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Two new courses have been added to the curriculum this year as a part of the four-year law course. One of these courses, Law and the Adjustment of the Individual, is being given the first semester, and the other, Law and Economic Problems, will be
given the second semester. Both courses are required for second-
year students enrolled under the four-year law course.

Mr. Arno C. Becht, who was last year a member of the faculty
of the University of Georgia School of Law, has been appointed
Assistant Professor of Law for 1940-1941. Mr. Becht will teach
courses in Labor Law, Sales, and Legislation, and will also act
as Faculty Advisor to the Washington University Law Quar-
terly.

The Law School has for the academic year 1940-1941 a total
enrollment of 158, with an entering class of 58. Of the entering
students, fourteen are taking the four-year law course which was
initiated last year for those students presenting only two years
of college work. The three-year law curriculum continues for
the benefit of those students having a degree or presenting at
least three years of college credit.

The total number of bound volumes in the Law Library has
now reached 52,106, including some very welcome gifts received
during the past year.

NOTES

GARNISHMENT IN MISSOURI—CONFLICT OF
LAWS PROBLEMS*

In this paper the term "garnishment" will be used to desig-
nate a proceeding against an intangible money debt as distin-
guished from a proceeding against tangible property. The pri-
mary problems in a garnishment proceeding when the facts pre-
sent a conflict of laws situation are jurisdictional. The question
most difficult to answer appears in a dual aspect: what facts
must concur before the forum will have such jurisdiction of the
persons and property involved that due process of law require-
ments will be met, and that the judgment will be entitled to full
faith and credit in other states? A second problem arises out
of the various jurisdictional difficulties: How can the interests
of the garnishee and the principal debtor best be protected in
the garnishment proceeding?

I

As related to garnishment proceedings the term "jurisdiction"
has two distinct connotations. The first of these relates to what

* This note follows in general the outline of problems considered in
Kennedy, Garnishment of Intangible Debts in New York (1926) 35 Yale
L. J. 689, to which the present writer is indebted.