated with slower rates of decline in reading scores but has no effect on changes in math scores. Overall, the results suggest that the relationship between assets and various child outcomes may not be straightforward. Different dimensions of the asset experience may lead to different outcomes, and the same dimension may also have different effects. Implications for future research and for asset-based policies are discussed.*

**Key words:** *assets, asset-based policy, children, educational outcomes, net worth, latent growth curve*

There is increasing interest in asset-based policies and interventions as a social investment strategy, and a number of countries have implemented or are exploring this new policy approach. In recent years, the asset building discussion has expanded to include children with the implementation of national asset-based policies targeting children in Canada, Singapore, South Korea, and the United Kingdom. Underlying these policies and interventions is the premise that the possession and accumulation of assets lead to a range of positive effects in children. With regard to children's cognitive and educational outcomes such as math and reading achievement, it is postulated that higher levels of assets possessed and a higher rate of increase in assets accumulated will lead to greater rates of improvement in children's cognitive and educational development, which in turn, leads to better attainment in these areas. Little existing research, however, examines this premise from a dynamic perspective.

Proposed by Sherraden (1991), asset-based policies are, broadly speaking, public policies that encourage individuals to accumulate or hold assets. In the assets perspective, income refers to the flow of resources in the household that could be consumed to provide the household with daily necessities such as food, shelter, and clothing. Assets, on the other hand, refer to the storehouse of resources built over time. Income and assets both refer to resources, and differ only in the frame of reference of time. If resources received as income are not immediately consumed, then they become assets (Schreiner, 2004). While assets typically refer to financial resources in asset-based policies, the policies are generally part of a strategy to encourage people to develop other assets such as human capital or real assets such as a home or a business (Emmerson and Wakefield, 2001; Loke and Sherraden, 2006).

The assets perspective views household financial welfare as a long-term, dynamic process rather than as a cross-sectional financial position at a given time. Assets capture this long-term, dynamic quality better than income because assets reflect lifetime financial accumulation or wealth (Sherraden, 1991). Assets, as storehouse of resources built over time, are used not to purchase life's necessities, but to create opportunities, secure a desired stature or standard of living, or to transfer class status to the next generation. Assets also facilitate investments in future aspirations, and enable people to seize opportunities that might otherwise be closed to them. Consequently, the opportunities available to the household members are enhanced (Sherraden, 1991; The Allen Consulting Group, n.d.).

### **Assets and children's educational outcomes**

A growing body of empirical work supports the positive association of assets with children's cognitive and educational outcomes, beyond the effects of income (e.g., Boehm and Schlottmann, 1999; Conley, 2001; Sherraden, 1991). Mayer (1997b) finds that income from assets like investments and inheritance explain more variance in children's educational test scores and achievement than does total family income. She concludes that the effects of income may be weaker and more modest than previously thought (Mayer, 1997a). Hill and Duncan (1987) find that the first dollar increase from asset income has a significant effect on the years of education completed by both sons and daughters. However, no significant effects were observed for the first dollar from parents' labor, welfare, and all other sources of income. Controlling for a host of parental, household, and respondent characteristics including income and maternal education, Zhan and Sherraden (2003) find children's academic performance to be significantly associated with assets in the form of homeownership, while high school graduation is significantly associated with both homeownership and having savings of \$3,000 or above. They also find that household total income has no significant effects on a child's academic performance or on children's high school graduation once assets are included in their regression models.

Studies also find assets to be associated with children's math and reading achievement. The relationship between assets and math achievement are generally positive. Controlling for income and other parental socio-demographic factors, parental wealth is found to be associated with math scores (Orr, 2003; Williams Shanks, 2007; Yeung and Conley, 2008; Zhan, 2006). The relationship between assets and reading, however, are more tenuous. While some studies have found positive associations between assets and reading (Zhan, 2006), others failed to find significant associations (Williams Shanks, 2007; Yeung and Conley, 2008).

Little research exists that examines the effects of changes in asset holdings. Studies on the effects of assets on children's educational outcomes generally measure children's outcomes at one time point rather than changes in outcome measures over time. Also, while research supports the association between assets and children's educational outcomes, much of this research is based on cross-sectional studies rather than longitudinal designs. Most longitudinal studies that do exist, furthermore, have examined the association of assets held at a single time point on children's outcomes at a later time. For example, Zhan (2006) measured assets at a particular year and children's outcomes two years later. Others used pooled the asset data measured over several years or simply averaged the asset data over the period of observation (e.g. Orr, 2003; Williams Shanks, 2007; Yeung and Conley, 2008). Thus, there is a gap in research on the effects of assets on children's outcomes from a dynamic perspective. Specifically, it will be helpful to learn whether increases in

assets over time lead to improvement in child outcomes over the same duration. This is, after all, the basis for asset-based interventions—to generate positive effects of assets by increasing asset holdings. This paper addresses this gap in knowledge by developing a parallel latent growth process model to assess the relationship between changes in parental assets and changes in children’s math and reading scores over the course of six years. The purpose of the analysis is to examine the proposition that a change in asset holding will be associated with changes in math and reading performance in children.

## Methods

### Sample

Data for the analysis is drawn from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth - Children and Young Adult (NLSY79CYA) and from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 (NLSY79) datasets. The NLSY79 is a nationally representative panel study of 12,686 youths who were originally surveyed in 1979 and then re-contacted annually from 1979 to 1994 and biennially from 1994 to 2003. The NLSY79CYA consists of all children of women in the NLSY79 sample. The children have been assessed biennially from 1986 onwards on a variety of measures, including cognitive ability, temperament, motor and social development, and others. As of 2004, a total of 11,428 children have been identified as having been born to the original 6,283 NLSY79 female respondents (Center for Human Resource Research, 2006).

The sample for this study consists of children born in 1988 and 1989 who were ages five and six at wave one in 1994, and ages 11 and 12 at wave four in 2000. Biennial data on the children and their mothers from 1994 to 2000 have been drawn from the NLSY79CYA and NLSY79 datasets respectively and merged. In addition, only children with valid observations in 1994, and who resided with their mothers at least part of the time for the entire observation period from 1994 to 2000, are included in the sample. Standard errors for children from the same mothers are adjusted by clustering the data by mother’s identification codes. Custom weights provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the children included in the sample are also used. The custom weights are available at [http://www.nlsinfo.org/web-investigator/custom\\_weights.php](http://www.nlsinfo.org/web-investigator/custom_weights.php).

### Measures

*PIAT Math and Reading Recognition.* The Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT) Math and Reading Recognition standardized scores have been measured biennially for each child beginning at age five or six in 1994 through to 2000. PIAT Math and Reading sub-tests were given to all children between the ages of 5 and 14 in the NLSY79CYA sample (Dunn and Markwardt, 1970). Standardized scores are derived on an age-specific basis from the child’s raw scores (Center for Human Resource Research, 2006). The norming sample has a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15. The standardized scores range from 65 to 135.

The PIAT Reading tests are comprised of the Reading Recognition and the Reading Comprehension sub-tests. The PIAT Reading Comprehension sub-test is not used in this study as standardized scores are not available for a large percentage of children age seven and younger. This is because many of these younger children have raw scores that fall out of the range of the national PIAT sample used in the norming procedure (Center for Human Resource Research, 2006).

Both the PIAT Math and Reading Recognition sub-tests consist of 84 multiple choice items of increasing difficulty. A child looks at each problem then chooses an answer from one of four possible options. Testing stops when a child responds incorrectly to five out of seven items. The PIAT assessments are generally considered to be highly reliable and valid (Murphy et al., 1994).

*Net worth.* Fifteen asset and debt measure are in each round of data collection of NLSY79. The asset items are values of home, cash saving, stocks/bonds, trusts, business assets, car, other possessions, IRAs, 401(k)s and CDs. The debt items are mortgages, other property debt, business debt, car debt, and other debt. The recent release of NLSY79 dataset includes a computed net worth variable which sums the asset measures less any liabilities. The net worth measure used in this study is adjusted for inflation to 2000 dollar values using the Consumer Price Index (CPI). As the net worth variable is highly skewed, we transformed it by taking the square root of the absolute value, then reinserting the sign (positive or negative) of the original variable to the transformed value. This method is used by the Partek software, a statistical analysis ('Partek', n.d.).

*Family permanent income.* Total family income at each time point is derived by summing all sources of income from household members and adjusting for inflation to year 2000 values using the inflation calculator provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics at <http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/cpiccalc.pl>. Family permanent income is computed by averaging the total family income from 1994 to 2000 to adjust for any short-term fluctuations in income (Blau, 1999; Mayer, 1997b). This variable is rescaled to \$10,000s. As the skewness and kurtosis are within acceptable ranges, the variable is not transformed.

*Control variables.* Demographic, social, and economic variables of parents and children are included in the analysis because of their potential influence on math and reading achievement, and on net worth. Child-level variables include the race and gender of the child, and whether the child has any conditions that may restrict school attendance or homework. Race is dummy-coded (black, Hispanic) with non-black and non-Hispanic as the reference group. Gender is also dummy-coded with female as the reference group. In addition, variables on school attendance restrictions and homework restrictions are also dummy-coded with those having restrictions as the reference group.

Parent-level covariates include the mother's age at the birth of the child, and the mother's educational attainment and marital status as measured in 1994. Mother's educational attainment is dummy-coded (high school, more than high school), with mothers having less than high school as their highest grade completed as the reference. Marital status is dummy coded (married) with unmarried mothers being the reference group.

## Analysis

Latent growth curve modeling (LGCM) is used to estimate two separate parallel process models. Parallel Process Model 1 analyzes the parallel processes of change in PIAT math scores and net worth. Parallel Process Model 2 constructs the same analyses using PIAT reading recognition and net worth. Using a structural equation modeling approach, we estimate an intercept factor and the rate of change (slope) factor (Wickrama et al., 1997). For each growth model, intercept values are estimated by fixing the factor loading of each time point to one. For the purposes of analyzing the effect of assets at wave one on math and reading scores at wave four, the slope factor loadings at these time points are constrained to be zero. Slope or latent change values are then estimated by

assigning fixed loadings or time scores based on linear growth. Using LGCM, estimates of mean values of initial status for assets and final status for PIAT math and reading scores and rates of change are estimated, as well as inter-individual variation in the intercept and slope around the mean.

First, unconditional (or univariate) models (without covariates) are constructed for math, reading, and net worth using the four time points (1994, 1996, 1998 and 2000) (see Figures 1 and 2). In these models, covariances between the latent intercept and change variables are also estimated. The Robust Maximum Likelihood (MLR) estimator in *Mplus* (Muthén and Muthén, 1998-2008) was used to estimate these models. Missing data was handled using the full information maximum likelihood (FIML) approach, whereby the model is fitted to non-missing values for each observation, adjusting for the presence of missing values. FIML estimation has the strengths of single or multiple imputation, and has been found to yield similar estimates as multiple imputation in simulation studies (McCartney et al., 2006).

Figure 1. Latent Growth Curve Model for Assets






Figure 2. Latent Growth Curve Model for PIAT Scores



After unconditional model estimation, two parallel process models with covariates (mother’s age at the birth of the child, mother’s educational attainment, mother’s marital status, the race of the child, the gender of the child, school and homework restrictions, and family permanent income) are estimated (see Figure 3). To test the theoretical model that changes in net worth predict changes in math and reading scores, the intercept and slope factors for math (Model 1) and reading (Model 2) are regressed on net worth.

Figure 3. Parallel Process Latent Growth Curve Model of Assets and PIAT Scores



The goodness of fit between the hypothesized models and the observed data is evaluated using the Chi-square test, the relative chi-square ( $\chi^2/df$ ) that takes into consideration sample size, the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), and the root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA). Ratios of  $\chi^2$  to degrees of freedom of less than 3:1 are indicative of an acceptable fit between the hypothetical model and the sample data (Carmines and McIver, 1981). In addition, cut-off values of above .95 for TLI and CFI, and below .06 for RMSEA, are indicative of a relatively good fit between the hypothesized model and the observed data (Hu and Bentler, 1999).

### Results

The final sample for the analysis consists of 541 children and their mothers. Of these children, 23.5% are identified as Hispanic, 31.8% as black, and 44.7% as non-black and non-Hispanic. The sample is about evenly split on the year of birth (55.3% in 1988) and gender (51.8% are males). As of 1994, 18.9% of the mothers had less than a high school diploma, while 40.3% had a high school diploma or a GED, and 40.9% had at least a high school diploma. In addition, 44.7% of the mothers were married. The mean and median age of the mother at the birth of the child was 27 years (SD = 2.3). The mean family permanent income is \$45,510 per annum (SD = \$29,825). Table 1 describes the sample means for the standardized PIAT Math and Reading Recognition scores, and the mean net worth of households from 1994 to 2000.

Table 1. Sample means for standardized PIAT math and reading scores, and for parental net worth

	Year			
	1994	1996	1998	2000
	PIAT Math			
Mean (SD)	99.1 (14.2)	101.4 (12.7)	102.4 (14.5)	102.7 ( 14.1)
n	541	494	495	414
	PIAT Reading Recognition			
Mean (SD)	106.3 (14.5)	105.3 (12.4)	104.5(14.7)	103.9(15.5)
n	536	492	494	414
	Parental Net Worth			
Mean (SD)	\$53,412 (80,147)	\$60,381 (94,615)	\$70,593 (100,806)	\$91,998 (128,763)
n	541	518	501	501

**Univariate growth curves**

Before testing the theoretical models, we estimated the univariate growth curves for all four waves of scores for PIAT Math, PIAT Reading, and for net worth (1994, 1996, 1998, and 2000). Table 2 summarizes the results of the fitted growth curves. All three univariate growth curve models display good to moderate model fit, with relative chi-square values of less than 3, TLI values above .97, and RMSEA values of less than 0.6. In addition, all three models display significant means and variances for the intercept and slope factors, indicating significant intra-personal changes as well as inter-personal differences for both the intercept values and rates of change. For math achievement and the square root of net worth, there is significant intra-personal growth over the four waves. In addition, there are significant inter-personal differences in the initial levels of assets, the final math scores, and in the rates of growth. For reading achievement, the data indicates significant intra-individual declines in reading achievement over time, and significant inter-individual differences in both the final standardized reading scores and rates of decline.

Table 2. Estimates for growth parameters of univariate growth curves

Model	Intercept		Linear Slope		Model Fit Statistics		
	M	Variance	M	Variance	$\chi^2(df)$	TLI	RMSEA
PIAT Math	104.6***	148.3***	.70**	6.75**	8.7 (5)	.992	.035
PIAT Reading	105.5***	209.6***	-.583*	13.3***	9.7(4)*	.985	.052
Net Worth (sqrt)	161.9***	21782***	19.5***	837.5**	14.8(5)*	.977	.060

Note. \* p < .05. \*\* p < .01. \*\*\* p < .001.

**Parallel Process Model 1: Net worth and math**

To test the theoretical model that the changes in assets are associated with changes in math outcomes, a conditional parallel process latent growth curve model with various covariates included as controls is estimated. In this model, the intercept (final math score) and slope factors for math are regressed on the initial level and slope of assets, restrictions on school attendance, restrictions on school work, child’s race and gender, mother’s educational attainment, marital status, age at childbirth, and family’s permanent income. The intercept and slope factors of net worth are

regressed on child’s race, mother’s educational attainment, marital status, age at childbirth, and family’s permanent income.

The model display acceptable model fit (Chi-square = 102.04 (df = 69,  $p < .01$ ); CFI = .982; TLI = .971; RMSEA = .030). The intercept factor for math is significantly associated with the intercept factor for net worth ( $\beta = .252, z = 3.36, p < .001$ ), indicating the initial level of assets held at wave 1 significantly predict the final math scores at wave 4. The data also indicate that being black is associated with poorer math outcomes compared to non-black/non-Hispanic children ( $\beta = -.31, z = -2.27, p < .05$ ). Children with mothers who hold high school diplomas ( $\beta = .623, z = 3.85, p < .001$ ), or have more than a high school education ( $\beta = .803, z = 4.62, p < .001$ ) have better math outcomes compared to children with mothers who did not complete high school. The intercept factor of the math, however, is not statistically associated with the slope factor for assets ( $\beta = .161, z = 1.59, p = .113$ ).

With respect to the slope factor of math, the results suggest a positive association with the initial level of assets, though not quite at statistical significance ( $\beta = .196, z = 1.633, p = .102$ ). This may possibly suggest that higher initial levels of assets may be associated with faster increases in math scores over time. No other covariates are found to be significantly associated with the slope of math.

As for net worth, the data indicate significant associations between initial assets and being black ( $\beta = -.525, z = -5.44, p < .001$ ), and between initial assets and family permanent income ( $\beta = .177, z = 9.56, p < .001$ ). The slope of assets is also significantly associated with family permanent income ( $\beta = .153, z = 4.54, p < .001$ ). Table 3 presents a summary of the findings for this model.

Table 3. Parallel process latent growth curve model of math and assets (standardized coefficients)

	<u>Initial assets</u>	<u>Slope of assets</u>	<u>Slope of math</u>	<u>Final math status</u>
Initial assets			.196	.252**
Slope of assets			-.058	.161
Family permanent income	.177***	.153***	.008	-.001
Mother’s education				
High School	-.047	.045	.408	.623***
More than High School	.135	-.163	.329	.803***
Mother’s marital status				
Married	.063	-.086	-.140	-.178
Mother’s age at childbirth	.045#	-.005	.205	.004
Child’s gender				
Male			.206	.153
Child’s race				
Black	-.525***	-.108	.345	-.307*
Hispanic	-.180#	-.305	.328	-.097
Restrictions on school attendance			-.476	-.177
Restrictions on school work			.456	.326

Note.  $\chi^2(69) = 102.04, p = .006$ ; CFI = .982; TLI = .971; RMSEA = .030.

#  $p < .1$ . \*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ . \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

**Parallel Process Model 2: Net worth and reading**

To test the theoretical model that changes in assets are associated with changes in reading outcomes, a second conditional parallel process latent growth curve model with various covariates included as controls is estimated. This model is identical to the earlier assets-math model in every respect, but with reading achievement in place of math achievement.

This model also displays acceptable model fit. The chi-square statistic has a value of 100.03 (df = 68,  $p < .01$ ), CFI = .983, TLI = .973, and RMSEA = .030. The intercept factor for reading is significantly associated with the slope of assets ( $\beta = .223, z = 2.29, p < .05$ ), indicating that higher rates of growth in assets are associated with better reading outcomes. In addition, the slope factor of reading is also positively associated with the slope factor of assets ( $\beta = .235, z = 1.975, p < .05$ ), indicating that the rate of decline is slower for those with higher rates of growth in assets.

The findings also indicate that children of mothers with high school diplomas ( $\beta = .516, z = 3.07, p < .01$ ) or with higher than high school education ( $\beta = .887, z = 4.85, p < .001$ ) have better final reading scores. In addition, the slope of reading is significantly associated with being male ( $\beta = .356, z = 2.51, p < .05$ ) and having mothers with more than a high school education ( $\beta = .535, z = 2.49, p < .05$ ). Table 4 presents a summary of the findings for this model.

Table 4. Parallel process latent growth curve model of reading and assets (standardized coefficients)

	<u>Initial assets</u>	<u>Slope of assets</u>	<u>Read slope</u>	<u>Read final status</u>
Initial assets			-.031	.025
Slope of assets			.235*	.223*
Family permanent income	.177***	.153***	-.016	.008
Mother's education				
High School	-.046	.034	.174	.516**
More than High School	.136	-.169	.535*	.887***
Mother's marital status				
Married	.065	-.091	.169	-.013
Mother's age at childbirth	.045#	-.003	.023	.020
Child's gender				
Male			.356*	.065
Child's race				
Black	-.524***	-.113	-.330#	-.271#
Hispanic	-.180#	-.302	.145	.072
Restrictions on school attendance			-.848*	-.137
Restrictions on school work			.572	.234

Note.  $\chi^2(68) = 100.03, p = .007$ ; CFI = .983; TLI = .973; RMSEA = .030.

#  $p < .1$ . \*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ . \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

## Discussion

The theory of welfare based on assets postulates that assets lead to various positive outcomes. Assets, however, can be experienced in the dimensions of possession, accumulation, and consumption (Paxton, 2001). To have a better specification and understanding of the asset effect, the various dimensions of the asset experience need to be disentangled (Paxton, 2001). This study achieves this by examining the dynamic association between assets (both possession and accumulation) and children's math and reading outcomes over time. At the outset, it was expected that higher levels of initial assets would be associated with greater improvement in math and reading achievement, and with better final math and reading scores. In addition, higher rates of asset accumulation were expected to be associated with better final math and reading scores, and with higher growth (or slower decline) in math and reading achievement.

Congruent with expectations, our results suggest that assets are positively associated with math outcomes. However, the relationship between assets and math scores may be more complex than previously indicated. We find final math scores to be positively associated with the initial level of assets held at the beginning of the observation period six years earlier, controlling for race, mother's educational attainment, mother's marital status, permanent family income, maternal age at time of birth, school and homework restrictions, and gender of the child. The results also indicate that family permanent income is not significantly associated with subsequent math achievement. This is consistent with prior research that also finds positive associations between assets held at one particular point in time and math achievement at a later time, and the non-significant effect of income (see Williams Shanks, 2007; Zhan, 2006). Also consistent with earlier studies, our results suggest a racial gap in math achievement (Orr, 2003; Yeung and Conley, 2008), with African Americans having significantly lower final math scores compared to their non-black /non-Hispanic counterparts.

Contrary to expectations, we find that the rate of increase in assets is not statistically associated with final math scores. It is plausible that how fast asset levels increase is not associated with math achievement. Coupled with previous research that found increases in assets to be associated with better math scores (Loke and Kim, 2008; Williams Shanks, 2007), it is possible that experiencing growth in assets is more important than the speed of that growth in influencing math outcomes. It is also plausible that the negative finding is due to methodological limitations. Latent growth curve modeling makes the assumption that there is a single growth trajectory for the whole population. However, this assumption may not be valid. Families may have different asset trajectories, with different effects on math scores. Future research should explore using methods that allow for multiple trajectories to further clarify the relationship between asset growth and math scores.

When examining the rate of growth in math achievement, we find no significant associations with either the initial level of assets held or the rate of change in assets. In fact, none of the covariates, including family permanent income, have significant associations with the rate of growth in math achievement. It is possible that the lack of significant associations is due to math scores being relatively stable over the six-year period of observation. While the unconditional latent growth curve model of math achievement indicates significant intra- and inter-personal increase in math scores, the model-estimated mean math score increased only slightly from 102.7 at wave 1 to 105.1 at wave 4.

With respect to reading recognition test scores, the data indicate that reading achievement declined over the six years, with scores falling from a model estimated mean of 107.2 at the first wave to a mean of 105.5 at wave four. Previous studies on the effects of assets on reading achievement have been mixed. Results from this study indicate that the initial level of assets is not significantly associated with children's subsequent reading achievement, and is consistent with some earlier studies (e.g. Campbell, 2007; Williams Shanks, 2007). However, results suggest that higher rates of growth in assets are associated with better reading scores at the final wave, controlling for various parental and child covariates. Moreover, the slope factor of assets is positively associated with the slope factor of reading achievement, indicating that increases in assets mitigate the decline in standardized reading scores over time. These results lend support to the idea that accumulation of assets is associated with better reading outcomes through slowing the rates of decline. It is possible that the effect of assets on children's reading outcomes is played out mainly through the experience of asset accumulation rather than asset possession, thereby explaining the negative findings in this and other studies. Additional research is needed to explore the association between various asset experiences and their effects.

Consistent with earlier studies (e.g. Yeung and Conley, 2008; Zhan, 2006), we do not find any statistically significant associations between family permanent income and any of the children's outcome measures in the parallel process models for reading and math. Overall, the findings of this study lend support to the postulations of asset theorists that assets lead to positive child outcomes, above and independent of the effects of income. In addition, consistent with extant literature, the data indicate that racial inequalities persist in children's final math scores, controlling for various parental- and child-level covariates including parental education, family permanent income, and family assets (e.g. Orr, 2003). Results also indicate that, controlling for mother's educational attainment, marital status, and age at birth of child, the rate of growth of a family's net worth over time is not associated with race. This suggests that the difference in net worth seen across races could be due to the starting levels of asset possession and the inelasticity of class structures, rather than to the difference in the rates of increase in assets across the races. Future studies seeking to understand the racial gap in assets held and accumulated over time should consider the role of intergenerational transfers of wealth, as well as what Shapiro and Johnson (2005) term "transformative assets."

Overall, results of this study indicate that the relationship between assets and children's educational outcomes is somewhat complex. We find that different dimensions of the asset experience may lead to different outcomes. For example, the initial level of assets is associated with math scores but not reading scores, and changes in assets are associated with changes in reading scores, but not changes in math scores. We also find that the same dimension may have different effects depending on outcomes. Future research is needed to unpack the effects of the different dimensions of the asset experience (Bynner, 2001; Paxton, 2001).

### **Implications for asset-based policies for children**

In recent years, the asset building discussion has shifted to include children. A number of countries have now implemented asset-based policies to encourage families to accumulate assets for their children starting from birth or near birth so as to improve the life chances of the next generation. Among these countries are Singapore, the United Kingdom, South Korea, and Canada. Discussions

and proposals are also underway in several other countries, including the United States (Loke and Sherraden, in press).

Asset-based policies for children vary in their design, focus, and features. In the United Kingdom, for example, the government establishes a tax-advantaged Child Trust Fund (CTF) account for every newborn with an initial contribution of £250 (or £500 for children from less advantaged households) at birth and an additional top-up at age seven. In Singapore, every child from birth to age six has a Child Development Account (CDA). Savings made into these accounts before age six attract a one-to-one government match up to a cap of between S\$6,000 to S\$18,000, depending on the birth order of the child. In Canada, the Canada Education Savings Grant (CESG) provides for a government match of between 20% and 40%, depending on family income, on the first C\$500 saved each year in a Registered Education Savings Plan account.

In addition, children from less advantaged families receive an initial contribution of C\$500 to start the savings process, and an annual grant of C\$100, under the Canada Learning Bond (CLB).

The dimension of the asset experience emphasized in each policy also differs. In the case of the CTF, the focus is primarily on possession as evidenced by the unconditional asset transfers by the government into the CTF accounts. CDAs in Singapore, on the other hand, focus on asset accumulation, with government asset transfers through a system of savings match contingent on private contributions into the CDA accounts. The Canadian policy, in contrast, incorporates both asset possession and accumulation through its system of awarding grants through the CLB and a savings match through the CESG.

It is not yet known if one policy design is better than the others, or if all of them are equally effective in achieving the desired asset effects. Our study suggests that the relationship between the different dimensions of the asset experience and various child outcomes may be rather complex. Different dimensions of the asset experience may lead to different outcomes, and the same dimension may also have different effects. Given the different purposes and desired outcomes of the different policies, additional research is needed to clarify which aspect(s) of the asset experience should be emphasized to achieve the best results.

This study makes several contributions. The first contribution is methodological in that this is one of the first studies to examine the dynamic associations of within and between individual changes in assets with children's educational outcomes over time. Previous studies have been based on static measures of assets and various child outcomes. Second, this study unpacks the asset experience into asset possession and asset accumulation over time, and examines the association of these two experiences on children's outcomes simultaneously. Earlier studies have mainly focused on one dimension of the asset experience. Third, the study extends earlier work on the assets perspective and adds to empirical support indicating positive associations between assets and children's outcomes, beyond the effects of income. Perhaps more importantly, the study indicates that the process of asset accumulation is indeed associated with better educational outcomes as envisioned by asset-based policies for children.

**Limitations**

However, this preliminary study is one of the first steps in demonstrating an association between asset trajectories and children's educational trajectories. Possible predictors and mediating pathways—such as the effects of parental expectations for their children's education, the quality of the home environment, and neighborhood and school factors that could have influenced children's educational achievement—have not been considered in the present analyses. Future studies should attempt to include and control for these factors. In addition, this study makes the assumption that there is a single growth trajectory for the whole sample. This may not be the case, and future research should explore models that allow for multiple growth trajectories.

Nevertheless, the results suggest that assets may be positively associated with better math and reading achievement. However, asset possession and asset accumulation have different effects on different outcomes. Asset-based policies may be more effective when both initial contributions as well as incentives to encourage asset accumulation are provided, rather than having only one or the other. Asset-based policies should consider both dimensions of the asset experience in design and implementation.

## References

- Blau, D. M. (1999), 'The effect of income on child development', *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 81: 261-276.
- Boehm, T. P. and Schlottmann, A. M. (1999), 'Does home ownership by parents have an economic impact on their children?' *Journal of Housing Economics*, 8: 217-232.
- Bynner, J. (2001), 'The effect of assets on life chances', in J. Bynner, J. and W. Paxton (eds.), *The asset-effect*, London: IPPR.
- Campbell, L. A. (2007), 'When wealth matters: Parental wealth and child outcomes', doctoral dissertation, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH.
- Carmines, E. G. and McIver, J. P. (1981), 'Analyzing models with unobserved variables: Analysis of covariance structures', in G. W. Bohrnstedt and E. F. Borgatta (eds.), *Social Measurement: Current issues*, Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Center for Human Resource Research. (2006), 'NLSY79 Child and young adult data users guide', Columbus, Ohio: Center for Human Resource Research, Ohio State University.
- Conley, D. (2001), 'Capital for college: Parental assets and postsecondary schooling', *Sociology of Education*, 74: 59-72.
- Dunn, L. M. and Markwardt, F. C. (1970), *Peabody Individual Achievement Test: PLAT*, American Guidance Service.
- Emmerson, C. and Wakefield, M. (2001), 'The Savings Gateway and the Child Trust Fund: Is asset-based welfare "well fair"?' London: The Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- Hu, L.-T. and Bentler, P. M. (1999), 'Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives', *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6: 1-55.
- Loke, V. and Kim, Y.-M. (2008), 'Changes in parental assets and children's educational outcomes across income status.' *Society for Social Work Research 12th Annual Conference*, Washington, D.C.
- Loke, V. and Sherraden, M. (2006), 'Building assets from birth: A comparison of the policies and proposals on Children Savings Accounts in Singapore, the United Kingdom, Canada, Korea, and the United States,' St Louis, MO: Washington University, Center for Social Development.
- Loke, V. and Sherraden, M. (in press), 'Building assets from birth: A global comparison of Child Development Account policies', *International Journal of Social Welfare*.
- Mayer, S. E. (1997a), 'Trends in the economic well-being and life chances of America's children', in G. J. Duncan and J. Brooks-Gunn (eds.), *Consequences of growing up poor*, New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

- Mayer, S. E. (1997b), *What money can't buy: Family income and children's life chances*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McCartney, K., Burchinal, M. and Bub, K. L. (2006), *Best practices in quantitative methods for development*, Boston, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Murphy, L. L., Conoley, J. C. and Impara, J. C. (1994), *Tests in print IV: An index to tests, test reviews, and the literature on specific tests*, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Muthén, L. K. and Muthén, B. O. (1998-2008), *Mplus User's Guide*, Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
- Orr, A. J. (2003), 'Black-white differences in achievement: The importance of wealth', *Sociology of Education*, 76: 281-304.
- Partek Software, (n.d.), 'Partek Software'.
- Paxton, W. (2001), 'The asset-effect: An overview', in J. Bynner, J. and W. Paxton (eds.), *The asset-effect*, London: IPPR.
- Schreiner, M. (2004), 'Measuring savings', CSD Working Paper No. 04-08, St Louis, MO: Washington University, Center for Social Development.
- Shapiro, T. M. and Johnson, H. B. (2005), 'Family assets and school access: Race and class in the structuring of educational opportunity', in M. Sherraden (ed.), *Inclusion in the American Dream: Assets, poverty, and public policy*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sherraden, M. (1991), *Assets and the poor: A new American welfare policy*, Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe.
- The Allen Consulting Group. (n.d.), 'Asset based policies - Matched savings accounts: Exploring options', [http://www.australianpolitics.com/parties/alp/2003\\_matched-savings-accounts.pdf](http://www.australianpolitics.com/parties/alp/2003_matched-savings-accounts.pdf), accessed 10 March 2007.
- Wickrama, K. A. S., Lorenz, F. O., Conger, R. D. and Elder, G. H. (1997), 'Marital quality and physical illness: A latent growth curve analysis', *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 59: 143 - 155.
- Williams Shanks, T. R. (2007), 'The impacts of household wealth on child development', *Journal of Poverty*, 11: 93-116.
- Yeung, W. J. and Conley, D. (2008), 'Black - White achievement gap and family wealth', *Child Development*, 79: 303-324.
- Zhan, M. (2006), 'Assets, parental expectations and involvement, and children's educational performance', *Children and Youth Services Review*, 28: 961-975.
- Zhan, M. and Sherraden, M. (2003), 'Assets, expectations, and children's educational achievement in female-headed households', *Social Service Review*, 77: 191-211.