

2012

Algebraic pairs of isometries

Jim Agler

University of California - San Diego

Greg Knese

University of Alabama - Tuscaloosa

John E. McCarthy

Washington University in St Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/math_facpubs

Recommended Citation

Agler, Jim; Knese, Greg; and McCarthy, John E., "Algebraic pairs of isometries" (2012). *Mathematics Faculty Publications*. Paper 9.
http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/math_facpubs/9

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

Algebraic pairs of isometries

Jim Agler * Greg Knese
U.C. San Diego U.C. Irvine
La Jolla, CA 92093 Irvine CA 92697

John E. McCarthy †
Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130

March 30, 2009

Abstract

We consider pairs of commuting isometries that are annihilated by a polynomial. We show that the polynomial must be inner toral, which is a geometric condition on its zero set. We show that cyclic pairs of commuting isometries are nearly unitarily equivalent if they are annihilated by the same minimal polynomial.

0 Introduction

Isometries form one of the best-understood classes of operators on Hilbert spaces. By the von Neumann-Wold decomposition, every isometry is the direct sum of a unitary operator and a vector-valued shift. The non-unitary part of the isometry is called the *pure* part.

Pairs of commuting isometries are more complicated. If the first isometry is pure, it can be modeled as a vector-valued shift, multiplication by

*Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 0400826

†Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 0501079

the coordinate function on $H^2 \otimes \mathcal{L}$, where \mathcal{L} is a Hilbert space of the appropriate dimension, and H^2 is the Hardy space. The second isometry then becomes multiplication by an operator-valued inner function on \mathcal{L} , *i.e.* an analytic operator-valued function on the unit disk \mathbb{D} whose boundary values are isometric a.e. [8, 18].

Although this description is very powerful, it leaves open many questions. The purpose of this note is to study a restricted class of pairs of commuting isometries $V = (V_1, V_2)$, namely ones that satisfy an algebraic relation: $q(V) = 0$ for some polynomial q of two variables. We shall call such a pair an *algebraic isopair*, and we shall say that an isopair is *pure* if both isometries are pure. Pure algebraic isopairs turn out to have a rich structure.

It is easy to find an algebraic isopair annihilated by the polynomial $z^2 - w^2$, but a moment's thought shows that none can be annihilated by $z^2 - 2w^2$. The polynomial $1 - zw$ can annihilate an isopair, but only if this is a pair of unitaries whose joint spectrum is contained in

$$\mathbb{T}^2 \cap \{(z, w) : 1 - zw = 0\}.$$

(Throughout the paper, we shall use the notation that \mathbb{D} is the open unit disk $\{z : |z| < 1\}$, \mathbb{T} is the unit circle $\{z : |z| = 1\}$, and \mathbb{E} is the exterior of the closed disk $\{z : |z| > 1\}$.) No pure isopair is annihilated by $1 - zw$.

What polynomials q can be the minimal annihilating polynomial for some pure isopair?

Theorem 1.20: *Let $V = (V_1, V_2)$ be a pure algebraic isopair on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then there exists a square-free inner toral polynomial q that annihilates V . Moreover, if p is any polynomial that annihilates V , then q divides p .*

A polynomial q is called an *inner toral polynomial* if its zero set lies in $\mathbb{D}^2 \cup \mathbb{T}^2 \cup \mathbb{E}^2$; the zero set of an inner toral polynomial is called a *distinguished variety*. We discuss these in Section 1.

Theorem 1.20 gives a way to construct algebraic isopairs. Start with an inner toral polynomial q ; put a nice measure μ on $Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$; construct the

Hardy space $H^2(\mu)$ that is the closure in $L^2(\mu)$ of the polynomials; and look at the pair of operators on $H^2(\mu)$ given by multiplication by the coordinate functions. In a way that will be made precise in Section 3, this construction in some sense gives you all cyclic algebraic isopairs.

However, they also arise in another setting. In [6, 20], it is shown that on every finitely connected planar domain R there is a pair of inner functions (u_1, u_2) that map the domain conformally onto some distinguished variety intersected with the bidisk. If ν is a measure on ∂R that is a log-integrable weight times harmonic measure, one can form a Hardy space $H^2(\nu)$ (provided every component in the complement of R has interior, this is just the closure in $L^2(\nu)$ of all functions analytic in a neighborhood of \overline{R}). Multiplication by u_1 and u_2 on $H^2(\nu)$ then give a pure cyclic algebraic isopair.

In Section 2, we show that a q -isopair (an isopair annihilated by $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$) can almost be broken up into a direct sum of isopairs corresponding to each of the irreducible factors of q . Specifically, we have:

Theorem 2.1: *Let $V = (V_1, V_2)$ be a pure algebraic isopair with minimal polynomial q , and let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N be the (distinct) irreducible factors of q . If both V_1 and V_2 have finite dimensional cokernels, then V has a finite codimension invariant subspace \mathcal{K} on which*

$$V|_{\mathcal{K}} = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_N$$

where W_j is a q_j -isopair, $j = 1, \dots, N$.

The restriction to \mathcal{K} is essential. Our main result says that any two pure cyclic algebraic isopairs are nearly unitarily equivalent if and only if they have the same minimal polynomial. “Nearly” means after restricting to a finite codimensional invariant subspace. So we say that two pairs are nearly unitarily equivalent if and only if each one is unitarily equivalent to the other restricted to a finite codimensional invariant subspace. We say a pair is nearly cyclic if, when restricted to a finite codimensional invariant subspace, it becomes cyclic. We have:

Theorem 3.3 *Any two nearly cyclic pure isopairs are nearly unitarily equivalent if and only if they have the same minimal polynomial.*

In Section 4, we find a function-theoretic consequence of the operator theory. Given a polynomial q , one can ask when $Y = Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is polynomially convex. Apart from the trivial case of when q has factors of $(z - e^{i\theta})$ or $(w - e^{i\theta})$, the answer is that Y fails to be polynomially convex if and only if q has an inner toral factor.

Theorem 4.1 *Let q be a polynomial in two variables with no linear factors. Then $Y = Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is polynomially convex if and only if q has no inner toral factor.*

1 Inner isopairs

Definition 1.1 *An isopair is a pair $V = (V_1, V_2)$ of commuting isometries. An algebraic isopair is an isopair that satisfies a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$:*

$$p(V) = 0$$

in which case V may be called a p -isopair.

Definition 1.2 *An isopair V is pure if*

$$\bigcap_{m \geq 0} V_1^m \mathcal{H} = \{0\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V_2^n \mathcal{H}.$$

Suppose $V = (V_1, V_2)$ is an isopair with V_1 pure. Let k be the dimension of the cokernel of V_1 (which is the Fredholm index of V_1^* , and which we will call the multiplicity of V_1). Then standard model theory for isometries, as described for example in [8] or [18], says that V can be modeled on the Hilbert space $H^2 \otimes \mathcal{L}$, where \mathcal{L} is a Hilbert space of dimension k , and H^2 is the Hardy space. There is a $B(\mathcal{L})$ valued inner function Φ so that V is unitarily equivalent to the pair (M_z, M_Φ) , where M_z is multiplication by the

independent variable (times $I_{\mathcal{L}}$) and M_{Φ} is multiplication by the operator-valued function Φ . If V_1 is of finite multiplicity, k is finite and Φ is matrix-valued. If, in addition, V_2 is of finite multiplicity, then Φ is a matrix-valued rational inner function, *i.e.* an analytic matrix-valued function, each of whose entries is rational with poles outside the closed unit disk, and such that everywhere on the unit circle the matrix is unitary. Finally, if V_2 is also pure, this means that Φ is not the direct sum of a constant unitary and another inner function. We shall say in this case that the function Φ is pure.

Example 1.3 A simple example is the pair $V = (V_1, V_2) = (M_{z^2}, M_{z^3})$ on the classical Hardy space H^2 . In this case V satisfies $V_1^3 - V_2^2 = 0$. This pair is unitarily equivalent to the pair (M_z, M_{Φ}) on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ where

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^2 \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unitary equivalence U comes from mapping $f = f_1(z^2) + zf_2(z^2) \in H^2$ to $(f_1(z), f_2(z))^t \in H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, where here we are dividing f into its even and odd parts. It is easy to check that this Hilbert space isomorphism intertwines the two operator pairs:

$$UM_{z^2}(f_1(z^2) + zf_2(z^2)) = U(z^2f_1(z^2) + z^3f_2(z^2)) = \begin{pmatrix} zf_1(z) \\ zf_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = M_z \begin{pmatrix} f_1(z) \\ f_2(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

(and similarly for M_{z^3} on H^2 and M_{Φ} on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$).

Pure isopairs cannot be annihilated by arbitrary polynomials. We shall show below (Theorem 1.20) that there is a minimal annihilating polynomial for any algebraic isopair. This minimal polynomial must be *inner toral*. To define this, let us first establish the notation that \mathbb{D} is the open unit disk, \mathbb{T} is the unit circle, and \mathbb{E} is the exterior of the closed unit disk in the plane.

Definition 1.4 A distinguished variety is an algebraic set A in \mathbb{C}^2 such that

$$A \subseteq \mathbb{D}^2 \cup \mathbb{T}^2 \cup \mathbb{E}^2.$$

A polynomial is called inner toral if its zero set is a distinguished variety.

The terminology “inner toral” (and explanation for it) is from [3]. The idea behind the name “distinguished variety” is that the variety exits the bidisk through the distinguished boundary. There is a close connection between pure algebraic isopairs and distinguished varieties. One theorem along these lines, proved first in [1] and then, by a different method, in [13], is:

Theorem 1.5 *Let A be a distinguished variety. Then there is a pure rational matrix-valued inner function Φ so that, if*

$$\det(\Phi(z) - wI) = \frac{q(z, w)}{p(z, w)}, \quad (1.6)$$

then A is the zero-set of q . Moreover, if Φ is any pure rational matrix-valued inner function, and the polynomial q is defined by (1.6), then the zero set of q is a distinguished variety.

A sort of converse to Theorem 1.5 is that the minimal annihilating polynomial of any pure isopair is inner toral.

Definition 1.7 $V = (V_1, V_2)$ *is an inner isopair if V is a pure isopair satisfying*

$$q(V) = 0$$

where $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ is inner toral.

Theorem 1.8 *Every pure algebraic isopair is inner.*

To prove Theorem 1.8, we shall need some preliminary results. First, we shall establish some basic facts about *cyclic* isopairs.

Definition 1.9 *An isopair V is cyclic if there exists $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{C}[V]f := \{p(V)f : p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\}$$

is dense in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 1.10 We say a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ has degree (n, m) if it has degree n in z and m in w .

Lemma 1.11 If V is a cyclic isopair satisfying $p(V) = 0$ where p has degree (n, m) , then for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{D}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim[\ker(V_1 - \alpha I)^* \cap \ker(V_2 - \beta I)^*] &\leq 1 \\ \dim \ker(V_1 - \alpha I)^* &\leq m \\ \dim \ker(V_2 - \beta I)^* &\leq n. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: Applying appropriate Möbius transformations to V_1 and V_2 , we can assume without loss of generality that $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$. Let f be a cyclic vector.

Suppose $g \in \ker V_1^* \cap \ker V_2^*$. Then

$$\langle Q(V)f, g \rangle = \langle Q(0, 0)f, g \rangle$$

for any $Q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$. If $\dim(\ker V_1^* \cap \ker V_2^*) > 1$ then we could find a nonzero vector in $\ker V_1^* \cap \ker V_2^*$ perpendicular to f . This would contradict cyclicity. So, $\dim \ker V_1^* \cap \ker V_2^* \leq 1$.

If $\dim \ker V_1^* > m$ then we can choose $g \in \ker V_1^*$ perpendicular to $V_2^j f$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. Now observe that

$$0 = p(V)^*g = p(0, V_2)^*g.$$

Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ and write

$$Q(0, w) = s(w)p(0, w) + r(w)$$

where r has degree less than m (by the Euclidean algorithm). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(V)^*g &= Q(0, V_2)^*g \\ &= s(V_2)^*p(0, V_2)^*g + r(V_2)^*g \\ &= r(V_2)^*g \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\langle Q(V)f, g \rangle = \langle r(V_2)f, g \rangle = 0$$

since g is perpendicular to $V_2^j f$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. This contradicts cyclicity (Q was arbitrary). So, $\dim \ker V_1^* \leq m$.

Similarly, $\dim \ker V_2^* \leq n$. □

Let mult denote the multiplicity of an isometry:

$$\text{mult} V_i = \dim \ker V_i^*.$$

We shall say that an isopair $V = (V_1, V_2)$ has finite multiplicity if both V_1 and V_2 do.

Lemma 1.12 *Let $V = (V_1, V_2)$ be a cyclic pure algebraic isopair and suppose V is annihilated by an irreducible polynomial $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$. Then*

(1) q is inner toral,

(2)

$$\deg q = (\text{mult} V_2, \text{mult} V_1), \text{ and}$$

(3) q divides any polynomial p that satisfies $p(V) = 0$.

PROOF: By Lemma 1.11, we have

$$\deg q \geq (\text{mult} V_2, \text{mult} V_1) \tag{1.13}$$

(in each component separately). Now, V has a model as a pair of multiplication operators (M_z, M_Φ) on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ where $k = \text{mult} V_1$. Since V_2 has finite multiplicity (by Lemma 1.11), Φ must be a rational matrix valued inner function.

Let

$$f(z, w_1, w_2) = \frac{q(z, w_1) - q(z, w_2)}{w_1 - w_2}.$$

Letting

$$Q(z, w) = f(zI, wI, \Phi(z)) = (q(zI, wI) - q(zI, \Phi(z))) (wI - \Phi(z))^{-1}, \tag{1.14}$$

we see that

$$Q(z, w)(wI - \Phi(z)) = q(z, w)I. \quad (1.15)$$

Now, Q is not identically zero (else q would be also), and Q has lower degree in w than q . So, the nonzero entries of the matrix polynomial Q cannot vanish identically on Z_q , the zero-set of q .

As

$$\begin{aligned} (wI - \Phi(z))Q(z, w) &= q(z, w)I \\ &= 0 \quad \text{on } Z_q, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$wQ(z, w) = \Phi(z)Q(z, w) \quad \text{on } Z_q.$$

So if $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ annihilates V , then

$$p(zI, \Phi(z))Q(z, w) = p(z, w)Q(z, w) = 0 \quad \text{on } Z_q. \quad (1.16)$$

As q is irreducible, and Q does not vanish identically on Z_q , (1.16) shows that q divides p , proving (3).

Now consider $d \in \mathbb{C}(z)[w]$, given by

$$d(z, w) = \det(wI - \Phi(z)).$$

By Cayley-Hamilton, $d(z, \Phi(z)) \equiv 0$, and therefore the numerator of d annihilates $V = (M_z, M_\Phi)$. By the above, q divides the numerator of d and since the degree of the numerator of d is $k = \text{mult}V_1$, we see that k is greater than or equal to the degree of q in w . The reverse inequality is in (1.13), so these two numbers are equal. Interchanging the roles of V_1 and V_2 , we may conclude

$$\deg q = (\text{mult}V_2, \text{mult}V_1)$$

and this proves the second claim of the proposition. Also, the zero set of d is inner toral and this implies Z_q is inner toral, since $Z_q \subset Z_d$. This proves the first claim. \square

If V is a cyclic isopair, then in particular it is a cyclic subnormal pair, and so has another nice representation. For μ any compactly supported measure in \mathbb{C}^2 , let $P^2(\mu)$ denote the closure of the polynomials in $L^2(\mu)$. Then we have the following representation; see [5, 15] and references therein for details.

Theorem 1.17 *Let V be a cyclic isopair on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with cyclic vector u . Then there is a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{T}^2 and a unitary operator U from \mathcal{H} onto $P^2(\mu)$ that maps u to the constant function 1, and such that U intertwines V with the pair (M_z, M_w) of multiplication by the coordinate functions.*

Theorem 1.17 makes it easy to prove that the minimal polynomial of a pure algebraic isopair is square-free.

Lemma 1.18 *Suppose V is a pure p -isopair, and the irreducible factors of p are p_i , each with multiplicity t_i :*

$$p = \prod p_i^{t_i}.$$

Let $q = \prod p_i$. Then $q(V) = 0$.

PROOF: Choose some vector u . Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[V]u}$$

and let $T = V|_{\mathcal{K}}$. By Theorem 1.17, T is unitarily equivalent to (M_z, M_w) on some $P^2(\mu)$. As $p(T) = 0$, we must have that p vanishes on the support of μ . Therefore so does q , and so

$$\|q(V)u\|^2 = \int |q|^2 d\mu = 0.$$

As u was arbitrary, we must have that $q(V) = 0$. □

Lemma 1.19 *Suppose V is a pure q -isopair where $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ is a product of distinct irreducible factors and V is not annihilated by any factor of q . Then, q is inner toral and divides any polynomial that annihilates V .*

PROOF: First, we claim that any irreducible factor of q is inner toral. Let q_0 be an irreducible factor and write $q = q_0 q_1$. Then, $u := q_1(V)u_0 \neq 0$ for some u_0 in our Hilbert space. Consider the cyclic subspace \mathcal{K} generated by u

$$\mathcal{K} := \overline{\mathbb{C}[V]u} = \vee\{g(V)u : g \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\},$$

where \vee denotes the closed linear span. Let $T = V|_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the pure q_0 -isopair obtained by restricting V to the invariant subspace \mathcal{K} . By Lemma 1.12, q_0 must be inner toral. As q_0 was an arbitrary irreducible factor, all factors of q are inner toral, and this implies q is inner toral.

Also, if $g(V) = 0$ for some $g \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$, then $g(T) = 0$ and by Lemma 1.12 this implies q_0 divides g . As q_0 was an arbitrary irreducible factor of q , we see that q divides g . This proves the second claim of the lemma. \square

Putting together what we have proved, we get the following theorem, which contains Theorem 1.8.

Theorem 1.20 *Let V be a pure algebraic isopair. Then there exists a square-free inner toral polynomial q that annihilates V . Moreover, if p is any polynomial that annihilates V , then q divides p .*

We shall call the polynomial q the *minimal polynomial* of V .

2 Decomposition of algebraic isopairs

In this section we show how algebraic isopairs are *nearly* a direct sum of algebraic isopairs annihilated by irreducible polynomials.

Theorem 2.1 *Let V be a pure algebraic isopair with minimal polynomial q , and let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N be the (distinct) irreducible factors of q . If V has finite multiplicity, then V has a finite codimension invariant subspace \mathcal{K} on which*

$$V|_{\mathcal{K}} = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_N$$

where W_j is a q_j -isopair, $j = 1, \dots, N$.

As a quick example, consider the reducible algebraic set $z^2 = w^2$. We can define a Hilbert space by defining

$$\|p\|^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta}, -e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$$

for each $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ and then completing this to an H^2 space. The pair (M_z, M_w) will be a $(z^2 - w^2)$ -isopair and the decomposition from the above proposition consists of letting \mathcal{K} be the functions that vanish at $(0, 0)$, and dividing this Hilbert space into the functions that are a multiple of $z - w$ and those that are a multiple of $z + w$. \mathcal{K}^\perp is the constant functions.

Before we prove the theorem we need the following.

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ is inner toral and reducible $p = p_1 p_2$. If V is a pure p -isopair, then*

$$\text{ran } p_1(V) \perp \text{ran } p_2(V)$$

(*ran denotes the range*).

PROOF: Since p_1 is inner toral, it is a fact that p_1 is symmetric in the sense that

$$z^n w^m \overline{p_1(1/\bar{z}, 1/\bar{w})} = \mu p_1(z, w)$$

where μ is a unimodular constant and (n, m) is the degree of p_1 (see [13]). In fact, we may assume $\mu = 1$ by replacing p_1 with an appropriate constant multiple. Hence, if we write

$$p_1(z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m a_{jk} z^j w^k$$

it follows that $\overline{a_{jk}} = a_{(n-j)(m-k)}$. This can be used to deduce

$$p_1(V)^* V_1^n V_2^m = p_1(V)$$

since V_1, V_2 are isometries.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1(V)^*p_2(V) &= p_1(V)^*(V_1^nV_2^m)^*V_1^nV_2^mp_2(V) \\
&= (V_1^nV_2^m)^*p_1(V)^*V_1^nV_2^mp_2(V) \\
&= (V_1^nV_2^m)^*p_1(V)p_2(V) \\
&= (V_1^nV_2^m)^*p(V) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

So, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle p_2(V)f, p_1(V)g \rangle = \langle p_1(V)^*p_2(V)f, g \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\text{ran } p_1(V) \perp \text{ran } p_2(V)$$

□

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1: Let $p = q_2q_3 \cdots q_N$ (where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N come from the statement of the proposition). We will show that V has a finite codimension invariant subspace on which V is the direct sum of a q_1 -isopair and a p -isopair. The proposition will then follow by induction.

By Lemma 2.2, $\text{ran } q_1(V)$ and $\text{ran } p(V)$ are orthogonal. Let $\mathcal{K}' = (\text{ran } q_1(V) + \text{ran } p(V))^\perp$. The assumption that V has finite multiplicity implies that \mathcal{K}' is finite dimensional as follows. First note that as in the previous lemma we may assume that p and q_1 are symmetric, so that we have the formulas:

$$p(V)^* = V_1^{*n}V_2^{*m}p(V) \quad q_1(V)^* = V_1^{*j}V_2^{*k}q_1(V)$$

where the degree of p is (n, m) and the degree of q_1 is (j, k) . If $f \in \mathcal{K}'$, then $0 = p(V)^*f = V_1^{*n}V_2^{*m}p(V)f$ and $0 = q_1(V)^*f = V_1^{*j}V_2^{*k}q_1(V)f$. Since V is assumed to have finite multiplicity, the kernels of $V_1^{*n}V_2^{*m}$ and $V_1^{*j}V_2^{*k}$ are both finite dimensional. Hence, the ranges of $p(V) |_{\mathcal{K}'}$ and $q_1(V) |_{\mathcal{K}'}$ are both finite dimensional (since they map into $\ker V_1^{*n}V_2^{*m}$ and $\ker V_1^{*j}V_2^{*k}$ respectively).

Now, since q_1 and p are relatively prime, there exist nonzero polynomials $A, B \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$, $C \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that

$$A(z, w)q_1(z, w) + B(z, w)p(z, w) = C(z)$$

(let C be the resultant of q_1 and p). Substituting V

$$A(V)q_1(V) + B(V)p(V) = C(V_1)$$

it is then apparent that $C(V_1) |_{\mathcal{K}'}$ has finite dimensional range. If \mathcal{K}' were infinite dimensional then $C(V_1)$ would have nontrivial kernel. This is impossible (a pure isometry cannot have eigenvalues for instance), so \mathcal{K}' is finite dimensional.

It is clear that $\mathcal{K}_1 = \text{ran } p(V)$ and $\mathcal{K}_2 = \text{ran } q_1(V)$ are mutually orthogonal invariant subspaces for V . Also, $V |_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ is a q_1 -isopair and $V |_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ is a p -isopair. Since $\mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$ has finite codimension, the proposition is proved with $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$. \square

3 Nearly cyclic isopairs

Definition 3.1 *An isopair V is nearly cyclic if there is a vector u such that*

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}[V]u} = \vee\{g(V)u : g \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\}$$

is of finite codimension.

For example, the pair (M_{z^2}, M_{z^3}) on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$, is not cyclic, because for any $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, we can find a function g orthogonal to $\mathbb{C}[z^2, z^3]f$. Namely, write

$$f(z) = a + bz + \text{higher order terms}$$

and define $g(z) = -\bar{b} + \bar{a}z$. Then, $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ and since g is linear it is orthogonal to multiples of z^2 . On the other hand, the pair (M_{z^2}, M_{z^3}) is *nearly cyclic*:

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}[M_{z^2}, M_{z^3}]1} = H^2 \ominus \mathbb{C}\{z\}.$$

Definition 3.2 *Two isopairs $V = (V_1, V_2)$ on \mathcal{H} and $V' = (V'_1, V'_2)$ on \mathcal{H}' are nearly unitarily equivalent if there is a finite codimension V -invariant subspace \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H} , a finite codimensional V' -invariant subspace \mathcal{K}' of \mathcal{H}' , and unitary operators $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$ and $U' : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} UV_r U^* &= V'_r|_{\mathcal{K}'} & r = 1, 2 \\ U' V'_r U'^* &= V_r|_{\mathcal{K}} & r = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

(In words, each one is unitarily equivalent to the other restricted to a finite codimensional invariant subspace).

The principal result of this section is:

Theorem 3.3 *Any two nearly cyclic pure isopairs are nearly unitarily equivalent if and only if they have the same minimal polynomial.*

For the rest of this section, fix some square-free inner toral polynomial q .

The necessity is obvious, as restricting an algebraic isopair to a finite codimensional invariant subspace does not change the minimal polynomial. Let us give an overhead view of the proof of sufficiency in Theorem 3.3. To show any two nearly cyclic pure algebraic isopairs with the same annihilating polynomial are nearly equivalent it suffices to show (1) that any such nearly cyclic isopair has a finite dimensional extension to a cyclic isopair (and therefore the nearly cyclic isopairs can be extended *and* restricted to cyclic ones) and (2) that all such cyclic isopairs are nearly unitarily equivalent to one particular choice of a nearly cyclic isopair W . The idea is if V is a given nearly cyclic isopair then we have the following diagram

$$W \rightarrow \text{some cyclic} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{some cyclic} \rightarrow W$$

where the arrows denote restrictions to finite codimensional invariant subspaces.

The study of cyclic isopairs and the construction of W requires us to lift many of our questions to a finite Riemann surface that desingularizes $Z_q \cap \mathbb{D}^2$.

Let $\Omega = Z_q \cap \mathbb{D}^2$. As described in [2], there is a finite Riemann surface S and a holomap h from S onto Ω (a holomap is a proper holomorphic map that is one-to-one and non-singular except on finitely many points). We shall let $A(S)$ denote the algebra of functions that are holomorphic on S and continuous up to the boundary, and we shall let $A_h(S)$ be the finite codimensional subalgebra that is the closure of polynomials in $h = (h_1, h_2)$. Note that $A_h(S)$ can be described by a finite number of (homogeneous) linear relations on derivatives of elements of $A(S)$ at a finite number of specified points. This implies that we can find an element of $g \in A_h(S)$ that multiplies $A(S)$ into $A_h(S)$:

$$gA(S) \subset A_h(S).$$

We simply must choose g to vanish to sufficient order at a finite number of points.

Let ω be harmonic measure on S at some point z_0 , fixed hereinafter. Let $A^2(\omega)$ be the closure in $L^2(\omega)$ of $A(S)$ and let W be $M_h = (M_{h_1}, M_{h_2})$ on $A^2(\omega)$. Our eventual goal is to show that every cyclic isopair is nearly unitarily equivalent to W .

In addition, we can elaborate on the structure of cyclic isopairs via h . Let V be a cyclic q -isopair. We may model V as multiplication by coordinate functions (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu)$ for some measure μ on $Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$. Let $\nu = h^*(\mu)$ be the pull-back of μ to $X := \partial S$ (i.e. $\nu(E) = \mu(h(E))$ for any Borel subset of X). Let $A^2(\nu)$ be the closure in $L^2(\nu)$ of $A(S)$, and let $A_h^2(\nu)$ be the closure of $A_h(S)$ in $L^2(\nu)$.

Then V is unitarily equivalent to $M_h = (M_{h_1}, M_{h_2})$ on $A_h^2(\nu)$. As $A_h^2(\nu)$ is of finite codimension in $A^2(\nu)$, V has a finite-dimensional extension to an isopair V^S that is unitarily equivalent to M_h on $A^2(\nu)$. Let us record these observations and a few more.

Lemma 3.4 *If V is a cyclic pure q -isopair, then there exists a positive Borel measure ν on X such that V is unitarily equivalent to M_h on $A_h^2(\nu)$. Further-*

more, the measures ν and ω are mutually absolutely continuous and satisfy

$$\int \log \frac{d\nu}{d\omega} d\omega > -\infty.$$

PROOF: By a result of J. Wermer [21], the algebra $A(S)$ is a hypo-Dirichlet algebra on $X = \partial S$, *i.e.* the real parts of functions in $A(S)$ form a finite codimensional subspace of $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. As V is pure, μ is non-atomic (an atom would yield a bounded point evaluation on $Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ and the corresponding evaluation kernel would be an eigenvector for V^*). Hence ν is non-atomic and V^S is also pure. (Note that a finite dimensional extension of a pure pair can only fail to be pure if the extension has a unitary summand and hence an eigenvalue. However, in this case we can multiply elements of $A^2(\nu)$ by some $g \in A_h(S)$ and produce an element of $A_h^2(\nu)$. An eigenvector f of V^S would then produce an eigenvector gf of V , contradicting purity of V .)

Therefore $A^2(\nu) \neq L^2(\nu)$, and $A^2(\nu)$ has no L^2 summand. Next, we claim that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to ω , using an argument from [14]. Indeed, let us write ν_a and ν_s for the absolutely continuous and singular parts of ν . Let E be an F_σ set such that $\nu_a(E) = 0$ and $\nu_s(X \setminus E) = 0$. By Forelli's lemma ([7, II.7.3], applicable here because of Corollary 1 to Theorem 3.1 of [4]), there is a sequence f_n in $A(S)$ with $\|f_n\|_X \leq 1$, and such that $f_n(x)$ tends to 0 for every x in E , and to 1 for ω -a.e. x . Some subsequence of f_n converges weak-* to a function g . By the dominated convergence theorem, $\int g d\omega = 1$, so $g = 1$ ω -a.e. Again using dominated convergence, we see that for every h in $L^2(\nu_s)$ we have $\int gh d\nu = 0$. Therefore $1 - g$, which is in $A^2(\nu) \cap L^\infty(\nu)$, agrees with the characteristic function of E ν -a.e., so

$$A^2(\nu) = A^2(\nu_a) \oplus A^2(\nu_s).$$

By the Kolmogorov-Krein theorem [7, V.8.1], $A^2(\nu_s) = L^2(\nu_s)$, so we conclude that ν_s is null, as claimed.

As $A^2(\nu) \neq L^2(\nu)$, it follows from the work of P. Ahern and D. Sarason on hypo-Dirichlet algebras [4, Corollary to Thm. 10.1], that

$$\int \log \frac{d\nu}{d\omega} d\omega > -\infty. \quad (3.5)$$

□

The next proposition allows us to dispense with dealing with *nearly* cyclic isopairs.

Proposition 3.6 *Any nearly cyclic pure q -isopair is unitarily equivalent to a cyclic pure q -isopair restricted to a finite codimensional invariant subspace.*

PROOF: Let V be a nearly cyclic pure q -isopair on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , let \mathcal{K} be a finite codimensional invariant subspace on which V is cyclic, and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{K}$ (a finite dimensional subspace). Since $V|_{\mathcal{K}}$ may be modeled as (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu)$ for some measure μ supported on $Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$, we shall simply identify $\mathcal{K} = P^2(\mu)$ and $V|_{\mathcal{K}} = (M_z, M_w)$. Then, the pair V can be written in block form as

$$V = \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{c} P^2(\mu) \quad \mathcal{F} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} (M_z, M_w) & (B_1, B_2) \\ 0 & (A_1, A_2) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} P^2(\mu) \\ \mathcal{F} \end{array} & \end{array}$$

where (A_1, A_2) is a pair of commuting contractions on the finite dimensional space \mathcal{F} that (by purity) have no unimodular eigenvalues.

Let $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a finite Blaschke product that annihilates A_1 :

$$u(A_1) = 0.$$

If we apply such a u to V_1 (we can do this since u 's power series is absolutely convergent in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$) we get an isometry

$$u(V_1) = \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{c} P^2(\mu) \quad \mathcal{F} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} M_u & u(B_1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} P^2(\mu) \\ \mathcal{F} \end{array} & \end{array}$$

where M_u is multiplication by u on $P^2(\mu)$. In particular, the range, say \mathcal{L} , of $u(V_1)$ is contained in $P^2(\mu)$. Therefore, $u(V_1) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ can be thought of as a Hilbert space isomorphism that intertwines V on \mathcal{H} and (M_z, M_w) on $\mathcal{L} \subset P^2(\mu)$. This proves V can be modeled as a restriction of (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu)$ (*i.e.* a cyclic pair) to an invariant subspace (*i.e.* \mathcal{L}). The key thing left to prove is that \mathcal{L} has finite codimension in $P^2(\mu)$. For this it suffices to prove $uP^2(\mu)$ has finite codimension in $P^2(\mu)$ since $uP^2(\mu) \subset \mathcal{L}$.

To see this, it helps to use the $A^2(\nu)$ model described above (in Lemma 3.4). Namely, we need to prove $u(h_1)A_h^2(\nu)$ has finite codimension in $A_h^2(\nu)$. Since $A_h^2(\nu)$ has finite codimension in $A^2(\nu)$, it suffices to prove $u(h_1)A^2(\nu)$ has finite codimension in $A^2(\nu)$. This follows from the fact that $u(z)$ vanishes finitely often among $(z, w) \in Z_q$ (and therefore $u(h_1)$ has finitely many zeros on S) and so any analytic function f in $A(S)$ which vanishes to higher order at $u(h_1)$'s zeros than $u(h_1)$, is a multiple of $u(h_1)$: $f/(u(h_1)) \in A(S)$. \square

Thus, any nearly cyclic has a finite dimensional extension to a cyclic and by definition a finite codimension restriction to a cyclic. So, now we let V be a pure cyclic q -isopair, which we think of as M_h on $A_h^2(\nu)$ and we let V^S be the extension of V to $A^2(\nu)$. The following lemma is really a chain of lemmas, since we prefer to introduce ideas from references as we need them. Recall that W refers to M_h on $A^2(\omega)$.

Lemma 3.7 *With notation as above, V is unitarily equivalent to W restricted to a finite codimensional invariant subspace.*

PROOF: Suppose we can find a function f in $A^2(\omega)$ that is *nearly outer*, in the sense that the invariant subspace it generates,

$$[f] := \overline{A(S)f},$$

is of finite codimension, and such that $|f|^2 = \frac{d\nu}{d\omega}$. Let

$$[f]_W := \overline{\mathbb{C}[W]f} = \overline{A_h(S)f},$$

which will be of finite codimension in $[f]$.

Then the map $g \cdot f \mapsto g$ extends to a unitary between $[f]_W$ in $A^2(\omega)$ and $A_h^2(\nu)$ that intertwines $W|_{[f]}$ and V . By Lemma 3.9, which we prove below, such a nearly outer function exists. \square

When does a nearly outer function exist with a given log-integrable modulus? Let L be the codimension of $Re(A(S))$ in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.

Ahern and Sarason proved that any log-integrable positive function can be written as $|f|^2$ for some f in $A^2(\omega)$, and they conjectured that f can be chosen so that $[f]$ is of codimension no more than the codimension of $\Re(A(S))$ in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ [4]. This conjecture is still open, though it has been proved in the planar case by G. Tumarkin and S.Ya. Khavinson [19].

However, using results of S.Ya. Khavinson [11, 12] for general finite Riemann surfaces, which generalize results of D. Khavinson for the planar case [9, 10], we can prove that f can be chosen with $[f]$ of finite codimension.

The idea is that when we write down the Green integral (or Poisson integral) of a measure, the resulting harmonic function's conjugate function will in general be multi-valued. To fix this, we need to worry about the periods on a homology basis K_r for S . There are $L = 2h + n - 1$ such curves, where S has h handles and n boundary components. Choose L disjoint arcs Δ_j in ∂S , and positive measures ν_j supported on each arc, so that each ν_j is boundedly absolutely continuous with respect to ω (in fact we may simply take ν_j to be harmonic measure restricted to Δ_j). Khavinson shows that after shrinking Δ_j if necessary, the matrix A of periods of the harmonic conjugate of the Green integrals of the ν_j along the curves K_r is non-singular [11], and hence may be used to "correct" the periods of other functions (while at the same time we have some control over what is happening on the boundary).

The Green kernel is defined by

$$P(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_\zeta} G(z, \zeta)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_\zeta} G(z_0, \zeta)} \quad z \in S, \zeta \in \partial S,$$

where $G(z, \zeta)$ is the Green's function with pole at z , and n_ζ is the outward normal. The Green integral of a measure ν is then

$$\int_{\partial S} P(z, \zeta) d\nu(\zeta).$$

(Note we are using z, ζ to refer to points of S , while these letters are typically reserved for uniformizers on Riemann surfaces.)

Let

$$\omega_j(z) = \int_{\Delta_j} P(z, \zeta) d\nu_j(\zeta).$$

Let us explicitly define:

Definition 3.8 A function $f \in A^2(\omega)$ is nearly outer if

$$[f] := \overline{A(S)f}$$

has finite codimension in $A^2(\omega)$.

Lemma 3.9 Any log-integrable function w on ∂S is the modulus squared of a nearly outer function.

PROOF: First, we write down the Green integral of $1/2 \log w$

$$\int_{\partial S} P(z, \zeta) \frac{1}{2} \log w(\zeta) d\omega(\zeta).$$

There exist real constants λ_j such that

$$h(z) = \int_{\partial S} P(z, \zeta) \left[\frac{1}{2} \log w(\zeta) d\omega(\zeta) - \sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_j d\nu_j(\zeta) \right] \quad (3.6)$$

has a single-valued harmonic conjugate $*h$, and h has boundary values given by

$$h(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \log w(\zeta) & \zeta \in \partial S \setminus \cup \Delta_j \\ \frac{1}{2} \log w(\zeta) - \lambda_j \frac{d\nu_j}{d\omega}(\zeta) & \zeta \in \Delta_j \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$hd\omega = (1/2) \log w d\omega - \sum_j \lambda_j d\nu_j. \quad (3.7)$$

The function

$$g(z) = \exp(h(z) + i * h(z))$$

is outer in the sense that

$$\log |g(z_0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} \log |g(\zeta)| d\omega(\zeta)$$

(by (3.6) and (3.7) since $P(z_0, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$).

It follows from [4] (Theorem 7.1 and the discussion following Theorem 9.1) that it is also outer in the sense that $[g]$ is all of $A^2(\nu)$. If we can find a finite Blaschke product F whose modulus on the boundary is

$$\log |F(\zeta)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \zeta \in \partial S \setminus \cup \Delta_j \\ \lambda_j \frac{d\nu_j}{d\omega}(\zeta) & \zeta \in \Delta_j, \end{cases}, \quad (3.10)$$

then $f = Fg$ will be a nearly outer function (g is outer and any function that vanishes on the zeros of F will be divisible by F) satisfying $|f|^2 = w$ a.e. on ∂S . We shall prove F exists in Lemma 3.11. \square

Following Schiffer-Spencer [16], Khavinson defines a basis for the space of abelian differentials of the first kind via the Green's function. Specifically, dZ_j is defined using the local expression

$$Z'_j(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{K_j} \frac{\partial^2 G(z, \zeta)}{\partial z \partial \zeta} d\zeta$$

or

$$\text{Im } Z_j(z) = 2i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{K_j} \frac{\partial G(z, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta} d\zeta$$

where again K_1, \dots, K_L form a canonical homology basis for S . It should be noted that $\text{Im } Z_j$ is single valued on $S \setminus K_j$ (and hence single valued everywhere when K_j is a boundary cycle), but has a jump across K_j when K_j is a cycle corresponding to a handle.

Lemma 3.11 *Given real constants λ_j , $j = 1, \dots, L$, there exists a bounded holomorphic function $F : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with finitely many zeros in S and boundary modulus satisfying*

$$\log |F(\zeta)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \zeta \in \partial S \setminus \cup \Delta_j \\ \lambda_j \frac{d\nu_j}{d\omega}(\zeta) & \zeta \in \Delta_j \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

PROOF: Khavinson shows that for each point α in S there is a function $B(z; \alpha)$ that has a single zero at α , and such that

$$\log |B(z; \alpha)| = \begin{cases} 0 & z \in \partial S \setminus \cup \Delta_j \\ c_j \frac{d\nu_j}{d\omega} & z \in \Delta_j \end{cases}. \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover, one finds the c_j (real constants) by using the (invertible) period matrix A and the abelian differentials dZ_j by the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_L \end{pmatrix} = -2\pi A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Im } Z_1(\alpha) \\ \vdots \\ \text{Im } Z_L(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, for each $(d_1, \dots, d_L)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^L$, if we set

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_L \end{pmatrix} = -2\pi A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}$$

then there is a holomorphic function B on S with *no zeros* and boundary modulus values satisfying equation (3.13).

Therefore to prove the lemma it suffices to show that we can take a finite positive integer combination of vectors of the form $(\text{Im } Z_1(\alpha), \dots, \text{Im } Z_L(\alpha))^t$ and obtain every element of $\mathbb{R}^L / \mathbb{Z}^L$, for then we could find a combination satisfying

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} A \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_L \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} \text{Im } Z_1(\alpha_j) \\ \vdots \\ \text{Im } Z_L(\alpha_j) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{mod } \mathbb{Z}^L$$

and upon modifying it by an element $(d_1, \dots, d_L)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^L$, we would obtain a finite Blaschke product with zeros at the $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ and the desired boundary modulus values. For this it suffices to prove the following claim.

Claim: As $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L$ vary over S , the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r=1}^L \operatorname{Im} Z_1(\alpha_r) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{r=1}^L \operatorname{Im} Z_L(\alpha_r) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.14)$$

have interior in \mathbb{R}^L .

Indeed, given the claim, it follows that there is some finite N so that sums of N vectors of the form (3.14) (*i.e.* with LN points α_r) form a ball large enough that it covers an entire cell of $\mathbb{R}^L/\mathbb{Z}^L$, and so a Blaschke product of degree LN will satisfy (3.12).

Proof of claim: There is no harm in assuming our argument takes place inside some coordinate neighborhood. Consider the derivative of (3.14) with respect to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_L$. If the L -by- L matrix $(Z'_j(\alpha_r))$ is of full rank, then for some choice of unimodular τ_r the real matrix $(\operatorname{Im}(\tau_r Z'_j(\alpha_r)))$ is invertible (a linear algebra exercise). This proves the claim in this case because if we replace α_r by $\tau_r \alpha_r$ in (3.14) and take derivatives we get a nonsingular Jacobian matrix.

Otherwise, there are real numbers c_j , not all zero, so that, for every $1 \leq r \leq L$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^L c_j Z'_j(\alpha_r) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

But (3.15) means that the differential $\sum c_j dZ_j$, which extends by reflection to the double of S , vanishes at L points in S and L more on the reflection. The double has genus L , and so a differential of the first kind must have exactly $2L - 2$ zeroes (see [16] (3.5.1)). As the dZ_j 's are linearly independent, this forces all the c_j 's to be zero, a contradiction. \square

This chain of lemmas completes the proof of Lemma 3.7, which says that any cyclic V is a finite codimensional restriction of W .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3. In light of Lemma 3.7, it remains to show that V , a cyclic q -isopair, can be restricted to a finite codimensional subspace to become unitarily equivalent to W . Again we view V as M_h on $A_h^2(\nu)$. Now $A_h(S)$ has finite codimension in $A(S)$ and is defined by a finite number of linear relations on derivatives (at a finite number of points) of elements of $A(S)$ (see [2]). In particular, any element of $A^2(\nu)$ that vanishes to high enough order at these finite points will be inside $A_h^2(\nu)$. This can be accomplished by multiplying $A^2(\nu)$ by an appropriate finite Blaschke product F . Then, $FA^2(\nu) \subset A_h^2(\nu)$ and the operator M_h restricted to $FA^2(\nu)$ is unitarily equivalent to M_h on $A^2(\sigma)$ where $\sigma = |F|^2\nu$. This proves V has a finite codimension restriction to M_h on $A^2(\sigma)$.

So it suffices to show that one can find a unitary equivalence between M_h on $A^2(\sigma)$ restricted to an invariant subspace of finite codimension and M_h on $A^2(\omega)$. But this can be done by finding a nearly outer function f with modulus $|f|^2 = \frac{d\omega}{d\sigma}$ just as in Lemma 3.9. \square

We can translate the above result into the matrix models and get the following result.

Corollary 3.16 *Suppose (M_z, M_Φ) and (M_z, M_Ψ) are two nearly cyclic q -isopairs on $H^2(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ (where as usual Φ and Ψ are $k \times k$ matrix valued rational inner functions on \mathbb{D}). Then there exists a matrix valued rational inner function F such that*

$$\Phi(z) = F(z)\Psi(z)F(z)^{-1} \tag{3.17}$$

Note that in general an expression like $F\Psi F^{-1}$ need not be holomorphic in the disk.

PROOF: By Theorem 3.3, (M_z, M_Φ) has a restriction to a finite codimension invariant subspace \mathcal{K} that is unitarily equivalent to (M_z, M_Ψ) . Since \mathcal{K} is shift invariant and of finite codimension, it is of the form $F(H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k)$ where F is a rational matrix valued inner function. However, since \mathcal{K} is invariant under M_Φ , we see that $\Phi F(H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k) \subset F(H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k)$. This implies $G = F^{-1}\Phi F$

is holomorphic and also rational and inner. It is not hard to show that (M_z, M_Φ) on $F(H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k)$ is unitarily equivalent to the pair (M_z, M_G) on $H^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ (*i.e.* the map $Fg \mapsto g$ is the required Hilbert space isomorphism that intertwines the operators). Therefore, (M_z, M_G) and (M_z, M_Ψ) are unitarily equivalent. This can only occur if G and Ψ are unitarily equivalent. This proves (3.17) after replacing F with an appropriate unitary multiple. \square

4 Convex hulls

The operator-theoretic ideas of Sections 1 and 3 allow us to prove a result in function theory, Theorem 4.1 below. E.L. Stout has proved a similar result for irreducible analytic subvarieties (private communication).

The central issue is what one can say about the intersection of an algebraic set A with the two-torus in \mathbb{C}^2 . One immediate distinction is whether $A \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is large in the sense that no polynomial can vanish on $A \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ without vanishing identically on A ; if this holds we call the set A *toral*. (In two dimensions, as we are here, this just means that $A \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is infinite, though the definition makes sense in higher dimensions.) However, the two curves

$$A_1 = \{(z, w) : z = w\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \{(z, w) : zw = 1\}$$

are both toral, yet $X_1 = A_1 \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ and $X_2 = A_2 \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ are qualitatively different. The first bounds an analytic disk in \mathbb{D}^2 ; the second does not. Theorem 4.1 says that one way to understand this is to observe that A_1 is a distinguished variety, and A_2 is not.

We wish to exclude curves that contain horizontal or vertical planes (*i.e.* zero sets of polynomials $z - \zeta_1$ or $w - \zeta_2$). If ζ_r is unimodular, such a zero set fills a disk in the boundary of \mathbb{D}^2 , and the polynomial hull of the intersection of this disk with the torus is the closed disk. So, we exclude linear factors

to make the statement of the theorem concise; however one could drop this restriction and conclude that the set X is not polynomially convex if and only if q has a factor that is either inner toral or of the form $(z - e^{i\theta})$ or $(w - e^{i\theta})$.

Recall that a polynomial q is inner toral if $Z_q \subseteq \mathbb{D}^2 \cup \mathbb{T}^2 \cup \mathbb{E}^2$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let q be a polynomial in two variables with no linear factors. Then $Y = Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is polynomially convex if and only if q has no inner toral factor.*

PROOF: (i) First assume that Y is not polynomially convex, so there is some point ζ in the polynomial hull of Y that is not in Y . As every point of \mathbb{T}^2 is a peak point for $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$, we cannot have ζ in \mathbb{T}^2 . There exists a complex measure λ supported on Y so that

$$p(\zeta) = \int_Y p d\lambda \quad (4.2)$$

for all polynomials p . Let $d\mu = |d\lambda|$ be the total variation of λ . Then by (4.2), for every polynomial p we have

$$|p(\zeta)| \leq C \left[\int_Y |p|^2 d\mu \right]^{1/2}. \quad (4.3)$$

(A point ζ satisfying inequality (4.3) for all polynomials p is called a *bounded point evaluation* for $P^2(\mu)$.)

Claim: $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}^2$.

Else, some component, say ζ_1 , is unimodular. Applying (4.3) to polynomials of the form

$$p(z, w) = \left(\frac{z + \zeta_1}{2} \right)^n r(w)$$

and letting n tend to infinity, we would get

$$|r(\zeta_2)| \leq C \left[\int_{Y \cap \{z=\zeta_1\}} |r|^2 d\mu \right]^{1/2}. \quad (4.4)$$

As ζ_2 is in \mathbb{D} , (4.4) asserts that the measure $\mu|_{Y \cap \{z=\zeta_1\}}$ is a measure on the circle that has a bounded point evaluation inside the disk. By Szegő's theorem [17], this means that $Y \cap \{z = \zeta_1\}$ must be the whole circle $\zeta_1 \times \mathbb{T}$, and so q must have $(z - \zeta_1)$ as a factor, contrary to assumption. \triangleleft

Let V_1 be (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu)$, and let V be the pure part of V_1 . By Lemma 4.5, V is non-zero. So, by Theorem 1.20, there is some square-free inner toral polynomial p such that $p(V) = 0$, and $p = 0$ μ_a -a.e. As the support of μ_a is $\mathbb{Z}_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$, and q is toral, this means that p vanishes on Z_q and therefore is a factor of q .

(ii) Suppose that q has a factor p that is inner toral. Then $Z_p \cap \mathbb{D}^2$, which is non-empty by Theorem 1.5, is contained in the polynomial hull of $Z_p \cap \mathbb{T}^2$, and hence of Y . \square

Lemma 4.5 *Suppose μ is a measure on $Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$, and $P^2(\mu)$ has a bounded point evaluation ζ in \mathbb{D}^2 . Then the isopair (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu)$ has a non-zero pure part, and this is unitarily equivalent to (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu_a)$, where μ_a is the part of μ that is absolutely continuous with respect to arc-length on \mathbb{T}^2 .*

PROOF: Using the notation of Section 3, every point in $h^{-1}(\zeta)$ (which can be more than one point if ζ is a point of multiplicity of q) is a bounded point evaluation for $A_h^2(\nu)$, and therefore for $A^2(\nu)$. Just as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, the Kolmogorov-Krein theorem says that

$$A^2(\nu) = A^2(\nu_a) \oplus L^2(\nu_s),$$

where ν_a and ν_s are, respectively, the absolutely continuous and singular parts of ν with respect to harmonic measure ω . Therefore, every point in S is a bounded point evaluation for $A^2(\nu_a)$. Pushing back down to $\Omega = Z_q \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ again, we find that every point is a bounded point evaluation for $P^2(\mu_a)$ where $\mu_a = h_*(\nu_a)$.

To see that (M_z, M_w) on $P^2(\mu_a)$ is pure, assume that one of the isometries, M_z say, has a unitary part. Then there is some function f of norm one in

$P^2(\mu_a)$ such that

$$\|M_z^{*n}f\| = \|P\bar{z}^n f\| = \|f\| \quad (4.6)$$

for all n , where P is the projection from $L^2(\mu_a)$ onto $P^2(\mu_a)$. From (4.6) we get that

$$M_z^{*n}f = P\bar{z}^n f = \bar{z}^n f \quad \forall n. \quad (4.7)$$

Choose some bounded point evaluation $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ in \mathbb{D}^2 of $P^2(\mu_a)$ such that $f(\zeta) \neq 0$. (Such a point must exist, for otherwise $f \circ h$ would be in $A_h^2(\nu_a)$ and vanish at every point of S , and so by [4] again would be identically zero.) Let k_ζ be the kernel function at ζ , *i.e.* the unique function in $P^2(\mu_a)$ satisfying

$$p(\zeta) = \langle p, k_\zeta \rangle \quad \forall \text{ polynomials } p.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\zeta) &= \langle z^n \bar{z}^n f, k_\zeta \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{z}^n f, P\bar{z}^n k_\zeta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|P\bar{z}^n k_\zeta\| \geq |f(\zeta)| \quad \forall n.$$

But

$$P\bar{z}^n k_\zeta = \bar{\zeta}_1^n k_\zeta,$$

and this must tend to zero as n goes to infinity. □

5 Non-cyclic algebraic isopairs

We do not understand algebraic isopairs that are not nearly cyclic.

Let us say that an isopair V is *essentially k -cyclic* if there are k vectors u_1, \dots, u_k so that

$$\vee \{p_1(V)u_1, \dots, p_k(V)u_k : p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]\}$$

is of finite codimension, and if no set of $k - 1$ vectors suffices.

Question 5.1 *Suppose V and V' are both essentially k -cyclic isopairs with the same minimal polynomial. Are they nearly unitarily equivalent?*

Question 5.2 *Are all essentially k -cyclic algebraic isopairs nearly equivalent to a direct sum of k cyclic algebraic isopairs?*

References

- [1] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy. Distinguished varieties. *Acta Math.*, 194:133–153, 2005.
- [2] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy. Hyperbolic algebraic and analytic curves. *Indiana Math. J.*, 56(6):2899–2933, 2007.
- [3] J. Agler, J.E. McCarthy, and M. Stankus. Geometry near the torus of zero-sets of holomorphic functions. *New York J. Math.*, 14:517–538, 2008.
- [4] P. Ahern and D. Sarason. The H^p spaces of a class of function algebras. *Acta Math.*, 117:123–163, 1967.
- [5] J.B. Conway. Towards a functional calculus for subnormal tuples: the minimal normal extension and approximation in several complex variables. In *Operator theory: operator algebras and applications, Part 1 (Durham, NH, 1988)*, number 51 in Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 105–112.
- [6] S.I. Fedorov. Harmonic analysis in a multiply connected domain i. *Math. USSR Sbornik*, 70:263–296, 1991.
- [7] T.W. Gamelin. *Uniform Algebras*. Chelsea, New York, 1984.
- [8] H. Helson. *Lectures on Invariant Subspaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1964.

- [9] D. Khavinson. On removal of periods of conjugate functions in multiply connected domains. *Michigan Math. J.*, 31(3):371–379, 1984.
- [10] D. Khavinson. Factorization theorems for different classes of analytic functions in multiply connected domains. *Pacific Math. J.*, 108(2):295–318, 1985.
- [11] S.Ya. Khavinson. Theory of factorization of single-valued analytic functions on compact Riemann surfaces with a boundary. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 44(4):113–156, 1989.
- [12] S.Ya. Khavinson. Factorization of univalent analytic functions on compact bordered Riemann surfaces. *J. Soviet Math.*, 63(2):275–290, 1993.
- [13] G. Knese. Polynomials defining distinguished varieties. To appear.
- [14] J.E. McCarthy. Quasismilarity of rationally cyclic subnormal operators. *J. Operator Theory*, 24:105–116, 1990.
- [15] J.E. McCarthy. Reflexivity of subnormal operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 161(2):359–370, 1993.
- [16] M. Schiffer and D.C. Spencer. *Functionals of finite Riemann surfaces*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1954.
- [17] G. Szegő. Beitrage zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen. *Math. Z.*, 6:167–202, 1920.
- [18] B. Szokefalvi-Nagy and C. Foiaş. *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [19] G.C. Tumarkin and S.Ya. Khavinson. On the existence of multiply-connected regions of single valued analytic functions with boundary values of given modulus. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 22:543–562, 1958. In Russian.

- [20] P. Vegulla. Geometry of distinguished varieties. To appear.
- [21] J. Wermer. Analytic disks in maximal ideal spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86:161–170, 1964.