

Washington University in St. Louis  
**Washington University Open Scholarship**

---

Topics in Quantum Mechanics

Chemistry

---

Spring 4-26-2011

# Transformation Theory

Ronald Lovett

*Washington University in St. Louis*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem\\_papers](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers)



Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Lovett, Ronald, "Transformation Theory" (2011). *Topics in Quantum Mechanics*. 30.  
[https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem\\_papers/30](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers/30)

This Classroom Handout is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Topics in Quantum Mechanics by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact [digital@wumail.wustl.edu](mailto:digital@wumail.wustl.edu).

## Transformation Theory

### References

*The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, P. A. M. Dirac (QC174.3 D5 1958).

*Quantum Mechanics*, E. Merzbacher (Chem QC174.1 M36).

### The general structure of quantum mechanical calculations

There are three steps in every quantum mechanical calculation:

(1) Prepare a system

Let a state be prepared by measuring an observable  $\hat{A}$ . If

$$\hat{A}\psi_a = a\psi_a, \quad \{a, \psi_a\} \sim \text{the set of eigenvalues, eigenvectors of } \hat{A},$$

we can start the system in

$$\Psi(0) = \psi_a$$

by choosing systems for which an observation of  $\hat{A}$  at  $t = 0$  reveals  $a$ .

(2) Wait in time

If  $\hat{H}\chi_n = E_n\chi_n$ , the initial state

$$\Psi(0) = \sum_n \langle \chi_n | \psi_a \rangle \chi_n$$

evolves in time into

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle \chi_n | \psi_a \rangle \chi_n.$$

(3) Observe  $\hat{B}$

If  $\hat{B}\phi_b = b\phi_b$ , observation of  $\hat{B}$  will reveal the value  $b$  with probability

$$\left| \sum_n \langle \phi_b | \chi_n \rangle e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle \chi_n | \psi_a \rangle \right|^2.$$



## Unitary transformations

A transformation  $\hat{U}$  is *linear* if

$$\hat{U}(a\psi_1 + b\psi_2) = a(\hat{U}\psi_1) + b(\hat{U}\psi_2)$$

for all  $a, b, \psi_1, \psi_2$ .  $\hat{U}$  is *unitary* if

$$\langle \hat{U}\psi_1 | \hat{U}\psi_2 \rangle = \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$$

for all  $\psi_1, \psi_2$ .

The 3D vector analogue for this would be that

$$(\hat{U}\vec{v}) \cdot (\hat{U}\vec{w}) = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$$

for all  $\vec{v}, \vec{w}$ . This is valid for *rotations* in 3D. Thus a unitary transformation is a complex multidimensional extension of a rotation. [For *real* multidimensional vectors these are called *orthogonal* transformations.]

## Matrix representations

In an orthonormal basis set  $\{\phi_n\}$ ,  $\hat{U}$  would have a matrix representation

$$U_{mn} = \langle \phi_m | \hat{U} \phi_n \rangle.$$

If  $\psi_a, \psi_b$  are two (arbitrary) vectors with the matrix representations

$$\psi_a = \sum_n a_n \phi_n,$$

$$\psi_b = \sum_n b_n \phi_n,$$

then

$$\langle \psi_a | \psi_b \rangle = \sum_n a_n^* b_n.$$

Since

$$\hat{U}\psi_a = \sum_n a_n \hat{U}\phi_n = \sum_{n,k} a_n \langle \phi_k | \hat{U}\phi_n \rangle \phi_k = \sum_{k,n} \phi_k U_{kn} a_n,$$

$$\langle \hat{U}\psi_a | \hat{U}\psi_b \rangle = \sum_{k,m,n} U_{km}^* a_m^* U_{kn} b_n$$



Thus  $\hat{U}$  is unitary  $\iff$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n a_n^* b_n &= \sum_{k,m,n} U_{km}^* a_m^* U_{kn} b_n, & \text{all } a_m, b_n \\ a_n^* &= \sum_{k,m} a_m^* U_{km}^* U_{kn}, & \text{all } a_m \\ \delta_{mn} &= \sum_k U_{km}^* U_{kn}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Now the inverse operator  $\hat{U}^{-1}$  is the operator for which

$$\hat{U}^{-1} \cdot \hat{U} = \hat{1},$$

with  $\hat{1}$  the *identity* operator. Since the matrix representation for  $\hat{1}$  is  $\delta_{mn}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{mn} &= \langle \phi_m | \hat{U}^{-1} \hat{U} | \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_k [\hat{U}^{-1}]_{mk} U_{kn}.\end{aligned}$$

Comparison with (1) shows that  $\hat{U}$  is *unitary*  $\iff [\hat{U}^{-1}]_{mn} = U_{nm}^*$ .

Contrast these two cases: If you transpose and take the complex conjugate of a matrix, you get

- (i) the original matrix if the operator is *Hermitian*,
- (ii) the inverse operator if the operator is *unitary*.

### Basis set transformations are unitary

Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis set, let  $\hat{T}$  be a linear transformation, and let

$$\psi_k = \hat{T} \phi_k$$

be the vector into which  $\hat{T}$  transforms  $\phi_k$ . In matrix form,

$$\psi_k = \sum_n \langle \phi_n | \hat{T} \phi_k \rangle \phi_n = \sum_n T_{nk} \phi_n$$

with

$$T_{nk} = \langle \phi_n | \psi_k \rangle = \langle \phi_n | \hat{T} \phi_k \rangle.$$



$\hat{T}$  will give a transformation to a new orthonormal basis set if

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{k\ell} &= \langle \psi_k | \psi_\ell \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \langle T_{mk} \phi_m | T_{n\ell} \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} T_{mk}^* T_{n\ell} \langle \phi_m | \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_n T_{nk}^* T_{n\ell}\end{aligned}$$

which shows (compare this with (1)) that  $T_{ij}$  is the matrix representation of a unitary transformation. That is, a “rotation” of an orthonormal basis set gives a new orthonormal basis set.

Of course the  $T_{nk}$  are the components of  $\psi_k$  in the basis set  $\{\phi_n\}$ . Writing  $T_{nk} = \psi_k^{(n)}$ ,

$$[T]_{nk} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1^{(1)} & \psi_2^{(1)} & \cdots \\ \psi_1^{(2)} & \psi_2^{(2)} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} = [\bar{\psi}_1 | \bar{\psi}_2 | \cdots]$$

and the fact that  $[T]_{nk} = [T^{-1}]_{kn}^*$  just reflects the orthonormality of the vectors  $\{\psi_k\}$  and

$$[T^{-1}]_{kn} = [T^*]_{nk} = \langle \psi_n | \phi_k \rangle^* = \langle \phi_k | \psi_n \rangle$$

are just the matrix elements of the transformation from the  $\{\psi_k\}$  basis set to the  $\{\phi_n\}$  basis set.

### Translations in space and time are unitary

If a system in state  $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$  is translated a distance  $\mathbf{d}$  in space, the state is converted into

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{d}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( -\mathbf{d} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \right)^n \Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{d} \cdot \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \right)^n \Psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \right)^n \Psi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i\mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} / \hbar} \Psi(\mathbf{r})\end{aligned}$$

That is, translations in space are generated by the operator

$$\hat{T}_{space} = e^{-i\mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} / \hbar}$$





Since

$$\langle e^{-id \cdot \hat{p}/\hbar} \psi_1 | e^{-id \cdot \hat{p}/\hbar} \psi_2 \rangle = \langle \psi_1 | e^{id \cdot \hat{p}/\hbar} e^{-id \cdot \hat{p}/\hbar} \psi_2 \rangle = \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle,$$

$\hat{T}_{space}$  is unitary. We say that  $p_x$ , the momentum conjugate to  $x$ , generates translation down the  $x$ -axis.

Time evolution changes

$$\Psi_0 \rightarrow \Psi(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \Psi_0$$

The operator  $e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$  that generates time evolution has the same form as  $\hat{T}_{space}$ . Time evolution is just translation down the time axis.

In both these example, a *unitary* operator is associated with an *Hermitian* operator,

$$\text{'unitary'} = e^{-i \times \text{constant} \times \text{'Hermitian'}}$$

### The quantum calculation revisited

Since  $e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \chi_n = e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \chi_n$ , the probability of seeing 'b' after starting a system in  $\psi_a$  and waiting a time  $t$  can be written

$$\left| \sum_{m,n} \langle \phi_b | \chi_m \rangle \langle \chi_m | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \chi_n \rangle \langle \chi_n | \psi_a \rangle \right|^2.$$

Let  $R_{na} = \langle \chi_n | \psi_a \rangle$

generate the unitary transformation associated with the basis set transformation

$$\{\psi_a\} \rightarrow \{\chi_n\},$$

while  $S_{bn} = \langle \phi_b | \chi_n \rangle$  generates the  $\{\chi_n\} \rightarrow \{\phi_b\}$  transformation.

If

$$U_{mn}(t) = \langle \chi_m | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \chi_n \rangle = e^{-E_n t/\hbar} \delta_{mn},$$

then

$$\sum_k U_{km}^*(t) U_{kn}(t) = \sum_k e^{iE_m t/\hbar} \delta_{km} e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \delta_{kn} = \delta_{mn}.$$

Symbolically,

$$\Psi(t) = \hat{U}(t) \Psi(0), \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{U}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$$

$\hat{U}(t)$  is a unitary transformation.



Putting all this together, the sought probability is

$$\left| \sum_{m,n} S_{bm} U_{mn}(t) R_{na} \right|^2 = |[\hat{S}\hat{U}(t)\hat{R}]_{ba}|^2.$$

The calculational problem consists of making three unitary transformations. We start from a basis set fixed by  $\hat{A}$ , rotate to a basis set fixed by  $\hat{H}$ , rotate  $e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$ , and then transform to a basis set fixed by  $\hat{B}$ .

### Dirac's abstraction

Suppose we prepare a system in state  $\psi_a$  at  $t = 0$  and then immediately measure 'x'. According to the previous calculation, we see 'x' with probability  $|\langle \phi_x | \psi_a \rangle|^2$ . Dirac argued that, since there were many basis sets in which  $\psi_a$  could be represented, one should distinguish the idea of an abstract representation of a state from the idea of a particular representation in some basis set.

Suppose a system is prepared in a state  $\psi$ . To represent this abstractly we write

$$|\psi\rangle$$

for the state vector. Now the probability of seeing 'b' in this state is

$$|\langle \phi_b | \psi \rangle|^2.$$

But it is only the label 'b' in this expression which has some information content. If we replace  $\phi_b \rightarrow |b\rangle$ , then

$$\hat{B}|b\rangle = b|b\rangle$$

and the probability of seeing 'b' is

$$|\langle b | \psi \rangle|^2.$$

From this point of view the wave function of Schrödinger is just

$$\psi(x) = \langle x | \psi \rangle.$$

A basis set expansion

$$\psi(x) = \sum_n \langle \phi_n | \psi(x) \rangle \phi_n$$



becomes

$$\langle x|\psi\rangle = \sum_n \langle x|\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|\psi\rangle.$$

In the abstract this is just

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|\psi\rangle$$

so

$$\sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|$$

is, for any orthonormal basis set  $\{\phi_n\}$ , the ‘identity’ operator.

This last result makes it easy to develop basis set expansions. Returning to the original experiment,

$$\Psi(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \psi_a$$

and we seek

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_b|\Psi(t)\rangle &= \langle b|e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}\psi_a\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \langle b|\chi_m\rangle \langle \chi_m|e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}\chi_n\rangle \langle \chi_n|\psi_a\rangle. \\ &= \sum_n \langle b|E_n\rangle e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \langle E_n|a\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us consider two different matrix representations of some operator  $\hat{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{mn}^{(\phi)} &= \langle \phi_m|\hat{A}\phi_n\rangle, \\ A_{k\ell}^{(\psi)} &= \langle \psi_k|\hat{A}\psi_\ell\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To see how they are related, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k\ell}^{(\psi)} &= \langle \psi_k|\hat{A}\psi_\ell\rangle \\ &= \sum_{mn} \langle \psi_k|\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m|\hat{A}\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|\psi_\ell\rangle \\ &= \sum_{mn} \langle \psi_k|\phi_m\rangle A_{mn}^{(\phi)} \langle \phi_n|\psi_\ell\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If we define a linear transformation  $\hat{S}$  by

$$\psi_\ell = \hat{S}\phi_\ell, \quad \text{all } \ell,$$



then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_n | \psi_\ell \rangle &= [S]_{n\ell}, \\ \langle \psi_k | \phi_m \rangle &= [\hat{S}^{-1}]_{kn}, \\ A_{k\ell}^{(\psi)} &= \sum_{mn} [\hat{S}^{-1}]_{km} A_{mn}^{(\phi)} [S]_{n\ell} \\ &= [S^{-1} A S]_{k\ell}\end{aligned}$$

or

$$A^{(\psi)} = S^{-1} A^{(\phi)} S.$$

More colloquially, we say that a unitary transformation  $\hat{S}$  transforms some operator  $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{S}^{-1} \hat{A} \hat{S}$ .



