

2012

# Mind the gap: a comparative study of migratory behavior in social amoebae

Owen M. Gilbert

Jennie J. Kuzdzal-Fick

*University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center*

David C. Queller

*Washington University in St Louis, queller@WUSTL.EDU*

Joan E. Strassmann

*Washington University in St Louis, strassmann@WUSTL.EDU*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/bio\\_facpubs](http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/bio_facpubs)

 Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Gilbert, Owen M.; Kuzdzal-Fick, Jennie J.; Queller, David C.; and Strassmann, Joan E., "Mind the gap: a comparative study of migratory behavior in social amoebae" (2012). *Biology Faculty Publications & Presentations*. Paper 14.

[http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/bio\\_facpubs/14](http://openscholarship.wustl.edu/bio_facpubs/14)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biology at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Publications & Presentations by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact [digital@wumail.wustl.edu](mailto:digital@wumail.wustl.edu).

# Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology

## Mind the gap: a comparative study of migratory behavior in social amoebae --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Full Title:</b>	Mind the gap: a comparative study of migratory behavior in social amoebae
<b>Article Type:</b>	Original Paper
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Owen Gilbert, Ph. D.  Dallas, TX UNITED STATES
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Owen Gilbert, Ph. D.
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Owen Gilbert, Ph. D. Jennie J. Kuzdzal-Fick, Ph. D. David C. Queller, Ph. D. Joan E. Strassmann, Ph. D.
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Social amoebae aggregate to form a multicellular slug that migrates some distance. Most species produce a stalk during migration, but some do not. We show that <i>D. giganteum</i>, a species that produces stalk during migration, is able to traverse small gaps and utilize bacterial resources following gap traversal by shedding live cells. In contrast, we found <i>D. discoideum</i>, a species that does not produce stalk during migration, can traverse gaps only when in the presence of other species' stalks, or other thin filaments. These findings suggest production of stalk during migration allows traversal of gaps, as commonly occur in soil and leaf litter. Considering the functional consequences of a stalked migration may be important for explaining the evolutionary maintenance or loss of a stalked migration.</p>
<b>Suggested Reviewers:</b>	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## **Mind the gap: a comparative study of migratory behavior in social amoebae**

### **Owen M. Gilbert**

6046 Penrose Avenue  
Dallas, TX 75206  
E-mail: owen.gilbert@gmail.com  
Phone: 512-585-9071

### **Jennie J. Kuzdzal-Fick**

Department of Systems Biology–Unit 950  
The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center  
7435 Fannin Street  
Houston, TX 77054  
E-mail: kuzdzalfick@gmail.com

### **David C. Queller**

Department of Biology  
Washington University in St. Louis  
Campus Box 1137  
One Brookings Drive  
St. Louis, MO 63130-4899  
E-mail: queller@wustl.edu

### **Joan E. Strassmann**

Department of Biology  
Washington University in St. Louis  
Campus Box 1137  
One Brookings Drive  
St. Louis, MO 63130-4899  
E-mail: strassmann@wustl.edu

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Abstract**

Social amoebae aggregate to form a multicellular slug that migrates some distance. Most species produce a stalk during migration, but some do not. We show that *D. giganteum*, a species that produces stalk during migration, is able to traverse small gaps and utilize bacterial resources following gap traversal by shedding live cells. In contrast, we found *D. discoideum*, a species that does not produce stalk during migration, can traverse gaps only when in the presence of other species' stalks, or other thin filaments. These findings suggest production of stalk during migration allows traversal of gaps, as commonly occur in soil and leaf litter. Considering the functional consequences of a stalked migration may be important for explaining the evolutionary maintenance or loss of a stalked migration.

**Keywords** cellular slime mold; *Dictyostelium*; development; inclusive fitness

## Introduction

One of the most striking examples of microbial altruism is the stalk formation of the amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum* (Raper 1984; Bonner 2009). In this species, cells aggregate to form a freely-migrating mass of amoebae (Raper 1984). This mass of cells resembles a slug, and can migrate across or through natural substrates following gradients of light, heat or gas (Raper 1984; Bonner and Lamont 2005; Castillo et al. 2005). In response to environmental stimuli such as increased light or a drop in humidity, the multicellular slug ceases migration and begins to form a vertical fruiting body (Raper 1984). The construction of the fruiting body involves differentiation of some cells to produce a dead stalk, which lifts other cells aloft as fertile spores (Raper 1984). A potential benefit of this stalk formation is an increased ability to be dispersed by highly mobile animals found above the surface of the soil (Suthers 1985; Stevenson and Landolt 1992; Bonner and Lamont 2005; Gilbert et al. 2007).

While the production of a stalked fruiting body has been a central focus of evolutionary studies of altruism (Gilbert et al. 2007), all species of social amoebae produce a stalked fruiting body (Raper 1984). For construction of hypotheses based on comparative data, it is useful to identify a trait that varies between species (Crespi 1996). A candidate trait is the production of stalk during migration (Raper 1984). Some species always form dead stalk as they migrate, others facultatively produce a dead stalk during migration depending on environmental conditions, and yet others exhibit a completely stalkless migration (Bonner 1982; Raper 1984; Kaushik and Nanjundiah 2003). The two stalkless-migrating species, *Dictyostelium polycephalum* and *D. discoideum* lost the

1  
2  
3  
4 stalked migration independently (Schaap et al. 2006). In both species, live cells are often  
5  
6 left behind migrating slugs, which may form fruiting bodies or regain vegetative growth  
7  
8 (Raper 1935; Raper 1956; Kuzdzal-Fick et al. 2007). Given this advantage correlated  
9  
10 with a stalkless migration, the question must be raised why most species migrate with a  
11  
12 stalk.  
13  
14

15  
16 One hypothesis to explain a stalked migration is it allows slugs to traverse gaps  
17  
18 that commonly occur in soil and leaf litter (Bonner 1982). We here test this hypothesis  
19  
20 by comparing two large and robust species, the stalked-migrating *Dictyostelium*  
21  
22 *giganteum* and the stalkless-migrating *D. discoideum* (Raper 1984). Both species  
23  
24 produce slugs of similar size that migrate toward light and large fruiting bodies with a  
25  
26 single spore head (Raper 1984). To test the effect of stalked migration on traversing  
27  
28 gaps, we provided directional light that stimulates both species to migrate across a 3-mm  
29  
30 gap cut in an agar substrate (Fig. 1). This obstacle presents a challenge to a slug that is a  
31  
32 maximum of about 3-mm in length (Raper 1984).  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 If a stalk-migrating species has a superior gap-traversing ability under this  
40  
41 condition, this suggest producing stalk during migration allows slugs to traverse gaps.  
42  
43 This raises the question of why some species lack this behavior. A first hypothesis to  
44  
45 explain why some species lack a stalked migration is stalkless-migrating species can  
46  
47 leave live cells behind as they migrate (Raper 1956; Kuzdzal-Fick et al. 2007), which  
48  
49 compensates for a reduced ability to traverse gaps (Bonner 1982). For this hypothesis to  
50  
51 hold, it must also be true that stalk-migrating species cannot exploit bacterial resources  
52  
53 during migration. To test this hypothesis, we tested the ability of migrating *D. giganteum*  
54  
55 slugs to exploit bacterial resources by shedding live cells. We also asked whether *D.*  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 *giganteum* slugs can exploit bacterial resource on the opposing side of a gap. If *D.*  
5  
6  
7 *giganteum* can also leave live cells behind as it migrates, then the ability to exploit  
8  
9 bacterial resources during migration is not correlated with a stalkless migration.  
10

11 An alternative explanation for why some species lack a stalked migration is only  
12 some species require their own stalks to traverse gaps. This could be the case, for  
13  
14 some species require their own stalks to traverse gaps. This could be the case, for  
15  
16 example, if some species have invented new ways to migrate or an ability to use other  
17  
18 species' stalks. For example, the stalkless-migrating *D. polycephalum* produces long and  
19  
20 thin slugs capable of soaring above a substrate and climbing between fungal stalks (Raper  
21  
22 1956). This suggests *D. polycephalum* might not require its own stalks to traverse gaps.  
23  
24 Likewise, *D. discoideum* can attach to stalks of members of its same species using its  
25  
26 basal disc as an anchor (Raper 1935). This suggests *D. discoideum* might use the stalks  
27  
28 of other species to traverse gaps or fruit. To test this hypothesis, we placed *D.*  
29  
30 *discoideum* in a position to use the stalks of *D. giganteum* to traverse a gap or fruit. We  
31  
32 also placed *D. discoideum* in a position to use small sections of line to traverse a gap.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

## 41 **Materials and methods**

### 42 43 44 45 46 **Gap-crossing performance**

47  
48  
49  
50 To examine the gap-crossing performance of the two species, we manipulated spatial  
51  
52 arrangements with respect to a gap on an agar plate (Fig. 1). We placed the two species  
53  
54 next to each other (Fig. 1a), *D. discoideum* behind *D. giganteum* (Fig. 1b) or *D.*  
55  
56 *discoideum* in front of *D. giganteum* (Fig. 1c). To ensure that our comparison reflects  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 species-specific rather than clone-specific differences, we used three clones of each  
5  
6 species. We used three *D. discoideum* clones, QS17, QS11, and QS4, isolated near  
7  
8 Mountain Lake Biological Station, VA (coordinates of isolation: 37°22'287", N,  
9  
10 80°31'04.2" W for all). These clones were distinguished as genetically distinct based on  
11  
12 five microsatellite loci (Fortunato et al. 2003). We isolated three *D. giganteum* clones,  
13  
14 QSgi25, Qsg26 and QSgi27 from locations at least 13 km apart in or near Houston, TX  
15  
16 (coordinates of isolation: 29° 45' 45.949' N, 95° 26' 48.31' W for QSgi25; 30° 2'  
17  
18 13.7040' N, 95° 46' 27.2281' W for Qsg26; and 30° 8' 0.0600' N, 95° 40' 31.7639' W  
19  
20 for QSgi27). We performed three replicates of each of the nine possible combinations of  
21  
22 clones for each spatial arrangement (81 plates total).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 To construct gaps, we poured 35 mL of buffered agar (1.98 g  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , 0.35 g  
30  
31  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 20 g agar per L ddH<sub>2</sub>O) into a 10-cm diameter plastic petri dish. Using a pair  
32  
33 of sterilized forceps, we cut a section of agar from the middle of the plate 3-mm wide at  
34  
35 the top and 11-mm wide at the base, and we placed 1 mL of mineral oil into the gap (Fig.  
36  
37 1d). The mineral oil served as a moat, ensuring that any slugs that fell into the gap were  
38  
39 unable to reach the opposite side. We then deposited a 75  $\mu\text{L}$  elongated oval strip of the  
40  
41 spore and bacteria mix parallel to the gap for each clone ( $5.0 \times 10^6$  *Dictyostelium* spores  
42  
43 and 1/30 plate of *Klebsiella aerogenes* bacteria grown on 35 mL SM (Sussman 1966)  
44  
45 agar for 4 days), about 5-mm from the gap. After depositing spore / bacteria solutions,  
46  
47 we allowed plates dry open in a laminar flow hood for two hours. We then replaced the  
48  
49 lid and wrapped the plates with aluminum foil. We cut a 1-mm diameter hole in the foil  
50  
51 in the middle of the plate on the opposing side of the gap from where the spore / bacteria  
52  
53 solutions were placed. We stacked these plates 45-90 cm from a 100-watt incandescent  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4 light bulb with the holes facing the light source. The directional light stimulated slugs of  
5  
6 both phototactic species to traverse the gap.  
7  
8

9 We unwrapped the plates after six days and counted the number of *D. giganteum*  
10  
11 slugs to have traversed the gap based on the number of stalks bridging the gap. We  
12  
13 counted the number of *D. discoideum* fruiting bodies to have traversed the gap or fruited  
14  
15 on *D. giganteum* stalks based on the unique phenotype of *D. discoideum*, including the  
16  
17 basal disk, tapered stalk, and stalkless migration (Raper 1984). We also deposited each  
18  
19 *D. discoideum* clone in the presence or absence of 4 strands of 0.08-mm diameter Climax  
20  
21 8X nylon line bridging the gap (Climax Systems, Cortland, NY), with two replicate plates  
22  
23 for each clone.  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 To directly observe whether *D. discoideum* slugs used *D. giganteum* stalks to  
29  
30 traverse the gap, we took a time lapse video with *D. discoideum* positioned behind *D.*  
31  
32 *giganteum* using clones QS11 and QSgi26 (Video [1]). To capture the video, we placed a  
33  
34 plate constructed as in Fig. 1b in a plastic petri dish bag, and sealed the bag around the  
35  
36 objective of a Nikon SMZ-1500 stereoscopic microscope using masking tape. We placed  
37  
38 a fiber optic light guide powered by a M1-150 illuminator at 1/4 power 1.5 M from the  
39  
40 plate in an otherwise dark room. We took one photograph each minute with a  
41  
42 Photometrics coolsnap *cf* digital camera. We used these photos to make a movie, which  
43  
44 we edited using iMovie HD 6.0.4 (Apple Computer) to highlight the *D. giganteum* and *D.*  
45  
46 *discoideum* slugs (Video [1]).  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 Statistical analysis  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 Using JMP v. 7.0.2, we performed an analysis of variance with species, placement  
5 relative to other species (in front of, next to, or behind), species x placement interaction  
6 and clone nested within species as factors. We used the Box-Cox transformed average  
7 number of slugs to traverse the gap for each clone pair / treatment replicate as the  
8 response variable of this ANOVA model (averaging across the three replicate plates for  
9 each clone pair / treatment combination, for a total  $n = 54$ ). The distribution of the  
10 residuals was not significantly different from normal (Shapiro-Wilks  $W = 0.97$ ,  $P = 0.21$ ,  
11  $n = 54$ ). We also tested whether the average number of slugs to traverse the gap or to  
12 fruit on the stalks of the other species was no greater than 0 for each species using a one-  
13 tailed  $T$ -test (averaging across the three clones of the other species and the three clones of  
14 the same species, for  $n = 3$  for each species).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 32 33 Exploitation of bacterial resources in *D. giganteum* 34 35 36 37

38 We tested for live cells behind migrating *D. giganteum* slugs by depositing spore and  
39 bacteria solutions of *D. giganteum* on buffered agar plates (recipe given above) free of  
40 bacteria with a directional light source. We used a pair of sterilized forceps to cut out  
41 section of agar (25–100 mm<sup>2</sup>) with stalks from 1–2 mm behind migrating slugs (Fig. 1e).  
42  
43 We collected five sections of agar with at least one stalk each for each of the three clones  
44 on three different days ( $n = 45$ ). We transferred each piece of agar to a separate plate and  
45 covered the surface of the agar with 20-25  $\mu$ L of the *K. aerogenes* solution. We  
46 incubated each plate at 22° C for 6-10 days and then examined the plates for *D.*  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 plate where slugs had not migrated on the second and third days ( $n = 12$ ) to ensure that  
5  
6 cells were not spreading across the plates (Fig. 1e). We also tested the bacterial solution  
7  
8 for contamination by *D. giganteum* by placing a drop on a separate plate each day ( $n = 3$ ).  
9  
10

11 To test whether *D. giganteum* slugs can exploit bacterial resources following gap  
12  
13 traversal, we took time-lapse videos of each *D. giganteum* clone traversing a gap with a  
14  
15 bacterial strip on the opposite side (Video [2]). To capture the video, we placed a Sony  
16  
17 DCR HC36 digital camcorder inside a cardboard box, sealed except for a 2-mm wide  
18  
19 hole facing a 100 watt incandescent light bulb 45 cm from the box. The camcorder was  
20  
21 set on night-vision mode, with the infrared light deactivated, supported by a miniature  
22  
23 tripod. We took photographs once per minute by averaging 30 frames of interlaced video  
24  
25 using BTV carbon pro (Ben Software). We edited one of the videos using iMovie HD  
26  
27 6.0.4 to highlight the passage of a single slug (Video [2]).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 **Results**

### 39 **Gap-crossing performance**

40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 We found a significant effect of species, placement, and species x placement interaction  
49  
50 but no effect of clone within species ( $P = 0.0001$ ,  $P = 0.0002$  and  $P = 0.51$ , respectively,  
51  
52  $R^2 = 0.81$ ,  $n = 54$ ; Table 1). We found a significant number of *D. giganteum* slugs  
53  
54 traversed the gap in all spatial configurations (Fig. 2, dark grey bars;  $P = 0.003$ ,  $P =$   
55  
56  $0.02$  and  $P = 0.006$  respective to spatial configurations in Fig. 1 a - c;  $n = 3$  each; one-  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 tailed *T*-test). In contrast, we found a significant number of *D. discoideum* slugs  
5  
6 traversed the gap only when *D. discoideum* was behind *D. giganteum* (Fig. 2, medium  
7  
8 grey bars;  $P = 0.15$ ,  $P = 0.02$  and  $P = 0.07$ , respective to spatial configurations in Fig. 1 a  
9  
10 - c;  $n = 3$  each; one-tailed *T*-test). We also found a significant number of *D. discoideum*  
11  
12 fruiting bodies on *D. giganteum* stalks when *D. discoideum* was behind or in front of *D.*  
13  
14 *giganteum* (Fig. 2, light grey bars;  $P = 0.08$ ,  $P = 0.01$  and  $P = 0.01$ , respective to spatial  
15  
16 configurations Fig. 1 a - c;  $n = 3$  each; one-tailed *T*-test). In the experiment with  
17  
18 monofilament line, we found a mean  $7.5 \pm 2.0$  (s.e.) *D. discoideum* slugs traversed the  
19  
20 gap in the presence of line ( $P = 0.03$ ,  $n = 3$ , one-tailed *T*-test), and no *D. discoideum* slugs  
21  
22 traversed the gap in the absence of the line.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 Our time-lapse video confirmed that *D. discoideum* slugs use the *D. giganteum*  
30  
31 stalks to traverse the gap (Video [1]). In the videos, many of the *D. giganteum* slugs  
32  
33 differentiated to form spores as they were traversing the gap, probably because of the  
34  
35 lower humidity and more light in these plates (these plates were not covered with a lid  
36  
37 [see Methods] and were exposed to more diffusive light required to capture the video).  
38  
39 Nevertheless, *D. discoideum* was able to use *D. giganteum* stalks to traverse the gap  
40  
41 (Video [1] and Fig 3). In the experimental plates, *D. giganteum* slugs did not  
42  
43 differentiate while traversing the gap (Fig. 3a).  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

#### 50 Exploitation of bacterial resources in *D. giganteum*

51  
52  
53  
54

55 In the test for live cells left behind slugs, amoebae consumed bacteria in 15/15 sections of  
56  
57 agar ( $n = 45$ ) cut from behind migrating *D. giganteum* slugs. The controls showed only  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 1/12 sections of agar from areas of the plate without stalks yielded growth of amoebae  
5  
6 (Fig. 1e), suggesting cells were left behind particular slugs. The control bacterial  
7  
8 solutions did not show contamination by *D. giganteum* amoebae ( $n = 3$ ). The time-lapse  
9  
10 videos showed each *D. giganteum* clone traversed the gap and exploited bacterial  
11  
12 resource on the opposite side. Most videos showed many slugs traversing the gap,  
13  
14 followed by clearing of the bacteria first in sections where slugs initially migrated over  
15  
16 the bacteria. This pattern of local bacterial clearance suggests live cells are deposited  
17  
18 into the bacteria by slugs. In one trial, only a single *D. giganteum* slug traversed the gap  
19  
20 and bacterial strip, and continued migrating. The video showed bacteria being cleared in  
21  
22 line with the path taken by a single slug (Video [2]).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

## 31 **Discussion**

32  
33 We found the stalk-migrating *D. giganteum* can traverse a 3-mm wide gap that the  
34  
35 stalkless-migrating *D. discoideum* cannot traverse alone (Figs. 2 and 3). This suggests  
36  
37 forming stalk during migration allows slugs to traverse gaps. This raises the question of  
38  
39 why some species lack this behavior. The first hypothesis is that only stalkless-migrating  
40  
41 species can gain an advantage in exploiting bacterial resources during migration. We  
42  
43 tested this hypothesis by examining the ability of *D. giganteum* to exploit bacterial  
44  
45 resources. We found *D. giganteum* slugs can exploit bacterial resources following by  
46  
47 constantly shedding live cells, even after traversing a gap (Fig. 3b-e and Video [2]). This  
48  
49 suggests the advantage of exploiting bacterial resources is not correlated with stalkless  
50  
51 migration. An alternative hypothesis is that some species can use the stalks of other  
52  
53 species. In support of this hypothesis, we found *D. discoideum* can use the stalks of *D.*  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 *giganteum* to traverse gaps or fruit (Video [1], Fig. 3f-h). We also found *D. discoideum*  
5  
6 can use small sections of 0.8-mm diameter line to traverse gaps.  
7  
8

9         The first hypothesis we tested here was that producing stalk during migration  
10 allows traversal of a gap. We used a simple obstacle cut in an agar substrate, and indeed  
11 under this condition we found superior gap-crossing performance of a stalk-migrating  
12 species (Fig. 3). However, for this benefit to be relevant to nature it must be found also  
13 on a natural substrate. On a natural substrate, other differences between species might  
14 affect the results. For example, *D. discoideum* might use its more dispersive pattern of  
15 migration (Bonner and Lamont 2005) or quicker migration speed (Dormann et al. 2007)  
16 to circumvent gaps. In our study, these other differences were unlikely to have affected  
17 the results, because the moat of mineral oil prevented *D. discoideum* slugs from  
18 circumventing the gap (Fig. 1d). On a natural substrate, it would be advisable to use  
19 intraspecific comparisons to isolate the effects of a stalked migration, as possible with  
20 mutants derived from mutagenesis (Ennis et al. 2000) or naturally-occurring variants  
21 (Raper 1984).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41         We also found live cells left behind *D. giganteum* can exploit bacterial resources  
42 following gap traversal on an agar plate (Video [2]). Whether this translates to an  
43 advantage on a natural substrate is an important question. For example, we do not know  
44 whether the number of cells left behind migrating *D. giganteum* slugs is sufficient to  
45 allow invasion of resources in soil. We also do not know if stalkless-migrating species  
46 can exploit bacterial resources on soil (Raper 1956; Kuzdzal-Fick 2007). Additionally,  
47 we do not know what advantage might accrue to *D. discoideum* slugs by fruiting on the  
48 stalks of other species in a gap (Fig. 3f and 3g). One possible advantage is in being  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 dispersed by small animals, such as earthworms and arthropods, that crawl through soil  
5  
6 interstices (Huss 1989).  
7  
8

9 We here found that *D. discoideum* has a remarkable ability to use the stalks of  
10 other species as bridges and for fruiting (Video [1]), using its well-developed basal disc  
11 as a clamp (Fig. 3g). The basal disc of *D. discoideum* is the most well-developed of any  
12 species of social amoebae, and is the feature from which the species derives its name  
13 (Raper 1935). In nature, *D. discoideum* often occurs in animal feces with other species of  
14 social amoebae that respond to similar light, heat or gas gradients (Raper 1984; Suthers  
15 1980; Stephenson and Landolt 1992; Bonner and Lamont 2005). If *D. discoideum* often  
16 uses the stalks of other species to traverse gaps or fruit, it might not require its own stalks  
17 to traverse gaps.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

### 33 **Conclusions**

34 We found that *D. giganteum*, a species that produces a stalk during migration, can  
35  
36 traverse small gaps on an agar plate. Given that such gaps are likely to occur commonly  
37  
38 in soil and leaf litter, the ability to traverse gaps could be an important advantage to a  
39  
40 stalked migration. In contrast, *D. discoideum*, a stalkless-migrating species, can traverse  
41  
42 gaps or fruit in gaps by using the stalks of *D. giganteum*. This suggests between-species  
43  
44 interactions could be important for allowing *D. discoideum* to migrate and disperse  
45  
46 despite lacking a stalked migration.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

### 55 **Acknowledgments**

56 We thank three anonymous referees for comments and J. C. Cavender for discussion.  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under  
Grant Numbers DEB 0816690, DEB 0918931, and DEB 1011513.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **References**  
8

9 Bonner JT (1982) Evolutionary strategies and developmental constraints in the cellular  
10 slime molds. *Am Nat* 119:530-552  
11  
12

13  
14 Bonner JT (2009) *The social amoebae*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ  
15

16 Bonner JT, Lamont DS (2005) Behavior of cellular slime molds in the soil. *Mycologia* 97  
17  
18 (1):178-184  
19

20  
21 Castillo DI, Switz GT, Foster KR, Queller DC, Strassmann JE (2005) A cost to  
22  
23 chimerism in *Dictyostelium discoideum* on natural substrates. *Evol Ecol Res* 7  
24  
25 (2):263-271  
26  
27

28 Crespi BJ (1996) Comparative analysis of the origins and losses of eusociality: causal  
29  
30 mosaics and historical uniqueness. In: Martins EP (ed) *Phylogenies and the*  
31  
32 *comparative method in animal behavior*. Oxford University Press, Oxford,  
33  
34

35  
36 Dormann D, Weijer C, Siegert F (1997) Twisted scroll waves organize *Dictyostelium*  
37  
38 *mucoroides* slugs. *J Cell Sci* 110:1831-1837  
39

40 Ennis HL, Dao DN, Pukatzki SU, Kessin RH (2000) *Dictyostelium* amoebae lacking an  
41  
42 F-box protein form spores rather than stalk in chimeras with wild type. *Proc Natl*  
43  
44 *Acad Sci USA* 97:3292-3297  
45  
46

47  
48 Fortunato A, Strassmann JE, Santorelli L, Queller DC (2003) Co-occurrence in nature of  
49  
50 different clones of the social amoeba, *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Mol Ecol*  
51  
52 12:1031-1038  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Gilbert OM, Foster KR, Mehdiabadi NJ, Strassmann JE, Queller DC (2007) High  
5  
6 relatedness maintains multicellular cooperation in a social amoeba by controlling  
7  
8 cheater mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104 (21):8913-8917  
9  
10  
11 Huss MJ (1989) Dispersal of cellular slime molds by two soil invertebrates. Mycologia  
12  
13 81:677-682  
14  
15  
16 Kaushik S, Nanjundiah V (2003) Evolutionary questions raised by cellular slime mold  
17  
18 development. Proc Indian Natl Sci Acad B69:825-852  
19  
20  
21 Kuzdzal-Fick JJ, Foster KR, Queller DC, Strassmann JE (2007) Exploiting new terrain:  
22  
23 an advantage to sociality in the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Behav Ecol  
24  
25 18 (2):433-437.  
26  
27  
28 Raper KB (1935) *Dictyostelium discoideum*, a new species of slime mold from decaying  
29  
30 forest leaves. J Agr Res 50:135-147  
31  
32  
33 Raper KB (1956) *Dictyostelium polycephalum* n. sp.: a new cellular slime mold with  
34  
35 coremiform fructifications. J Gen Microbiol 14:716-&  
36  
37  
38 Raper KB (1984) The Dictyostelids. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ  
39  
40  
41 Schaap P, Winckler T, Nelson M, Alvarez-Curto E, Elgie B, Hagiwara H, Cavender J,  
42  
43 Milano-Curto A, Rozen DE, Dingermann T, Mutzel R, Baldauf SL (2006)  
44  
45 Molecular phylogeny and evolution of morphology in the social amoebas. Science  
46  
47 314 (5799):661-663.  
48  
49  
50  
51 Stevenson SL, Landolt JC (1992) Vertebrates as vectors of cellular slime moulds in  
52  
53 temperate forests. Mycol Res 96 (8):670-672  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 Sussman M (1966) Biochemical and genetic methods in the study of cellular slime mold  
5  
6 development. In: Prescott D (ed) Methods in Cell Physiology. Ac. Press, New  
7  
8 York, pp 397-409  
9

10  
11 Suthers HB (1985) Ground-feeding migratory songbirds as cellular slime mold  
12  
13 distribution vectors. *Oecologia* 65:526-530  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 **Tables**

27  
28

Source	d.f.	SS	<i>F</i> Ratio	<i>P</i>
Species	1	5121.9	147.4	<.0001
Placement	2	738.6	10.6	0.0002
Species x placement	2	612.0	8.8	0.0006
Clone (species)	4	114.2	0.8	0.5186
Error	44	1528.8		

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 **Table 1.** Degree of variation of number of fruiting bodies to traverse the gap explained  
40  
41 by species, placement of clones relative to other species, species x placement interaction,  
42  
43 and clone within species. These factors were fixed effects in the ANOVA model of Box-  
44  
45 Cox transformed data. Clone (species) was a nested effect and was not significant.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 **Figure Captions**  
5  
6  
7  
8

9 **Figure 1.** Experimental treatments. Spatial configurations: (a) *D. giganteum* next to *D.*  
10 *discoideum* (b) *D. giganteum* in front of *D. discoideum* (c) *D. giganteum* behind *D.*  
11 *discoideum*. (d) The gap from a side view. (e) Test for live cells behind *D. giganteum*  
12 slugs. Sun emblem represents origin of light.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **Figure 2.** Results for gap-crossing experiment. Bars represent standard error for three  
22 replicate *D. giganteum* or *D. discoideum* clones. Treatments correspond to those in Fig.  
23 1. Treatment (a) is when the species are next to each other, treatment (b) is when *D.*  
24 *giganteum* is in front of *D. discoideum*, and treatment (c) is when *D. discoideum* is in  
25 front of *D. giganteum*. Legend gives the results based on color-code, and the legend key  
26 gives a pictorial representation of each result. In the legend key, *D. giganteum* fruiting  
27 bodies are dark grey and *D. discoideum* fruiting bodies are light grey. The null  
28 hypothesis is mean no greater than 0 (one-tailed *T*-tests,  $n = 3$  clones per species; \*  $P <$   
29 0.05, \*\*  $P < 0.01$ ). Sun emblem represents origin of light.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Figure 3.** Photographs of results: (a) When the two species are next to each other  
46 (treatment (a)), *D. giganteum* can traverse the gap but *D. discoideum* cannot. (b-e) Still  
47 photographs from a video (available online) showing the ability of a single *D. giganteum*  
48 slug to exploit new resource from gap traversal. Note that bacteria are cleared in line  
49 with the path of the slug. (f) When positioned behind *D. giganteum* (treatment (b)), *D.*  
50 *discoideum* is able to traverse the gap and fruit on *D. giganteum* stalks. (g) *D. discoideum*  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

fruiting body on a *D. giganteum* stalk (insert shows basal disc used as a clamp). **(h)** Still photograph from a video showing *D. discoideum* slugs using the stalks of *D. giganteum* to traverse a gap. Scale bar is (a) 1 cm (b-e) 4 mm (f) 8 mm for main photo and 2 mm for insert (g) 1 mm (h) 2 mm. Sun emblem represents origin of light.

Figure 1




Figure 2





Figure 3





Video Captions

[Click here to download Supplementary Material: Video Captions.doc](#)

Online Video 1

[Click here to download Supplementary Material: video\[1\].mov](#)

Online Video 2

[Click here to download Supplementary Material: video\[2\].mov](#)