

Washington University in St. Louis  
**Washington University Open Scholarship**

---

Topics in Quantum Mechanics

Chemistry

---

Spring 1-22-2017

# Time Evolution

Ronald Lovett

*Washington University in St. Louis*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem\\_papers](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers)



Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Lovett, Ronald, "Time Evolution" (2017). *Topics in Quantum Mechanics*. 27.  
[https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem\\_papers/27](https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers/27)

This Classroom Handout is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Topics in Quantum Mechanics by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact [digital@wumail.wustl.edu](mailto:digital@wumail.wustl.edu).

## Some Notes on Time Evolution

### E. Schrödinger

After deBroglie introduced the idea that functions describing particles should have waves associated with them, Schrödinger produced the first identification of a *wave function* with a particle. Explicitly, he postulated that a wave function  $\Psi$  for a particle moving in one dimension in a potential  $V(x)$  would satisfy

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\Psi(x, t). \quad (1)$$

An earlier postulate had been proposed by a group of physicists working under the direction of Max Born in the German university in Göttingen. Only a few explicit problems had been solved by the Göttingen group, however, because their formulation involved *matrices*, a mathematical construct that was unfamiliar to physicists at that time.

When Schrödinger's equation was published, however, every one recognized that such equations could be solved by *separation of variables*:

{1°} Assume that the solution would have the form

$$\Psi(x, t) = F(x) \cdot G(t) \quad (2)$$

{2°} Rewrite Eq.(1) as

$$i\hbar \frac{G'(t)}{G(t)} = \frac{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} F''(x) + V(x) F(x)}{F(x)} \quad (3)$$

{3°} Recognize that all the terms dependent on  $t$  are on the left hand side of Eq(3) while all the terms dependent on  $x$  are on the right hand side. So the values of both the left hand side and the right hand side must be independent of both  $x$  and  $t$ . Let  $E$  stand for this constant. Then the problem leads to two independent problems,

$$E = i\hbar \frac{G'(t)}{G(t)} \quad (4)$$

and

$$E = \frac{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} F''(x) + V(x) F(x)}{F(x)} \quad (5)$$

{4°} Providing the initial condition  $G(0) = 1$ , the solution to (4) is

$$G(t) = e^{-iEt/\hbar},$$

and  $F(x)$  is some solution to

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} F''(x) + V(x) F(x) = E F(x) \quad (6)$$

In practice, Eq.(6) will only have solutions for certain  $E$  values,  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{j_{Limit}}$  so a set of solutions

$$\Psi_j(x, t) = e^{-iE_j t/\hbar} \cdot F_j(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, j_{Limit}$$

is found. The general solution is just some linear combination of these solutions.

Since many solutions to equations of the form of E.(6) were known, A flood of solutions to new problems appeared in the literature. The quantization of many phenomena were correctly described.

When operators were introduced into the construction, Hamilton's function  $H(p, x)$  was replaced with the operator

$$H(p, x) \rightarrow \hat{H} \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x \right)$$

and Eq.(6) becomes

$$\hat{H} \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x \right) F(x) = E F(x) \quad (7)$$

and we now call the allowed  $E_i$  values the *energy eigenvalues* and the allowed  $F_i(x)$  functions the *energy eigenfunctions*.

The corresponding rendition of Schrödinger's equation is

$$i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = \hat{H} \Psi \quad (8)$$

In three dimensions, this becomes

$$i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (9)$$

## The Continuity Equation

If the probability density  $|\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$  evolves in time, there must be a probability flux in the system. We can identify the probability flux  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  by invoking the *continuity* equation

$$\int_{\partial v} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_v d\mathbf{r} |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \quad (10)$$

with the integral representing the probability the electron is in the volume  $v$  at time  $t$ . The surface integral is the integral over the surface  $\delta v$  of  $v$ .

$$\text{If } \hat{H}^* = \hat{H},$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \hat{H} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) - \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{H} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* - \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \nabla^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)] \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \cdot [\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* - \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)] \end{aligned}$$

then Eq.(10) becomes

$$\int_{\partial v} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{-i\hbar}{2m} \int_v d\mathbf{r} \nabla \cdot [\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* - \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)] \quad (11)$$

It follows from Gauss' theorem that

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} [\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* - \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)^* \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)] \quad (12)$$

at all  $\mathbf{r}, t$ .

## Deduce a Velocity

$$\text{If } \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}, t),$$

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} [\nabla \ln(\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t))^* - \nabla \ln(\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t))] \quad (13)$$

which simplifies to

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\hbar}{m} \Im \{ \nabla \ln(\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)) \} \quad (15)$$

As an example, if

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = C e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - i\omega t}$$

then

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{\hbar\mathbf{k}}{m}.$$

Motion is associated with a phase shift of  $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$  with position in space. To describe a moving system, the wave function must be *complex*. Most energy eigenstates are *real*, in which case they are called *stationary*. Stationary states can always be made *real*.