

# Washington University Journal of Law & Policy

---

Volume 29 *Empirical Research on Decision-Making in the Federal Courts*

---

January 2009

## Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: [https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law\\_journal\\_law\\_policy](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_journal_law_policy)

---

### Recommended Citation

*Table of Contents*, 29 WASH. U. J. L. & POL'Y (2009),  
[https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law\\_journal\\_law\\_policy/vol29/iss1/1](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_journal_law_policy/vol29/iss1/1)

This Table of Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington University Journal of Law & Policy by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact [digital@wumail.wustl.edu](mailto:digital@wumail.wustl.edu).

# Washington University Journal of Law & Policy

---

Volume 29

2009

---

## Table of Contents

### Empirical Research on Decision-Making in the Federal Courts

Introduction .....	<i>Andrew D. Martin</i>	1
A New Look at Judicial Impact: Attorneys' Fees in Securities Class Actions After <i>Goldberger v. Integrated Resources, Inc.</i> .....	<i>Theodore Eisenberg Geoffrey Miller Michael A. Perino</i>	000
An Examination of Strategic Anticipation of Appellate Court Preferences by Federal District Court Judges .....	<i>Christina L. Boyd James F. Spriggs II</i>	000
How Should We Study District Judge Decision-Making? .....	<i>Pauline T. Kim Margo Schlanger Christina L. Boyd Andrew D. Martin</i>	000
The Ideology of Legal Interpretation.....	<i>Sara C. Benesh Jason J. Czarnecki</i>	000
What Is Judicial Ideology, and How Should We Measure It? .....	<i>Joshua B. Fischman David S. Law</i>	000
Judicial Agenda Setting Through Signaling and Strategic Litigant Responses.....	<i>Vanessa Baird Tonja Jacobi</i>	000
Inquiring Minds Want to Know: Do Justices Tip Their Hands with Questions at Oral Argument in the U.S. Supreme Court?.....	<i>Timothy R. Johnson Ryan C. Black Jerry Goldman Sarah A. Treul</i>	000

The Intersection of Judicial Attitudes and Litigant  
Selection Theories: Explaining U.S. Supreme  
Court Decision-Making .....*Jeff Yates* 000  
*Elizabeth Coggins*

Notes

Home Alone: Is This the Best We Can Do?  
A Proposal to Amend Pending Parental  
Leave Legislation ..... *Caldwell G. Collins* 000

Giving Deference to Inaction: How *Geddes v. United  
Staffing Alliance Employee Medical Plan*  
Compromised an Employee's Right to  
Meaningful Review Under ERISA.....*Erin Nave* 000

Avoiding the Problem of the Commons in a  
Communist Society: The Role of Water  
Rights in the Enforcement of Environmental  
Law in China .....*Sonja Schiller* 000

The Fair Housing Act, Communications Decency Act,  
and the Right of Roommate Seekers to  
Discriminate Online..... *Kevin M. Wilemon* 000