

Washington University in St. Louis
Washington University Open Scholarship

Topics in Quantum Mechanics

Chemistry

Spring 4-1-2011

Intrinsic Spin of an Electron

Ronald Lovett

Washington University in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers



Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lovett, Ronald, "Intrinsic Spin of an Electron" (2011). *Topics in Quantum Mechanics*. 11.
https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/chem_papers/11

This Classroom Handout is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Topics in Quantum Mechanics by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

The Intrinsic Spin of an Electron

References

Quantum Chemistry, D.A. McQuarrie, Section 8-4, (Chem QD462 M25).

Molecular quantum mechanics, P. W. Atkins, Chapter 6

(Chem Reserve QD462 A84 1997).

The principles of quantum mechanics, P. A. M. Dirac, Sections 35-38

(Chem QC174.3 D5 1958).

Angular Momentum

In Cartesian coordinates the three components of the angular momentum vector \vec{L} are

$$L_x = yp_z - zp_y,$$

$$L_y = zp_x - xp_z,$$

$$L_z = xp_y - yp_x.$$

The corresponding quantum mechanical operators have

$$[L_x, L_y] = i\hbar L_z,$$

$$[L_y, L_z] = i\hbar L_x,$$

$$[L_z, L_x] = i\hbar L_y,$$

so only one component of \vec{L} can be diagonal in any given basis set. If

$$L^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2,$$

then

$$0 = [L_x, L^2] = [L_y, L^2] = [L_z, L^2].$$

Thus a basis set can simultaneously diagonalize one *component* of \vec{L} and L^2 . Let $\Psi_{\lambda\mu}$ be a basis set with

$$L^2\Psi_{\lambda\mu} = \lambda\Psi_{\lambda\mu},$$

$$L_z\Psi_{\lambda\mu} = \mu\Psi_{\lambda\mu}.$$

If

$$\Phi = (L_x \pm iL_y)\Psi_{\lambda\mu},$$

The spin of the electron

Dirac constructed a relativistic theory for the electron in 1932. To do so, however, he had to replace Schrödinger's wave function with a *spinor* field, i.e.,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{r}) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{r}) \\ \psi_3(\mathbf{r}) \\ \psi_4(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix index corresponds to a discrete observable with no classical analogue. A two component spinor provides a good representation of the states in the non-relativistic limit and the discrete observable corresponds to the electronic spin.

If $\ell = 1/2$, there are just two possible 'spin' states. To construct a non-relativistic matrix representation for the states, we introduce two basis vectors,

$$\alpha = \Psi_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta = \Psi_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ basis set,

$$L_z = \frac{1}{2}\hbar \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1a)$$

If $c\alpha = L_+\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} |c|^2 &= \langle L_+\beta | L_+\beta \rangle = \langle \beta | L_- L_+ \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle \beta | (L^2 - L_z^2 - \hbar L_z) \beta \rangle = \hbar^2 \end{aligned}$$

Choose the relative phases of α and β so that $c = \hbar$. Then $L_+\beta = \hbar\alpha$, or

$$L_+ = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

L_- is just the Hermitian conjugate of L_+ ,

$$L_- = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$L_x = \frac{1}{2}(L_+ + L_-) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1b)$$

$$L_y = \frac{1}{2i}(L_+ - L_-) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1c)$$

These matrices (1a-c) are the Pauli spin matrices.

Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy

Associated with the intrinsic spin ($\ell = \frac{1}{2}$) of a proton is a magnetic moment $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. For the proton

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \gamma \mathbf{L},$$

with the gyromagnetic ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= 2.67519 \times 10^8 \text{ rad s}^{-1} \text{T}^{-1} \\ &= 1.52103 \times 10^{-20} \text{ atomic units.} \end{aligned}$$

The interaction of this magnetic moment with a magnetic field \mathbf{B} is governed by the Zeeman Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -\boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{B} = -\gamma \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B} = -\gamma \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{B},$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \hat{s}_x \mathbf{i} + \hat{s}_y \mathbf{j} + \hat{s}_z \mathbf{k}$, a vector operator with the Pauli spin matrices (1a-c) for components.

For a constant field \mathbf{B} the solution to the dynamical equation is

$$\psi(t) = e^{i\gamma \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}} t / \hbar} \psi(0). \quad (2)$$

Now a rotation by an angle $\delta\phi$ about an axis $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ (a unit vector) moves points

$$\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r} + \delta\phi \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{r}$$

and transforms functions

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \psi(\mathbf{r} - \delta\phi \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{r}).$$

For small $\delta\phi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \delta\phi \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \delta\phi \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r} \times \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \psi(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \delta\phi \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{r} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d\psi(\mathbf{r})}{d\phi} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}} \psi(\mathbf{r})$$

and

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, \phi) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\phi \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}}\psi(\mathbf{r}, 0).$$

Comparison with (2) shows that in a constant magnetic field \mathbf{B} the spin of the proton rotates about the axis of \mathbf{B} with rate $-\gamma|\mathbf{B}|$.

This (Larmor) precession of a spin leads to an oscillating magnetic moment which can be observed by a detection coil. Hence 'magnetic resonance spectroscopy'. In a real experiment, however, the magnetic field \mathbf{B} is not simply the field produced by an external electromagnet. In a sample of many spins each spin will see a slightly different \mathbf{B} because of local environmental effects.

Problem Set #8

1. All spin states of a proton are of the form

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can associate with each state ψ a spin operator

$$\hat{s} = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{n} = \hat{s}_x \cos \phi \sin \theta + \hat{s}_y \sin \phi \sin \theta + \hat{s}_z \cos \theta,$$

with the unit vector \hat{n} oriented in direction ϕ, θ in spherical coordinates, by requiring that $\hat{s}\psi = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\psi$.

If

$$b/a = re^{i\chi},$$

relate θ, ϕ to r, χ . Conclusion: we can always describe a proton's spin state (up to a phase factor) by giving an orientation ' θ, ϕ ' for the spin.

2. Suppose that $\mathbf{B} = B_0\hat{k}$. Show that

$$e^{i\omega_0\hat{s}_z t/\hbar} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\omega_0 t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\omega_0 t/2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If the spin is initially oriented along (a) \hat{i} , (b) \hat{k} , and (c) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\hat{i} + \hat{k}]$, how will ϕ and θ vary with time? Construct an animated exhibit of the motion of the spin orientation.

Show[Graphics3D[Line[{{0,0,0}, {x,y,z}}],PlotRange→{{-1,1}, {-1,1}, {-1,1}}] will plot a line from the origin to the point x, y, z in a 3D frame.

3. Consider the motion of $\psi(t)$ induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= -\gamma B_1 \cos \Omega t \hat{s}_x + \gamma B_1 \sin \Omega t \hat{s}_y - \gamma B_0 \hat{s}_z \\ &= -\omega_1 \left(\cos \Omega t \hat{s}_x - \sin \Omega t \hat{s}_y \right) - \omega_0 \hat{s}_z. \end{aligned}$$

How could this Hamiltonian be realized in the laboratory?

If $\psi_1(t) = e^{-i\omega_0 t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} \psi(t)$, then

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1(t)}{\partial t} = e^{-i\omega_0 t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} [-\omega_1 (\cos \Omega t \hat{s}_x - \sin \Omega t \hat{s}_y)] e^{i\omega_0 t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} \psi_1(t).$$

$\psi_1(t)$ is referred to colloquially as the 'spin state in the rotating frame.' Why? Why must $\hat{s}_x^2 = \hat{s}_y^2 = \hat{s}_z^2 = \hbar^2 \hat{1} / 4$? Show that

$$e^{i\Omega t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} \hat{s}_x e^{-i\Omega t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} = \cos \Omega t \hat{s}_x - \sin \Omega t \hat{s}_y.$$

Thus

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1(t)}{\partial t} = e^{-i(\omega_0 - \Omega)t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} (-\omega_1 \hat{s}_x) e^{i(\omega_0 - \Omega)t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} \psi_1(t).$$

If $\psi_2(t) = e^{i(\omega_0 - \Omega)t \hat{s}_z / \hbar} \psi_1(t)$,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_2(t)}{\partial t} = [(\Omega - \omega_0) \hat{s}_z - \omega_1 \hat{s}_x] \psi_2(t).$$

Suppose $\psi(0) = \alpha$. Make an animation showing the time evolution of $\psi(t)$ if $\Omega = \omega_0 = 0.1\omega_1$. If $\Omega = 0.9\omega_0 = 0.1\omega_1$?

4. Suppose that

$$\hat{H} = -\omega_1 (\cos \Omega t \hat{s}_x - \sin \Omega t \hat{s}_y) - \omega_0 \hat{s}_z$$

again and $B_1 \gg B_0$ in the time interval $0 \leq \gamma B_1 t \leq \pi/2$ (a ' $\pi/2$ pulse'). Into what state will this pulse transform $\psi(0) = \alpha$? If B_1 is then turned off, how will (give an analytic expressions) $\langle \hat{s}_x \rangle$ subsequently evolve in time?

5. Suppose that this 'pulse-observe \hat{s}_x ' experiment is performed on an *ensemble* of protons in which, because of differing local environments, the field B_0 felt at each spin is a little different. Let the distribution on ω_0 values be

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(\omega_0 - \bar{\omega})^2 / 2\sigma^2}.$$

How will the resulting magnetic moment appear to the spectrometer?



then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_z \Phi &= [L_z, L_x \pm iL_y] \Psi_{\lambda\mu} + \mu \Phi \\ &= i\hbar(L_y \mp iL_x) \Psi_{\lambda\mu} + \mu \Phi \\ &= \pm \hbar(L_x \pm iL_y) \Psi_{\lambda\mu} + \mu \Phi \\ &= (\mu \pm \hbar) \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the operators

$$L_{\pm} = L_x \pm iL_y$$

raise/lower μ by \hbar . Since $[L_{\pm}, L^2] = 0$, the L^2 eigenvalue is unaltered,

$$L^2 \Phi = \lambda \Phi.$$

impact of z component on total angular momentum = none

Of course $-\sqrt{\lambda} \leq \mu \leq \sqrt{\lambda}$, so, for any given λ , there is a maximum and a minimum value for μ . Denoting these by μ_{\pm} ,

$$L_+ \Phi_{\lambda\mu_+} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_- \Phi_{\lambda\mu_-} = 0.$$

Limits of raising a lowering z component of Lz angular momentum

Since $L_{\pm} L_{\mp} = L_x^2 + L_y^2 \mp i[L_x, L_y] = L_x^2 + L_y^2 \pm \hbar L_z$,

$$0 = (L_x^2 + L_y^2 \mp \hbar L_z) \Psi_{\lambda\mu_{\pm}}$$

whence

$$L^2 \Psi_{\lambda\mu_{\pm}} = (L_z^2 \pm \hbar L_z) \Psi_{\lambda\mu_{\pm}}.$$

Thus $\lambda = \mu_{\pm}^2 \pm \hbar \mu_{\pm}$ from which it follows that $\mu_- = -\mu_+$. If $\mu_+ = \ell \hbar$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -\ell \hbar &= \mu \leq \ell \hbar, \\ \lambda &= \ell(\ell + 1) \hbar^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us relabel the states with $\lambda \rightarrow \ell$, $\mu \rightarrow m\hbar$. Thus we have states $\Psi_{\ell m}$ with, for each ℓ , $-\ell \leq m \leq \ell$.

$$L_z \Psi_{\ell m} = m\hbar \Psi_{\ell m},$$

$$L^2 \Psi_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell + 1) \hbar^2 \Psi_{\ell m}.$$

The number of such states, $2\ell + 1$, must be an integer. Thus ℓ is restricted to $\ell =$

$0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$

different spin states allowed values for angular momentum

