

Washington University Law Review

Volume 88

Issue 6 *Decision Making on the Japanese Supreme Court*

January 2011

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_lawreview

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 88 WASH. U. L. REV. (2011).

Available at: https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_lawreview/vol88/iss6/18

This Table of Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington University Law Review by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

Washington University Law Review

VOLUME 88

NUMBER 6

2011

© 2011 by Washington University

CONTENTS

DECISION MAKING ON THE JAPANESE SUPREME COURT

INTRODUCTION *David S. Law* 1365

THE POLITICS OF SUPREME COURT ADJUDICATION

WHY IS THE JAPANESE SUPREME COURT
SO CONSERVATIVE?..... *Shigenori Matsui* 1375

WHY HAS JUDICIAL REVIEW FAILED IN
JAPAN?..... *David S. Law* 1425

CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION IN
JAPAN: CONTEXT, STRUCTURES, AND
VALUES *John O. Haley* 1467

STEALTH ACTIVISM: NORM FORMATION
BY JAPANESE COURTS *Frank K. Upham* 1493

THE SUPREME COURT OF JAPAN:
COMMENTARY ON THE RECENT
WORK OF SCHOLARS IN THE
UNITED STATES..... *Tokiyasu Fujita* 1507

THE JAPANESE CONSTITUTION AS LAW
AND THE LEGITIMACY OF THE
SUPREME COURT'S CONSTITUTIONAL
DECISIONS: A RESPONSE TO MATSUI..... *Craig Martin* 1527

JUDICIALIZATION OF POLITICS AND THE
JAPANESE SUPREME COURT *Tokuji Matsudaira* 1559

LOOKING THROUGH THE WRONG END OF
THE TELESCOPE: THE JAPANESE
JUDICIAL RESPONSE TO STEEL
PARTNERS, MURAKAMI, AND HORIE*Stephen Givens* 1571

THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION-MAKING PRACTICES

“CHŌSAKAN”: RESEARCH JUDGES
TOILING AT THE STONE FORTRESS *Masako Kamiya* 1601

THE ROLE OF PRECEDENT AT JAPAN'S
SUPREME COURT*Hiroshi Itoh* 1631

CONSTITUTIONAL PRECEDENTS IN
JAPAN: A COMMENT ON THE ROLE
OF PRECEDENT*Shigenori Matsui* 1669

JUDICIAL RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION

DO SCHOOL CLIQUES DOMINATE
JAPANESE BUREAUCRACIES?:
EVIDENCE FROM SUPREME COURT
APPOINTMENTS..... *J. Mark Ramseyer* 1681

RESERVED SEATS ON JAPAN'S SUPREME
COURT*Lawrence Repeta* 1713

THE SUPREME COURT AND THE PUSH
FOR TRANSPARENCY IN LOWER
COURT APPOINTMENTS IN JAPAN..... *Daniel H. Foote* 1745

JUDICIAL RECRUITMENT AND
PROMOTION: RESPONSES TO
PROFESSORS RAMSEYER
AND REPETA *Shin-ichi Nishikawa* 1765

JUDICIAL ELECTIONS AND POLITICAL ACCOUNTABILITY

CONCERNING THE JAPANESE PUBLIC'S
EVALUATION OF SUPREME COURT
JUSTICES *Tokuji Izumi* 1769