Washington University in St. Louis Washington University Open Scholarship

Topics in Quantum Mechanics

Chemistry

Spring 1-14-2015

Vector Space Language

Ronald Lovett Washington University in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers Part of the <u>Chemistry Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Lovett, Ronald, "Vector Space Language" (2015). *Topics in Quantum Mechanics*. 32. https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers/32

This Classroom Handout is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Topics in Quantum Mechanics by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

A Vector Space Language for Quantum Mechanics

References

Quantum Mechanics, E. Merzbacher (Chem Reserve QC174.1 M36 1970).
The Principles of Quantum Mechanics, P. A. M. Dirac (Chem QC174.3 D5 1958).
Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, J. Von Neumann (Phys & Olin QC174.3 V613).

The inner product

Given two vectors in 3D, we can construct a *number* by forming the dot (scalar) product

$$\boldsymbol{w}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}=v_xw_x+v_yw_y+v_zw_z$$

In n dimensions where v would have components $v_1, v_2, \ldots v_n$, this product becomes

$$oldsymbol{w} \cdot oldsymbol{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i.$$

The vector v can be thought of as a function v(i) for which the independent variable i only assumes integer values. From this it is a small step to picturing a function of a continuous variable x, v(x), as a vector with an infinite number of components. The extension of the dot product is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x) \, v(x) \, dx.$$

If w(x) and v(x) are *complex* functions, it is natural to introduce a complex conjugation in such a way as to make the product of a vector with itself be a real number. Hence we define the *inner product* of two functions $\psi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$.

$$\langle \psi(x) | \phi(x) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)^* \phi(x) \, dx.$$

All predictions of quantum mechanics can be written as an inner product of two vectors. That is, the map

$$2 \text{ vectors} \rightarrow a \text{ number}$$

14 January 2015

is a fundamental tool of quantum mechanics.

Basis sets

Along with this definition of the inner product of two vectors, we can import the jargon of vector analysis into quantum mechanics. A wave function $\Psi(x)$ is a state vector. A normalized state vector is simply a unit vector,

$$\langle \Psi(x)|\Psi(x)\rangle = 1.$$

An orthonormal basis set is a set of vectors $\{\phi_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for which

$$\langle \phi_m(x) | \phi_n(x) \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m \neq n \text{ (orthogonality)} \\ 1, & \text{if } m = n \text{ (normalization)} \end{cases}$$

and for which any state vector can be represented

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(x).$$

The expansion coefficients $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ can be determined from

$$c_n = \langle \phi_n(x) | \Psi(x) \rangle$$

Since the column matrix

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

provides the same information as $\Psi(x)$, this matrix will also be called (and thought of as) "a vector". If

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \phi_k(x)$$

14 January 2015

represents a different vector, the inner product reduces to

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x)^* \, \Psi(x) \, dx \\ &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} d_m^* c_n \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_m(x)^* \, \phi_n(x) \, dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k^* c_k. \end{split}$$

This may also be written as a matrix product,

$$\langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle = [d_1, d_2, \cdots]^* \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hermitian operators

Since a measuring apparatus behaves like a classical object, "observables" come from classical mechanics: position, velocity, energy, angular momentum,....

These quantities are usually expressed in terms of *canonically conjugate* coordinates and momenta, $q_1, \ldots, q_f, p_1, \ldots, p_f$ if there are f degrees of freedom in the system. Quantum mechanics associates with each classical observable

$$q_i, \quad rac{p_i}{m}, \quad H = rac{ec{p}^2}{2m} + V(ec{r}), \quad ec{L} = ec{r} imes ec{p}, \ldots$$

an Hermitian linear operator

$$\hat{q}_i, \quad \frac{\hat{p}_i}{m}, \quad \hat{H}, \quad \hat{\vec{L}}, \dots$$

An operator

 $\hat{A} \text{ is } Hermitian \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \langle \psi | \hat{A} \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{A} \psi | \phi \rangle \text{ for all } \psi, \phi.$

The Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian \hat{H} guarantees that

$$\langle \Psi(x,t)|\Psi(x,t)
angle = \langle \Psi(x,0)|\Psi(x,0)
angle$$

at all t. Thus time evolution leads to a *rotation* of the state vector in the (vector) space of possible states.

If \hat{A} has a set of eigenvectors, eigenfunctions

$$A\phi_k(q) = a_k\phi_k(q), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and \hat{A} is Hermitian, then

$$a_k \langle \phi_\ell | \phi_k \rangle = \langle \phi_\ell | \hat{A} \phi_k \rangle = \langle \hat{A} \phi_\ell | \phi_k \rangle = a_\ell^* \langle \phi_\ell | \phi_k \rangle,$$

or

$$(a_k - a_\ell^*) \langle \phi_\ell | \phi_k \rangle = 0. \tag{1}$$

The $k = \ell$ case of (1) shows that all the eigenvalues of \hat{A} are real. If $a_k \neq a_\ell$, (1) shows that all $\langle \phi_\ell | \phi_k \rangle = 0$. That is, the eigenvectors corresponding to *different* eigenvalues must be orthogonal. If there are n (linearly independent) eigenvectors of \hat{A} with the same eigenvalue, (1) says nothing. But these vectors define an n-dimensional subspace and any set of orthonormal vectors in this subspace is a set of eigenvectors corresponding to the same eigenvalue of \hat{A} .

In summary, the Hermiticity of \hat{A} assures us that we can find an orthonormal set of vectors, with each vector an eigenvector of \hat{A} with a real eigenvalue. We argue that this set must be a *basis* set because of "physical completeness", because the set of eigenvectors of \hat{A} exhausts what can be realized physically. The index/label k may have a continuous set of allowed values, but usually such labels are restricted to a discrete set.

The Expectation

In classical mechanics, observation of Q on a system in state p, q gives Q(p, q) with certainty. In quantum mechanics, however, observation of Q on a system in a state Ψ does not necessarily give a definite answer. Repeating the same experiment exactly does not necessarily give the same result. In this case, of course, one can't predict explicitly what

14 January 2015

will be seen in a measurement. One can, however, predict the *expectation* – the average result which many repetitions of the measurement would give. Explicitly,

$$\langle Q \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{Q} \Psi \rangle \tag{2}$$

Here are some examples of this:

(i) Observation of 'q' (a continuous label). Suppose we define

$$Q = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1, & ext{if } a \leq q \leq b, \\ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

The expectation of this Q is just the probability that we will see $a \leq q \leq b$, so

$$\operatorname{Prob}[a \le q \le b] = \int_a^b dq \ |\Psi(q)|^2 \, .$$

Thus $|\Psi(t)|^2$ gives the distribution on q. Observation of q itself gives

$$\langle q \rangle = \langle \Psi(q) | \hat{Q} \Psi(q) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(q)|^2 q \, dq,$$

from (2) directly, or from the physical interpretation of $|\Psi(t)|^2$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q^2 \rangle &= \langle \Psi(q) | \hat{Q}^2 \Psi(q) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(q)|^2 q^2 \, dq, \\ \langle q^3 \rangle &= \langle \Psi(q) | \hat{Q}^3 \Psi(q) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(q)|^2 q^3 \, dq, \\ \dots \\ \langle f(q) \rangle &= \langle \Psi(q) | f(\hat{Q}) \Psi(q) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(q)|^2 f(q) \, dq. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) observation of a Q for which the corresponding \hat{Q} has discrete eigenvalues. Suppose

$$\hat{Q}\phi_k(q)=\lambda_k\phi_k(q),\qquad k=0,1,2,\dots$$

 $\mathbf{5}$

Vector Space Description

14 January 2015

with $\{\phi_k(q)\}$ an orthonormal basis set. We can represent

$$\Psi(q) = \sum c_k \phi_k(q)$$

The expectation of Q(p,q) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q \rangle &= \langle \Psi | \hat{Q} \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{n} c_{m} \phi_{m}(q) | \hat{Q} \sum_{n} c_{n} \phi_{n}(q) \rangle = \langle \sum_{n} c_{m} \phi_{m}(q) | \sum_{n} c_{n} \lambda_{n} \phi_{n}(q) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} c_{m}^{*} c_{n} \lambda_{n} \langle \phi_{m} | \phi_{n} \rangle = \sum_{n} |c_{k}|^{2} \lambda_{k}, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle q^2 \rangle = \langle \Psi(q) | \hat{Q}^2 \Psi(q) \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 \lambda_k^2,$$

$$\langle q^3 \rangle = \langle \Psi(q) | \hat{Q}^3 \Psi(q) \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 \lambda_k^2,$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\langle f(q) \rangle = \langle \Psi(q) | f(\hat{Q}) \Psi(q) \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 f(\lambda_k)$$

This will hold for any f(q) only if the only possible values of Q which can be observed are the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots$ and the probability of seeing $q = \lambda_k$ is

$$|c_k|^2 = |\langle \phi_k | \Psi \rangle|^2$$