

10-2016

Non-commutative automorphisms of bounded non-commutative domains

John E. McCarthy

Washington University in St Louis, mccarthy@wustl.edu

Richard M. Timoney

Follow this and additional works at: http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/math_facpubs



Part of the [Algebraic Geometry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

McCarthy, John E. and Timoney, Richard M., "Non-commutative automorphisms of bounded non-commutative domains" (2016). *Mathematics Faculty Publications*. Paper 38.

http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/math_facpubs/38

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

NC Automorphisms of nc-bounded domains

John E. McCarthy* Richard Timoney†

May 22, 2015

Abstract

We establish rigidity (or uniqueness) theorems for nc automorphisms which are natural extensions of classical results of H. Cartan and are improvements of recent results. We apply our results to nc-domains consisting of unit balls of rectangular matrices.

1 Introduction

Holomorphic automorphisms of domains in \mathbb{C}^d have been studied since the work of H. and E. Cartan in the 1930's [7], [6]. A holomorphic function can be thought of as a generalized polynomial, and they can be evaluated not just on tuples of complex numbers, but also on tuples of commuting matrices or commuting operators whose spectrum is in the domain of the function [21]. An nc-function (nc stands for non-commutative) is a generalization of a free polynomial, (*i.e.* a polynomial in non-commuting variables), and it is natural to evaluate them on tuples of matrices or operators.

To describe nc-functions (following [13] for instance), we must first establish some notation. Let \mathbb{M}_n denote the n -by- n complex matrices, and \mathbb{M}_n^d the d -tuples of n -by- n matrices. We shall let $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ denote the disjoint union $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n^d$. Given $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d)$ in \mathbb{M}_n^d and $y = (y^1, \dots, y^d)$ in \mathbb{M}_m^d , by $x \oplus y$ we mean the element $(x^1 \oplus y^1, \dots, x^d \oplus y^d)$ of \mathbb{M}_{m+n}^d . If $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{M}_n$, by sxt we mean (sx^1t, \dots, sx^dt) .

*Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1300280

†Supported by the Science Foundation Ireland under grant 11/RFP/MTH3187.

A free polynomial p in d variables can be thought of as a function defined on $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$, and as such it has the following properties:

- (i) If x is in \mathbb{M}_n^d , then $p(x) \in \mathbb{M}_n$.
- (ii) If x and y are in $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$, then $p(x \oplus y) = p(x) \oplus p(y)$.
- (iii) If $x \in \mathbb{M}_n^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is invertible, then $p(s^{-1}xs) = s^{-1}p(x)s$.

Definition 1. An nc-set is a set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ such that $\Omega_n := \Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ is an open set for each n , and such that Ω is closed with respect to direct sums and joint unitary equivalence (*i.e.* for all $x \in \Omega_n$ and for all u unitary in \mathbb{M}_n , we have $u^{-1}xu \in \Omega$). If an nc-set Ω has the property that Ω_n is connected for every n , we shall call it an nc-domain.

An nc-function is a function on an nc-set that mimics the properties (i) - (iii) above of free polynomials.

Definition 2. An nc-function f on an nc-set Ω is a function with the following three properties:

- (i) If x is in Ω_n , then $f(x) \in \mathbb{M}_n$ (we say f is graded if this occurs).
- (ii) If x and y are in Ω , then $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$.
- (iii) If $x \in \Omega_n$, $s \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is invertible, and $s^{-1}xs \in \Omega$, then $f(s^{-1}xs) = s^{-1}f(x)s$.

An nc-map Φ on an nc-domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ is a d -tuple of nc-functions. If Φ is an nc-map on Ω that is also a bijection onto Ω , we call it an nc-automorphism.

Bounded symmetric domains in \mathbb{C}^d have been characterized by E. Cartan [6], and in the course of the proof automorphisms of such domains were described.

We are interested in the following questions about nc-automorphisms.

Question 1. (Rigidity) If Φ is an nc-automorphism of Ω , is it uniquely determined by its action on $\Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ for some fixed n ?

Question 2. (Extendibility) If $F : \Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d \rightarrow \Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ is a biholomorphic map which respects similarities, is there an nc-automorphism $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\Phi|_{\Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d} = F$?

Question 3. What groups can arise as the automorphism group of an nc-domain?

A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ is called *nc-bounded* if for each n , there exists a constant M_n such that

$$\forall z \in \Omega_n, \quad \|z\| < M_n.$$

In Theorem 7 we show that one always has rigidity on nc-bounded domains that contain the origin. For such domains, the possible automorphism groups are therefore no more than certain subgroups of the automorphism groups of bounded domains (and we substantially answer questions 1, 2 and 3 for circular bounded domains that contain the origin). In Example 15, we show that many different domains can have the same automorphism groups.

In Section 4, we consider the Cartan domain of type I, the set R_{pq} of p -by- q contractive matrices. The obvious nc-domain containing this, where numbers are replaced by n -by- n matrices, we call \mathbf{R}_{pq} . In Theorem 13, we show that when $p \neq q$, all automorphisms of R_{pq} extend; but when $p = q$, only those automorphisms that do not involve the transpose extend.

In this paper, we restrict our attention to nc-bounded domains, as the unbounded case is much more complicated (see *e.g.* Example 9).

This note continues work of Popescu in [17, 18, 19] and of Helton, Klep, McCullough and Slingsland, in [12].

2 Background on nc-functions

The recent monograph [13] by D. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi & V. Vinnikov gives an introduction to nc-functions. Unless an additional hypothesis of continuity (or boundedness) is added, nc-functions can behave badly.

Example 3. Let $d = 1$, and define a function f on Jordan blocks by sending a Jordan block with 0 eigenvalues to the zero matrix of the same size, and a Jordan block with non-zero eigenvalues to the identity matrix of that size. Extend f by direct sums to any matrix in Jordan canonical form, and then by similarity to any matrix. The function f is then an nc-function which is manifestly discontinuous.

Let σ denote the disjoint union topology on $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$: a set U is in σ if and only if $U \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ is open for every n . (This topology is called the finitely open topology in [13]).

It was proved in [1] that if an nc-function f on an nc-set Ω is σ locally bounded, in the sense that

$$\forall z \in \Omega, \exists U \in \sigma \text{ s.t. } z \in U \text{ and } f|_{\Omega \cap U} \text{ is bounded,}$$

then $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{[1]}$ is σ - σ continuous, and in [10], it was shown that this in

turn implied that f was holomorphic, in the sense that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall z \in \Omega_n, \forall h \in \mathbb{M}_n^d, \quad \exists Df(z)[h] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} [f(z+th) - f(z)]. \quad (2.1)$$

Putting these results together, we conclude

Proposition 4. *An nc-map f into an nc-bounded domain is automatically σ - σ continuous, and holomorphic in the sense of (2.1).*

3 Rigidity

A domain is called *circular* if it is invariant under multiplication by unimodular scalars.

The following lemmas are classical and due to H. Cartan.

Lemma 5 (H. Cartan ([8, Théorème VII, p. 30])). *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ be a bounded domain, $z_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\phi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a biholomorphic automorphism with $\phi(z_0) = z_0$ and $\phi'(z_0) = I_n$. Then ϕ is the identity.*

Lemma 6 ([7], [8, Théorème VI]). *If \mathcal{D} is a bounded circular domain in \mathbb{C}^d containing 0, and $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a biholomorphic automorphism of \mathcal{D} with $F(0) = 0$, then F is the restriction to \mathcal{D} of an invertible linear map.*

Theorem 7. *Let Ω be an nc-domain that is nc-bounded. Let $\Phi = (\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^d)$ be an nc-automorphism of Ω . Suppose that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $0 \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_m^d$ and $(\Phi|_{\Omega_m})(0) = 0$.*

(i) *If in addition $(\Phi|_{\Omega_m})'(0)$ is the identity, then Φ is the identity on all of Ω .*

(ii) *If instead we suppose also that Ω is a circular nc-domain, then there is an invertible linear map F on \mathbb{C}^d such that $\Phi(Z) = (F \otimes \text{id}_n)(Z)$ for $Z \in \Omega_n$ (by which we mean that each d -tuple $(\Phi(Z))_{i,j}$ formed from the (i,j) coordinates of the d -tuple of $n \times n$ matrices $\Phi(Z)$ is given by $F(Z_{i,j})$ where $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^d)$, and $Z_{i,j} = (Z_{i,j}^1, \dots, Z_{i,j}^d)$ again denotes the (i,j) coordinates).*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 5, $\Phi|_{\Omega_m}$ is the identity.

As $\Omega_m = \Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_m^d$ is open, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $z = (z^1, \dots, z^d) \in \mathbb{M}_m^d$ has each $\|z^j\| < \varepsilon$, then $z \in \Omega_m$.

Now, fix a positive integer k . For $Z^j \in \mathbb{M}_{km}$, we write \hat{Z}^j for the d -tuple $(Z^1, \dots, Z^d) \in \mathbb{M}_{km}^d$ that has $Z^i = 0$ when $i \neq j$, and whose j^{th} entry is Z^j . If Z^j is the direct sum of k matrices from \mathbb{M}_m , and if $\|Z^j\| \leq \varepsilon$, then $\Phi(\hat{Z}^j) = \hat{Z}^j$, by the direct sum property of nc-maps. As this applies to ζZ^j for $|\zeta| < 1$ ($\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$), it follows that the directional derivative $((\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0))(\hat{Z}^j) = \hat{Z}^j$. By linearity of the Fréchet derivative $(\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0)$ we may drop the restriction that $\|Z^j\| < \varepsilon$. In particular the conclusion holds when $Z^j \in \mathbb{M}_{km}$ is a diagonal matrix.

By the chain rule and similarity invariance

$$(\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})(s^{-1}Zs) = s^{-1}(\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})(Z)s$$

of the map $\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}}$ (valid for all sufficiently small Z once s is fixed), we must have that $(\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0)$ has the invariance property

$$((\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0))(s^{-1}Zs) = s^{-1}(((\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0))(Z))s \quad (3.1)$$

Choosing Z^j diagonalisable and s such that $s^{-1}Z^j s$ is diagonal (3.1) yields $s^{-1}(((\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0))(\hat{Z}^j))s = s^{-1}\hat{Z}^j s$ and hence $((\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0))(\hat{Z}^j) = \hat{Z}^j$ provided Z^j is diagonalisable. By density of the diagonalisable matrices we can then make the same conclusion with Z^j arbitrary and then linearity of $(\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}})'(0)$ forces it to be the identity.

By Lemma 5 again, $\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}}$ must be the identity.

Now choose some n , not necessarily a multiple of m , such that Ω_n is non-empty. Let $Z \in \Omega_n$. The direct sum of m copies of Z is in Ω_{mn} , and $\Phi(\oplus_{i=1}^m Z) = \oplus_{i=1}^m Z$ by the first part of the proof. As Φ preserves direct sums, this means that $\Phi(Z) = Z$.

- (ii) By Lemma 6, we know $\Phi|_{\Omega_m}$ is linear, If $m = 1$ we take F to be $\Phi|_{\Omega_m}$. For $m > 1$ we need a brief argument to find F .

Similarity invariance

$$(\Phi|_{\Omega_m})(s^{-1}Zs) = s^{-1}(\Phi|_{\Omega_m})(Z)s$$

(guaranteed by Definition 2 to hold for $Z, s^{-1}Zs \in \Omega_m$) must hold globally for $Z \in \mathbb{M}_m^d$ in view of linearity. Let $E_{i,k}$ denote the standard matrix units in \mathbb{M}_m and choose $Z = (z^1 E_{1,1}, \dots, z^d E_{1,1})$ (so that Z is supported on the $(1,1)$ entries). Consider a block diagonal $s = 1 \oplus t$

with 1 in the (1, 1) entry but arbitrary invertible $(m - 1) \times (m - 1)$ block t . Since the matrices that commute with all such $1 \oplus t$ are those of the form $F \oplus \alpha I_{m-1}$ (for scalars F and α) we see that $\Phi|_{\Omega_m}(Z)$ must take the form

$$(F^1(z) \oplus \alpha^1(z)I_{m-1}, \dots, F^d(z) \oplus \alpha^d(z)I_{m-1}), \text{ with } z = (z^1, \dots, z^d),$$

for some scalar-valued linear $F^1, \dots, F^d, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d: \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

However, notice that (by Lemma 6) we also know that $\Phi|_{\Omega_{2m}}$ is linear, and by the direct sum property $\Phi(Z \oplus 0) = \Phi(Z) \oplus 0$. The similarity argument applied to \mathbb{M}_{2m}^d forces $\alpha^j = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq d$). Thus

$$\Phi|_{\Omega_m}(z^1 E_{1,1}, \dots, z^d E_{1,1}) = (F^1(z)E_{1,1}, \dots, F^d(z)E_{1,1})$$

(with $z = (z^1, \dots, z^d)$). Using similarity with s a transposition allows us to conclude that the same must hold for $E_{k,k}$ replacing $E_{1,1}$. Taking $s = I_n - E_{i,k}$ for $i \neq k$ we have $s^{-1}E_{ii}s = E_{ii} - E_{ik}$, and together with linearity we deduce the relation with $E_{k,k}$ replaced by $E_{i,k}$. Clearly $F = (F^1, \dots, F^d): \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ must be invertible (since Φ is) and we have the desired conclusion on Ω_m .

By Lemma 6, we know $\Phi|_{\Omega_{km}}$ is linear for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $Z^j \in \mathbb{M}_{km}$, if Z^j is diagonal we must have $\Phi(\hat{Z}^j)$ of the required form. The similarity property and density of the diagonalisable matrices in \mathbb{M}_{km} allows us to extend to arbitrary nonzero Z^j . Then by linearity this extends to arbitrary d -tuples $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^d) \in \mathbb{M}_{km}^d$.

Finally if Ω_n is nonempty for some n we can apply the result just obtained for Ω_{nm} together with the direct sum property for $Z \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$ (where $Z \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and we have $(m - 1)$ zero summands) to establish the desired conclusion for $\Phi|_{\Omega_n}$. \square

Popescu's Cartan uniqueness results [18, §1] can be viewed as similar in spirit for the case of special domains (row contractions) to Theorem 7. In the case $m = 1$, the result of [10, Corollary 4.1 (2)] is part (i) while [11, Theorem 21] implies (ii).

Example 8. The matrix polydisk. This is the set

$$\mathbf{D} = \{x \in \mathbb{M}^{[d]} : \|x^j\| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq d\}.$$

The set of automorphisms of \mathbf{D} is the set

$$\{\Phi(x) = \sigma \circ (m^1(x^1), \dots, m^d(x^d)) : \sigma \in \mathbf{S}_d, m^j \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Here \mathbf{S}_d is the symmetric group on d variables, and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is the Möbius group of automorphisms of the disk. Each Möbius transformation of the form

$$m(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z}$$

extends to matrices in the obvious way:

$$m(Z) = e^{i\theta} (Z - aI)(1 - \bar{a}Z)^{-1}.$$

Indeed, by von Neumann's inequality, every Φ in (3.2) extends to an automorphism of \mathbf{D} . That this comprises everything follows from observing that all automorphisms of the polydisk $\mathbb{D}^d = \mathbf{D} \cap \mathbb{M}_1^d$ are of this form, and so by Theorem 7 they have a unique extension to higher levels. As they are invertible, they must be automorphisms. \triangleleft

Example 9. Theorem 7 fails if boundedness is dropped. Consider, for example, the nc-set

$$\Omega = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{M}^{[3]} : \|xy - yx\| < 1\}.$$

Let

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = (x, y, z + h(xy - yx)),$$

where $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any non-constant entire function mapping 0 to 0. Then Φ is an automorphism, and $\Phi|_{\Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_1^3}$ is the identity, but Φ is not the identity on level 2. \triangleleft

4 Extendibility in \mathbf{R}_{pq}

Let R_{pq} denote the p -by- q matrices of norm less than 1. We shall extend this to an nc-domain in $\mathbb{M}^{[d]}$, where $d = pq$, by

$$\mathbf{R}_{pq} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{M}_n^d : \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x^1 & \dots & x^q \\ x^{q+1} & \dots & x^{2q} \\ \vdots & & \\ x^{(p-1)q+1} & \dots & x^{pq} \end{pmatrix} \right\| < 1\}.$$

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{M}_n^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{pq}^d$ be the map that takes d matrices and makes them into a block p -by- q matrix, filling in left to right and then top to bottom. Then $\mathbf{R}_{pq} = \{x : \|\gamma(x)\| < 1\}$. When we speak of an nc automorphism of \mathbf{R}_{pq} , strictly speaking we mean an nc automorphism of $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_{pq})$.

In the special case $q = 1$, R_{p1} is just the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^p , and its automorphisms are well-known (see *e.g.* [20, Thm. 2.2.5]). The set \mathbf{R}_{1q} , the row-contractions, was studied by G. Popescu in [16, 17] and [18].

The automorphisms of R_{pq} are given by a similar formula to the case of the ball. L. Harris showed [9] that they are of the following form.

Theorem 10. *[Harris] Every holomorphic automorphism of R_{pq} is of the form LH_A where L is a linear isometric automorphism of R_{pq} , A is an element of R_{pq} , and*

$$H_A(x) = (I_p - AA^*)^{-1/2}(x + A)(I_q + A^*x)^{-1}(I_q - A^*A)^{1/2}.$$

First, let us consider that automorphisms that map 0 to 0, which are the linear ones. K. Morita [14] classified the linear isometries of R_{pq} , and the square case differs from the rectangular case, because the transpose is an isometry.

Theorem 11. *[Morita] If $p \neq q$, all linear automorphisms of R_{pq} are of the form $x \mapsto UxV$, where U is a p -by- p unitary and V is a q -by- q unitary. If $p = q$, the set of linear automorphisms consists of $x \mapsto UxV$ and $x \mapsto Ux^tV$.*

The map $x \mapsto UxV$ extends to the nc automorphism of \mathbf{R}_{pq} given by $Z \mapsto (\text{id} \otimes U)Z(\text{id} \otimes V)$; but the transpose does not extend.

Lemma 12. *If $p > 1$, the map $x \mapsto x^t$ does not extend to an nc automorphism of \mathbf{R}_{pp} .*

Proof. Using Theorem 7, if the transpose did extend, the extension would map $(X_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{M}_p(\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ to $(X_{j,i})$, and so this reduces to the well-known fact that the transpose map is not a complete isometry of \mathbb{M}_p . \square

Let us turn now to H_A . The map H_A extends to an nc map from \mathbf{R}_{pq} to \mathbf{R}_{pq} given by

$$H_A(Z) = (I_{n,p} - \text{id} \otimes AA^*)^{-1/2}(Z + \text{id} \otimes A)(I_{n,q} + (\text{id} \otimes A^*)Z)^{-1}(I_{n,q} - \text{id} \otimes A^*A)^{1/2} \quad (4.1)$$

Here, id means $\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, and $I_{n,r}$ denotes $\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^r}$.

A calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id} - H_A(W)^*H_A(Z) &= (I_{n,q} - \text{id} \otimes A^*A)^{1/2}(I_{n,q} + W^*(\text{id} \otimes A))^{-1} \\ &\quad (I_{n,q} - W^*Z)(I_{n,q} + (\text{id} \otimes A^*)Z)^{-1}(I_{n,q} - \text{id} \otimes A^*A)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Letting $W = Z$ proves that H_A maps \mathbf{R}_{pq} to \mathbf{R}_{pq} , and as H_{-A} is the inverse of H_A , it must be an automorphism.

Putting these results together, we get the following theorem. The case $p = 1$ was proved by Popescu [18, Thm. 1.5]. The general case was proved by Helton, Klep, McCullough and Slinglend [12, Thm. 1.7], though their hypotheses are stronger. The linear case was proved by D. Blecher and D. Hay [5].

Theorem 13. *If $p \neq q$, then every automorphism of R_{pq} extends uniquely to an automorphism of \mathbf{R}_{pq} . If $p = q$, the automorphisms of the form $x \mapsto UH_A(x)V$ extend uniquely to \mathbf{R}_{pp} , and the automorphisms of the form $x \mapsto UH_A(x)^tV$ (when $p > 1$) do not extend to automorphisms of \mathbf{R}_{pp} .*

By a result of J. Ball and V. Bolotnikov [4], (see also [3] and [2]) H_A extends to an endomorphism of the *commuting* elements of $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_{pq})$ if and only if there is some function F so that

$$I - H_A(w)^*H_A(z) = F(w)^*(I - w^*z)F(z)$$

as a kernel on R_{pq} . This is true, as (4.2) shows. So the nc automorphisms of $\{x \in \gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_{pq}) : x^i x^j = x^j x^i, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}$ are the same as the nc automorphisms of $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_{pq})$. This phenomenon has also been explored in [1].

Question 4. The automorphisms of \mathbf{R}_{pq} are not transitive at any level $n \geq 2$. What can one say about the orbits?

Theorem 13 can be extended slightly. For S a subset of \mathbb{N} that is closed under addition, let $\mathbf{R}_{pq}(S)$ be defined by $\mathbf{R}_{pq}(S) \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ is $\mathbf{R}_{pq} \cap \mathbb{M}_n^d$ if $n \in S$, and empty otherwise.

Proposition 14. *Let S be any non-empty sub-semigroup of \mathbb{N} . Then the automorphisms of $\mathbf{R}_{pq}(S)$ are the same as the automorphisms of \mathbf{R}_{pq} , and are uniquely determined by their action on any non-empty level.*

Example 15. Extendibility can fail if the pieces of Ω at different levels are not somehow alike. For example, let $d = 1$, and $R > 1$. Define Ω by $\Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_1 = \mathbb{D}$,

and $\Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_n = \{x : \|x\| < R\}$. The automorphisms of $\Omega \cap \mathbb{M}_1$ are the Möbius maps, but only multiplication by $e^{i\theta}$ extends to be an automorphism of Ω .

But there are many other choices of nc-domain $\Omega \supset \mathbf{R}_{11}$ that have the same automorphism group. For example, let $r_1 = 1$, and let $(r_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be any non-decreasing sequence. Define Ω by

$$\Omega_n = \{x \in \mathbb{M}_n : \exists s \in \mathbb{M}_n, \text{ with } \|s\| \|s^{-1}\| \leq r_n, \text{ and } \|s^{-1}xs\| < 1\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then Ω is an nc-domain, and its automorphism group is the set of Möbius maps. \triangleleft

Question 5. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be a bounded symmetric domain. Is there an nc-domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}^{[d]}$ such that $\Omega_1 = U$ and such that the automorphism group of Ω equals the automorphism group of U ?

REMARK ADDED IN PROOF. In the recent preprint [15], Popescu studies automorphisms of a special class of nc-bounded circular nc-domains which he calls noncommutative polyballs. These are domains of the form $\mathbf{R}_{1q_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}_{1q_m}$, with the restriction that elements from distinct factors commute. For these domains, he proves among other things a version of Theorem 7 above, and characterizes all their automorphisms.

References

- [1] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy. Global holomorphic functions in several non-commuting variables. *Canad. J. Math.*, 67(2):241–285, 2015.
- [2] J. Agler, J.E. McCarthy, and N.J. Young. On the representation of holomorphic functions on polyhedra. *Michigan Math. J.*, 62(4):675–689, 2013.
- [3] C.-G. Ambrozie and D. Timotin. A von Neumann type inequality for certain domains in \mathbf{C}^n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131:859–869, 2003.
- [4] J.A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov. Realization and interpolation for Schur-Agler class functions on domains with matrix polynomial defining function in \mathbf{C}^n . *J. Funct. Anal.*, 213:45–87, 2004.
- [5] David P. Blecher and Damon M. Hay. Complete isometries—an illustration of noncommutative functional analysis. In *Function spaces*

- (Edwardsville, IL, 2002), volume 328 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 85–97. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [6] E. Cartan. Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l’espace de variables complexes. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 11(1):116–162, 1935.
 - [7] H. Cartan. Les transformations analytiques des domaines cerclés les uns dans les autres. *C. R.*, 190:718–720, 1930.
 - [8] H. Cartan. Les fonctions de deux variables complexes et le problème de la représentation analytiques. *J. de Math. pures et appl.*, 9:1–114, 1931.
 - [9] Lawrence A. Harris. Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces. In *Proceedings on Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy (Internat. Conf., Univ. Kentucky, Lexington, Ky., 1973)*, pages 13–40. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 364, Berlin, 1974. Springer.
 - [10] J. William Helton, Igor Klep, and Scott McCullough. Proper analytic free maps. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(5):1476–1490, 2011.
 - [11] J. William Helton, Igor Klep, and Scott McCullough. Free analysis, convexity and LMI domains. In *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 41*, pages 195–219. Springer, Basel, 2012.
 - [12] J. William Helton, Igor Klep, Scott McCullough, and Nick Slinglend. Noncommutative ball maps. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(1):47–87, 2009.
 - [13] Dmitry S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and Victor Vinnikov. *Foundations of free non-commutative function theory*. AMS, Providence, 2014.
 - [14] Kiiti Morita. Analytical characterization of displacements in general Poincaré space. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo*, 17:489–494, 1941.
 - [15] G. Popescu. Holomorphic automorphisms of noncommutative polyballs. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1502.07905.pdf>.
 - [16] G. Popescu. Von Neumann inequality for $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$. *Math. Scand.*, 68:292–304, 1991.
 - [17] Gelu Popescu. Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 241(1):268–333, 2006.

- [18] Gelu Popescu. Free holomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 638:119–168, 2010.
- [19] Gelu Popescu. Free biholomorphic classification of noncommutative domains. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (4):784–850, 2011.
- [20] W. Rudin. *Function Theory in the unit ball of C^n* . Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [21] J.L. Taylor. The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators. *Acta Math.*, 125:1–38, 1970.