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Executive Summary

This project is a machine that automatically makes a breakfast. The breakfast consists of a simple bowl of cereal with milk and a glass of juice. The machine works by having a wooden frame that a cart moves through.

The cart is moved in a line from one end of the machine to the other by a pulley that is connected to a motor. The motor is controlled by an Arduino board. The cart stops at different stations, where bowls, cups, cereal, and liquids are dispensed. The dispensers are powered by servo motors, which are also controlled by the Arduino board. After all of this is done, the cart moves to the opposite end of the machine from where it started. There, it stops for a while, so that the breakfast may be picked up. Then, the cart moves back to its original position.

This machine is intended for either cafeteria or domestic purposes. It was designed to make the preparation of breakfast easier. It can hold enough cereal, milk, and juice for at least 10 people. It is not very portable, and it is intended to be kept on a tabletop.
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1  INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1.1 INITIAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION
The purpose of this project is to design a machine that prepares a simple breakfast including a bowl of cereal with a glass of orange juice.

1.2 EXISTING PRODUCTS

![Figure 1 Existing Toaster Oven](https://www.webstaurantstore.com/hats-911-tq10-toast-quik-conveyor-toaster-2-opening-126x-611tq10a.html?utm_source=Google&utm_medium=cpc&utm_campaign=GoogleShopping&gclid=Cj0KCQjw3MPNBRDjARIsAOYU6x_5MtQHOH_SMaFw8e4hQPhBg8C39WAmPDLz4Y_2Q6o_sx4aZaEjEAIw_wcB)

Description: This device involves bread moving down a conveyor belt and coming out as toast. Bread is put into the top of the toaster, it moves down the conveyor belt, and it comes out on the bottom.
Figure 2 Existing Juice Dispenser


Description: This product is a juice dispenser. First, a glass is placed under the dispenser. Then, a button is pressed on the dispenser. While the button is being held, liquid flows out from the dispenser and goes into the glass.

Figure 3 Existing Dishwasher

Description: This is a dishwasher on a conveyor belt. The dishes move slowly through the washer, and clean dishes come out of the other side clean. The conveyor belt makes it much easier to wash dishes in bulk.

1.3 RELEVANT PATENTS

1)

Patent #: US7238921

![Figure 4 Existing Bread Toaster and Steamer](image)

**Figure 4 Existing Bread Toaster and Steamer**

Description: This device is a “Combination bread toaster and steamer device with shared wattage and method”. The device prepares toast in a toaster compartment and can warm food in another steamer compartment.
2) Patent #: US6321639B1

Description: This machine is used to make ice cream waffle cones and grilling paninis. It is done by pressing the two hot griddles together. It can also press close enough, if necessary, to make waffle cones.

1.4 CODES & STANDARDS

1.5 PROJECT SCOPE
1) Project Overview/Purpose: The purpose of this project is to design a machine that makes breakfast in less than 2 minutes. The machine will place a tray on a cart, followed by silverware, cereal, milk, and orange juice.
2) The customer for our eventual product would likely be large cafeterias, where we would need a machine to serve breakfast and reduce manual workload.

3) The value of this product to the customer is that it streamlines preparing breakfast, reducing labor and increasing speed and efficiency.

4) Project goals:
   - Put a bowl of cereal and a glass of orange juice onto a tray.
   - Have the trays on carts to cycle through dispensing stations.
   - Do multiple trays in a continuous manner.
   - Capable of doing 100 trays in a row and scalable.
   - Should be able to prepare one breakfast in less than two minutes.
   - Should work without manual input for up to 100 servings.
   - Keep food in an edible state.
   - Don’t make a mess, easy to clean.
   - Easy maintenance and access to components
   - Provide user choice of cereal
   - Detect malfunctions, ie. jams
   - Monitor resource levels
   - Cleaning the trays
   - Provide other choices, such as toast

5) In Scope:
   - Design the cart for the trays.
   - Pour cereal, milk and juice.
   - Dispense tray, cup or bowl, cereal, and milk or OJ
   - Design Movement system (provide motion, detect location)

1.6 PROJECT PLANNING
Machine that makes life easier. We intend to meet up on Saturday afternoons to work on it.

1.7 REALISTIC CONSTRAINTS

1.7.1 Functional
Must be able to prepare a breakfast without human assistance.
1.7.2 Safety
Should not cause injury, and food should not be contaminated.

1.7.3 Quality
Breakfast should be fresh.

1.7.4 Manufacturing
Can be made in the machine shop.

1.7.5 Timing
Relatively quick. Many people need breakfast.

1.7.6 Economic
Affordable enough where a typical middle-class person can buy it.

1.7.7 Ergonomic
Can be easily operated by a person who has a disability.

1.7.8 Ecological
Minimal environmental impact. Use some renewable resources

1.7.9 Aesthetic
Looks good. Be able to view breakfast being made.

1.7.10 Life Cycle
Should be able to make at least 50 breakfasts before breaking.

1.7.11 Legal
Doesn’t infringe on any other patents.

1.8 REVISED PROJECT DESCRIPTION
The purpose of this project is to design a machine that makes a simple breakfast, consisting of a bowl of cereal with milk and a glass of orange juice on a tray. The machine dispenses the bowl, cup, cereal, milk and juice.
## 2 CUSTOMER NEEDS & SPECIFICATIONS

### 2.1 CUSTOMER INTERVIEWS

**Table 1 Customer Interview**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Data: Breakfast Machine</th>
<th>Customer Statement</th>
<th>Interpreted Need</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer: Josh Norlin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: Washington University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date: 17 September, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question</strong></td>
<td><strong>Customer Statement</strong></td>
<td><strong>Interpreted Need</strong></td>
<td><strong>Importance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many trays do you want the</td>
<td>I would like at least 10 trays to be made for my a capella group.</td>
<td>Make at least 10 trays.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machine to make?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many choices of cereal do you</td>
<td>3 types of cereal is fine.</td>
<td>Have cereal options for customers.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>want?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long should it take to make</td>
<td>Quick enough so that if finishes while setting up after practice.</td>
<td>Prepare each tray in less than 2 minutes.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the breakfast?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What food sanitary concerns do</td>
<td>I don't want my food getting debris on it.</td>
<td>Components should be shielded.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you have?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have a preference of</td>
<td>Orange juice preferred, but I wouldn't mind additonal options.</td>
<td>Have drink options for customers.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drink?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long do you intend for food</td>
<td>No more than an hour.</td>
<td>Food needs to be kept fresh.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be out for?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long would you like it to</td>
<td>I wouldn’t want it to take too long, but I shouldn’t need to replace it often.</td>
<td>Food needs to be quickly replaced in machine.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>take for food to be replaced?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like the machine to be</td>
<td>I like buttons. Let's do that.</td>
<td>Have a manual start mechanism.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automatic or require a button</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How heavy would you like the</td>
<td>Less than 50lb, if possible. A capella members don't have much upper body strength.</td>
<td>Weigh less than 50lb</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machine to be?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 INTERPRETED CUSTOMER NEEDS

Table 2 Interpreted Customer Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need Number</th>
<th>Need</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Make at least 10 trays</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Different cereals</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Different drinks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prepare tray in 2 minutes or less</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Components should be shielded. ¹</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Food needs to be kept fresh.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Food can be quickly added and removed.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Have a manual start mechanism</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Have machine weigh less than 50lb.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²

2.3 TARGET SPECIFICATIONS

Table 3 Target Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric Number</th>
<th>Associated Needs</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Acceptable Value (or range)</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of Trays</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>Food Choice</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Time to Prepare</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shielded? ¹</td>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Food fresh? ²</td>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Time to replace food</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Manual Start Mechanism</td>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lightweight Machine</td>
<td>lb</td>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3  CONCEPT GENERATION

3.1  FUNCTIONAL DECOMPOSITION

Figure 6 Function Tree
### 3.2 MORPHOLOGICAL CHART

Table 4 Morphological Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tray, Bowl, and Cup Dispensers</th>
<th><img src="image1" alt="Tray, Bowl, and Cup Dispensers" /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooling</strong></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Cooling" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine and Surface Interface</strong></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Machine and Surface Interface" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power</strong></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Power" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensors</strong></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Sensors" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method of Movement</strong></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Method of Movement" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liquid Dispensers</strong></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Liquid Dispensers" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cereal Dispenser</strong></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Cereal Dispenser" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 CONCEPT #1 – “LINE BREAKFAST MACHINE”

Description: For this device, a tray is put on a motorized cart. The cart is pulled along by a pulley. It stops intermittently at stations, where utensils, milk, cereal, and juice are dispensed. Then, it moves to the end of the station, where it is ready for pickup.

Solutions:
1) Tray placed on cart. Bowl is dispensed by dropping.
2) Food is cooled by being surrounded by ice.
3) Cart is not fixed. Dispensers are fixed.
4) The machine is powered by batteries.
5) Light sensors are on the machine. However, sensors might not be necessary.
6) The method of movement is through a cart.
7) The liquid is dispensed from a jug with a faucet.
8) Cereal is dispensed from a turning scoop.

3.4 CONCEPT #2 – “STATIONARY BREAKFAST MACHINE”

![Diagram of Stationary Breakfast Machine]

**Figure 8 Stationary Breakfast Machine**

Description: A tray is placed in the designated area on the device. From there, utensils, milk, cereal, and juice are dispensed.

Solutions:
1) Tray placed under the machine. Bowl and cup are dispensed by dropping.
2) Food is cooled by being surrounded by ice.
3) Machine is fixed in place
4) The machine is powered by batteries.
5) Light sensors are on the machine.
6) There is no movement in this machine.
7) The liquid is dispensed from a jug with a faucet.
8) Cereal is dispensed from a chute.

3.5 CONCEPT #3 – “CIRCULAR TRACK BREAKFAST MACHINE”

Figure 9 Circular Track Breakfast Machine

Description: Multiple trays are placed on carts on a circular track. As the carts move along the track, they stop at stations where they each get filled with milk cereal, or juice. Sensors indicate whether the tray has objects on it, so that it knows whether or not to dispense. This is intended for multiple trays.
Solutions:
1) Tray placed on cart. Bowls and cups are dispensed by dropping.
2) Food is cooled by being surrounded by ice.
3) Carts are not fixed. Dispensers are fixed.
4) The machine is powered by batteries.
5) Light sensors are on the machine. However, sensors might not be necessary.
6) The method of movement is through multiple carts moving in a circle.
7) The liquid is dispensed from a jug with a faucet.
8) Cereal is dispensed from a turning scoop.

3.6 CONCEPT #4 – “BOX BREAKFAST MACHINE”

Figure 10 Box Breakfast Machine
Description: A tray is placed on a cart in the device. The cart moves back and forth to each given station, where it gets filled with essential items.

Solutions:
1) A tray placed on a cart. Bowls and carts are dispensed by dropping.
2) Food is cooled by being surrounded by ice.
3) Cart is not fixed. Dispensers are fixed.
4) The machine is powered by batteries.
5) Light sensors are on the machine. However, sensors might not be necessary.
6) The method of movement is through a cart moving back and forth.
7) The liquid is dispensed from a jug with a faucet.
8) Cereal is dispensed from a turning scoop.

3.7 CONCEPT #5 – “SPINNING TOP BREAKFAST MACHINE”

![Figure 11 Spinning Top Breakfast Machine](image)
Description: For this machine, the tray is placed under a rotating disk. The disk has nozzles attached to it. After the disk spins a fixed angle, the device can then pump milk or juice into the respective glasses. A cereal container is on top with a wide tube. The tube has a lid that can open and close, so that the device can open the lid and pour cereal into the bowl when necessary.

Solutions:
1) A tray is placed under the machine. Bowls and cups are placed in advance.
2) Food is cooled by being surrounded by ice.
3) The tray is in a fixed location, but the dispenser moves.
4) The machine is powered by batteries.
5) No sensors are on this machine.
6) The method of movement is through a cart.
7) The liquid is dispensed into cups and bowls from a tube and a pump.
8) Cereal is dispensed from a chute coming from a box.

3.8 CONCEPT #6 – “GEAR OPERATED BREAKFAST MACHINE”

Figure 12 Gear Operated Breakfast Machine
Description: A tray is placed on the device. Then, gears turn the tray to move it, so that it goes under each respective dispenser.

Solutions:
1) Tray is placed on the platform. Bowls and cups are placed in advance.
2) Food is cooled by being surrounded by ice.
3) The platform is not fixed. Dispensers are fixed.
4) The machine is powered by batteries.
5) No sensors are on the machine.
6) The method of movement is through a gears that move platforms.
7) The liquid is dispensed from a jug with a faucet.
8) Cereal is dispensed from a turning scoop.

4 CONCEPT SELECTION

4.1 CONCEPT SCORING MATRIX

![Concept Scoring Matrix](attachment:concept_scoring_matrix.png)

*Figure 13 Concept Scoring Matrix*
4.2 **EXPLANATION OF WINNING CONCEPT SCORES**
Concept 1 was chosen as over concept 2 and 3 due to the satisfaction of each criterion we decided on for the design. This design is one of the cheapest to produce and satisfies the objective to move a tray through stations to make breakfast. We felt that the pulley system was a better way to move the tray rather than a conveyer belt and the others ways which would have cost most of our budget. This design structure was very simple and we considered that linear movement was the best for efficiency and production. We concluded that it would be a difficult challenge to have consecutive trays for the design which is why Concept 2 fell under this concept. One criteria this concept failed to do the best in was the surface area and the weight of the design. Concept 3 ranked the best in weight and surface area due to its compact size and portability. Overall, this concept satisfied the criteria without underachieving or overachieving.

4.3 **EXPLANATION OF SECOND-PLACE CONCEPT SCORES**
Concept 2 felt like the most productive design built out of all the alternative concepts. Even though this concept was the most efficient, it is also the least affordable. Having a conveyer belt in a shape in a semi-circle and the multiple parts required for the machine would cost more than $800 which is way out of budget. We also concluded that coding we be difficult for this concept as well due to the fact we have to stop each tray at each station one at a time, consecutively. Another problem with the design is that weight and surface area of the concept would be difficult to move around. Although this concept has its flaws, the functionality and efficiency surpasses both concept 1 and 3. If the material and parts for the concept wasn’t expensive and lighter in weight this concept would be on par with concept 1. Overall, concept 2 showed the best in functionality, yet flawed in implementing the design in real life due to the cost of material.

4.4 **EXPLANATION OF THIRD-PLACE CONCEPT SCORES**
Concept 3 shows a lot of similarities when compared with concept 1 due to its linear movement of the tray. The main difference between the two is that concept 1 uses a pulley system to move the cart, while concept 3 uses guided rails that are powered to move back and forth. Like concept 2, the availability of parts would be hard to come by since we would have to purchase the rails and the electrical components to make the concept operate. This concept will also have difficulties to be implemented for real prototype due to its mechanism and coding. Overall, this concept has similarities to concept 1, but the mechanism it contains separate them apart.
4.5 SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RESULTS
Overall, we decided that Concept 1 was the most successful concept, because it had the most needed features. Concept 2 and concept 3 were also good, but they did not meet as many of the standard.

5 EMBODIMENT & FABRICATION PLAN
5.1 ISOMETRIC DRAWING WITH BILL OF MATERIALS

Figure 14 Isometric Model with Bill of Materials
5.2 EXPLODED VIEW

Figure 15 Exploded View

5.3 ADDITIONAL VIEWS

Figure 16 Front View
6 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

6.1 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS RESULTS

6.1.1 Motivation

The two codes and standards we stated during our early concept of the design were that the machine would be shielded and that the containers would keep the food fresh. When we stated that the machine should be shielded, because we wanted overall safety for the user and the machine. For the need to keep the food and drinks fresh we purchased containers with lids to avoid contamination. These codes and standards are important to the design process at this time of project build because these target specifications are carried through the whole build of the project and often create more implementations in the project. The information we expect to obtain from our project would be through the method of trial and error. Through this method, we conducted different ways to dispense our material until we found the best technique.
6.1.2 Summary Statement of the Analysis

Our project did require some mathematical computations for movement of the devices, but most of our analysis were based off specs from our motors. The motors power could be adjusted through arduino code. We set all the motors to maximum power to help reduce time and better efficiency. After setting the motors for max power, we came to the conclusion that some devices need more powerful motors due to the fact some operations required more power when added with food. Our project is based on dimensions and types of materials to hold a large amount of mass of the containers with food and fluids. The material and dimension of the base will be later discussed in the section below.

6.1.3 Methodology

Our analysis was performed through the use of Solidworks. The most important aspect of our analysis was making sure that all of the parts moved in sync. This was because the breakfast machine required precise timing for both the breakfast and the cart. We tested the servo motor arm and its displacement over time in order to make sure that the motor for activating the device. Additionally, we wanted to ensure that the frame would hold the weight of the water jugs. We approximated the strength of the frame by doing a stress test of the frame under an evenly distributed pressure of 1000 N/m², which totals at approximately 94lb.

6.1.4 Results

Motor Arm Position

![Figure 18 Graph of Motor Arm Position Over Time](image)
Stress Analysis of Wood Frame Under 1000 N/m²

The results of these tests make sense, as the motor moved steadily. Additionally, we found that the frame was more than strong enough to support the equipment. This confirms our approximations, that the design would hold, and it makes sense, as the design was easily capable of holding up the materials.

6.1.5 Significance

The material we decided to use for the prototype provided no problems or failure. We did decide to change the shape of the cart due to it hitting the standing block of the bowl and stepper motor. This can be shown in the draft analysis for the cart in the next section below. If we decide to make the machine more compact with small dimensions, we could’ve met one of our performance goals to have it under 20 lbs. If we would’ve gone with a plastic base instead of wood, it will also play a factor of the weight of the machine. Also with a more compact prototype will also decrease time to make each breakfast. These are things we should’ve thought of during our building stage, but we made do with the scrap material instead of wasting our budget for base materials.
6.2 PRODUCT RISK ASSESSMENT

6.2.1 Risk Identification

Table 5 Risk Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is the risk?**</th>
<th>Describe the identified risk</th>
<th>Human risk currently assessed**</th>
<th>Comments/Assumptions</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Risk Level</th>
<th>Responsible Persons/Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment: Serving Food</td>
<td>Sliding and serving area to be slippery during meal, resulting in burns or falling injuries</td>
<td>Sliding and serving area to be slippery during meal</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Significant/reduced risk of slipping and falling injuries</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Spoilage</td>
<td>Food spoilage due to contamination or long storage period</td>
<td>Contamination and spoilage of food</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Significant/reduced risk of food spoilage</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Handling</td>
<td>Food handling by untrained staff</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Significant/reduced risk of cross-contamination</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury from Machine Body</td>
<td>Machine parts are not designed to be easily secured in place, resulting in injury</td>
<td>Machine parts are not designed to be easily secured in place</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Significant/reduced risk of injury</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving Components</td>
<td>Components are not designed to be easily secured in place, resulting in injury</td>
<td>Components are not designed to be easily secured in place</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Significant/reduced risk of injury</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Components</td>
<td>Components are not designed to be easily secured in place, resulting in injury</td>
<td>Components are not designed to be easily secured in place</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Significant/reduced risk of injury</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.2 Risk Heat Map

[Image: Risk Assessment Heat Map]

Figure 20 Risk Assessment Map
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6.2.3 Risk Prioritization
   1. Food Contamination
   2. Food Spoilage
   3. Food Handling
   4. Cleanliness
   5. Injury from machine
   6. Heavy components
   7. Moving components

7 DESIGN DOCUMENTATION

7.1 PERFORMANCE GOALS
   1. Machine must be able to make one breakfast in less than 2 minutes.

   2. Prototype must be able to contain enough cereal, milk, and juice to make 10+ breakfasts.

   3. Machine (empty) must weigh less than 20 lb.

   4. Machine must dispense
      • 1 cup cereal ± ¼ cup
      • 8 oz juice ± 2 oz
      • 4 oz milk ± 2 oz

   5. Machine must make 3 breakfast in a row without manual adjustment apart from placing the tray onto the cart.

7.2 WORKING PROTOTYPE DEMONSTRATION

7.2.1 Performance Evaluation
Overall, the machine was successful in setting out to do what it was proposed to do. It served a breakfast on a plate. However, only some of the performance goals were met. It managed to make a breakfast in less than 2 minutes, it contained enough food to make over 10 breakfasts, and it could make more than 3 breakfasts consecutively. However, the machine weighed more the 20 pounds and the machine did not dispense 8oz of juice.
7.2.2 Working Prototype – Video Link
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jwYYbJtGQeQ#action=share

7.2.3 Working Prototype – Additional Photos

![Picture of the Machine](image)

Figure 21 Picture of the Machine

7.3 FINAL PRESENTATION – VIDEO LINK
https://youtu.be/bAKNceMvjTU
8 DISCUSSION

8.1 DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURING – PART REDESIGN FOR INJECTION MOLDING

8.1.1 Draft Analysis Results

Before:

![Initial Cart Top](image1)

Figure 22 Initial Cart Top

After:

![Final Cart Top](image2)

Figure 23 Final Cart Top

8.1.2 Explanation of Design Changes

The cart for this project was originally designed with simplicity in mind. Instead of making a self-propelled cart that required additional materials such as tracks, the simplest and most effective design was to attach fixed wheels to a solid rectangular cart. The draft analysis shows that introducing a slight fillet along the vertical edges of the cart base improves the design. The rounded
edges also improve the manufacturing process for a mass-produced part as the injection molding method works well if sharp corners are eliminated.

8.2 DESIGN FOR USABILITY – EFFECT OF IMPAIRMENTS ON USABILITY

8.2.1 Vision
Poor vision should not impact the ability to use this device. As long as you are capable of picking up the tray at the well-lit end of the machine, you should be fine.

8.2.2 Hearing
Not being able to hear will not impact the ability to use this device.

8.2.3 Physical
The machine automatically makes breakfast. As long as you can press a button to turn on the machine, impairments should not be an issue.

8.2.4 Language
Language is not an issue with this machine.

8.2 OVERALL EXPERIENCE

8.2.1 Does your final project result align with the initial project description?
Yes. The project automatically makes a simple breakfast consisting of a bowl of cereal with milk and a glass of juice.

8.2.2 Was the project more or less difficult than you had expected?
In some ways, the project was much less difficult than expected. For example, making the frame for the machine and having the liquid and cereal dispensers work was quite easy. They were very straightforward to make and did not take much time at all. However, making cup and bowl dispensers work was much more difficult than anticipated, because it was hard to have a dispenser put out one bowl and cup at a time.
8.2.3 In what ways do you wish your final prototype would have performed better?
The final prototype only distributed 3oz cups instead of 8oz cups, because there were difficulties 
dispensing the 8oz cups. I wish the final prototype distributed 8oz cups instead.

8.2.4 Was your group missing any critical information when you evaluated concepts?
The greatest missing information was knowledge of coding and weight of the machine. When 
looking at the plans before building the machine, we were unsure of what would be involved 
with those features.

8.2.5 Were there additional engineering analyses that could have helped guide your design?
If an analysis could have been done to determine how the liquid would fall as it left its dispenser, 
that would have also been helpful, because the liquid did not always dispense the most evenly.

8.2.6 How did you identify your most relevant codes and standards and how they influence 
revision of the design?
We identified the most relevant codes and standards by seeing which ones would most directly 
impact the breakfast experience. We ended up deciding on shielding, because we didn’t want the 
breakfast to have germs on it.

8.2.7 What ethical considerations (from the Engineering Ethics and Design for Environment 
seminar) are relevant to your device? How could these considerations be addressed?
When making the machine, we wanted to have the machine be environmentally friendly. Because 
of this, we decided to use materials that were mostly renewable. For this reason, the frame for the 
machine and the cart were made out of wood.

8.2.8 On which part(s) of the design process should your group have spent more time? Which 
parts required less time?
We should have spent much more time on concept generation and less on building the prototype. 
This was because we did not plan well how to design successful cup and bowl dispensers. If we 
had planned those out better in the concept generation process, we could have saved a large 
amount of time when building.
8.2.9  Was there a task on your Gantt chart that was much harder than expected? Were there any that were much easier?

A task on the Gantt chart that was much harder than expected was dispenser concept generation, while a part that was easier was building the prototype.

8.2.10  Was there a component of your prototype that was significantly easier or harder to make/assemble than you expected?

A component of the prototype that was significantly harder to make than expected was the bowl dispenser. A component that was much easier to make than expected was the frame.

8.2.11  If your budget were increased to 10x its original amount, would your approach have changed? If so, in what specific ways?

If the budget was 10x its original amount, we would have definitely bought more powerful motors, because some of the motors had trouble moving the dispensers, because they were too weak. Additionally, we could have put a screen in front of the machine to provide more shielding of the food.

8.2.12  If you were able to take the course again with the same project and group, what would you have done differently the second time around?

If we were able to take the course again, we would have likely been smarter in making our Gantt charts and spent more time generating concepts.

8.2.13  Were your team member’s skills complementary?

Yes, our team member’s skills were complementary. Darius was good at programming, so he did the coding for the Arduino, Blake was flexible with his time, so he did a lot of building for the prototype, and Tim had a car, so he was able to run many necessary errands. Everybody worked well and thoroughly.

8.2.14  Was any needed skill missing from the group?

Nope.

8.2.15  Has the project enhanced your design skills?

The prototype has enhanced our design skills by showing us the many different parts of the design process and helping us learn all it takes to design a prototype.
8.2.16 Would you now feel more comfortable accepting a design project assignment at a job? We have all concluded that we would feel more comfortable accepting a design project assignment at a job.

8.2.17 Are there projects you would attempt now that you would not have attempted before? Not at the current moment.
### APPENDIX A - PARTS LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Servo Motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stepper Motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stepper Motor Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cereal Dispenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Water Jugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9V Power Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Arduino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pulley Belt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nails, Screws, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B - CAD MODELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
<th>PART NUMBER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QTY.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BreakfastMachineFrame</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water Dispenser</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cereal Dispenser</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cup Dispenser</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cart Base</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Wheel Holder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cart Wheel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Stepper Motor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Servo Motor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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